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Abstract: Using the H"older inequality, we establish several Lyapunov-type inequalities for quasilinear $q$-difference equation and $q$-difference systems.
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I. Introduction

The Lyapunov inequality and many of its generalizations have proved to be useful tools in oscillation theory, disconjugacy, eigenvalue problems, and numerous other applications for the theories of differential and difference equations. The well-known inequality of Lyapunov[1] states that a necessary condition for the boundary value problem $y'' + q(t)y = 0$, $y(a) = y(b) = 0$, to have nontrivial solutions is that
\[ \int_a^b |g(t)| dt > \frac{4}{b-a}. \]

There are several different proofs of this inequality since the original one by Lyapunov[1]. In the last few years independent works appeared generalizing Lyapunov’s inequality for the $p$-Laplacian, by using H"older, Jensen or Cauchy-Schwarz inequalities. For the case of a single equation, see for example [2-9]. For systems there are a few results.

In the paper, we consider boundary problem of the following quasilinear $q$-difference equation
\[ -D_q^r (r(t)|D_q (u(t))|^{q-2}(D_q u(t))) = f(t)|u(t)|^{q-2}u(t), t \in (0,1), 0 < q < 1. \]
\[ u(0)=u(1)=0, u(t) \neq 0, t \in (0, 1). \]

and boundary problem of quasilinear $q$-difference system
\[ -D_q^r (r(t)|D_q (u(t))|^{q-2}(D_q u(t))) = f(t)|u(t)|^{q-2}u(t), v(t)^{q-2}v(t), t \in (0,1), 0 < q < 1, \]
\[ -D_q^r (r(t)|D_q (v(t))|^{q-2}(D_q v(t))) = f(t)|v(t)|^{q-2}v(t), u(t)^{q-2}u(t), \]
\[ u(0)=v(0)=0, u(t) \neq 0, v(t) \neq 0, t \in (0, 1). \]

For the sake of convenience, we give the following hypothesis (H) for (1) and hypothesis (H) for (2):
(H) $r(t)$ and $f(t)$ are real-valued functions and $r(t) > 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. $1 < p, p_1 < \infty$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p_1} = 1$.

(H) $1 < p_1, p_2 < \infty, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2 > 0$, satisfy $\frac{\alpha_1}{p_1} + \frac{\alpha_2}{p_2} = 1$ and $\frac{\beta_1}{p_1} + \frac{\beta_2}{p_2} = 1$.

We recall some concepts for $q$-difference operator. Throughout this paper, we assume $q \in (0,1)$.

The $q$-derivatives $D_q f$ and $D_q^r f$ of a function $f$ are given by
\[ (D_q f)(x) = \frac{f(qx) - f(x)}{(1-q)x}, (D_q^r f)(x) = \frac{f(q^{-r}x) - f(x)}{(1-q)x}, \text{if } x \neq 0. \]
\[ (D_q f)(0) = f'(0) \text{ and } (D_q^r f)(0) = q^{-r}f'(0) \text{ provided } f'(0) \text{ exists.} \]

The $q$-integrals is defined by
\[ \int_0^a f(x)d_x = (1-q)a \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f(aq^n)q^n, \]
\begin{align*}
\int_0^b f(x) d_q x &= \int_0^b f(x) d_q x - \int_0^a f(x) d_q x.
\end{align*}

The \(q\)-analogue of Leibnitz rule is given by
\[D_q f(x) g(x) = g(x) D_q f(x) + f(qx) D_q g(x).\]

An immediate consequence is the \(q\)-integration by parts rule (see [12]).
\[\int_a^b g(x) D_q f(x) d_q x = f(b) g(q^{-1}b) - f(a) g(q^{-1}a) - \int_a^b f(x) D_q^* g(x) d_q x. \quad (*)\]

\section{Lyapunov-Type Inequalities For \(Q\)-Difference Equation (1)}

In the section, we establish Lyapunov-type inequality for \(q\)-difference equation (1). Denote
\[\xi(t) = (\int_0^t r^{-\frac{1}{p}}(s) d_q s)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} = \left( \int_0^t r^{-\frac{1}{p}}(s) d_q s \right)^\frac{1}{p-1},\]
\[\eta(t) = (\int_0^t r^{-\frac{1}{q}}(s) d_q s)^{\frac{q}{q-1}}.\]

**Theorem 2.1.** Suppose that hypothesis (H1) holds. If boundary value problem (1) has a solution. Then one has the following inequality:
\[\int_0^t f(t) d_q t \geq 1,\]
where \(f^+(t) = \max \{f(t), 0\}\).

**Proof.** By (1) and the \(q\)-integration by parts rule (*),
\[-\int_0^t D_q^\ast (r(t)) D_q u(t) d_q t \leq \int_0^t f(t) u(t) d_q t = \int_0^t f(t) u(t) d_q t.\]

By the boundary condition of (1), we have
\[|u(t)|^p \leq \left( \int_0^t D_q u(s) d_q s \right)^p \leq \left( \int_0^t D_q u(s) d_q s \right)^p \leq \left( \int_0^t D_q u(s) d_q s \right)^p \leq \left( \int_0^t D_q u(s) d_q s \right)^p.
\]
and
\[|u(t)|^p \leq \left( \int_0^t D_q u(s) d_q s \right)^p \leq \left( \int_0^t D_q u(s) d_q s \right)^p \leq \left( \int_0^t D_q u(s) d_q s \right)^p.
\]

Thus
\[|u(t)|^p \leq \frac{\int_0^t r(s) d_q s}{\xi(t)} \int_0^t r(s) d_q s.
\]

By (4), we have
\[\int_0^t f^+(t) u(t) d_q t \leq \int_0^t \frac{\xi(t) \eta(t)}{\xi(t) + \eta(t)} f^+(t) d_q t \int_0^t r(s) d_q s = \int_0^t \frac{\xi(t) \eta(t)}{\xi(t) + \eta(t)} f^+(t) d_q t \int_0^t f(t) u(t) d_q t.
\]
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\[ \int_0^1 \frac{\xi(t)\eta(t)}{\xi(t) + \eta(t)} f^+(t) dt \int_0^1 f^+(t) |u(t)|^\rho dt. \]  

Next, we prove that if \( \int_0^1 f^+(t) |u(t)|^\rho dt > 0. \) (6)

If (6) is not true, then \( \int_0^1 f^+(t) |u(t)|^\rho dt = 0. \) (7)

From (4) and (7), we have

\[ 0 \leq \int_0^1 r(t) |D_q u(t)|^\rho dt = \int_0^1 f(t) |u(t)|^\rho dt \leq \int_0^1 f^+(t) |u(t)|^\rho dt = 0. \]

It follows that \( D_q u(q^nt) \equiv 0, n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \), we obtain that \( u(t) \equiv 0 \), for \( t \in (0, 1) \) which contradicts the condition of (2). Therefore, from (5), we may see that (3) holds. The proof is completed.

Note that \( \left( \frac{\xi + \eta}{2} \right)^2 \geq \xi \eta \), one has following corollary 2.1.

**Corollary 2.1.** Suppose that hypothesis \((H_2)\) is satisfied. If (1) has a solution \( u(t) \). Then one has the following inequality:

\[ \int_0^1 (\xi(t)\eta(t))^{\frac{1}{2}} f^+(t) dt \geq 2. \]

**III. Lyapunov-Type Inequalities For Q-Difference Equation (2)**

Denote

\[ \xi_i(t) = (\int_0^t s^{1-p}(s)d_q s)^{\frac{1}{\nu-1}}, i = 1, 2. \] (8)

\[ \eta_i(t) = (\int_0^t s^{1-p}(s)d_q s)^{\frac{1}{\nu-1}}, i = 1, 2. \] (9)

**Theorem 3.1.** Suppose that hypothesis \((H_3)\) is satisfied. If system (2) has a solution \( (u(t), v(t)) \). Then one has the following inequality:

\[ \left( \int_0^1 \frac{\xi_1(t)\eta_1(t)}{\xi_1(t) + \eta_1(t)} f_1^+(t) d_q t \right)^{\alpha_1/\beta_1} \left( \int_0^1 \frac{\xi_2(t)\eta_2(t)}{\xi_2(t) + \eta_2(t)} f_2^+(t) d_q t \right)^{\alpha_2/\beta_2} \]

\[ \times \left( \int_0^1 \frac{\xi_1(t)\eta_2(t)}{\xi_1(t) + \eta_2(t)} f_1^+(t) d_q t \right)^{\alpha_1\beta_2/\beta_1} \left( \int_0^1 \frac{\xi_2(t)\eta_1(t)}{\xi_2(t) + \eta_1(t)} f_2^+(t) d_q t \right)^{\alpha_2\beta_1/\beta_2} \geq 1. \]

where \( f_i^+(t) = \max\{ f_i(t), 0 \} \), for \( i = 1, 2. \)

**Proof.** Similar to (4), we have

\[ \int_0^1 r_1(t) |D_q u(t)|^\beta d_q t = \int_0^1 f_1(t) |u(t)|^\nu v(t)^{\beta_2} d_q t, \] (10)

\[ \int_0^1 r_2(t) |D_q v(t)|^\beta d_q t = \int_0^1 f_2(t) |v(t)|^\nu u(t)^{\beta_2} d_q t, \] (11)

\[ |u(t)|^{\nu} \leq \int_0^1 |D_q u(t)|^\beta d_q t \leq \xi_1(t) \int_0^1 r_1(t) |D_q u(t)|^\beta d_q t, \] (12)

\[ |u(t)|^{\nu} \leq \eta_1(t) \int_0^1 r_1(t) |D_q u(t)|^\beta d_q t, \]

\[ \int_0^1 f_1^+(t) |u(t)|^\nu d_q t \leq M_1 \int_0^1 r_1(t) |D_q u(t)|^\beta d_q t = M_1 \int_0^1 f_1(t) |u(t)|^\nu |v(t)|^\nu d_q t \]

\[ \leq M_1 \int_0^1 f_1^+(t) |u(t)|^\nu |v(t)|^\nu d_q t \]
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\[
\frac{1}{2} \left( \int_0^1 f^+_1(t) \left| u(t) \right|^p \, dt \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p}} \left( \int_0^1 f^+_1(t) \left| v(t) \right|^p \, dt \right)^{\frac{\beta}{p}} \leq M_{11} \int_0^1 f^+_1(t) \left| u(t) \right|^p \, dt \leq M_{12} \left( \int_0^1 f^+_1(t) \left| v(t) \right|^p \, dt \right)^{\frac{\beta}{p}} .
\]

(13)

\[
\frac{1}{2} \left( \int_0^1 f^+_2(t) \left| u(t) \right|^p \, dt \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p}} \left( \int_0^1 f^+_2(t) \left| v(t) \right|^p \, dt \right)^{\frac{\beta}{p}} \leq M_{12} \int_0^1 f^+_2(t) \left| u(t) \right|^p \, dt \leq M_{22} \left( \int_0^1 f^+_2(t) \left| v(t) \right|^p \, dt \right)^{\frac{\beta}{p}} .
\]

(14)

where \( M_{11} = \int_0^1 \frac{\xi_1(t) \eta_1(t)}{\xi_2(t) + \eta_1(t)} f^+_1(t) \, dt \) and \( M_{22} = \int_0^1 \frac{\xi_2(t) \eta_2(t)}{\xi_1(t) + \eta_2(t)} f^+_2(t) \, dt \).

Similar to the proof of (12), from (10), we have

\[
\left| v(t) \right|^p \leq \frac{\xi_2(t) \eta_2(t)}{\xi_2(t) + \eta_2(t)} \int_0^1 r_2(t) \left| D_q v(t) \right|^p \, dt .
\]

It follows from above form and the Hölder inequality that

\[
\frac{1}{2} \left( \int_0^1 f^+_2(t) \left| u(t) \right|^p \, dt \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p}} \left( \int_0^1 f^+_1(t) \left| v(t) \right|^p \, dt \right)^{\frac{\beta}{p}} \leq M_{22} \int_0^1 f^+_2(t) \left| v(t) \right|^p \, dt \leq M_{22} \left( \int_0^1 f^+_2(t) \left| u(t) \right|^p \, dt \right)^{\frac{\beta}{p}} .
\]

(15)

where \( M_{21} = \int_0^1 \frac{\xi_1(t) \eta_2(t)}{\xi_2(t) + \eta_2(t)} f^+_1(t) \, dt \) and \( M_{22} = \int_0^1 \frac{\xi_2(t) \eta_2(t)}{\xi_1(t) + \eta_2(t)} f^+_2(t) \, dt \).

Similar to (10), we have

\[
\frac{1}{2} \left( \int_0^1 f^+_2(t) \left| u(t) \right|^p \, dt \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p}} \left( \int_0^1 f^+_2(t) \left| v(t) \right|^p \, dt \right)^{\frac{\beta}{p}} \leq M_{22} \int_0^1 f^+_2(t) \left| v(t) \right|^p \, dt .
\]

(16)

where \( M_{21} = \int_0^1 \frac{\xi_1(t) \eta_2(t)}{\xi_2(t) + \eta_2(t)} f^+_1(t) \, dt \) and \( M_{22} = \int_0^1 \frac{\xi_2(t) \eta_2(t)}{\xi_1(t) + \eta_2(t)} f^+_2(t) \, dt \).

From (14)-(16), (18), we have

\[
M_{11}^{\alpha/\beta/\rho_1} M_{12}^{\beta/\rho_2} M_{21}^{\alpha/\rho_2} M_{22}^{\beta/\rho_2} \geq 1.
\]

Corollary 3.1. Suppose that hypothesis (H_3) is satisfied. If (2) has a solution \((u(t), v(t))\), then one has the following inequality:

\[
\left( \int_0^1 f^+_1(t) \left| \xi_1(t) \eta(t) \right|^2 \, dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \left( \int_0^1 f^+_2(t) \left| \xi_2(t) \eta_2(t) \right|^2 \, dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p_2}} \leq 2^{\frac{\alpha^2}{p_1} + \frac{\beta^2}{p_2}} .
\]

Next, we consider the quasilinear \(q\)-difference system involving the \((p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_m)\) – Laplacian:

\[
\begin{cases}
-D_q^+ (r_1(t) | D_q u_1(t) |^{p_1-2} (D_q u_1(t))) = f_1(t) | u_1(t) |^{p_1} | u_2(t) |^{p_2} \ldots | u_m(t) |^{p_m} u_1(t), \\
-D_q^+ (r_2(t) | D_q u_2(t) |^{p_2-2} (D_q u_2(t))) = f_2(t) | u_1(t) |^{p_1} | u_2(t) |^{p_2} \ldots | u_m(t) |^{p_m} u_2(t), \\
\vdots \\
-D_q^+ (r_m(t) | D_q u_m(t) |^{p_m-2} (D_q u_m(t))) = f_m(t) | u_1(t) |^{p_1} | u_2(t) |^{p_2} \ldots | u_m(t) |^{p_m} u_m(t),
\end{cases}
\]

(19)

with boundary value conditions:

\[
u_i(0) = u_i(1) = 0, u_i(t) \neq 0, t \in (0,1) : i = 1, 2, \ldots, m.
\]

We give the following hypothesis \((H_3)\).
(H3) \( r_i(t) \) and \( f_i(t) \) are real-valued functions and \( r_i(t) \geq 0 \) for \( i = 1, 2, \cdots, m \).

Furthermore, \( 1 < p_i < \infty \) and \( \alpha_i > 0 \) satisfy \( \sum_{i=1}^{m} (\alpha_i / p_i) = 1 \).

Denote
\[
\xi_i(t) = (\int_0^t r_i^{1-p_i}(s) d_s s^ {\frac{1}{p_i-1}}).
\]
\[
\eta_i(t) = (\int_0^t r_i^{1-p_i}(s) d_s s^ {\frac{1}{p_i-1}}).
\]

Theorem 3.2. Suppose that hypothesis (H3) is satisfied. If system (19) has a solution \( (u_1(t), u_2(t), \ldots, u_m(t)) \) satisfying the boundary conditions (20), then one has the following inequality:
\[
\prod_{i=1}^{m} \prod_{j=1}^{m} \left( \int_0^t r_i^\alpha (\xi_j(t) \eta_j(t)) f_j^\alpha (t) d_t s^ {\frac{1}{p_i-1}} \right) \geq 1. (22)
\]

Proof. By (19) (H3) and (20), we can get
\[
\int_0^1 r_i(t) | D_q u_i(t) | ^{p_i} = \int_0^1 f_i(t) \prod_{k=1}^{m} | u_k(t) | ^{p_k} d_t t, i = 1, 2, \cdots, m.
\]

It follows from (21) and the Hölder inequality that
\[
| u_i(t) | ^{p_i} \leq \xi_i(t) \int_0^1 r_i(t) | D_q u_i(t) | ^{p_i} d_t t.
\]

Thus
\[
| u_i(t) | ^{p_i} \leq \xi_i(t) \eta_i(t) \int_0^1 r_i(t) | D_q u_i(t) | ^{p_i} d_t t,
\]
\[
\int_0^1 f_j^\alpha (t) | u_i(t) | ^{p_i} d_t t \leq M_{ij} \prod_{k=1}^{m} \left( \int_0^1 f_j^\alpha (t) | u_k(t) | ^{p_k} d_t t \right) \alpha_k / p_k,
\]

where \( M_{ij} = \int_0^1 \xi_i(t) \eta_i(t) f_j^\alpha (t) d_t t, i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, m. (23) \)

Similar to the proof of the (18), we have
\[
\int_0^1 f_j^\alpha (t) | u_i(t) | ^{p_i} d_t t > 0, i, k = 1, 2, \ldots, m.
\]

Therefore
\[
\prod_{i=1}^{m} \prod_{j=1}^{m} M_{ij} \alpha_i / p_i \geq 1. (24)
\]

It follows from (23) and (24) that (23) holds.

Corollary 3.2. Suppose that hypothesis (H3) is satisfied. If system (19) has a solution \( (u_1(t), u_2(t), \ldots, u_m(t)) \) satisfying (20), then one has the following inequality:
\[
\prod_{i=1}^{m} \prod_{j=1}^{m} \left( \int_0^1 f_j^\alpha (t) (\xi_j(t) \eta_j(t)) ^{\frac{1}{2}} d_t t \right) \alpha_i / p_i \geq 2. \]
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