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#### Abstract

In this paper we analyze the comparison of queuing models to vehicular traffic at kanyakumari district in different places.This section introduces the data sources discuss the $M / M / 1$ and $M / D / 1$ queuing models which this article uses to model vehicular traffic could be minimized using queuing theory in kannyakumari district .The result showed that traffic intensity $\rho<1$. This paper compares the result obtained from both methods and describes how these data collected at various places in Kanyakumari district.
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## I. Introduction:

The analysis of queuing system and it variables has been focus of many studies and researches for many decade.Traffic characteristics of a road way are influenced by various factor like surface type road way width, driver skill, side activities, road maintenance etc. Traffic congestion significantlyaffects economicperformance of the nation and living standards of the people. In majority of urban areas travel demand exceeds highway capacity occasionally during peak periods.In addition that vehicles break downs, workzones, weather,signal timing etc. We see the peak time of incoming traffic is from $7.30 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$ to $9.30 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$ and the rush hours the outgoing traffic is during $4.30 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$ to $6.30 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$.

The traffic activity is particularly in urban areas. One of the main reason is most of the urban people usingtheir own vehicles. In school opening times arranged to avoid rush hour traffic in some places, private buses school pickup and drop-off traffic are substantial percentage of peak hour traffic. Traffic congestion is a condition on road networks that occurs as we increases and is characterized by slower speeds longer trip times and increased vehicular queuing. The trafficorganization is more serious day after day, it is based on queuing theory. In this model is constructed mean queue length, mean waiting time, customermean service time and arrival rate and traffic intensity.

## M/M/1 Queuing model:

The simplest queuing modal is $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{M} / 1$, where both thearrival time and servicetime are exponentially distributed .M/M/1 queuing system assume a Poisson arrival process. This assumption is very good approximation for arrival process in real system that meet the following rules.
I) The number of customer in the system is very large.
II) Theimpact of the single customer for the performance of the system is very small, that is a single customer consumes a very small percentage of system resources.
III) All customers are independent. Their decision to use the system are independent of other users.

This probability density distribution equation for a Poisson process describes the probability of seeing n arrivals in aperiod from 0 to $t$.
$p_{n}(t)=\frac{(\lambda t)^{n} e^{-\lambda t}}{n!}$
Where $t$-is used to define the interval 0 to $t$.
N - Total number of arrivals in the interval 0 to $t$
$\lambda$ - is the total average arrival rate in arrivals /second.
First we define the traffic intensity. It is define as the average arrival rate $\lambda$ divided by the average service rate $\mu$.For a stable system the average service rate should always be higher than the average arrival rate.
$\boldsymbol{\rho}-$ should always be less than one. $\boldsymbol{\rho}=\frac{\lambda}{\square}$
Mean number of customer in the system can be found using the following equation $n L_{s}=\frac{\lambda}{\square-\lambda}$.
Mean number of customer in the queue $L_{s}=\frac{\rho^{2}}{1-\rho}$.
The total waiting time including service time $W_{s}=\frac{1}{0-\lambda}$.

Mean time spent waiting in queue $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{q}}=\frac{\rho}{\mathbb{Z}(1-\rho)}$.

## M/D/1 queue model:

The M/D/1 model has exponentially distributed arrival times but fixed service time (constant).We can compute the same result using M/D/1 equations, the results are shown in the table below.
Traffic intensity $\rho=\frac{\lambda}{\square}$.
Average number of customer in the systemL $=\boldsymbol{\rho}+\frac{\boldsymbol{\rho}^{2}}{2(1-\boldsymbol{\rho})}$.
Average number of customer in the queue $L_{q}=\frac{\rho^{2}}{2(1-\rho)}$.
Average number of customer in the waitingtime $W_{s}=\frac{1}{\square}+\frac{\rho}{2 \mathbb{Z}(1-\rho)}$.
Average number of customer time spent in queue $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{q}}=\frac{\rho}{2 \mathbb{Z}(1-\rho)}$.
Table 1

| Traffic <br> Location | Session | Arrival No. of <br> buses | Time in <br> minutes | Service No. <br> of buses | Time in <br> minutes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Kaliyakka vilai | Morning | 35 | 1.35 | 40 | 1.30 |
| Martandam | Morning | 20 | 1.30 | 28 | 1.35 |
| Thuckalay | Morning | 50 | 2.15 | 60 | 1.45 |
| Nagercoil | Morning | 25 | 1.15 | 35 | 1.45 |
| Kaliyakka vilai | Evening | 40 | 1.45 | 55 | 1.30 |
| Martandam | Evening | 38 | 1.30 | 45 | 2.00 |
| Thuckalay | Evening | 30 | 2.00 | 50 | 1.34 |
| Nagercoil | Evening | 20 | 1.15 | 30 | 1.30 |

Table 2: The situation of traffic at kanyakumari district at various places using M/M/1 queuing model

| Traffic <br> location | Session | Arrival No. of buses | $\mathbf{p}$ | $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{s}}$ | $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{q}}$ | $\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{s}}$ | $\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{q}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Kaliyakka vilai | Morning | 26 | 0.8387 | 5 | 4 | 0.1677 | 0.0200 |
| Martandam | Morning | 15 | 0.7143 | 3 | 2 | 0.1190 | 0.1660 |
| Thuckalay | Morning | 23 | 0.5600 | 1 | 0 | 0.0310 | 0.5550 |
| Nagercoil | Morning | 22 | 0.7857 | 4 | 3 | 0.1666 | 0.1300 |
| Kaliyakka vilai | Evening | 27 | 0.6428 | 2 | 1 | 0.1428 | 0.0425 |
| Martandam | Evening | 29 | 0.8280 | 5 | 4 | 0.0660 | 0.1375 |
| Thuckalay | Evening | 15 | 0.4050 | 5 | 4 | 0.0455 | 0.0180 |
| Nagercoil | Evening | 17 | 0.7390 | 3 | 2 | 0.3400 | 0.1230 |

Table 3: The situation of traffic at kanyakumari district at various places using M/D/1 queuing model

| Traffic <br> location | Session | Arrival No. <br> of buses $\lambda$ | Service No. <br> of buses $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ | $\mathbf{p}$ | $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{s}}$ | $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{q}}$ | $\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{s}}$ | $\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{q}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Kaliyakka vilai | Morning | 26 | 28 | 0.8789 | 4 | 3 | 0.1675 | 0.1316 |
| Martandam | Morning | 15 | 19 | 0.7894 | 3 | 2 | 0.1512 | 0.0986 |
| Thuckalay | Morning | 17 | 24 | 0.7080 | 2 | 1 | 0.1428 | 0.1010 |
| Nagercoil | Morning | 23 | 41 | 0.5600 | 1 | 0 | 0.0310 | .5550 |
| Kaliyakka vilai | Evening | 27 | 38 | 0.7105 | 2 | 1 | 0.0585 | 0.0027 |
| Martandam | Evening | 20 | 24 | 0.8333 | 3 | 2 | 0.1452 | 0.1039 |
| Thuckalay | Evening | 15 | 34 | 0.4412 | 1 | 0 | 0.0410 | 0.0116 |
| Nagercoil | Evening | 17 | 21 | 0.8095 | 3 | 2 | 0.1900 | 0.1424 |

## Numerical Study

| Categories | M/M/1 | M/D/1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Average number of customer in the system | 3.500 | 2.375 |
| Average queue length | 2.500 | 1.500 |
| Average customer waiting time | 0.135 | 0.116 |
| Average number of customer time spent in queue | 0.149 | 0.143 |

## II. Conclusion

In the above discussion we calculate average queuelength, average number of customer in the system, average customer waiting time and average number of customer time spent in the queue in kanyakumari district at various places. Comparing these two models the values of $M / M / 1$ model is greater than the values of $M / D / 1$ model.
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