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> Abstract: An operator $T \in B(H)$ is said to be absolute $-(p, r)-$ paranormal operator if $\left\||T|^{p}\left|T^{*}\right|^{r} x\right\|^{r}\|x\| \geq\left\|\left.T^{*}\right|^{r} x\right\|^{p+r}$ for all $x \in H$ and for positive real number $p>0$ and $r>0$, where $T=U$
$|T|$ is the polar decomposition of $T$. In this paper, we prove that continuity of the set theoretic functions spectrum, Weyl spectrum, Browder spectrum and essential surjectivity spectrum on the classes consisting of ( $p$, $k)$ - quasihyponormal operators and absolute - $(p, r)$ - paranormal operators.
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## I. Introduction and Preliminaries

Let H be an infinite dimensional complex Hilbert space and $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{H})$ denote the algebra of all bounded linear operators acting on $H$. Every operator $T$ can be decomposed into $T=U|T|$ with a partial isometry $U$, where $|\mathrm{T}|=\sqrt{T^{*} T}$. In this paper, $\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{U}|\mathrm{T}|$ denotes the polar decomposition satisfying the kernel condition $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{U})=\mathrm{N}(|\mathrm{T}|)$. Yamazaki and Yanagida [23] introduced absolute - $(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{r})$ - paranormal operator. It is a further generalization of the classes of both absolute -k - paranormal operators and p - paranormal operators as a parallel concept of class $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{r})$. An operator $T \in B(H)$ is said to be absolute - $(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{r})$ - paranormal operator, denoted by (p, r) - $\mathcal{A} \mathcal{P}$, if $\left\|\left.\left|\left.\right|^{p}\right| T^{*}\right|^{r} x\right\|^{r}\|x\| \geq\left\|\left.T^{*}\right|^{r} x\right\|^{p+r} \quad$ for every unit vector x or equivalently $\left\||T|^{p}\left|T^{*}\right|^{r} x\right\|^{r} \geq\left\|\left|T^{*}\right|^{r} x\right\|^{p+r}$ for all $x \in H$ and for positive real numbers $\mathrm{p}>0$ and $\mathrm{r}>0$. It is also proved that $T=U|T|$ is absolute $-(p, r)-$ paranormal operator for $p>0$ and $r>0$ if and only if $r|T|^{r} U^{*}|T|^{2 p} U|T|^{r}-(p+r)$ $\lambda^{\mathrm{p}}|\mathrm{T}|^{2 \mathrm{r}}+\mathrm{p} \lambda^{\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{I} \geq 0$ for all real $\lambda$. Evidently,
$\mathrm{a}(\mathrm{k}, 1)-\mathcal{A} \mathscr{P}$ operator is absolute -k - paranormal;
$\mathrm{a}(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{p})-\mathcal{A} \mathscr{P}$ operator is p - paranormal;
a $(1,1)-\mathcal{A} \mathscr{P}$ operator is paranormal [23].
An operator $T \in B(H)$ is said to be ( $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{k}$ ) - quasihyponormal operator, denoted by ( $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{k}$ ) - $Q$, for some $0<\mathrm{p} \leq 1$ and integer $\mathrm{k} \geq 1$ if $\mathrm{T}^{* \mathrm{k}}\left(|\mathrm{T}|^{2 \mathrm{p}}-\left|\mathrm{T}^{*}\right|^{2 \mathrm{p}}\right) \mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{k}} \geq 0$. Evidently,
a $(1, \mathrm{k})-\mathrm{Q}$ operator is k - quasihyponormal;
a $(1,1)-Q$ operator is quasihyponormal;
a ( $\mathrm{p}, 1$ ) - Q operator is k - quasihyponormal or quasi - p - hyponormal ([8], [10]),
$\mathrm{a}(\mathrm{p}, 0)-\mathrm{Q}$ operator is p -hyponormal if $0<\mathrm{p}<1$ and hyponormal if $\mathrm{p}=1$.

If $T \in B(H)$, we write $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{T})$ and $\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{T})$ for null space and range of T , respectively. Let $\alpha(T)=\operatorname{dim}$ $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{T})=\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathrm{T}^{-1}(0)\right), \beta(T)=\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{T}^{*}\right)=\operatorname{dim}(\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{H})), \sigma(T)$ denote the spectrum and $\sigma_{a}(T)$ denote the approximate point spectrum. Then $\sigma(T)$ is a compact subset of the set $C$ of complex numbers. The function $\sigma$ viewed as a function from $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{H})$ into the set of all compact subsets of $C$, with its hausdroff metric, is know to be an upper semi - continuous function [14, Problem 103], but it fails to be continuous [14, Problem 102].

Also we know that $\sigma$ is continuous on the set of normal operators in $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{H})$ extended to hyponormal operators [14, Problem 105]. The continuity of $\sigma$ on the set of quasihyponormal operators (in $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{H})$ ) has been proved by Erevenko and Djordjevic [10], the continuity of $\sigma$ on the set of p - hyponormal has been proved by Duggal and Djordjevic [9], and the continuity of $\sigma$ on the set of $\mathrm{G}_{1}$ - operators has been proved by Luecke [17].

An operator $T \in B(H)$ is called Fredholm if it has closed range, finite dimensional null space and its range has finite co-dimension. The index of a Fredholm operator is given by $\quad \mathrm{i}(\mathrm{T})=\alpha(T)-\beta(T)$. The ascent of $T$, asc ( T$)$, is the least non - negative integer n such that $\quad \mathrm{T}^{-\mathrm{n}}(0)=\mathrm{T}^{-(\mathrm{n}+1)}(0)$ and the descent of T , dsc $(T)$, is the least non - negative integer $n$ such that $T^{n}(H)=T^{(n+1)}(H)$. We say that $T$ is of finite ascent (resp., finite descent) if asc (T $-\lambda \mathrm{I})<\infty$ (resp., dsc $(\mathrm{T}-\lambda \mathrm{I})<\infty)$ for all complex numbers $\lambda$. An operator T is said to be left semi - Fredholm (resp., right semi - Fredholm), $T \in \Phi_{+}(H)$ (resp., $T \in \Phi_{-}(H)$ ) if TH is closed and the deficiency index $\alpha(T)=\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathrm{T}^{-1}(0)\right)$ is finite (resp., the deficiency index $\beta(T)=\operatorname{dim}$ (H \} TH ) is finite); T is semi - Fredholm if it is either left semi - Fredholm or right semi - Fredholm, and T is Fredholm if it is both left and right semi - Fredholm. The semi - Fredholm index of T, ind (T), is the number ind $(\mathrm{T})=\alpha(T)-\beta(T)$. An operator T is called Weyl if it is Fredholm of index zero and Browder if it is Fredholm of finite ascent and descent. Let $\mathbb{C}$ denote the set of complex numbers. The Weyl spectrum $\sigma_{w}(T)$ and the Browder spectrum $\sigma_{b}(T)$ of T are the sets $\sigma_{w}(T)=\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}: \mathrm{T}-\lambda$ is not Weyl $\}$ and $\sigma_{b}(T)=$ $\{\lambda \in \mathrm{C}: \mathrm{T}-\lambda$ is not Browder $\}$.

Let $\pi_{0}(T)$ denote the set of Riesz points of T (i.e., the set of $\lambda \in \mathrm{C}$ such that $\mathrm{T}-\lambda$ is Fredholm of finite ascent and descent [7]) and let $\pi_{00}(T)$ and iso $\sigma(T)$ denotes the set of eigen values of T of finite geometric multiplicity and isolated points of the spectrum. The operator $T \in B(H)$ is said to satisfy Browder's theorem if $\sigma(T) \backslash \sigma_{w}(T)=\pi_{0}(T)$ and T is said to satisfy Weyl's theorem if $\sigma(T) \backslash \sigma_{w}(T)=\pi_{00}(T)$. In [15], Weyl's theorem for T implies Browder's theorem for T , and Browder's theorem for T is equivalent to Browder's theorem for $\mathrm{T}^{*}$.

Berkani [5] has called an operatot $T \in B(X)$ as B - Fredholm if there exists a natural number n for which the induced operator $T_{n}: T^{n}(X) \rightarrow T^{n}(X)$ is Fredholm. We say that the $B$ - Fredholm operator $T$ has stable index if ind $(\mathrm{T}-\lambda)$ ind $(\mathrm{T}-\mu) \geq 0$ for every $\lambda, \mu$ in the $\mathrm{B}-$ Fredholm region of T .
$\alpha(T)-\beta(T)$
The essential spectrum $\sigma_{e}(T)$ of $T \in B(H)$ is the set $T \in B(H)=\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}: \mathrm{T}-\lambda$ is not Fredholm\}. Let acc $\sigma(T)$ denote the set of all accumulation points of $\sigma(T)$, then $\sigma_{e}(T) \subseteq \sigma_{w}(T) \subseteq \sigma_{b}(T) \subseteq \sigma_{e}(T) \bigcup$ acc $\sigma(T)$. Let $\pi_{a 0}(T)$ be the set of $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\lambda$ is an isolated point of $\sigma_{a}(T)$ and $0<\alpha(T-\lambda)<\infty$, where $\sigma_{a}(T)$ denotes the approximate point spectrum of the operator T . Then $\pi_{0}(T) \subseteq \pi_{00}(T) \subseteq \pi_{a 0}(T)$. We say that a - Weyl's theorem holds for T if $\sigma_{a w}(T)=\sigma_{a}(T) \backslash \pi_{a 0}(T)$, where $\sigma_{a w}(T)$ denotes the essential approximate point spectrum of T (i.e., $\sigma_{a w}(T)=\bigcap\left\{\sigma_{a}(T+K): K \in K(H)\right\}$ with $K(H)$ denoting the ideal of compact operators on H). Let $\Phi_{+}(H)=\{T \in B(H): \alpha(T)<\infty$ and $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{H})$ is closed $\}$ and $\Phi_{-}(H)=\{T \in B(H): \beta(T)<\infty\}$ denote the semigroup of upper semi Fredholm and lower semi Fredholm operators in $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{H})$ and let $\Phi_{+}^{-}(H)=$ $\left\{\mathrm{T} \in \Phi_{+}(H): \operatorname{ind}(\mathrm{T}) \leq 0\right\}$. Then $\sigma_{a w}(T)$ is the complement in C of all those $\lambda$ for which ( $\mathrm{T}-\lambda$ ) $\in \Phi_{+}^{-}(H)$ [19]. The concept of a - Weyl's theorem was introduced by Rakocvic [20]. The concept states that a - Weyl's theorem for $\mathrm{T} \Rightarrow$ Weyl's theorem for T , but the converse is generally false. Let $\sigma_{a b}(T)$ denote the Browder essential approximate point spectrum of T.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{a b}(T) & =\bigcap\left\{\sigma_{a}(T+K): T K=K T \text { and } K \in K(H)\right\} \\
& =\left\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}: \mathrm{T}-\lambda \notin \Phi_{+}^{-}(H) \text { or asc }(\mathrm{T}-\lambda)=\infty\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

then $\sigma_{a w}(T) \subseteq \sigma_{a b}(T)$. We say that $T$ satisfies a - Browder's theorem if $\sigma_{a b}(T)=\sigma_{a w}(T)$ [19].
An operator $T \in B(H)$ has the single valued extension property at $\lambda_{0} \in \mathbb{C}$, if for every open disc $\mathrm{D} \lambda_{0}$ centered at $\lambda_{0}$ the only analytic function $\mathrm{f}: \mathrm{D} \lambda_{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}$ which satisfies

$$
(\mathrm{T}-\lambda) \mathrm{f}(\lambda)=0 \text { for all } \lambda \in \mathrm{D} \lambda_{0}
$$

is the function $\mathrm{f} \equiv 0$. Trivially, every operator T has SVEP at points of the resolvent $\rho(T)=\mathrm{C} / \sigma(T)$; also T has SVEP at $\lambda \in$ iso $\sigma(T)$. We say that T has SVEP if it has SVEP at every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. In this paper, we prove that if $\left\{\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ is a sequence of operators in the class $(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{k})-Q$ or $(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{r})-\mathcal{A} \mathscr{P}$ which converges in the operator norm topology to an operator T in the same class, then the functions spectrum, Weyl spectrum, Browder spectrum and essential surjectivity spectrum are continuous at T. Note that if an operator T has finite ascent, then it has SVEP and $\alpha(T-\lambda) \leq \beta(T-\lambda)$ for all $\lambda$ [1, Theorem 3.8 and 3.4]. For a subset S of the set of complex numbers, let $\bar{S}=\{\bar{\lambda}: \lambda \in S\}$ where $\lambda$ denotes the complex number and $\vec{\lambda}$ denotes the conjugate.

## II. Main Results

Lemma 2.1 (i) If $\mathrm{T} \in(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{k})-Q$, then asc $(\mathrm{T}-\lambda) \leq \mathrm{k}$ for all $\lambda$.
(ii) If $\mathrm{T} \in(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{r})-\mathcal{A} \mathscr{P}$, then T has SVEP .

## Proof:

(i) Refer [13, Page 146] or [22]
(ii) Refer [21, Theorem 2.8].

Lemma 2.2 If $\mathrm{T} \in(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{k})-Q \bigcup(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{r})-\mathcal{A} \mathscr{P}$ and $\lambda \in$ iso $\sigma(T)$, then $\lambda$ is a pole of the resolvent of T . Proof: Refer [22, Theorem 6] and [21, Proposition 2.1].

Lemma 2.3 If $\mathrm{T} \in(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{k})-Q \bigcup(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{r})-\mathcal{A} \mathscr{P}$, then $\mathrm{T}^{*}$ satisfies a - Weyl's theorem.
Proof: If $\mathrm{T} \in(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{k})-Q$, the T has SVEP, which implies that $\sigma\left(T^{*}\right)=\sigma_{a}\left(T^{*}\right)$ by [1, Corollary 2.45]. Then T satisfies Weyl's theorem i.e., $\sigma(T) \backslash \sigma_{w}(T)=\pi_{0}(T)=\pi_{00}(T)$ by [13, Corollary 3.7]. Since $\pi_{00}(T)=$ $\overline{\pi_{00}\left(T^{*}\right)}=\overline{\pi_{a 0}\left(T^{*}\right)}, \sigma(T)=\overline{\sigma\left(T^{*}\right)}=\overline{\sigma_{a}\left(T^{*}\right)}$ and $\sigma_{w}(T)=\overline{\sigma_{w}\left(T^{*}\right)}=\overline{\sigma_{e a}\left(T^{*}\right)}$ by [3, Theorem 3.6(ii)], $\sigma_{a}\left(T^{*}\right) \backslash \sigma_{e a}\left(T^{*}\right)=\pi_{a 0}\left(T^{*}\right)$. Hence if $\mathrm{T} \in(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{k})-Q$, then $\mathrm{T}^{*}$ satisfies a - Weyl's theorem.

If $\mathrm{T} \in(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{r})-\mathcal{A} \mathscr{P}$, then by [21, Theorem 2.18], $\mathrm{T}^{*}$ satisfies a - Weyl's theorem.
Corollary 2.4 If $\mathrm{T} \in(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{k})-Q \cup(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{r})-\mathcal{A} P$ and $\lambda \in \sigma_{a}\left(T^{*}\right) \backslash \sigma_{e a}\left(T^{*}\right) \Rightarrow \lambda \in \operatorname{iso} \sigma_{a}\left(T^{*}\right)$.
Lemma 2.5 If $\mathrm{T} \in(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{k})-Q \cup(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{r})-\mathcal{A} \mathscr{P}$, then asc $(\mathrm{T}-\lambda)<\infty$ for all $\lambda$.
Proof: Since T - $\lambda$ is lower semi - Fredholm, it has SVEP. We know that from [1, Theorem 3.16] that SVEP implies finite ascent. Hence the proof.
Lemma 2.6 [6, Proposition 3.1] If $\sigma$ is continuous at a $\mathrm{T}^{*} \in \mathrm{~B}(\mathrm{H})$, then $\sigma$ is continuous at T.
Lemma 2.7 [12, Theorem 2.2] If an operator $T \in B(H)$ has SVEP at points $\lambda \notin \sigma_{w}(T)$, then
$\sigma$ is continuous at $\mathrm{T} \Leftrightarrow \sigma_{w}$ is continuous at $\mathrm{T} \Leftrightarrow \sigma_{b}$ is continuous at T .
Lemma 2.7 If $\left\{\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ is a sequence in ( $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{k}$ ) $-Q$ or ( $\left.\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{r}\right)-\mathcal{A} \mathscr{P}$ which converges in norm to T , then $\mathrm{T}^{*}$ is a point of continuity of $\sigma_{e a}$.
Proof: We have to prove that the function $\sigma_{e a}$ is both upper semi - continuous and lower semi - continuous at $\mathrm{T}^{*}$. But by [11, Theorem 2.1], we have that the function $\sigma_{e a}$ is upper semi - continuous at $\mathrm{T}^{*}$. So we have to
prove that $\sigma_{e a}$ is lower semi - continuous at $\mathrm{T}^{*}$ i.e., $\sigma_{e a}\left(\mathrm{~T}^{*}\right) \subset \lim \inf \sigma_{e a}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{*}\right)$. Assume the contradiction that $\sigma_{e a}$ is not lower semi - continuous at $\mathrm{T}^{*}$. Then there exists an $\varepsilon>0$, an integer $\mathrm{n}_{0}$, a $\lambda \in \sigma_{e a}\left(\mathrm{~T}^{*}\right)$ and an $\varepsilon$ - neighbourhood $(\lambda) \varepsilon$ of $\lambda$ such that $\sigma_{e a}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{*}\right) \bigcap(\lambda) \varepsilon=\phi$ for all $\mathrm{n} \geq \mathrm{n}_{0}$. Since $\lambda \notin \sigma_{e a}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{*}\right)$ for all $\mathrm{n} \geq \mathrm{n}_{0}$ implies $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{*}-\lambda \in \Phi_{+}^{-}(H)$ for all $\mathrm{n} \geq \mathrm{n}_{0}$, the following implications holds:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{ind}\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{*}-\lambda\right) \leq 0, \alpha\left(\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{*}-\lambda\right)<\infty \text { and }\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{*}-\lambda\right) \mathrm{H} \text { is closed } \\
& \Rightarrow \operatorname{ind}\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{n}}-\bar{\lambda}\right) \geq 0, \beta\left(\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{n}}-\bar{\lambda}\right)<\infty \\
& \Rightarrow \operatorname{ind}\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{n}}-\bar{\lambda}\right)=0, \alpha\left(\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{n}}-\bar{\lambda}\right)<\beta\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{n}}-\bar{\lambda}\right)<\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

(Since $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{n}} \in(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{k})-Q \bigcup(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{r})-\mathcal{A} \mathscr{P} \Rightarrow \operatorname{ind}\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{n}}-\bar{\lambda}\right) \leq 0$ by Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.5 ).
for all $n \geq n_{0}$. The continuity of the index implies that ind $(T-\bar{\lambda})=\lim _{n} \rightarrow \infty$ ind $\left(T_{n}-\bar{\lambda}\right)=0$, and hence that $(\mathrm{T}-\bar{\lambda})$ is Fredholm with ind $(\mathrm{T}-\bar{\lambda})=0$. But then $\mathrm{T}^{*}-\lambda$ is Fredholm with ind $\left(\mathrm{T}^{*}-\lambda\right)=0 \Rightarrow \mathrm{~T}^{*}-\lambda$ $\in \Phi_{+}^{-}(H)$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $\sigma_{e a}$ is lower semi - continuous at $\mathrm{T}^{*}$. Hence the proof.

Theorem 2.9 If $\left\{\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ is a sequence in $(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{k})-Q$ or $(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{r})-\mathcal{A} \mathscr{P}$ which converges in norm to T , then $\sigma$ is continuous at T.
Proof: Since T has SVEP by Lemma 2.1, $\sigma\left(T^{*}\right)=\sigma_{a}\left(T^{*}\right)$. Evidently, it is enough if we prove that $\sigma_{a}\left(T^{*}\right)$ $\subset \lim \inf \sigma_{a}\left(T_{n}^{*}\right)$ for every sequence $\left\{\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ of operators in $(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{k})-\mathcal{Q}$ or $(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{r})-\mathcal{A} \mathscr{P}$ such that $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{n}}$ converges in norm to $T$. Let $\lambda \in \sigma_{a}\left(\mathrm{~T}^{*}\right)$. Then either $\lambda \in \sigma_{e a}\left(\mathrm{~T}^{*}\right)$ or $\lambda \in \sigma_{a}\left(\mathrm{~T}^{*}\right) \backslash \sigma_{e a}\left(\mathrm{~T}^{*}\right)$.

If $\lambda \in \sigma_{e a}\left(\mathrm{~T}^{*}\right)$, then proof follows, since

$$
\sigma_{e a}\left(\mathrm{~T}^{*}\right) \subset \lim \inf \sigma_{e a}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{n}}^{*}\right) \subset \lim \inf \sigma_{a}\left(T_{n}^{*}\right)
$$

If $\lambda \in \sigma_{a}\left(\mathrm{~T}^{*}\right) \backslash \sigma_{e a}\left(\mathrm{~T}^{*}\right)$, then $\lambda \in$ iso $\sigma_{a}\left(\mathrm{~T}^{*}\right)$ by Corollary 2.4. Consequently, $\lambda \in \lim \inf$ $\sigma_{a}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{*}\right)$ i.e., $\lambda \in \lim \inf \sigma\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{*}\right)$ for all n by [16, Theorem IV. 3.16], and there exists a sequence $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}, \lambda_{n}$ $\in \sigma_{a}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{*}\right)$, such that $\lambda_{n}$ converges to $\lambda$. Evidently $\lambda \in \lim \inf \sigma_{a}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{*}\right)$. Hence $\lambda \in \lim \inf \sigma_{a}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{*}\right)$. Now by applying Lemma 2.6 , we obtain the result.

Corollary 2.10 If $\left\{\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ is a sequence in $(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{k})-Q$ or $(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{r})-\mathcal{A} \mathscr{P}$ which converges in norm to T , then $\sigma$, $\sigma_{w}$ and $\sigma_{b}$ are continuous at T .
Proof: Combining Theorem 2.9 with Lemma 2.7 and Lemma 2.8, we obtain the results.

Let $\sigma_{s}(T)=\{\lambda: \mathrm{T}-\lambda$ is not surjective $\}$ denote the surjectivity spectrum of T and let $\Phi_{+}^{-}(H)=$ $\left\{\lambda: \mathrm{T}-\lambda \in \Phi_{-}(H)\right.$, ind $\left.(\mathrm{T}-\lambda) \geq 0\right\}$. Then the essential surjectivity spectrum of T is the set $\sigma_{e s}(T)=$ $\left\{\lambda: \mathrm{T}-\lambda \notin \Phi_{+}^{-}(H)\right\}$.

Corollary 2.11 If $\left\{\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ is a sequence in $(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{k})-Q$ or $(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{r})-\mathcal{A} \mathbb{P}$ which converges in norm to T , then $\sigma_{e s}(T)$ is continuous at T.
Proof: Since T has SVEP by Lemma 2.1, $\sigma_{e s}(T)=\sigma_{e a}\left(T^{*}\right)$ by [1, Theorem 3.65 (ii)]. Then by applying Lemma 2.8 , we obtain the result.

Let $\mathrm{K} \subset \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{H})$ denote the ideal of compact operators, $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{H}) / \mathrm{K}$ the Calkin algebra and let $\pi: \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{H})$ $\rightarrow \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{H}) / \mathrm{K}$ denote the quotient map. Then $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{H}) / \mathrm{K}$ being a $\mathrm{C}^{*}$ - algebra, there exists a Hilbert space $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ and an
isometric * - isomorphism $v: \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{H}) / \mathrm{K} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)$ such that the essential spectrum $\sigma_{e}(T)=\sigma(\pi(T))$ of T $\in \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{H})$ is the spectrum of $v \circ \pi(T)\left(\in \mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)\right)$. In general, $\sigma_{e}(T)$ is not a continuous function of T.

Corollary 2.12 If $\left\{\pi\left(T_{n}\right)\right\}$ is a sequence in ( $\left.\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{k}\right)-Q$ or $(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{r})-\mathcal{A} \mathscr{P}$ which converges in norm to $\pi(T)$, then $\sigma_{e}(T)$ is continuous at T .
Proof: If $T_{n} \in \mathrm{~B}(\mathrm{H})$ is essentially $(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{k})-Q$ or $(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{r})-\mathcal{A} \mathscr{P}$, i.e., if $\pi\left(T_{n}\right) \in(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{k})-Q$ or $(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{r})-\mathcal{A} \mathscr{P}$, and the sequence $\left\{\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ converges in norm to T , then $v \circ \pi(T)\left(\in \mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)\right)$ is a point of continuity of $\sigma$ by Theorem 2.9. Hence $\sigma_{e}$ is continuous at T, since $\sigma_{e}(T)=\sigma(\nu \circ \pi(T))$.

Let $\mathrm{H}(\sigma(\mathrm{T}))$ denote the set of functions f that are non - constant and analytic on a neighbourhood of $\sigma(\mathrm{T})$.

Lemma 2.13 Let $T \in B(X)$ be an invertible (p, r) - $\mathcal{A} \mathscr{P}$ and let $\mathrm{f} \in \mathrm{H}(\sigma(\mathrm{T}))$. Then $\sigma_{b w}(f(T)) \subset f\left(\sigma_{b w}(T)\right)$, and if the $\mathrm{B} \quad$ - Fredholm operator T has stable index, then $\sigma_{b w}(f(T))=f\left(\sigma_{b w}(T)\right)$.
Proof: Let $T \in B(X)$ be an invertible ( $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{r})-\mathcal{A} \mathscr{P}$, let $\mathrm{f} \in \mathrm{H}(\sigma(\mathrm{T})$ ), and let $\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{T})$ be an invertible function such that $f(\mu)-\lambda=\left(\mu-\alpha_{1}\right) \ldots \ldots\left(\mu-\alpha_{n}\right) g(\mu)$. If $\lambda \notin f\left(\sigma_{b w}(T)\right)$, then $f(T)-\lambda=\left(T-\alpha_{1}\right) \ldots \ldots\left(T-\alpha_{n}\right) g(T)$ and $\alpha_{i} \notin \sigma_{b w}(T), \mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots .$, n. Consequently, $\mathrm{T}-\alpha_{i}$ is a B Fredholm operator of zero index for all $\mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots ., \mathrm{n}$, which, by [5, Theorem 3.2], implies that $f(T)-\lambda$ is a B - Fredholm operator of zero index. Hence, $\lambda \notin \sigma_{b w}(f(T))$.

Suppose now that T has stable index, and that $\lambda \notin \sigma_{b w}(f(T))$. Then, $f(T)-\lambda=\left(T-\alpha_{1}\right) \ldots \ldots\left(T-\alpha_{n}\right) g(T)$ is a B - Fredholm operator of zero index. Hence, by [4, Corollary 3.3], the operator $\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{T})$ and $\mathrm{T}-\alpha_{i}, \mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots ., \mathrm{n}$, are $\mathrm{B}-$ Fredholm and

$$
0=\operatorname{ind}(\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{~T})-\lambda)=\operatorname{ind}\left(T-\alpha_{1}\right)+\ldots .+\operatorname{ind}\left(T-\alpha_{n}\right)+\operatorname{ind} \mathrm{g}(\mathrm{~T}) .
$$

Since $\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{T})$ is an invertible operator, ind $(\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{T}))=0$; also ind $\left(T-\alpha_{i}\right.$ ) has the same sign for all $\mathrm{i}=1$, $2, \ldots, \mathrm{n}$. Thus ind $\left(T-\alpha_{i}\right)=0$, which implies that $\alpha_{i} \notin \sigma_{b w}(T)$ for all $\mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots ., \mathrm{n}$, and hence $\lambda \notin f\left(\sigma_{b w}(T)\right)$.

Lemma 2.14 Let $T \in B(X)$ be an invertible ( $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{r})-\mathcal{A} \mathscr{P}$ has SVEP, then ind $(\mathrm{T}-\lambda) \leq 0$ for every $\lambda \in$ C such that $\mathrm{T}-\lambda$ is $\mathrm{B}-$ Fredholm.

Proof: Since Thas SVEP by [21, Theorem 2.8]. Then $\left.T\right|_{M}$ has SVEP for every invariant subspaces $\mathrm{M} \subset \mathrm{X}$ of T. From [4, Theorem 2.7], we know that if $\mathrm{T}-\lambda$ is a B Fredholm operator, then there exist $\mathrm{T}-\lambda$ invariant closed subspaces M and N of X such that $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{M} \oplus \mathrm{N},\left.(\mathrm{T}-\lambda)\right|_{\mathrm{m}}$ is a Fredholm operator with SVEP and (T$\lambda)\left.\right|_{N}$ is a Nilpotent operator. Since ind $\left.\left.(T-\lambda)\right|_{M}\right\} \leq 0$ by [18, Proposition 2.2], it follows that ind $(T-\lambda) \leq$ 0 .
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