Transient State Finite Element Model to Analyse Thermal Variations in Peripheral Region of Human Limb undergoing Healing after Surgery
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Abstract: The temperature distribution in human tissues is significantly affected by the introduction of an abnormality. The study of temperature distribution in different layers of peripheral tissue is intended to contribute in the diagnosis of many disorders in various organs. In this paper, an axisymmetric one dimensional model has been developed to study transient state temperature distribution in different layers of normal and post-surgery peripheral (abnormal) tissues of human limb. Taking into account the variable physiological parameters in dermal layer of peripheral region, the well known Pennes’ bio heat equation is used to analyse and compare the time-dependent temperature distribution of normal and abnormal tissues using finite element technique. A computer program in MATLAB has been developed to simulate the results.

Keywords: Crank-Nicolson method, Finite element technique, Healing process, Surgery.

I. Introduction

Internal body or core temperature is one of the four clinical vital signs along with pulse rate, blood pressure and respiratory rates. Any variation in its normal range indicates the presence of an abnormal metabolism in the body. Also enzymes, essential for metabolism, work properly in between 20-37 °C body temperature [1, 2]. Thus it becomes important to study thermal variations in order to develop a mechanism for establishing the limits of thermal regulation in human body during the healing process.

Pennes [3] in 1948 first gave the well known Pennes’ Bio-Heat equation to study heat transfer in perfused tissue. He assumed that all heat transfer between the tissue and the blood occurs in the capillaries and neglected the local effects of thermally significant blood vessels appearing in the domain under consideration[4]. He also assumed a constant rate blood perfusion of the form “V.s” where V and s are respectively the perfusion rate per unit volume of tissues and the density of the blood. Since then it has been used by many researchers and scientists for analysis in their respective areas of research. Perl [5] in 1962 used it to illustrate heat distribution and tissue blood flow in body tissues by analyzing existing steady state and transient data obtained by Gibb’s thermo electric probe method. Arya [6] studied temperature distribution in skin and subcutaneous region under radiation and evaporation conditions. Saxena and Bindra [7] applied finite element approach to study heat distribution in cutaneous and subcutaneous tissues. Pardasani and Shakya [8, 9] studied temperature distribution in human dermal regions due to tumours. Adams [10, 11] modelled healing times in different tissues by considering different factors like size and shape of the wound and the effect of growth factors on wound healing. Gaffney [12] investigated a model of cutaneous wound healing angiogenesis. Maggelakis [13] developed a mathematical model of tissue replacement during epidermal wound healing by incorporating the effect of oxygen concentration at the wound site. Gurung [14] dealt with thermo-regulation in human dermal part in a cold atmosphere with significant air flow. Jain and Shakya [1, 15] studied temperature variation during wound healing process due to plastic surgery using finite element discretisation of the domain in Cartesian coordinates. A few scientists have studied thermal variations in surgical wound during healing after surgery.

In this paper, we have developed an axisymmetric one dimensional finite element model for transient state temperature distribution in normal and abnormal peripheral tissues of human limb using linear interpolation function in polar coordinates. Normal tissues are characterised by the normal values of physiological parameters like blood perfusion rate and metabolic heat generation rate [1]. These parameters have been assumed to be space dependent in dermis in normal tissues. Abnormal tissues are the tissues with disturbed values of physiological parameters due to incision and removal of tissues and blood vessels. The physiological parameters in abnormal tissues attain their normal values in due course of time. This is shown by incorporating an empirical function of time factor in the expressions of parameters in case of abnormal tissues [16]. A comparison between the temperature profiles of normal and abnormal tissues has been done at different atmospheric temperature and evaporation rates.
II. Model Descriptions

Nomenclature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$c_b, c_e$</td>
<td>Unknown constants used for finding expression for $T$ in an element</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E$</td>
<td>Rate of sweat evaporation in gm/cm$^2$/min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$e$</td>
<td>$e^b$ element</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G$</td>
<td>Globalisation matrix for $e^b$ element</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k$</td>
<td>Heat transfer coefficient due to conduction and convection in Cal/cm$^2$/min$^0$C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_1, K_2, K_3$</td>
<td>Thermal conductivity of subcutaneous tissues, dermis and epidermis respectively in Cal/cm$^2$/min$^0$C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L$</td>
<td>Latent heat of evaporation in Cal/gm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_1, M_2, M_3$</td>
<td>Rate of heat transfer per unit volume per unit difference in temperature in subcutaneous tissues, dermis and epidermis respectively in Cal/cm$^3$/min$^0$C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N$</td>
<td>Interpolation function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n$</td>
<td>Normal to skin surface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R$</td>
<td>Spatial coordinate originating from the centre of the limb in cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r$</td>
<td>Thickness of peripheral tissues in cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathbf{T}$</td>
<td>Local spatial coordinate in cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r_{12}, r_{13}$</td>
<td>Spatial coordinate of skin core and skin surface respectively starting from core in cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_1, S_2, S_3$</td>
<td>Metabolic heat generation rate in subcutaneous tissues, dermis and epidermis respectively in Cal/cm$^3$/min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T$</td>
<td>Unknown temperature of tissue in $^0$C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_a, T_b$</td>
<td>Atmospheric temperature and arterial blood temperature in $^0$C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathbf{T}^{(e)}$</td>
<td>Nodal temperature matrix of $e^b$ element</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathbf{T}^{o}$</td>
<td>Global nodal temperature matrix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t$</td>
<td>Time in min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Omega$</td>
<td>Domain of the $e^b$ element</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\theta_{0(e)}, \theta_{IN(e)}, \gamma_{IN(e)}$</td>
<td>Unknown constants used to find linear expression for $K, M$ and $S$ respectively in dermis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\zeta(0, \psi(t))$</td>
<td>Time factor in $S$ and $M$ respectively in abnormal tissues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho$</td>
<td>Density of tissue in gm/cm$^3$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.1 Biological background

Skin is naturally divided into three layers: epidermis, dermis and subcutaneous tissues. Epidermis is the outermost part of the skin and contains negligible density of blood vessels. In dermis the density of blood vessels gradually increase from the interface of epidermis and dermis till the interface of dermis and subcutaneous tissues and becomes almost constant in subcutaneous tissues [1, 6-8, 14, 17-19]. Considering this anatomy of blood vessels in normal as well as post operated peripheral tissues, the values of physical and physiological parameters like thermal conductivity ($K$), volumetric blood mass flow rate ($M$) and rate of metabolism ($S$) have been assumed dependent on spatial coordinate in dermis and independent of angular coordinate ($\theta$).

During surgery a cut is made into the skin tissue which results in vascular injury. Surgery also results in removal of some tissues and loss of blood. Immediately after incidence of the injury, the process of wound healing starts with the onset of haemostasis [20]. As a result the normal values of the parameters: blood perfusion rate, metabolic heat generation rate are disturbed. They resume their normal values in due course of time. The resumption of normal values by the parameters is achieved by incorporating a temporal factor in the expression for these parameters [1, 15].

The core temperature of the limb is assumed to be at a constant known temperature. The outer surface is exposed to the environment and an appropriate boundary condition due to heat loss at the outer surface has been incorporated.

2.2 Mathematical Formulation

The domain under consideration (shaded region in Fig 1(a)) for the study is the peripheral tissues of human limb ($R_2 \leq R \leq R_1$, $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$), where $R$ and $\theta$ are polar coordinates. Since the domain, boundary conditions and tissue properties are identical with respect to angular coordinate (i.e. independent of $\theta$), the two-dimensional problem is reduced to an analogous one-dimensional axisymmetric problem [21] in spatial coordinate $R$. Pennes’ bio-heat equation [3] for one dimensional axisymmetric transient state case takes the form:

$$K \left[ \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial R^2} + \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial T}{\partial R} \right] + M (T_b - T) + S = \rho c \frac{\partial T}{\partial t}$$

where $M = m_b c_b$.
Here $K, S, m_b, c_b, \rho, c, T, T_b$, and $R (= R_i + r)$, where $R_i$ and $r$ are the spatial coordinate of core and the thickness of the peripheral tissues respectively) are thermal conductivity, metabolic heat generation rate, blood mass flow rate per unit volume, blood specific heat, tissue density, tissue specific heat, tissue temperature, arterial blood temperature and spatial coordinate respectively. The inner core of the human limb is at a constant temperature and hence:

$$T(R_1, t) = T_b \quad \forall \quad t \geq 0 \quad (2)$$

The outer surface of skin is exposed to the atmosphere and heat is lost from this surface through convection, radiation and evaporation. This boundary condition is formulated as:

$$-K \frac{\partial T}{\partial n} \bigg|_{at \, surface} = h(T - T_a) + LE \quad \forall \quad t > 0 \quad (3)$$

Here $h, T_a, L$ and $\frac{\partial T}{\partial n}$ are heat transfer coefficient, atmospheric temperature, latent heat of vaporisation, rate of sweat evaporation and partial derivative of unknown tissue temperature along the normal direction to the skin surface. It is assumed that the outer surface of the human limb is insulated initially [1, 8] and is at core body temperature $T_b$ at time $t=0$. Hence the initial condition is given by

$$T(R, 0) = T_b \quad (4)$$

2.3 Variational formulation

The variational formulation [22] of (1-3) is given by:

---

**Fig.1.** (a) Annular shaped domain of peripheral tissues (b) Discretised domain for analogous axisymmetric one dimensional problem with 11 elements and 12 nodes (c) $e^{th}$ element with two nodes
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\[ I = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \left[ K \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} \right)^2 + M \left( T_b - T \right)^2 - 2ST + \rho c \left( \frac{\partial (T^2)}{\partial t} \right) \right] (R_i + r) \, dr \]
\[ + \left[ \left( R_i + r \right) / 2 \left( h \left( T - T_u \right)^2 + 2LET \right) \right] \bigg|_{r=r_{\text{surface}}} \]

where \( R = R_i + r, \) \( 0 \leq r \leq R_{12} - R_i \) and \( \Omega \) is the complete domain under consideration.

III. Use of finite element method

3.1 Discretisation of Domain

The region under consideration is discretised into eleven elements and 12 nodes (Fig. 1(b)). Length of each element is 0.1 cm. The discretised form of (5) is given by:

\[ I^{(e)} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega^{(e)}} \left[ K^{(e)} \left( \frac{\partial T^{(e)}}{\partial \bar{r}} \right)^2 + M^{(e)} \left( T_b - T^{(e)} \right)^2 - 2S^{(e)}T^{(e)} + \rho c \left( \frac{\partial (T^{(e)}^2)}{\partial t} \right) \right] \left( \bar{r}_0 + \bar{r} \right) \, d\bar{r} \]
\[ + \lambda^{(e)} \left( R_i + \bar{r}_j \right) / 2 \left[ h \left( T^{(e)} - T_u \right)^2 + 2LET^{(e)} \right] \bigg|_{r=r_j} \]

Here \( \bar{r} \) is the local radial coordinate and \( \Omega^{(e)} \) is the domain of \( e^{th} \) element (Fig 1 (c)):

\[ \lambda^{(e)} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } e = 11 \\ 0, & \text{other elements} \end{cases} \]

In this model, the physical and physiological parameters have been assumed as given below.

3.1.1 for normal tissues

In epidermis and subcutaneous tissues thermal conductivity, blood perfusion rate and metabolic heat generation rate have been assumed to have constant values. As mentioned above (section 2.1) these parameters have been considered as space dependent in dermis. They have been approximated by Lagrange’s linear interpolation formula in dermis:

\[ K^e(r) = \sum_{i=0}^{1} \alpha^e r^i, \quad M^e(r) = \sum_{i=0}^{1} \beta^e r^i, \quad S^e(r) = \sum_{i=0}^{1} \gamma^e r^i \]

3.1.2 for abnormal tissues

In this paper, we have assumed that at time \( t=0 \), abnormal tissues have negligible blood perfusion rate due to the effect of vasoconstriction of blood vessels and platelet plug formation in the traumatised blood vessels [23]. Also metabolic heat generation rate is assumed to be below normal due to removal of blood and tissues due to surgery. With progression of time these parameters attain their normal values [1, 15]:

\[ K^e(r,t) = K^e(r), \quad M^e(r,t) = \psi(t) \sum_{i=0}^{1} \beta^e r^i, \quad S^e(r,t) = \zeta(t) \sum_{i=0}^{1} \gamma^e r^i \]

Here

\[ \psi(t) = (\eta_0^{(e)} + \eta_1^{(e)} e^{-\gamma t}) \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta(t) = (\psi_0^{(e)} + \psi_1^{(e)} e^{-\gamma t}) \]

Where \( \eta_0^{(e)}, \eta_1^{(e)}, \psi_0^{(e)}, \psi_1^{(e)} \) are unknown constants and are to be found out. The values of \( \psi \) and \( \zeta \) [1, 15] are assumed for abnormal tissues as:

\[ \psi(0) = 0, \quad \psi(\infty) = 1, \quad \zeta(0) = 1/20, \quad \zeta(\infty) = 1 \]

There is no blood flow and metabolic heat generation in the epidermis. Thus the values of \( \alpha_0^{(e)}, \alpha_1^{(e)}, \beta_0^{(e)}, \beta_1^{(e)}, \gamma_0^{(e)} \) and \( \gamma_1^{(e)} \) are taken as given below.

1) Subcutaneous Tissues (\( e = 1(1)5 \))

\[ \alpha_0^{(e)} = K_1, \quad \alpha_1^{(e)} = 0; \quad \beta_0^{(e)} = M_1, \quad \beta_1^{(e)} = 0; \quad \gamma_0^{(e)} = S_1, \quad \gamma_1^{(e)} = 0 \]
2) Dermis (e = 6(1))/(9)
\[
\alpha_0^{(e)} = \left( \frac{K_3 - K_3}{(r_s - r_s)} \right), \quad \alpha_1^{(e)} = \left( \frac{K_3 - K_3}{(r_s - r_s)} \right);
\beta_0^{(e)} = \left( \frac{M_s - M_s}{(r_s - r_s)} \right), \quad \beta_1^{(e)} = \left( \frac{M_s - M_s}{(r_s - r_s)} \right)
\]
(13)

\[
\chi_0^{(e)} = \left( \frac{S_s - S_s}{(r_s - r_s)} \right), \quad \chi_1^{(e)} = \left( \frac{S_s - S_s}{(r_s - r_s)} \right), M_s = S_s = 0, M = M, S = S
\]

3) Epidermis (e = 11, 12)
\[
\alpha_0^{(e)} = K_3, \quad \alpha_1^{(e)} = 0; \quad \beta_0^{(e)} = 0, \quad \beta_1^{(e)} = 0; \quad \chi_0^{(e)} = 0, \quad \chi_1^{(e)} = 0
\]
(14)

3.2 Interpolation function

We have chosen the following linear shape function for the variation of temperature within each element:
\[
T^{(e)} = C_1^{(e)} + C_2^{(e)} \bar{\tau} = [N^{(e)}(\bar{\tau})] \overrightarrow{T}^{(e)}
\]
(15)

Here \(\bar{\tau}\) is the local space coordinate. \(C_1^{(e)}\) and \(C_2^{(e)}\) are constants for the \(e^{th}\) element and are determined using conditions (Fig. 1(c)). At \(s^{th}\) node:
\[
T_s^{(e)} = C_1^{(e)} + C_2^{(e)} \bar{\tau}_s, \quad s = i \text{ and } j
\]
(16)

Thus:
\[
[N^{(e)}(\bar{\tau})] = [N_i^{(e)}(\bar{\tau}) N_j^{(e)}(\bar{\tau})], \quad \overrightarrow{T}^{(e)} = \begin{bmatrix} T_i & T_j \end{bmatrix}^T
\]
(17)

Here \(i\) and \(j\) are the local node numbers and \(\overrightarrow{T}^{(e)}\) is the nodal temperature matrix of \(e^{th}\) element. The interpolation functions, thus, obtained are:
\[
N_i^{(e)}(\bar{\tau}) = (1 - \bar{\tau} / a), \quad N_j^{(e)}(\bar{\tau}) = \bar{\tau} / a
\]
(18)

Where:
\[
a = \bar{\tau}_j^{(e)} - \bar{\tau}_i^{(e)}
\]
(19)

Using the above expressions for physical and physiological parameters (8-14) and expression for temperature variation (15) in (6), integral \(I^{(e)}\) is evaluated and differentiated with respect to each nodal temperature of the element, and is expressed in matrix form as:
\[
\frac{dI^{(e)}}{dT} = [A_1^{(e)}][\overrightarrow{T}^{(e)}] - [A_2^{(e)}] + [A_3^{(e)}][\overrightarrow{T}^{(e)}] + [A_4^{(e)}] \left( \frac{\partial \overrightarrow{T}^{(e)}}{\partial t} \right) + [A_5^{(e)}][\overrightarrow{T}^{(e)}] + [A_6^{(e)}]
\]
(20)

Where:
\[
\frac{dI^{(e)}}{d\overrightarrow{T}^{(e)}} = \begin{bmatrix} \partial I^{(e)}/\partial T_i^{(e)} & \partial I^{(e)}/\partial T_j^{(e)} \end{bmatrix}^T
\]
(21)

Here in (20) matrices \([A_1^{(e)}]_{2\times 2}, [A_2^{(e)}]_{2\times 2}, [A_3^{(e)}]_{2\times 2}, [A_4^{(e)}]_{2\times 2}\) are functions of nodal temperatures \(T_i^{(e)}\) and \(T_j^{(e)}\) of the \(e^{th}\) element and \([A_5^{(e)}]_{2\times 1}, [A_6^{(e)}]_{2\times 1}\) are functions of \(T_j^{(e)}\) only of the surface element.

3.3 Assembly of elements

Using above assumptions and expressions, the integrals \(I^{(e)}\) are evaluated and assembled as:
\[
I = \sum_{e=1}^{11} I^{(e)}
\]
(22)

Using (20) and (22) for globalisation, we are left with:
Transient State Finite Element Model to Analyse Thermal Variations in Peripheral Region of Human

\[ \frac{dI}{dT} = \sum_{e=1}^{11} G^{(e)} \left( \frac{dI^{(e)}}{dT^{(e)}} \right) \]  

(23)

Where:

\[ G^{(e)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{12x2} \]

\[ i^{th} \text{ row} \]

\[ f^{th} \text{ row} \]

\[ \frac{dI}{dT} = \left[ \frac{\partial I}{\partial T_1} \\ \frac{\partial I}{\partial T_2} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial I}{\partial T_{12}} \right]_{12x1} \]

\[ \bar{T} = \begin{bmatrix} T_1 \\ T_2 \\ \vdots \\ T_{12} \end{bmatrix} \]

(24)

On using eqn. (23) to minimise \( I \) we are left with a system of linear simultaneous ordinary differential equations:

\[ [P]_{12x12} \left[ \frac{d\bar{T}}{dt} \right]_{12x1} + [Q]_{12x12} \bar{T} = [U]_{12x1} \]

(25)

Where:

\[ P = G^{(e)} \left[ A_1^{(e)} \right] G^{(e)T}, Q = G^{(e)} \left[ A_1^{(e)} + A_3^{(e)} + A_5^{(e)} \right] G^{(e)T}, U = G^{(e)} \left[ A_4^{(e)} - A_6^{(e)} \right] \]

(26)

Crank Nicolson Technique has been employed to solve the system of equations (25) along with initial condition (4) to obtain the temperature profile at each node for progressive time. A computer program has been developed in MATLAB to simulate the results.

IV. Numerical results and discussions

The numerical calculations have been made for three cases of atmospheric temperatures. The values of physical and physiological parameters taken \([1, 9, 15, 18, 24]\) to obtain the numerical results are given in TABLE 1 and 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( K_1 )</td>
<td>0.060 cal/cm/min/°C</td>
<td>( S_1 )</td>
<td>variable</td>
<td>( h )</td>
<td>0.009 cal/cm/min/°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( K_2 )</td>
<td>variable</td>
<td>( S_2 )</td>
<td>0.0 cal/cm³/min</td>
<td>( c )</td>
<td>0.830 cal/gm/°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( K_3 )</td>
<td>0.030 cal/cm/min/°C</td>
<td>( T_b )</td>
<td>37°C</td>
<td>( \eta )</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( M_1 )</td>
<td>variable</td>
<td>( \rho )</td>
<td>1.090 gm/cm³</td>
<td>( \nu )</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( M_2 )</td>
<td>0.0 cal/cm³/°C</td>
<td>( L )</td>
<td>579.0 cal/gm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Table 1 Values of physical and physiological parameters |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( T_a )</td>
<td>15°C</td>
<td>( M_1 = M = (m_nS) )</td>
<td>(cal/cm³/min)</td>
<td>( S_1 = S )</td>
<td>(cal/cm²/min)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.0357</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.0, 0.00024, 0.00048</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>0.0315</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.00024, 0.00048, 0.00072</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The space coordinate \( r_i \) of the nodes \((i = 1(1)12)\) have been assigned the values 0.0(0.1)1.1 in cm respectively.

Here in Fig 2 nodal temperature versus time graph has been plotted for \( T_a = 15°C \) for both, normal and abnormal tissues. Fig 3 (a-c) and Fig 4 (a-c) show temperature profiles for \( T_a = 23°C \) and 33°C at different rates of evaporation. For this purpose four nodes chosen are: one at core of the skin \( (T_1) \), one at the interface of subcutaneous and dermis \( (T_3) \), one at the interface of dermis and epidermis \( (T_{10}) \) and one at the surface of the skin \( (T_{12}) \). Fig 5 (a-c) are the graphs comparing temperature profile of the skin surface against time for different atmospheric temperatures at fixed rate of sweat evaporation \( E = 0.0, 0.00024 \) and 0.00048 gm/cm²/min respectively.
Fig. 2. Graph between nodal temperatures and time for $T_a = 15^\circ C$, $E=0.0 \text{ gm/cm}^2/\text{min}$ and $\eta = \nu = 0.01$.

Fig. 3. Graph between nodal temperatures and time for $T_a = 23^\circ C$, $\eta = \nu = 0.01$ and (a) $E=0.0 \text{ gm/cm}^2/\text{min}$, (b) $E=0.00024 \text{ gm/cm}^2/\text{min}$ and (c) $E=0.00048 \text{ gm/cm}^2/\text{min}$.

Fig. 4. Graph between nodal temperature and time for $T_a = 33^\circ C$, $\eta = \nu = 0.01$ and (a) $E=0.00024 \text{ gm/cm}^2/\text{min}$, (b) $E=0.00048 \text{ gm/cm}^2/\text{min}$ and (c) $E=0.00072 \text{ gm/cm}^2/\text{min}$.
Fig 2-4 shows that at the skin core, nodal temperatures are at a constant value of 37 °C as assumed. With the increase in time the temperature decreases very fast for first 10 minutes and 20 minutes for normal and abnormal tissues respectively. In normal tissues this is due to the fact that as soon as skin surface comes in contact with the atmosphere which is at lower temperature, heat is lost from the tissues through the physical phenomenon of conduction and radiation. Also at higher peripheral tissue temperature (as assumed by the initial condition that the tissues are insulated and thus are at 37°C), the sweat glands become active and sweat is produced. This sweat is evaporated by utilising the heat of peripheral tissues and thus heat is lost in the form of latent heat of vaporisation by the tissues through the process of evaporation. It is observed that this fall in temperature is higher in abnormal tissues than that in the normal ones. This further decrease in temperature in abnormal tissues can be accounted for by the fact that as soon as incision is made in the tissue, blood perfusion rate is eventually stopped in the wounded area due to vasoconstriction in the severed blood vessel due to vascular spasm of the smooth muscle in vessel wall followed by formation of platelet plug to stop bleeding [23]. The blue curves in these graphs give us an idea about the magnitude of the thermal effect of surgical wound on temperature profiles in the skin layers. Results also show that, abnormal tissue takes more time to attain steady state temperature than that of normal tissue. For normal tissues, the temperature becomes almost steady after 20 minutes and results match with [1, 17]. In case of abnormal tissues, after attaining the minimum value, the temperature begins to rise for about 120 - 250 minutes without and with different rates of evaporation (fig. 3-4). This is due to the gradual increase in blood perfusion rate in the surgical wound from surrounding blood vessels and increase in metabolic generation heat because of the complex process of biochemical reactions taking place to accomplish wound healing in the operated tissues [23]. The net effect is that the abnormal tissue temperature falls further for a few minutes and then rise as wound healing progresses with time. The delay in resumption of steady state temperature profiles by abnormal tissues can be validated on the basis of experimental findings of Gannon [25].

Fig.5. Graph comparing temperature profile of the node 12 against time for different atmospheric temperatures at fixed rate of sweat evaporation (a) E = 0.0 gm/cm²/min, (b) E = 0.00024 gm/cm²/min, (c) E = 0.00048 gm/cm²/min and η = ν = 0.01
In all the graphs, the fall in tissue temperature is more at the skin surface in comparison to the interior tissues because more heat is lost at the surface due to convection, radiation and evaporation.

For the same rates of evaporation (Fig. 5(a-c)), the decline in tissue temperature is more at lower atmospheric temperature than that at higher atmospheric temperature and the steady state temperature of the tissue at lower atmospheric temperature is less than that with the higher atmospheric temperature. This is because the temperature gradient at the skin surface is more at lower atmospheric temperature than that at higher atmospheric temperature and amount of heat transfer is directly proportional to temperature gradient. But with the slight increase in evaporation rate at higher atmospheric temperature, the temperature profiles become closer in spite of considerable amount of difference in atmospheric temperature. For example, it is observed that the temperature profiles for $T_a = 15^\circ C$ and $E = 0.0$ gm/cm²/min (Fig 2) and $T_a = 33^\circ C$, $E = 0.00024$ gm/cm²/min (Fig 4 (a)) are close in spite of a difference of $18^\circ C$ in atmospheric temperature and a small rate of sweat evaporation at higher temperature.

V. Conclusions

We have analysed temperature profiles of normal as well as abnormal peripheral tissues of human limb using finite element discretisation in spatial coordinate and Crank Nicolson scheme in temporal coordinate. We conclude that the finite element model with linear shape function in polar coordinates is suitable for the peripheral tissues of human limb. Our results are comparable with the results obtained by Jain and Shakya [1], who have used Cartesian coordinates for the development of the model. The fall in tissue temperature is more at the skin surface in comparison to the interior tissues. The fall in temperature profile in abnormal tissues is more in comparison to that in normal tissues. After attaining minimum temperature values, abnormal tissues take more time to resume steady state temperature profiles in comparison to normal tissues. The time difference to attain steady state temperature profiles and magnitude of difference in temperature profiles between normal and abnormal tissues varies with ambient temperature and evaporation rate.

The findings of the present work are in coordination with the experimental results of Gannon [25]. This information can be used to generate the thermal information which may be useful to biomedical scientists in diagnosis and development of treatment regimen for surgical wounds.
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