Occurrence of Naked Singularities in Higher Dimensional Dust Collapse
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Abstract: We consider here the gravitational collapse of a inhomogeneous dust cloud described by Tolman-Bondi models. We find that the end state of the collapse is either a black hole or a naked singularity, depending on the parameters of initial density distribution. Collapse ends into a black hole if the dimensionless quantity \( \psi \) is greater than \(-22.18033\) and ends into a naked singularity if \( \psi \leq -22.18033\). We find the occurrence of naked singularity in higher dimensional case. We proposed the concept of 'trapped range' of initial data in the different higher dimensional space-times. We show that 'trapped range' of initial data increases with the increase in dimensions of the space-times.
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I. Introduction

Cosmic Censorship Conjecture is still an outstanding open problem and possesses a prime position in the study of general relativity. Whereas no proof for this hypothesis is known, many counter examples have been studied. It is clearly important to investigate specific dynamical collapse scenarios within the frame work of gravitational theory for the final state of collapse. Such a study will be of great help in establishing whether or not this hypothesis is correct. An important class of collapse scenarios available in this connection is the dynamical evolution of a spherically symmetric cloud of pressure less dust.

Dust collapse has been studied by many researchers, perhaps the first being the work of Oppenheimer and Snyder [1], which demonstrated that the collapse of a homogeneous spherical dust object ends in a black hole. This leads to a view, reflected by the Cosmic Censorship Hypothesis that spherical collapse will always end in a black hole. However, such a view does not seem to be supported by a detailed analysis of spherical collapse. Departures from Oppenheimer-Snyder model come in the form of introducing inhomogeneities in the initial distribution of matter [2, 3], and also in the form of changing the equation of state. It has been shown by various authors [4-10] that the introduction of inhomogeneities in the matter distribution can give rise to the occurrence of a naked singularity at the centre in the spherical gravitational collapse. However, the final fate of collapse of an inhomogeneous distribution of matter with a general equation of state is largely unknown. An important sub case which could be examined in this context is spherically symmetric dust collapse under the force of gravity. The general solutions to Einstein equations for this case have been given by the Tolman-Bondi space-times [2, 3] and it has been demonstrated that naked singularities can occur as the end state of such a collapse [4, 6, 7, 10-12]. In particular, it was pointed out in [6, 10] that the collapse ends in a naked singularity or a black hole depending on whether or not a certain algebraic equation involving the metric functions and their derivatives has positive real roots. In order to be able to ascertain the astrophysical implications of such a result, it is necessary to translate the condition for the existence of positive real roots into the actual constraints on the initial density distribution in the cloud. An interesting problem that arises is the effect that higher dimensions can have on the formation of naked singularity.

It is relevant to note here that the role of initial density distribution in dust collapse was earlier considered by Christodoulou [4] and Newman [5]; however their discussion was restricted by the assumption of evenness of density and metric functions. A classical statement in Hawking and Ellis [13] asserts that the boundary of a trapped region is an apparent horizon. It is known that an apparent horizon forms in the region of a sufficiently strong gravitational field. An apparent horizon seems to play an important role in deciding the nature of the singularity. Much literature on apparent horizon has been appeared so far [14, 15, 16-18]. It is believed that the formation of the central singularity earlier than the apparent horizon is a necessary condition for a singularity to be naked. A singularity cannot be naked if it occurs after the formation of apparent horizon. Jhingan et al. [19] has shown that the absence of apparent horizon formation prior to the central singularity does not necessarily imply nakedness.

Recently Saraykar and Joshi showed that naked singularities in dynamical gravitational collapse of inhomogeneous dust to be stable but non-generic [20]. Sil and Chatterjee [21] studied dust collapse in five dimensional space-time. By considering a self-similar Tolman type model in higher dimensional space-time.
they showed the occurrence of a naked shell focusing singularity which may develop into a strong curvature singularity.

In this chapter we consider the nature and structure of singularities arising in a non-self similar dust collapse in 5D. We show that the central singularity of collapse may indeed be a naked one depending on the conditions of initial density distribution. In section 2 we describe five dimensional Tolman-bondi dust model and showed the existence of a naked singularity. In section 3 we generalized this result to (N+2)-dimensional space-times. Tolman-bondi model is the simplest solution to the Einstein equation and admits both a naked singularity as well as a black hole depending on the nature of the initial data. In section 4 we discuss the dynamics of an apparent horizon in higher dimensional space-times. We end this paper with concluding remarks as a last section.

II. Dust Collapse In Five Dimensional Tolman Type Model

To facilitate the discussion we give a brief summary of the higher dimensional Tolman-Bondi solution. Let us consider the metric for 5D space-time with spherical symmetry [21].

\[ ds^2 = -dt^2 + \frac{R^2}{1 + M} \, dr^2 + R^2(d\theta_1^2 + \sin^2\theta_1 d\theta_2^2 + \sin^2\theta_2 \sin^2 \theta_3 \, d\theta_3^2) \] (1)

Where \( M(r) \) is an arbitrary function of comoving coordinate \( r \), satisfying \( M > 1 \). \( R(t, r) \) is the physical radius at a time \( t \) of the shell labeled by \( r \), in the sense that \( 4\pi R^2(r, t) \) is the proper area of the shell at time \( t \). A prime denotes the partial derivative with respect to \( r \).

The energy momentum tensor is given by

\[ T^\mu_\nu = \sigma \delta^\mu_\nu \] (2)

Where

\[ \sigma(t, r) = \frac{3R^2}{4\pi^2} \] (3)

The function \( R(r, t) \) is the solution of

\[ \dot{R}^2 = \frac{F(r)}{R^2} + M(r) \] (4)

Where an over dot denotes partial derivative with respect to \( t \). The functions \( F(r) \) and \( M(r) \) are arbitrary, and result from the integration of the field equations.

For simplicity we consider here only the marginally bound case \( M(r) = 0 \).

Here we are discussing gravitational collapse, for that we require \( \dot{R}(t, r) < 0 \).

Hence we get

\[ \dot{R} = -\frac{\sqrt{\bar{F}}}{R} \] (5)

After integrating and using scaling freedom \( R(r; 0) = r \) we get

\[ R^2 = r^2 - 2\sqrt{\bar{F}} \] (6)

According to (6) the area radius of the shell \( r \) shrinks to zero at the time \( t_c(r) \) given by

\[ t_c(r) = \frac{r^2}{2\sqrt{\bar{F}}} \] (7)

The Kretschmann scalar is given by

\[ K = \frac{A F^2}{R^4 \bar{F}^2} - \frac{P}{R^2} + \bar{C}^2 \] (8)

From above equation at \( t = t_c(r) \), the Kretschmann scalar and energy density both diverge, indicating the presence of scalar polynomial curvature singularity [13]. The time and radial coordinates are respectively in the ranges \( -\infty < t < t_c(r) \) and \( 0 \leq r < \infty \).

It has been shown that [5] shell crossing singularities (characterized by \( R' = 0 \) and \( R > 0 \)) are gravitationally weak and hence such singularities need not be considered seriously. We therefore consider only the shell focusing singularity. We thus assume \( R' > 0 \) in the following discussion. We shall restrict ourselves to the study of future directed radial null geodesics. In order to check whether the singularity is naked, we examine the null geodesic equations for the tangent vectors \( K^2 = \frac{dx^2}{dk} \), where \( k \) is an affine parameter along the geodesics. For radial null geodesics, these are

\[ K^t = \frac{dt}{dk} = \frac{P}{R} \] (9)

\[ K^r = \frac{dr}{dk} = \frac{K^t}{R} = \frac{P}{RR^2} \] (10)

Where the function \( P(t, r) \) satisfies the differential equation

\[ \frac{\dot{P}}{\dot{R}} + P^2 \left( \frac{\dot{R}}{c R^2} + \frac{1}{2} \right) = 0 \] (11)

Let \( u = r^\alpha (\alpha > 1) \), then

\[ \frac{dR}{du} = \frac{1}{\alpha r^{\alpha - 1}} \left( \frac{dt}{R} + R' \right) \]
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From equation (1) we see that, for outgoing radial null geodesics, \( dt/dr = R' \), hence with the help of equation (5) above equation becomes

\[
\frac{dr}{du} = \frac{R'}{ar^{a-1}} \left(1 - \frac{\sqrt{\eta}}{R}\right) = \frac{R'}{ar^{a-1}} \left(1 - \frac{\Lambda}{X}\right) = U(X, u), \tag{12}
\]

Where

\[
\Lambda = \frac{\sqrt{\eta}}{u}, \quad X = \frac{R}{u}, \tag{13}
\]

It is clear that \( R = 0, u = 0 \) is a singular point of equation (12). If there are outgoing radial null geodesics terminating at this point then at the singularity, we have \( dR/du > 0 \) for \( X > \Lambda \) i.e. \( R > \sqrt{\eta} \). Thus boundary of the trapped surface i.e. apparent horizon is given by \( R = \sqrt{\eta} \). Using this relation we find from equation (6) that

\[
t_{ab}(r) = \frac{r^2}{2\sqrt{\eta}} - \frac{\sqrt{\eta}}{2} = t_a(r) - \frac{\sqrt{\eta}}{2}
\]

Where \( t_{ab}(r) \) denotes the time at which apparent horizon forms.

Since \( F(r) \) is strictly positive for \( r > 0 \), with \( F(r) = 0 \) at \( r = 0 \), we have \( t_{ab}(r) < t_c(r) \) for \( r > 0 \) and \( t_{ab}(0) = t_c(0) \). Thus all other points on the singularity curve, except the point \( r = 0 \) are covered by the apparent horizon. We therefore consider the singularity of collapse at \( r = 0 \) i.e. the central singularity. We now find conditions on the initial data so that the central singularity of collapse is naked.

If the outgoing null geodesics are to terminate in the past at the singularity at \( r = 0 \), which occurs at time \( t = t_c \) at which \( t_c(0) = 0 \), then along these geodesics we have \( R \to 0 \) as \( r \to 0 \). By simplifying differential equation (12), we see that the right hand side of this equation is of the form \( 0/0 \) in the limit of approach to the singularity \( (R = 0, u = 0) \). The point \( R = 0, u = 0 \) in the \( (R, u) \) plane is thus a singularity of the differential equation (12).

By using equation (6) one can write

\[
R' = \frac{RF}{4F} + \left(1 - \left(\frac{r}{F}\right)^2\right)\frac{F}{r} \tag{14}
\]

\[
= \frac{nX^{n-1}}{4} + \left(\frac{4-n}{4}\right)\frac{1}{r^{n-1}X} \tag{15}
\]

Where \( n = \frac{RF}{F} \).

The initial state of the spherically symmetric dust cloud is described in terms of the density and velocity profiles specified at an initial epoch of time from which collapse commences. We denote by \( \rho(r) = \sigma(r, 0) \), the density distribution of the cloud at the starting epoch of collapse.

From equation (6.3) we get

\[
F(r) = \int \rho(r) r^3 dr \tag{16}
\]

We assume that the density \( \rho(r) \) can be expanded (16) in a power series about the central density \( \rho_0 \)

\[
\rho(r) = \rho_0 + \rho_1 r + \frac{\rho_2 r^2}{2!} + \frac{\rho_3 r^3}{3!} + \cdots + \rho_n r^n + \cdots, \tag{17}
\]

Where \( \rho_0 > 0 \) and \( \rho_n \) stands for the \( n^{th} \) derivative of \( \rho \) at \( r = 0 \).

Then \( F \) becomes

\[
F(r) = F_0 r^4 + F_1 r^5 + F_2 r^6 + \cdots + \tag{18}
\]

Where

\[
F_n = \frac{2}{3} \frac{\rho_n}{n(n+1)} \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, \tag{19}
\]

Also \( \eta \) appearing in equation (15) is given by

\[
\eta = \frac{rF}{\sum F_n r^{n+4}} \tag{20}
\]

Since we are interested in the behavior of \( \eta \) near the centre, we can simplify this further to get

\[
\eta(r) = 4 + \eta_1 r + \eta_2 r^2 + \eta_3 r^3 + \cdots, \tag{21}
\]

Where

\[
\eta_1 = \frac{F_1}{F_0}, \quad \eta_2 = \frac{2F_2 - F_0^2}{F_0^2}, \quad \eta_3 = \frac{3F_3 - 3F_1 F_2 + F_0^3}{F_0^2}, \tag{22}
\]

If all the derivatives \( \rho_n \) of the density vanish for \( n \leq (q - 1) \), and the \( q^{th} \) derivative is the first non-vanishing derivative, then \( T_\eta \), the \( q^{th} \) term in the expression for \( \eta \) is

\[
T_\eta = \frac{F_q}{F_0} r^q, \tag{23}
\]

Here \( q \) takes the values 1, 2 etc. and \( T_\eta = 4 \).

In this case we can write \( \eta(r) \) as

\[
\eta(r) = 4 + \frac{F_0}{F_0} r^q + O(r^{q+1}), \tag{24}
\]

Substituting the value of \( 4 - \eta \) from equation (24) keeping only the terms up to the order \( r^3 \) and substituting in equation (15) to get

\[
R' = \frac{nX^{n-1}}{4} - \frac{qF_0 r^q}{4F_0 n^{n-1}X}, \tag{25}
\]
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With the help of equation (25), equation (12) becomes
\[
\frac{dr}{du} = \frac{a}{\alpha} \left( 1 - \frac{\Lambda}{X} \right) n \frac{X - \phi X}{X} = U(X, u),
\]
Where
\[
\phi = \frac{q F_0}{a^2 r^{2q - 1}}.
\]
Let us consider the limit \( X_0 \) of the tangent \( X \) along the null geodesic terminating at the singularity at \( R = 0, u = 0 \). Using L’Hospital’s rule we get
\[
X_0 = \lim_{R \to 0} \frac{r}{u} = \lim_{R \to 0} \frac{dr}{du} = \lim_{R \to 0} U(X, u) = U(X_0, 0).
\]
The necessary condition that the null geodesic emanates from the central singularity is the existence of the positive real root \( X_0 \) of the equation,
\[
V(X_0) = 0,
\]
Where
\[
V(X) = U(X, 0) - X = \frac{1}{\alpha} \left( 1 - \frac{\Lambda_0}{X} \right) \left( \frac{n X - \phi_0 X}{X} \right) - X,
\]
\[
q = 2(\alpha - 1) \Rightarrow \alpha = 1 + \frac{q}{2}, \quad \phi_0 = \frac{q F_0}{a^2},
\]
\[
\Lambda_0 = 0, \quad q < 2
\]
\[
= \sqrt{F_0}, \quad q = 2
\]
\[
= \infty, \quad q > 2
\]
\[
X_0^2 = \frac{-F_1}{F_2}, \quad F_0 = \frac{a^2 r^{2q - 1}}{X_0^2}.
\]
\[
\frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{F_0}{X_0} \right) \left( \frac{2 X_0 - F_2}{2 F_0 X_0} \right) = X_0
\]
\[
\frac{2 X_0^2}{F_0^{3/2}} + \frac{2 X_0^2}{F_0} + \frac{2 X_0}{F_0^{3/2}} - \frac{F_2}{F_0} = 0
\]
Define \( z = X_0 / \sqrt{F_0} \), \( \psi = F_2 / F_0^2 \), the last equation then becomes
\[
2 z^3 + 2 z^2 + \psi z - \psi = 0,
\]
If this equation admits real positive roots then the singularity is naked. Numerical calculations show that the above equation has positive real roots if
\[
\psi \leq \left( 1 - \frac{1}{q} \right) / \left( 9 - 4 \psi \right),
\]
Thus whenever \( \psi \leq -22.18033 \), the central singularity is naked and it is covered if \( \psi \) is greater than this number. In the analogous to four dimensional case, one gets a quadratic equation and the shell focusing singularity is naked if \( \psi \leq -25.9904 \) [22, 23].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \psi )</th>
<th>( z_1 )</th>
<th>( z_2 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-22</td>
<td>4.2222</td>
<td>1.611140.0974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-23</td>
<td>1.85827</td>
<td>1.43982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-23.5</td>
<td>1.93408</td>
<td>1.40130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-24</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.37228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-24.5</td>
<td>2.05990</td>
<td>1.34886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-25</td>
<td>2.11566</td>
<td>1.32923</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-25.5</td>
<td>2.16829</td>
<td>1.31235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-26</td>
<td>2.21847</td>
<td>1.29756</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-26.5</td>
<td>2.26665</td>
<td>1.28444</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-27</td>
<td>2.31314</td>
<td>1.27266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-27.5</td>
<td>2.35819</td>
<td>1.26201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-28</td>
<td>2.40197</td>
<td>1.25229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-28.5</td>
<td>2.44464</td>
<td>1.24339</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-29</td>
<td>2.48631</td>
<td>1.23518</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-29.5</td>
<td>2.52708</td>
<td>1.22788</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-30</td>
<td>2.56702</td>
<td>1.22052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-30.5</td>
<td>2.60622</td>
<td>1.21393</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-31</td>
<td>2.64472</td>
<td>1.20777</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-31.5</td>
<td>2.68257</td>
<td>1.20199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-32</td>
<td>2.71928</td>
<td>1.19635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-32.5</td>
<td>2.75651</td>
<td>1.19143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-33</td>
<td>2.79266</td>
<td>1.18658</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-33.5</td>
<td>2.82832</td>
<td>1.18200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-34</td>
<td>2.86351</td>
<td>1.17765</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1: Graph of the values of $z_1$ and $z_2$ against the values of $\psi$

When the first two derivatives of the density are zero at the centre i.e. $\rho_1 = 0; \rho_2 = 0$, then $q \geq 3; \alpha \geq 5/2$. In this case $\Lambda_0 = 1$ and positive value of $X_0$ cannot satisfy equation (31) and the collapse ends into a black hole. Stability of occurrence of a naked singularity under small perturbations of initial density distributions (in an appropriate metric space) can be discussed along the lines of [24].

III. Naked Singularities In The Higher Dimensional Tolman-Bondi Space-Time

Let us consider the metric for (N+2) - dimensional space-time with spherical symmetry [25].

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + \frac{R'^2}{1 + M(r)} dr^2 + R^2 d\Omega^2,$$  
(37)

Where

$$d\Omega^2 = d\theta_1^2 + \sin^2 \theta_1 d\theta_2^2 + \sin^2 \theta_1 \sin^2 \theta_2 d\theta_3^2 + \ldots \ldots + \sin^2 \theta_1 \sin^2 \theta_2 \sin^2 \theta_3 \ldots \ldots \sin^2 \theta_{N-1} d\theta_N^2,$$  
(38)

Is the metric on N-sphere and $N = D - 2$ (where D is the total number of dimensions), together with energy momentum tensor for dust has the form

$$T_{ab} = \rho(t, r) \delta_a^t \delta_b^t,$$  
(39)

Where $u_a = \delta_a^t$ is the (N+2)-dimensional velocity, $R$ is the area radius at time $t$ of the shell having the commoving coordinate $r$.

Einstein equations for the collapsing cloud are

$$\sigma(t, r) = \frac{NF}{2R^N R'},$$  
(40)

And

$$\dot{R}^2 = \frac{P(r)}{R^{N-1}} + M(r),$$  
(41)

(We have set up $\frac{8\pi G}{c} = 1$)
Here the over dot and prime denote partial derivatives with respect to t and r, respectively. The quantity \( F(r) \) arises as a free function from the integration of the Einstein equations and can be interpreted physically as the total mass of the collapsing cloud with in a coordinate radius \( r \). \( M(r) \) is another free function of \( r \) and is called the energy function. Since in the present discussion we stick with gravitational collapse, we take \( \dot{R}(t, r) < 0 \).

For physical reasons, one assumes that energy density \( \sigma(t, r) \) is non-negative everywhere. The epoch \( R = 0 \) denotes a physical singularity where the spherical shell of a matter collapses to zero radius and where the density \( \sigma(t, r) \) blows up to infinity. Time \( t = t_s(r) \) corresponds to the value \( R = 0 \) where the area of the shell of matter at a constant value of coordinate \( r \) vanishes. The singularity curve \( t = t_s(r) \) corresponds to the time when the matter shells meet the physical singularity.

This specifies the ranges of the co-ordinates for the metric (37):

\[
0 \leq r < \infty, \quad -\infty < t < t_s(r)
\]

Simplicity, we consider the marginally bound case \( M(r) = 0 \).

Equation (41) yields

\[
\dot{R}^2 = \frac{F(r)}{R^{N-1}}
\]

In the collapsing case,

\[
\dot{R} = \frac{-\sqrt{F}}{R^{(N-1)/2}}
\]

Integrating equation (42) we get

\[
R^{(N+1)/2} = \left[ t^{(N+1)/2} - \frac{(N+1)}{2} \sqrt{F} \right].
\]

Where we have used the freedom in the scaling of the commoving coordinate \( t \) to set up \( R(0, r) = r \) at the starting epoch of the collapse so that the physical area radius \( R \) increases monotonically in \( r \), and with \( R' = 1 \) there are no shell crossing on the initial surface.

Our interest is restricted only to the central shell focusing singularity at \( R = 0 \), \( r = 0 \) which is a gravitationally strong singularity, unlike to the shell crossing ones which are weak and through which the space-time may sometimes be extended [26].

It follows from Eq.(40) that the function \( F(r) \) becomes fixed once the initial density distribution \( \rho(0, r) = \rho(r) \) is given, i.e.,

\[
F(r) = \frac{2}{N} \int \rho(r) r^N \, dr.
\]

We assume that initial density profile \( \rho(r) \) has the series of expansion [22, 23].

\[
\rho(r) = \rho_0 + \rho_1 r + \frac{p_2 r^2}{2!} + \frac{p_3 r^3}{3!} + \cdots + \frac{p_n r^n}{n!}.
\]

Near the centre \( r = 0 \), which can be substituted in Equation (44) to yield:

\[
F(r) = F_0 r^{N+1} + F_1 r^{N+2} + F_2 r^{N+3} + \cdots,
\]

Where

\[
F_n = \frac{2}{N} \frac{\rho_n}{n!(N+n+1)}.
\]

And \( \rho_n \) is the \( n \)th derivative of density and \( n \) takes integral values 0, 1, 2, 3, ... We note that the first non-vanishing derivative in the series expansion (45) should be negative, as we will consider only those density functions which decreases as one move away from the centre.

As \( t_s(r) \) gives the time at which area radius \( R \) becomes zero it follows from Equation (43) that

\[
t_s(r) = \left( \frac{2}{N+1} \right)^{(N+1)/2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{F}}.
\]

The Kretschmann scalar \( K = R_{abcd} R^{abcd} \) for the metric (37) is given by

\[
K = \frac{A F^2}{R^{2N+2}} + \frac{B F^2}{R^{2N+4}} + \frac{C F^2}{R^{2N+2}},
\]

Where A, B, C are some constants. It is seen from Equation (40) and (49) that the energy density and Kretschmann scalar both diverge at the shell labeled \( r \) indicating the presence of a scalar polynomial curvature singularity at \( r \).

The outgoing radial null geodesic of Equation (37) are given by

\[
\frac{d\sigma}{dr} = \frac{\dot{R}}{r},
\]

Let \( u = r^\sigma \) (\( \alpha > 1 \)). Then

\[
\frac{d\sigma}{du} = \frac{1}{\alpha r^{\alpha-1}} \left( \frac{\dot{R}}{\dot{r}} + R' \right).
\]

By virtue of Equations (42) and (50), the above Equation leads to

\[
\frac{d\sigma}{du} = \frac{\dot{r}'}{\alpha r^{\alpha-1}} \left[ 1 - \frac{\sqrt{F}}{R^{(N-1)/2}} \right] = \frac{\dot{r}'}{\alpha r^{\alpha-1}} \left[ 1 - \frac{\Lambda}{\chi^{N-1}} \right] = u(X, u).
\]
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Where
\[ \Lambda = \frac{F}{\alpha^{N-1}}, \quad X = \frac{R}{u}, \tag{53} \]

It is clear that \( R = 0, \ u = 0 \) is a singular point of Equation (52). If there are outgoing radial null geodesics terminating in the past at the singularity with a definite tangent, then at the singularity we have positive \( dR/du \).

Hence apparent horizon for \( (N = 2) \) dimensional space-time is given by \( R = F^{1/(N-1)} \). In order to check whether the singularity is naked, we examine the null geodesic equations for the tangent vector \( K^t = dx^t/\,dk \), where \( k \) is an affine parameter along the geodesics.

The radial null geodesics of the space-time (37) are given by
\[ \begin{align*}
K^t &= \frac{dt}{dk} = \frac{p}{R}, \\
K^r &= \frac{dr}{dk} = \frac{p}{R^2}, \tag{54} \\
K^\theta &= \frac{d\theta}{dk} = \frac{p}{R^2}, \\
K^\phi &= \frac{d\phi}{dk} = \frac{p}{R^2}. \tag{55}
\end{align*} \]

Where the function \( P(t, r) \) satisfies the differential equation
\[ \frac{dP}{dk} + p^2 \left( \frac{R}{R} - \frac{R'}{R^2} - \frac{1}{R^2} \right) = 0. \tag{56} \]

Differentiation of Equation (45) yields
\[ R' = \frac{2\eta\alpha^{N-1}}{N+1} + \left( \frac{N+1}{N+1} \right) \frac{1}{(N-1)/2, (N-1)(N-1)/2}. \tag{57} \]

Where \( \eta = r^F / R \).

Since we are interested in the behavior of the \( \eta \) near the center, we can simplify \( \eta \) further to get
\[ \eta(r) = (N + 1) + \eta_1 r + \eta_2 r^2 + \eta_3 r^3 + \ldots \ldots. \tag{58} \]

Where
\[ \eta_1 = \frac{F_1}{F_0}, \quad \eta_2 = \frac{2F_2}{F_0} - \frac{F_1^2}{F_0^2}, \quad \eta_3 = \frac{3F_3}{F_0} - \frac{2F_1 F_2}{F_0^2} + \frac{F_1^3}{F_0^3}, \quad \text{etc.} \tag{59} \]

If all the derivatives \( \rho_n \) of the density vanish for \( n \leq (q - 1) \), and the \( \eta_n \) derivative is the first non-vanishing derivative, then, the \( T_{\eta q} \), the \( \eta_n \) term in the expansion for \( \eta \), is
\[ T_{\eta q} = \frac{q F}{F_0}. \tag{60} \]

Here \( q \) takes the values 1, 2, 3 etc. in this case, we can write \( \eta(r) \) as
\[ \eta(r) = \left( N + 1 \right) + \frac{q F}{F_0} r^q + O(r^{q+1}). \tag{61} \]

We use expression \( \left( (N + 1) - \eta \right) \) from Equation (61) keeping only terms up to the order \( q \) and substitute in Equation (57) to yield
\[ R' = r^{(a-1)} \left( \frac{N+1}{N+1} \right) \frac{q F}{F_0} \frac{r^q}{X^{(N-1)/2} (N-1)(N-1)/2}. \tag{62} \]

We substitute the above expression for \( R' \) in Equation (52) to get
\[ \frac{d\phi}{du} = \frac{q F}{N+1} \left[ 1 - \frac{\Lambda}{X^{(N-1)/2}} \left( \frac{\eta_0 X}{N+1} - \frac{\phi}{X^{(N-1)/2}} \right) - U(X, u), \right. \tag{63} \]

Where
\[ \phi = \left( \frac{N+1}{N+1} \right) \frac{q F}{r^{(a-1)(N+1)/2}} \frac{r^q}{X^{(N-1)/2}}. \tag{64} \]

Let us consider the limit \( X_0 \) of the tangent X along the null geodesic terminating at the singularity at \( R = 0, \ u = 0 \).
\[ X_0 = \lim_{R \to 0} \frac{R}{u} = \lim_{R \to 0} \frac{dR}{du} = \lim_{R \to 0} U(X, u), \tag{65} \]

If a real and positive value of \( X_0 \) satisfies the above equation then the singularity could be naked. If the singularity is naked, some \( \alpha \) exists such that at least one finite positive value of \( X_0 \) exists which solves the algebraic equation
\[ V(X_0) = 0 \tag{66} \]

Where
\[ V(X) = U(X, 0) - X \]
\[ = \frac{1}{\alpha} \left[ 1 - \frac{\Lambda_0}{X^{(N-1)/2}} \left( \frac{\eta_0 X}{N+1} - \frac{\phi}{X^{(N-1)/2}} \right) - X \right], \tag{67} \]

Where
\[ \Lambda_0 = \lim_{r \to 0} \Lambda, \quad \eta_0 = \lim_{r \to 0} \eta \]

Note that this root equation method picks up only the geodesics behaving as \( X = R/r^\alpha = \text{Constant} \).

There might be possibility of the existence of geodesics which have different behaviors than are assumed. To find such geodesics, we must solve the null geodesic equation [27-31].

The constant \( \alpha \) can be determined by the requirement that \( \phi_0 \), the limiting value of \( \phi \) as \( r \to 0 \), should not be equal to zero or infinity. This yields
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\[ q = (\alpha - 1)(N + 1)/2 , \]

i.e., \( \alpha = \frac{2q}{N+1} + 1 \).

This implies

\[ \phi = \frac{qF_0}{(N+1)F_0} . \] (69)

Using Equation (48), the limiting value of the function \( \Lambda \) is found to be

\[
\begin{align*}
\Lambda_0 &= 0 , \\
q &= (N + 1)/(N - 1) \\
&= F_0 , \\
&= (N + 1)/(N - 1) \\
&= \infty , \\
q &= (N + 1)/(N - 1)
\end{align*}
\] (70)

We note that \( q \) is the order of the first non-vanishing derivative of density. Since \( \Lambda_0 \) takes different values for different choices of \( q \), the nature of the roots depends on the first non-vanishing derivative of density at the centre.

So we analyze the various cases in \((N + 2)\)-dimensional space-times one by one.

(A) First consider \( N = 3 \) (i.e., 5D). We shall consider various cases of density profile in this space-time.

**Case (i):** \( p_1 \neq 0 \) in this case, \( q = 1, \alpha = 3/2 \).

Since, \( \alpha = \frac{2q}{N+1} + 1 \),

\[
\begin{align*}
\phi &= \frac{qF_0}{(N+1)F_0} \\
&= \frac{2}{3} + 1 \\
&= \frac{5}{3}
\end{align*}
\]

And from Equation (69)

\[ \phi_0 = \frac{qF_0}{(N+1)F_0} = \frac{F_1}{4F_0} \]

\[ \Lambda_0 = 0, \quad \phi_0 = \frac{F_1}{4F_0}, \quad \eta_0 = 4 \]

Hence Equation (67) reduces to

\[
\begin{align*}
V(X_0) &= U(X_0, 0) - X_0 \\
0 &= \frac{1}{\omega} \left[ 1 - \frac{\Lambda_0}{X_0^{(N-1)/2}} \right] \left[ \frac{\eta_0 X_0}{(N+1)} - \frac{\phi}{X_0^{(N+1)/2}} \right] - X_0 \\
&= \frac{1}{\omega} \left[ 1 - \sqrt{\phi} \right] \left[ \frac{3\phi}{2} - \frac{F_1}{4F_0} \right] - X_0 \\
&= \frac{2}{\omega} \left[ X_0 - \frac{F_1}{4F_0} \right] - X_0
\end{align*}
\]

This gives

\[ X_0^2 = \frac{-F_1}{2F_0} = -\frac{2p_1}{5F_0} . \] (71)

Because of the assumption that the density decreases away from the centre, \( p_1 < 0 \) and so \( X_0 \) will be positive and thus the singularity is naked.

**Case (ii):** \( p_1 = 0, \quad p_2 \neq 0 \) in this case, \( q = 2, \quad \alpha = 2 \). \( \Lambda_0 = F_0, \quad \phi_0 = \frac{F_2}{2F_0} \).

Since, \( \alpha = \frac{2q}{N+1} + 1 \),

\[
\begin{align*}
\phi &= \frac{qF_0}{(N+1)F_0} \\
&= \frac{2}{3} + 1 \\
&= \frac{5}{3}
\end{align*}
\]

And from Equation (69)

\[ \phi_0 = \frac{qF_0}{(N+1)F_0} = \frac{2F_2}{4F_0} = \frac{F_2}{2F_0} \]

Equation (67) then leads to

\[ \frac{2X_0^3}{F_0^{3/2}} + \frac{2X_0^2}{F_0} + \frac{F_2X_0}{F_0^{5/2}} - \frac{F_2}{F_0} = 0 . \] (72)

Define \( \zeta = X_0/\sqrt{F_0} \). \( \psi = F_2/F_0^2 \), then Equation (72) becomes

\[ 2\zeta^3 + 2\zeta^2 + 2\zeta\psi - \psi = 0 . \] (73)

Numerical calculations show that the above equation has positive real roots if

\[ \psi \leq \left(1 - \sqrt{5}/(9 - 4\sqrt{5})\right), \quad \text{i.e., } \psi \leq -22.18033 \] (74)

Thus whenever \( \psi \leq -22.18033 \), the central singularity is naked, and it is covered if \( \psi \) is greater than this number.

**Case (iii):** \( p_1 = 0, \quad p_2 = 0, \quad p_3 \neq 0 \) (i.e. \( q \geq 3 \)). In this case \( \alpha \geq 5/2, \quad \Lambda_0 = \infty \), and Equation (67) does not have positive real roots and hence collapse ends into a black hole.
Case (iv): $\rho_1 = \rho_2 = \rho_3 = 0, \rho_4 \neq 0$. In this case $q = 4, \alpha = 3, \Lambda_0 = \infty$. So positive values of $X_0$ cannot satisfy Equation (67) for the roots, hence the singularities are covered.

(B) Next consider $N = 4$ (i.e., space-time where the dimensions are equal to 6).

Case (i): $\rho_1 \neq 0$ in this case, $q = 1, \Lambda_0 = 0$. 

\[
\alpha = \frac{2q}{N+1} + 1 \\
= \frac{2(1)}{4+1} + 1 \\
= \frac{3}{5}
\]

\[
\phi_0 = \frac{F_1}{(N+1)F_0} = \frac{(4+1)F_0}{F_1} = \frac{F_1}{5F_0}
\]

Hence Equation (67) gives

\[X_0 = \left(\frac{-F_1}{5F_0}\right)^{2/5}, \quad (75)\]

Since $F_1$ is negative, $X_0$ will be positive and hence the singularity is naked.

Case (ii): $\rho_1 = 0, \rho_2 \neq 0$. In this case $q = 2, \Lambda_0 = \infty$, and hence Equation (67) does not have real positive roots.

Case (iii): $\rho_1 = \rho_2 = 0, \rho_3 \neq 0$. In this case $q = 3$, and it can be seen from Equation (70) that $\Lambda_0 = \infty$. Hence Equation (67) cannot be satisfied for any positive value of $X_0$. Therefore the collapse ends with a black hole.

Case (iv): $\rho_1 = \rho_2 = \rho_3 = 0, \rho_4 \neq 0$. In this case $q = 4$ and hence $\Lambda_0 = \infty$. Hence by same reasoning explained in case (iii), the singularity is covered.

(C) Next consider $N \geq 5$ (i.e., space-time where the dimensions are greater than or equal to 7).

Case (i): $\rho_1 \neq 0$ in this case, $q = 1$,

\[
\alpha = \frac{2q}{N+1} + 1 \\
= \frac{2(1)}{5+1} + 1 \\
= \frac{3}{4}
\]

Hence, $\alpha = \frac{(N+3)}{(N+1)}$

\[
\phi_0 = \frac{F_1}{(N+1)F_0} = \frac{(5+1)F_0}{F_1} = \frac{F_1}{6F_0}
\]

And $\Lambda_0 = 0$.

Hence Equation (67) gives

\[X_0 = \left(\frac{-F_1}{4F_0}\right)^{1/3}, \quad (76)\]

\[X_0 = \left(\frac{-F_1}{4F_0}\right)^{2/(N+1)}, \quad (76)\]

Since $F_1$ is negative, $X_0$ will be positive and hence the singularity is naked.

Case (ii): $\rho_1 = 0, \rho_2 \neq 0$. In this case $q = 2, \Lambda_0 = \infty$, and hence Equation (67) does not have real positive roots.

Case (iii): $\rho_1 = \rho_2 = 0, \rho_3 \neq 0$. In this case $q = 3$, and it can be seen from Equation (70) that $\Lambda_0 = \infty$. Hence Equation (67) cannot be satisfied for any positive value of $X_0$. Therefore the collapse ends with a black hole.

Case (iv): $\rho_1 = \rho_2 = \rho_3 = 0, \rho_4 \neq 0$. In this case $q = 4$ and hence $\Lambda_0 = \infty$. Hence by same reasoning explained in case (iii), the singularity is covered.

Thus, from conditions (B) and (C), in all space-time where the dimensions are greater than or equal to 6, singularity is naked only for the models where $\rho_1 < 0$.

IV. Apparent Horizon And Trapped Surfaces

The comoving time is given by

\[t_c(r) = \left(\frac{2}{N+1}\right)^{(N+1)/2} \sqrt{F}, \quad (77)\]
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Physically, $t_\text{a}(r)$ the comoving time at which the shell of matter labelled by $r$ becomes singular. As the density increases unboundedly, trapped surfaces have to form with in the collapsing cloud. The outermost boundary of these trapped surfaces is known as apparent horizon.

In (N+2)-dimensional space-time, it follows from Equation

$$\dot{R} = \frac{-\sqrt{F(r)}}{R^{(N-1)/2}}.$$  

The apparent horizon is given by

$$R(t_{\text{ah}}(r), r) = F^{1/(N-1)},$$

Where, $t_{\text{ah}}(r)$ is the time at which apparent horizon forms.

Inserting value of $R$ from equation (78) into Equation

$$R^{(N+1)/2} = r^{(N+1)/2} - \frac{(N+1)}{2} \sqrt{F(r)}t,$$

We get,

$$t_{\text{ah}}(r) = \left(\frac{2}{N+1}\right) \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{F(r)}} - \frac{2}{N+1} \frac{N}{2} F^{1/(N-1)}\right] r,$$  

Equation (79) determines the behavior of the apparent horizon in the vicinity of the central singularity in (N+2)-dimensional space-time.

First, we consider the class of TBL models which are generally non-self-similar, but which can be reduced to self similar under certain conditions. In the case of four dimensional space-time this type of solution has been studied in \[10,32, 33\]. The mass function in general (N+2)-dimensional space-time for this class of model is given by

$$F(r) = \lambda(r) r^{(N-1)}, \quad \lambda(0) = \lambda_0 > 0 \quad \text{(Finite)}.$$  

It should be noted that the space-time becomes self-similar when one keeps $\lambda(r) = \text{const}$. With the choice of the above mass function, it can be seen that the density of the space-time is inversely proportional to $t^2$ and hence is finite on the initial epoch $t = t_s < 0$ \[34\].

Inserting above mass function $F(r)$ into Equation (79), we obtain

$$t_{\text{ah}}(r) = \left(\frac{2}{N+1}\right) \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} - \frac{1}{\lambda^{1/(N-1)}}\right] r,$$  

Since $\lambda(0) \neq 0$, it can be observed that $t_{\text{a}}(0)$. Hence, it follows that the point $r = 0, t = 0$ corresponds to the central singularity on the hyper surface $t = 0$, where the energy density becomes infinite. Since in these classes of models the central singularity occurs at $t = 0$, we can write

$$t_{\text{ah}}(r) = t_{\text{ah}}(r) - t_{s}(0) = \left(\frac{2}{N+1}\right) \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} - \frac{1}{\lambda^{1/(N-1)}}\right] r,$$  

From the above equation, it is clear $t_{s}(0) < t_{\text{ah}}(r)$ if

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} - \frac{1}{\lambda^{1/(N-1)}} > 0,$$  

Which in turn reduces to

$$\lambda^{(N+1)/2(N-1)} < 1,$$  

Hence the central singularity forms earlier than the apparent horizon if

$$\lambda_0^{(N+1)/2(N-1)} < 1,$$  

i.e. if $\lambda_0 < 1$.

Thus, in any (N+2)-dimensional space-time, if $\lambda_0 < 1$, then the central shell focusing singularity could be naked (locally naked). It has been shown in \[4\] that the shell focusing singularity occurring at $r > 0$, $R = 0$ is totally space like; therefore, we discuss the central singularity only. The four-dimensional case of this type of model has been discussed in \[26\] and it has been shown that, the gravitational collapse would end in a naked singularity if

$$\lambda_0 \leq 0.1809,$$  

While for $\lambda_0 > 0.1809$, the collapse leads to a black hole. Thus, from Equations (86) and (87), one may argue that there is a range of $\lambda_0$ i.e.

$$0.1809 < \lambda_0 < 1,$$  

In which the central singularity forms earlier than the apparent horizon but it is not naked. We shall call this range a ‘trapped range’ because it is a range of initial data in which the central singularity remains trapped though it forms earlier than the apparent horizon. This is possible because even though there is no apparent horizon and event horizon might well exists and an event horizon may clothe the singularities even if the apparent horizon does not appear on the spatial slice considered, in case of five dimensional space-time, it has been shown in \[34\] that the gravitational collapse ends in an naked singularity if $\lambda_0 \leq 0.0901$, while it leads to a black hole if $\lambda_0 > 0.0901$. Thus, in the 5D case, the ‘trapped range’ of initial data is given by $0.0901 < \lambda_0 < 1$.

Using the critical values of $\lambda_0$ for the different higher dimensional space-times ‘trapped ranges’ of initial data for different higher dimensional space-time had been calculated \[34\]. It is shown that as the
dimensions of the space-time increases, trapped ranges of initial data also increases at the same time naked singularity ranges of initial data decreases.

Further, [35] if there is delay in the formation of the apparent horizon would increase the possibility of the naked singularity in the collapse. Hence if interval \( t_{ab}(\tau) - t_{(s)}(0) \) decreases, it leads to decrease in the naked singularity spectrum. It is also been shown that the time interval \( t_{ab}(\tau) - t_{(s)}(0) \) for \( \lambda = 0.01 \) and 0.001 in the different higher dimensional space-times decreases with the increase in dimensions of the space-times.

V. Concluding Remarks

We have generalized the earlier work to a higher dimensional Tolman-Bondi space-times and found that naked singularities do arise for a different critical value.

It is interesting to note that in 5D case the leading two derivatives decide the nature of the singularity while in 4D case leading three derivatives of density at the centre play the role of deciding the nature of the singularity. For the space-times where the dimensions is 6D only the first derivative of density plays this role and similarly, for the dimension greater than or equal to 7, only first derivative decide the nature of singularity. It means for 6D or greater than 6D, only first derivative decide the nature of singularity. As the dimensions of the space-time increases, we require to calculate less number of derivatives of the density at the centre which decide the nature of the singularity.

Considering analytic initial data, in case of collapse of a dust cloud, demands analyticity of the density function then we have the initial density \( \rho(r) \) containing only even powers of \( r \), \( \rho(r) = \rho_0 + \rho_2 r^2 + \rho_4 r^4 + \ldots \). Since \( \rho_1 \) first derivative of density at the centre is absent in above equations there could not be a naked singularity in space-times where the dimensions are greater than or equal to 6. Thus for \( D \geq 6 \) the CCH holds if the analytic density function is chosen as an initial data.

In [19] it was shown that formation of the central singularity earlier than the apparent horizon is not necessary and sufficient condition for nakedness. We have generalized this result to higher dimensional space-times. It is found that if same type of initial data is applied to all higher dimensional space-times, then the time interval \( t_{ab}(\tau) - t_{(s)}(0) \) decreases with the decrease in the naked singularity spectrum in the collapse.
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