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I. Introduction

The concept of fuzzy set given by Zadeh [15] it was a turning point in the development of fuzzy mathematics. Consequently, the last three decades remained productive for various authors like Deng [7], Erceg [8], Kaleva and Seikkala [11], Kramosil and Michalek [10], Pant [13], Sessa [14] etc. Various authors have discussed and studied extensively various results on coincidence, existence and uniqueness of fixed and common fixed points by using the concept of weak commutativity, compatibility, non-compatibility and weak compatibility for single and set valued maps satisfying certain contractive conditions in different spaces and they have been applied to diverse problems. Recently, Al-Thagafi and Shahzad [2] weakened the concept of compatibility by giving a new notion of occasionally weak compatible (owc) maps which is more general among the commutativity concepts. Most recently, Bouhadjera and Thobie [4], weakened the concept of occasionally weak compatibility and reciprocal continuity in the form of sub compatibility and sub sequential continuity respectively and proved some interesting results with these concepts in metric spaces.

II. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. A binary operation \(*: [0,1] \times [0,1] \to [0,1]\) is continuous t-norm if \(*\) is satisfying the following conditions:

(i) \(*\) is commutative and associative
(ii) \(*\) is continuous
(iii) \(a \ast 1 = a\) for all \(a \in [0,1]\)
(iv) \(a \ast b \leq c \ast d\) whenever \(a \leq c\) and \(b \leq d\), \(a, b, c, d \in [0,1]\).

Definition 2.2. A triplet \((X,M,\ast)\) is said to be a fuzzy metric space if \(X\) is an arbitrary set, \(*\) is a continuous t-norm and \(M\) is a fuzzy set on \(X^2 \times (0,\infty)\) satisfying the following:

(FM-1) \(M(x,y,t) > 0\)
(FM-2) \(M(x,y,t) = 1\) if and only if \(x = y\)
(FM-3) \(M(x,y,t) = M(y,x,t)\)
(FM-4) \(M(x,y,t) \ast M(y,z,t) \leq M(x,z,t + s)\)
(FM-5) \(M(x,y,\cdot):(0,\infty) \to (0,1]\) is continuous.

Note that \(M(x,y,t)\) can be thought of as the degree of nearness between \(x\) and \(y\) with respect to \(t\).

Definition 2.3. Two self maps \(A\) and \(B\) on a fuzzy metric space \((X,M,\ast)\) are said to be compatible if for all \(t > 0\), \(\lim_{n \to \infty} M(ABx_n, Bx_n, t) = 1\) whenever \((x_n)\) is a sequence in \(X\) such that \(\lim_{n} Ax_n = x\) \(\lim_{n} Bx_n = z\) for some \(z \in X\).

Definition 2.4. Two self maps \(f\) and \(g\) on a fuzzy metric space \((X,M,\ast)\) are said to be weakly compatible if \(ft = gt\) for some \(t \in X\) implies that \(fgt = gft\). It is well known fact that compatible maps are weak compatible but the converse is not true.

Definition 2.5. Two self maps \(f\) and \(g\) on a set \(X\) are said to be owc iff and only if there is a point \(x \in X\) which is a coincidence point of \(f\) and \(g\) at which \(f\) and \(g\) commute. i.e., there exists a point \(x \in X\) such that \(fx = gx\) and \(f\) \(gx = f\) \(fx\).
**Definition 2.6.** Two self maps \( f \) and \( g \) on a fuzzy metric space \((X, M, \ast)\) are said sub compatible if and only if there exists a sequence \( \{x_n\} \) in \( X \) such that \( \lim_n f x_n = \lim_n g x_n = z \), \( z \in X \) and which satisfy \( \lim_n M(f, g x_n, f x_n, t) = 1 \) for all \( t > 0 \).

Obviously two occasionally weakly compatible maps are sub compatible maps, however the converse is not true.

It is also interesting to see the following one way implication.

Commuting \( \Rightarrow \) Weakly commuting \( \Rightarrow \) Compatibility \( \Rightarrow \) Weak compatibility \( \Rightarrow \) Occasionally weak compatibility \( \Rightarrow \) Sub compatibility.

**Definition 2.7.** Two self maps \( A \) and \( S \) on a fuzzy metric space are called reciprocal continuous if \( \lim n A S x_n = A t \) and \( \lim n S A x_n = S t \) for some \( t \in X \) whenever \( \{x_n\} \) is a sequence in \( X \) such that \( \lim n A x_n = \lim n S x_n = t \) for some \( t \in X \) and satisfy \( \lim n A S x_n = A t \) and \( \lim n S A x_n = S t \).

**Remark 1.** If \( A \) and \( S \) are both continuous or reciprocally continuous then they are obviously sub sequentially continuous.

The next example shows that there exist sub sequentially continuous pairs of maps which are neither continuous nor reciprocally continuous.

### III. Results and Discussions

Now, we prove the following result.

**Theorem 1.** Let \( f, g, h \) and \( k \) be four self maps on a fuzzy metric space \((X, M, \ast)\). If the pairs \( (f, h) \) and \( (g, k) \) are sub compatible and sub sequentially continuous, then (i) \( f \) and \( h \) have a coincidence point, (ii) \( g \) and \( k \) have a coincidence point.

Further, If

\[
M(f x, g y, t) \geq \phi \left[ \min \left( M(h x, k y, t), M(f x, h x, t), M(g y, k y, t) \right) \right] (1.1)
\]

for all \( x, y \in X, t > 0 \), where \( \phi : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1] \) is a continuous function such that \( \phi(s) > s \) for each \( 0 < s < 1 \). Then \( f, g, h \) and \( k \) have a unique common fixed point in \( X \).

**Proof.** Since the pairs \( (f, h) \) and \( (g, k) \) are sub compatible and sub sequentially continuous, therefore, there exist two sequences \( \{x_n\} \) and \( \{y_n\} \) in \( X \) such that \( \lim_n f x_n = \lim_n h x_n = \lim_n k y_n = u \) for some \( u \in X \) and which satisfy

\[
\lim_n M(f h x_n, h x_n, t) = M(f u, h u, t) = 1, \\
\lim_n g y_n = \lim_n k y_n = \lim_n h y_n = v \text{ for some } v \in X \text{ and which satisfy } \\
\lim_n M(g k y_n, k y_n, t) = M(g v, k v, t) = 1.
\]

Therefore, \( f u = h u \) and \( g v = k v \), i.e., \( u \) is the coincidence point of \( f \) and \( h \) and \( v \) is a coincidence point of \( g \) and \( k \).

Now, using (1.1) for \( x = x_n \) and \( y = y_n \), we get

\[
M(f x_n, g y_n, t) \geq \phi \left( \min \left( (h x_n, k y_n, t), M(f x_n, h x_n, t), M(g y_n, k y_n, t), M(h x_n, g y_n, t), M(k y_n, f x_n, t), M(k x_n, h y_n, t) \right) \right)
\]

Letting \( n \rightarrow \infty \)

\[
M(u, v, t) = \phi \left( \min(M(u, v, t), M(u, u, t), M(v, v, t), M(u, v, t), M(v, u, t), M(u, v, t)) \right), \text{ a contradiction}
\]

hence \( u = v \)

Again using (1.1)

put \( x = u \) and \( y = y_n \) we obtain

\[
M(f u, g y_n, t) \geq \phi \left( \min(M(h u, k y_n, t), M(f u, h u, t), M(g y_n, k y_n, t), M(h u, g y_n, t), M(k y_n, f u, t), M(k u, h y_n, t)) \right)
\]

Letting \( n \rightarrow \infty \)
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\[ m(fu,v,t) \geq \phi \left( \min(M(fu,v,t),M(fu,hu,t),M(v,v,t),M(fu,v,t),M(fu,v,t),M(fu,v,t)) \right) \]

\[ m(fu,v,t) \geq \phi \left( \min(M(fu,v,t),1,1,M(fu,v,t),M(fu,v,t),M(fu,v,t)) \right) \]

i.e

\[ M(fu,v,t) = \phi \left( M(fu,v,t) \right) > M(fu,v,t) \]

which yields \( fu=v=u \) therefore \( u=v \) is a common fixed point of \( f,g,h \) and \( k \)

for uniqueness let \( w \neq u \) be another fixed point of \( f,g,h,k \) then from (1.1) we have

\[ M(fu,gw,t) \geq \phi \left( \min(M(hu,kw,t),M(fu,hu,t),M(gw,kw,t),M(hu,gw,t),M(kw,fu,t),M(ku,hw,t)) \right) \]

\[ = \phi \left( \min(M(fu,gw,t),1,1,M(fu,gw,t),M(gw,fu,t),M(fu,gw,t)) \right) \]

\[ = \phi \left( M(fu,gw,t) \right) > M(fu,gw,t) \]

which yields \( w \neq u \) and hence prove the theorem.

**Corollary 1.** Let \( f \) and \( h \) be self maps on a fuzzy metric space \((X, M, \ast)\) such that the pairs \((f, h)\) is sub compatible and sub sequentially continuous, then (i) \( f \) and \( h \) have a coincidence point, Further, If \( M(fx, fy, t) \geq \phi \left( \min(M(hx, hy, t), M(fx, hx, t), M(fy, hy, t), M(hx, fy, t), M(hy, fx, t)) \right) \)

for all \( x, y \in X, t > 0 \), where \( \phi : [0, 1] \to [0, 1] \) is a continuous function such that \( \phi (s) > s \) for each \( 0 < s < 1 \). Then \( f \) and \( h \) have a unique common fixed point in \( X \).

**Corollary 2.** Let \( f, g \) and \( h \) be self maps on a fuzzy metric space \((X, M, \ast)\) . Suppose that the pairs \((f, h)\) and \((g, h)\) are sub compatible and sub sequentially continuous, then

(i) \( f \) and \( h \) have a coincidence point,

(ii) \( g \) and \( h \) have a coincidence point.

Further, If

\[ M( hx hy t) \geq \phi \left( \min(M(hx, hy, t), M(hx, hx, t) M(hy, hy, t) M(hx, fy, t), M(hy, fx, t)) \right) \]

for all \( x, y \in X, t > 0 \), where \( \phi : [0, 1] \to [0, 1] \) is a continuous function such that \( \phi (s) > s \) for each \( 0 < s < 1 \). Then \( f, g \) and \( h \) have a unique common fixed point in \( X \).

Now, we furnish our theorem with example.

**Example.** Let \( X = R \), equipped with usual metric \( d \) and

\[ M(x, y, t) = \frac{t}{(t + d(x, y))} \]

for all \( x, y \in X, t > 0 \).

Define the maps \( f, g, h \) and \( k : X \to X \) as

\[
\begin{align*}
f(x) & = x, x \leq 1 \\
& = 3x+1, x > 1
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
h(x) & = 2x-1, x \leq 1 \\
& = 5x-1, x > 1
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
g(x) & = 3-2x, x \leq 1 \\
& = 3x, x > 1
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
k(x) & = 2x, x < 1 \\
& = 3x-2, x \geq 1
\end{align*}
\]
Consider the sequences \( \{X_n\} = \{Y_n\} = 1 - \frac{1}{n} \)

Then, clearly \( f(x_n), g(x_n), h(x_n) \) and \( k(x_n) \to 1 \).

\[
fh(x_n) = f(1 - \frac{1}{n}) = 1 - \frac{1}{n} \to 1 = f(1)
\]

and

\[
h(x_n) = h(1 - \frac{1}{n}) = 1 - \frac{1}{n} \to 1 = h(1)
\]

Thus \( (f, h) \) is sub compatible and sub sequentially continuous.

Again,

\[
kg(x_n) = g(1 - \frac{1}{n}) = 3 - 2(1 - \frac{1}{n}) = (1 + \frac{2}{n}) \to 1 = g(1)
\]

\[
k(x_n) = k(1 + \frac{1}{n}) = 2(1 + \frac{3}{n}) \to 1 = k(1)
\]

which shows that \( (g, k) \) is compatible and sub sequentially continuous.

Also the condition (1.1) of our theorem is satisfied and \'1\' is unique common fixed point of \( f, g, h \) and \( k \).
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