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Abstract: The approximate solutions for the Kuramoto – Sivashinsky Equation are obtained by using the Adomian Decomposition method (ADM). The numerical example show that the approximate solution comparing with the exact solution is accurate and effective and suitable for this kind of problem.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The ADM was first introduced by Adomian in the beginning of 1980’s. The method is useful obtaining both a closed form and the explicit solution and numerical approximations of linear or nonlinear differential equations and it is also quite straightforward to write computer codes. This method has been applied to obtain a formal solution to a wide class of stochastic and deterministic problems in science and engineering involving algebraic, differential, integro-differential, differential delay, integral and partial differential equations. In the present study, Adomian decomposition method (ADM) has been applied to solve the Kuramoto–Sivashinsky equations. The numerical results are compared with the exact solutions. It is shown that the errors are very small.

II. II.1 Mathematical Model

The Kuramoto–Sivashinsky equation is a non-linear evolution equation and has many applications in a variety of physical phenomena such as reaction diffusion systems (Kuramoto and Tsuzuki, 1976)\textsuperscript{[2]}, long waves on the interface between two viscous fluids (Hooper and Grimshaw, 1985)\textsuperscript{[3]}, and thin hydrodynamics films (Sivashinsky, 1983)\textsuperscript{[4]}. The Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation has been studied numerically by many authors (Akrivis and Smyrlis, 2004; Manickamm et al., 1998; Uddin et al., 2009)\textsuperscript{[5-7]}.

Consider the Kuramoto–Sivashinsky equation

\begin{equation}
    u_t + uu_x + \alpha u_{xx} + \gamma u_{xxx} + \beta u_{xxxx} = 0
\end{equation}

Subject to the initial condition

\begin{equation}
    u(x,0) = f(x) \quad a \leq x \leq b.
\end{equation}

And boundary conditions

\begin{equation}
    \begin{cases}
        u(a,t) = g_1(t), & u(b,t) = g_2(t) & t > 0 \\
        \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} = h_1, & \text{at } x = a \text{ and } x = b & \text{where } h_1 \geq 0.
    \end{cases}
\end{equation}

II. II.2 Basic idea of Adomian Decomposition Method (ADM)

Consider the differential equation: \( Lu + Ru + Nu = g \)

\begin{equation}
    u(x,0) = f(x)
\end{equation}

where \( L \) is the operator of the highest-ordered derivatives and \( R \) is the remainder of the linear operator. The nonlinear term is represented by \( N(u) \).

Thus we get:

\begin{equation}
    Lu = g - Ru - Nu
\end{equation}

Where

\begin{equation}
    L = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \quad \text{Define} \quad L^{-1} = \int_0^t(\cdot)dt
\end{equation}

operating with the operator \( L^{-1} \) on both sides of Eq. (6) we have

\begin{equation}
    u = f(x) - L^{-1}(Ru) - L^{-1}(Nu), \quad \text{where} \quad L^{-1}(g) = f(x)
\end{equation}

The standard Adomian decomposition method define the solution \( u(x,t) \) an infinite series of the form:
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\[ u(x, t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} u_k(x, t) \]  

(9)

Where \( u_0 = f(x) \)  

(10)

And \( u_1, u_2, \ldots \) are determined by

\[ u_{k+1} = -L^{-1}(Ru_k) - L^{-1}(Nu_k) , \quad k \geq 0 \]  

(11)

and the nonlinear operator \( N(u) \) can be decomposed by an infinite series of polynomials given by

\[ N(u) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k \]  

(12)

Where

\[ A_k = \frac{1}{k!} \frac{d^k}{dt^k} \left[ F\left( \sum_{i=0}^{k} \lambda^i u_i \right) \right]_{\lambda=0}, \quad k = 0, 1, \ldots \]  

(13)

It is now well known in the literature that these polynomials can be constructed for all classes of nonlinearity according to algorithms set by Adomian [8,9] and recently developed by an alternative approach in [8-10].

II.3 Derivative of (ADM) for Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation

We consider Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation

\[ u_t + uu_x + \alpha u_{xx} + \gamma u_{xxx} + \beta u_{xxxx} = 0 , \]  

(14)

with the initial condition of

\[ u(x, 0) = f(x) \]  

(15)

Applying the operator \( L^{-1} \) on both sides of Eq. (14) and using the initial condition we find

\[ u(x, t) = f(x) - L^{-1}(uu_x + \alpha u_{xx} + \gamma u_{xxx} + \beta u_{xxxx}) \]  

(16)

Where

\[ L = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \]  

\[ \text{Define} \ L^{-1} = \int_0^t(\cdot) \, dt \]  

(17)

\[ u(x, t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} u_k(x, t) \]  

(18)

\[ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} u_k(x, t) = f(x) - L^{-1}\left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k + \alpha \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} u_k \right)_{xx} + \gamma \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} u_k \right)_{xxx} + \beta \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} u_k \right)_{xxxx} \right) \]  

(19)

Identifying the zeros component \( u_0(x, t) \) by \( f(x) \), the remaining components \( k \geq 1 \) can be determined by using the recurrence relation

\[ u_0(x, t) = f(x) \]  

(20)

\[ u_{k+1}(x, t) = -L^{-1}(A_k + u(x)_{xx} + \gamma u(x)_{xxx} + \beta u(x)_{xxxx}) \]  

(21)

where \( A_k \) are Adomian polynomials that represent the nonlinear term \( (uu_x) \) and given by

\[ A_k = \frac{1}{k!} \frac{d^k}{dt^k} \left[ F\left( \sum_{i=0}^{k} \lambda^i u_i \right) \right]_{\lambda=0} , \quad k = 0, 1, \ldots \]  

(22)

When

\[ F(u) = uu_x \]  

(23)

Then

\[ A_0 = \frac{1}{0!} \frac{d^0}{dt^0} [F(\lambda^0 u_0)]_{\lambda=0} = F(u_0) = u_0u_{0x} \]  

(24)

\[ A_1 = \frac{1}{1!} \frac{d}{dt} [F(u_0 + \lambda u_1)]_{\lambda=0} = \frac{d}{dt} \left[ (u_0 + \lambda u_1)(u_0 + \lambda u_1)_{x} \right]_{x=0} \]  

\[ = \frac{d}{dt} \left[ (u_0u_{xx} + u_0u_{1x} + u_0u_{1xx} + u_1u_{xx} + u_1u_{1xx} + u_1u_{1xx}) \right]_{x=0} \]  

\[ = u_0u_{xx} + u_1u_{1x} \]  

(25)

\[ A_2 = \frac{1}{2!} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} [F(u_0 + u_1 + u_2)]_{x=0} \]  

\[ = \frac{1}{2!} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \left[ (u_0 + u_1 + u_2)(u_0 + u_1 + u_2)_{x} \right]_{x=0} \]  

\[ = \frac{1}{2!} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \left[ (u_0u_{xx} + u_0u_{1x} + u_0u_{2x} + u_0u_{2x} + u_1u_{xx} + u_1u_{2x} + u_1u_{2x} + u_2u_{xx} + u_2u_{xx} + u_2u_{xx}) \right]_{x=0} \]  

\[ = u_0u_{2x} + u_1u_{1x} + u_2u_{0x} \]  

(26)
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Other polynomials can be generated in a similar way. The first few components of \( u_0(x,t) \) follows immediately upon setting

\[
\begin{align*}
u_0(x,t) &= f(x) \\
u_1(x,t) &= \frac{1}{L} \left[ A_0 + \alpha(u)_{xx} + \gamma(u)_{xxx} + \beta(u)_{xxxx} \right] \\
u_2(x,t) &= \frac{1}{L} \left[ A_1 + \alpha(u)_{xx} + \gamma(u)_{xxx} + \beta(u)_{xxxx} \right] \\
u_3(x,t) &= \frac{1}{L} \left[ A_2 + \alpha(u)_{xx} + \gamma(u)_{xxx} + \beta(u)_{xxxx} \right] \\
\end{align*}
\]

Then

\[
u(x,t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} u_k(x,t) = u_0(x,t) + u_1(x,t) + u_2(x,t) + u_3(x,t) + \ldots \]

III. Figures And Tables

### III.1 Numerical Example

In this section, we apply the technique discussed in the previous section to find numerical solution of the Kuramoto–Sivashinsky equations and compare our results with exact solutions.

Example: [11] \( u_t + uu_x + u_{xx} + u_{xxxx} = 0 \), \( x \in [0,32\pi] \), \( x \in [0,32\pi] \).

With the initial condition of

\[
u(x,0) = \cos\left( \frac{x}{16} \right) \left( 1 + \sin\frac{x}{16} \right);
\]

Exact solution of problem is given by

\[
u(x,t) = \cos\left( \frac{x}{16} - t \right) \left( 1 + \sin\frac{x}{16} - t \right)
\]

\[
A_0 = u_0 u_{0x} = -\cos\left( \frac{x}{16} \right) \left( 1 + \sin\frac{x}{16} \right) \left( \frac{\sin\left( \frac{x}{16} \right) (1 + \sin\frac{x}{16}) - \cos^2 \left( \frac{x}{16} \right)}{16} \right)
\]

\[
u_1(x,t) = t \cos\left( \frac{x}{16} \right) \left( \frac{9200 \sin\left( \frac{x}{16} \right) - 8192 \cos^2 \left( \frac{x}{16} \right) \sin\left( \frac{x}{16} \right) - 12288 \cos^3 \left( \frac{x}{16} \right) + 8447}{65536} \right)
\]

\[
A_1 = u_0 u_{1x} + u_1 u_{0x} = -t \cos\left( \frac{x}{16} \right) \left( \frac{35294 \sin\left( \frac{x}{16} \right) - 118720 \cos^2 \left( \frac{x}{16} \right) \sin\left( \frac{x}{16} \right) + 49152 \cos^2 \left( \frac{x}{16} \right) \sin\left( \frac{x}{16} \right) - 134861 \cos^3 \left( \frac{x}{16} \right) + 102400 \cos^4 \left( \frac{x}{16} \right) + 35294}{1048576} \right)
\]

\[
u_2(x,t) = \left( t^2 \cos\left( \frac{x}{16} \right) \right) \left( \frac{165437696 \sin\left( \frac{x}{16} \right) - 517734400 \cos^2 \left( \frac{x}{16} \right) \sin\left( \frac{x}{16} \right) + 201326592 \cos^3 \left( \frac{x}{16} \right) \sin\left( \frac{x}{16} \right) + 8589934592}{8589934592} \right)
\]

\[
+ \left( \frac{-579706880 \cos^2 \left( \frac{x}{16} \right) + 419430400 \cos^4 \left( \frac{x}{16} \right) + 164855297}{8589934592} \right)
\]

\[
A_2 = u_0 u_{2x} + u_1 u_{1x} + u_2 u_{0x} = -t^2 \cos\left( \frac{x}{16} \right) \left( \frac{972569604 \sin\left( \frac{x}{16} \right) - 6823380992 \cos^2 \left( \frac{x}{16} \right) \sin\left( \frac{x}{16} \right) + 137438953472}{137438953472} \right)
\]

\[
+ \left( \frac{9043968000 \cos^4 \left( \frac{x}{16} \right) \sin\left( \frac{x}{16} \right) - 2147483648 \cos^6 \left( \frac{x}{16} \right) \sin\left( \frac{x}{16} \right)}{137438953472} \right)
\]

\[
+ \left( \frac{-7304897891 \cos^2 \left( \frac{x}{16} \right) + 12242182144 \cos^4 \left( \frac{x}{16} \right) - 5754585088 \cos^6 \left( \frac{x}{16} \right) + 971435586}{137438953472} \right)
\]
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\[ u_3(x, t) = (t^3 \cos \left( \frac{x}{16} \right))^2 \left( \frac{4859359014912 \sin \left( \frac{x}{16} \right) - 30758081134592 \cos^2 \left( \frac{x}{16} \right) \sin \left( \frac{x}{16} \right)}{1688849860263936} + \frac{3863859536640 \cos^4 \left( \frac{x}{16} \right) \sin \left( \frac{x}{16} \right) - 8796093022208 \cos^6 \left( \frac{x}{16} \right) \sin \left( \frac{x}{16} \right) - 33071821131776 \cos^2 \left( \frac{x}{16} \right)}{1688849860263936} + \frac{52566188621824 \cos^5 \left( \frac{x}{16} \right) - 23570780520448 \cos^6 \left( \frac{x}{16} \right) + 482635967871}{1688849860263936} \right) \]  

(40)

Then approximation solution of Eq. (32) is \( u(x, t) = u_0 + u_1 + u_2 + u_3 \) with third-order approximation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( x + \pi )</th>
<th>( t )</th>
<th>EXACT SOLUTION</th>
<th>APPROXIMATION</th>
<th>ABSOLUTE ERROR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>0.60290620521184</td>
<td>0.60290620521184</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>0.603261605525986</td>
<td>0.60294209951888</td>
<td>3.37575574097327e-04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>0.60363135113795</td>
<td>0.60293480031289</td>
<td>6.75091082505740e-04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0008</td>
<td>0.60417209304505</td>
<td>0.60296726213633</td>
<td>1.34994176817004e-03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.60466895104049</td>
<td>0.60296174162053</td>
<td>1.68728687840974e-03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1.28454525252252</td>
<td>-1.28454525252252</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>-1.284494444647476</td>
<td>-1.28451820701811</td>
<td>6.23760633347493e-05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>-1.284433528322049</td>
<td>-1.28455888250076</td>
<td>1.24859928206799e-04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>-1.284377503541076</td>
<td>-1.28456955140284</td>
<td>1.87451599270300e-04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0008</td>
<td>-1.28431720939045</td>
<td>-1.28457152138139</td>
<td>2.50151081891454e-04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>-1.28426512859198</td>
<td>-1.28457986973377</td>
<td>3.12958381480488e-04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.33348873622737</td>
<td>-0.33348873622737</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>-0.333368118356193</td>
<td>-0.33348416463982</td>
<td>1.83953863727683e-04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>-0.334024754554259</td>
<td>-0.33437953175456</td>
<td>3.67951378764717e-04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>-0.334027014266963</td>
<td>-0.33475021834294</td>
<td>5.51992423669012e-04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0008</td>
<td>-0.334206527659685</td>
<td>-0.33470450616308</td>
<td>7.36070743376914e-04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>-0.334386084771779</td>
<td>-0.33465879521502</td>
<td>9.20205196293198e-04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.01512436828711</td>
<td>0.01512436828711</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>0.01505957762350</td>
<td>0.01512367778954</td>
<td>2.77001371934686e-05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>0.01506762171984</td>
<td>0.01512287353990</td>
<td>5.53656341442614e-05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>0.01503930041290</td>
<td>0.0151226922050</td>
<td>8.29965091435494e-05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0008</td>
<td>0.014982761602528</td>
<td>0.0151209669009</td>
<td>1.38154466494934e-04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.014982761602528</td>
<td>0.0151209669009</td>
<td>1.38154466494934e-04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.00000000000000</td>
<td>1.00000000000000</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>0.99979998005333</td>
<td>0.99988278219567</td>
<td>1.88298214346507e-04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>0.999599920042668</td>
<td>0.99976556481800</td>
<td>3.76636439122163e-04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>0.999399820144405</td>
<td>0.99964834786718</td>
<td>5.65014642713523e-04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0008</td>
<td>0.99919968034135</td>
<td>0.99995113314344</td>
<td>7.53432792994131e-04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.998999506666708</td>
<td>0.99941391524699</td>
<td>9.41890857991567e-04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table (1) comparison exact with Adomian Decomposition Method (ADM)
Now we compare exact solution with Adomian Decomposition Method (ADM) solution in Fig.1, Fig.2.

![Fig.1] Exact solution of Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation
![Fig.2] (ADM) solution of Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation

**IV. Conclusion**

The (ADM) applied to Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation and by comparing with the exact solution Fig.1, Fig.2 and Table(1) shows that the absolute error is so small and the approximate solution is so closed to the exact solution.
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