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Abstract: This study explored the impact of gender, school resources and teacher-pupil ratio on academic achievement of secondary school students. A convenient sample of 1567 students, 770 Government and 797 Private students from forty schools of Aurangabad, Nanded and Parbhani districts of Maharashtra (India) was used for present study. The VIIIth grade final marks for academic Achievement scores and School assessment Scale were used for data collection, while t-test, Mean and S.D. techniques were used for statistical analysis. The results revealed that there was a significant difference between academic achievement of Government and Private secondary school students on gender and resources basis. The results of analysis showed that Government and Private secondary schools are using comparatively the same school inputs and teacher-pupil ratio. Moreover the students of Government schools had higher academic achievement in comparison to Private managed schools.
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I. Introduction:

Education in its broadest sense may be defined as a process designed to inculcate the knowledge, skills and attitudes necessary to enable individuals to cope up effectively with their environment. Its primary purpose is to foster and promote the fullest individual self realization for all people. Achieving this goal requires understanding of commitment to the proposition that education is a primary instrument for social and economic advancement of human welfare (Verma 1990).

Education in its largest sense is any act or experience that has a formative effect on mind, character and physical ability of an individual. In its technical sense, education is a process by which society deliberately transmits its accumulated knowledge, skills and values from one generation to another. Etymologically, the word education is derived from educare (Latin) “bring up”, which is related to educere “bring forth what is within”, “bring forth what is within”, “bring out potential” and ducere, “to lead”.

The world is becoming more and more competitive. Quality of performance has become the key factor for personal progress. Parents desire that their children climb the ladder of performance to as high a level as possible. This desire for high level of achievement puts a lot of pressure on students, teachers, and school and in general the education system itself. In fact, it appears as if the whole system of education revolves around the academic achievement of students, though various other outcomes are also expected from the system. Thus a lot of time and effort of the schools are used for helping students to achieve better in their scholastic endeavors. The importance of scholastic and academic achievement has raised important questions for educational researchers. How far the different factors contribute towards academic achievement? (Ramaswamy 1990).

According to the sixth All India educational survey (NCERT-1995) in India, secondary and senior secondary levels of education have growth at an unequal pace from 25.85% to 52.11% during 1986 to 1993. This has influenced the demands for tertiary and higher education. In present scenario secondary education should not be viewed as a mere extension of secondary education rather, it should be perceived as the preparation of adolescent as a better human resource, capable of contributing to economic, social and national development.

Education is the process of developing the capacities and potentials of the individuals as to prepare that individual to be successful in a specific society or culture. From this perspective, education is serving primarily as an individual development function. Education begins at birth and continues throughout life. It is constant and ongoing. Schooling generally begins somewhere between the ages four and six when children are gathered together for the purpose of specific guidance related to skills and competencies that society deems important. In the past, once the formal, primary and secondary schooling was completed the process was finished. However, in today’s information age, adults are quite often learning in informal setting throughout their working lives and even into retirement.
In our society academic achievement is considered as a key criterion to judge one’s total potentialities and capacities. Hence academic achievement occupies a very important place in education as well as in the learning process. Academic achievement is defined by Crow and Crow (1969) as the extent to which a learner is profiting from instructions in a given area of learning. i.e achievement is reflected by the extent to which skill and knowledge has been imparted to him. Academic achievement also denotes the knowledge attained and skill developed in the school subject, usually designated by test scores. Achievement is influenced by personality, motivation, opportunities, education and training.

Whether raising school expenditure is an effective way to improve educational outcomes is a contentious issue. Hanushek (2008) argues that accumulated research says that there is currently no clear, systematic relationship between resources and students outcome, with the implication that conventional input policies are unlikely to improve achievement. However, a critique of this argument has pointed out to a number of high quality studies that suggest otherwise. Two well known examples included the paper on class size in Israel (Angrist & Lavy, 1999) and the experimental Tennessee STAR class size reduction papers (Krueger, 1999; Krueger & Whitmore, 2001). A difficulty with this debate is that studies with an excellent methodological design are rare and data available to researchers usually falls well short of the ideal. Thus, it is not clear how far one can use the literature to give policy advice on whether or not Government should raise the amount of money they spend on school education.


OBJECTIVES:
1. To study the academic achievement of students enrolled in Government and Private secondary schools on gender basis.
2. To study the school resources in Government and Private secondary schools.
3. To study the Teacher-pupil ratio in Government and Private secondary schools.

HYPOTHESES:
1. There is no significant difference between achievement levels of Government secondary school students on gender basis.
2. There is no significant difference between achievement levels of Private secondary school students on gender basis.
3. There is no significant difference between achievement levels of male Government and Private secondary school students.
4. There is no significant difference between achievement levels of male Government and Private secondary school students.
5. There is no significant difference between achievement levels of Female Government and Private secondary school students.
6. There is no significant difference between school resources of Government and Private secondary schools.
7. There is no significant difference between teacher-pupil ratio of Government and Private secondary schools.

Research tools:
Following tools are employed for gathering data needed for the present study.
1. The measure of academic achievement is based on the marks obtained in the VIIIth grade examination conducted by the school.
2. School Inputs Assessment Questionnaire prepared by the investigator.

II. Methodology:
The Research is conducted in Aurangabad, Nanded and Parbhani districts of Maharashtra state. 40 secondary schools are selected as a sample with stratified random sampling technique. 20 schools were Government and 20 schools were private. Out of the sample of 1567 students 770 students were Government secondary school students and 797 students from private secondary schools. Academic achievement score of all students of IXth class is collected by the final marks of VIIIth class from their school register records under the supervision of respective head masters of those schools. School inputs and teacher-pupil ratio scores is obtained by school inputs assessment questionnaire. For the analysis of data researcher used descriptive and
inferential statistics. Researcher used central tendency (mean), standard deviation (SD), t-test for significant difference between two means.

III. Findings and conclusion:

4.1. Comparison of academic achievement between male & female students of Government secondary schools:

The total numbers of male and female Government secondary school students were 386 and 384 respectively as indicated by table number 4.1. out of total 100 scores the mean academic achievement score of male Government secondary school student is 60.44 and standard deviation (SD=7.62) and in case of female Government secondary school students the mean academic achievement score is 59.00 and standard deviation (SD=6.61) respectively; the calculated t-value is 2.79 at df=768; which is significant at 0.05 level of significance. It shows that there is a significant difference between the academic achievement of male and female Government secondary school students both are performing differently in their respective schools thus the second hypothesis stating that “Government secondary school students do not differ in academic achievement on gender basis”. Is rejected at 0.05 level of significance. The graphical representation of mean academic achievement scores of Male and Female Government secondary school students is given in fig. 4.1.
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4.2. Comparison of academic achievement between male & female students of Private secondary schools:

The total numbers of male and female Private secondary school students were 384 and 413 respectively as indicated by table number 4.2. out of total 100 scores the mean academic achievement score of male Private secondary school student is 59.45 and standard deviation (SD=7.49) and in case of female Private secondary school students the mean academic achievement score is 58.86 and standard deviation (SD=6.68) respectively; the calculated t-value is 1.18 with 795df. Which is not significant at 0.05 level. The result shows that there is no significant difference between academic achievement of male and female students of Private schools. Both are equally performing in Private schools on academic achievement basis. Thus the hypothesis “Private secondary school students do not differ in academic achievement on gender basis.” is accepted at 0.05 level of confidence”. Graphical representation in figure 4.2.

![Graph of Academic achievement of Male and Female Private secondary school students.](image)
4.3. Comparison of academic achievement between male students of Government & Private secondary Schools.

The total numbers of male Government secondary school students were 386 and private male students were 384 respectively as indicated by table number 4.3. out of total 100 scores the mean academic achievement score of male government secondary school student is 60.44 and standard deviation (SD=7.62) and in case of male Private secondary school students the mean academic achievement score is 59.45 and standard deviation (SD=7.49) respectively; the calculated t-value is 1.81;with 768df. Which is not significant at 0.05 level. The result shows that there is no significant difference between academic achievement of male students of government and Private schools. Both are equally performing in respective schools on academic achievement basis. Thus the hypothesis “There is no significant difference between academic achievement of male students of Government and Private secondary school.” is accepted at 0.05 level of confidence”. Graphical representation in figure 4.3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of School</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>S.D.</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>*Not significant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male (Govt.)</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>60.44</td>
<td>7.62</td>
<td>768</td>
<td>1.81*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male (Pvt)</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>59.45</td>
<td>7.49</td>
<td>795</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


The total numbers of Government and Private female secondary school students were 384 and 413 respectively as indicated by table number 4.4. out of total 100 scores the mean academic achievement score of female government secondary school student is 59.00 and standard deviation (SD=6.61) and in case of female Private secondary school students the mean academic achievement score is 58.86 and standard deviation (SD=6.68) respectively; the calculated t-value is 0.30;with 795df. Which is not significant at 0.05 level. The result shows that there is no significant difference between academic achievement of female students of Government and Private secondary schools. Both are equally performing in their schools on academic achievement basis. Thus the hypothesis “There is no significant difference between academic achievement of female students of Government and Private secondary School.” is accepted at 0.05 level of confidence”. Graphical representation in figure 4.4.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of School</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>S.D.</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>“t”-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female (Govt.)</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>59.00</td>
<td>6.61</td>
<td>795</td>
<td>0.30*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female (Pvt)</td>
<td>413</td>
<td>58.86</td>
<td>6.68</td>
<td>795</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Not significant

Fig. 4.4. Graph of academic achievement between female students of Government & Private secondary Schools.

4.5. Comparison of School resources in Government and Private secondary Schools.

In total 40 schools 20 Government secondary schools and 20 Private schools were selected for the school input study. The calculated mean school input score of Government secondary schools was 37.80 and standard deviation (S.D= 12.94) and the mean school input score of Private secondary schools was 42.75 and standard deviation (S.D= 13.85) at 38df respectively. The calculated t-value is 1.16 at 0.05 level of significance which is not significant. It means that there is no significant difference between school inputs of Government and Private secondary schools both operates with comparatively insignificant school inputs thus the hypothesis stating “There is no significant difference between school inputs of government and private secondary school” is accepted at 0.05 level of significance. Graphical representation in figure 4.5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>School Resources</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>S.D.</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>“t”-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Government Schools</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>7.80</td>
<td>12.94</td>
<td>381</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Schools</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>42.75</td>
<td>13.85</td>
<td>381</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Not significant

Fig.4.5. Graph of School inputs of Government & Private secondary schools.


In case of Teacher-pupil ratio of 40 secondary schools the calculated mean score of teacher-pupil ratio of 20 Government secondary school was 5.80 and standard deviation (S.D=1.32). In 20 Private secondary schools the obtained mean score of teacher-pupil ratio was 5.10 and standard deviation (S.D= 1.80) at 38df respectively. Calculated t-value is 1.76 which is not significant at 0.05 level of confidence. It clearly indicates
that Government and Private secondary schools operates with insignificant teacher-pupil ratio there is no significant difference in both types of school thus the hypothesis “There is no significant difference between teacher-pupil ratio of government and private secondary schools” is accepted at 0.05 level of significance. Graphical representation in figure 4.6.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Teacher-Pupil Ratio</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>mean</th>
<th>S.D</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>“ t ”-Value Government Schools</th>
<th>Private Schools</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>5.10</th>
<th>1.80</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Govt Schools</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>*Not significant</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5.80</td>
<td>1.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt Schools</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Fig. 4.6. Graph of Teacher-Pupil ratio in Government & Private secondary schools.

IV. Discussion:
The purpose of the present study was to determine the effects of Gender, school resources and teacher-pupil ratio on the academic achievement of Government and Private secondary school students. These variables were chosen for analysis because they are manipulable variables that have been identified as important influences on the academic achievement of students in previous researches. Data from 40 secondary school sample was analyzed. T-test used for obtaining significant difference of means of two samples and F-test is used for the purpose of determining significant difference between many means. To determine the effect of these variables on academic achievement of secondary school students while controlling for other relevant background influences.

The results of analysis of data shows that gender differences, school inputs, school resources, teacher’s qualification, school infrastructure, teacher-pupil ratio in schools all had significant effect on academic achievement scores of Government and Private secondary school students.

The finding of this study is supported by Dhar et. al (2011) who stated that attitude of teachers towards teaching and the extent of use of their skills, expertise and abilities in teaching have greater effect on students academic achievement. Gleww et. al (2011), Paulo et. al (2008) concluded that school resources play a vital role in upgrading the academic outcomes of secondary school and school resources have positive effect on students academic achievement.

Holmlund et. al (2008) also reported that raising school expenditure improves educational outcomes and general rise in school expenditure has a consistently positive and significant effect on educational outcomes of school.

Doane B. T (2008) found that the school facilities affect the student’s academic achievement significantly. Whereas in words of Barbra Hotchkiss “learning in historic school facility provide students with a unique educational experience that cannot be replicated in a modern facility”.

In words of fabunmi et. al (2007) class size, student classroom space and class utilization when taken together or separately have significant effect on academic achievement of secondary school students.

Kathryn & margerete (2003) found that teacher-pupil ratio and resource utilization leads to different resource effect and there is significant relationship between school inputs and academic achievement. Many researches shown that school inputs and school expenditure affect academic achievement of secondary school students. School inputs available in Private school has much effect on academic achievement. School expenditure has a vice-versa effect on student’s performance. Raise in school expenditure positively affects the academic performance of secondary school students, Mark Schneider (2002), Harter (1999), Beharman et. al (1997), Psacheropoules et.al (1997), Middleton Roper David (1996).
Duraisamy (1999) found that school cost and some indicators of inputs in public and Private schools have greater influence on student’s academic achievement. It also concluded that teachers, school input cost, teacher-pupil ratio has positive effect on academic achievement of students, and student from Private schools done better academically than public school students.

From all these we can conclude that the school inputs, school resources, cost and expenditure has positive effect on academic performance of secondary school student. Students of schools equipped with better school inputs and resources perform academically better than Government school student. Beside studies conducted by Harter 1999, David Richard 1992, white 1992, Magdelena Mek et al, 1995, singh satvir 1996. Came to the conclusion that School Inputs (school infrastructure, condition & facilities) largely enhance academic achievement.

From all these we can conclude that the school inputs, school resources, cost and expenditure has positive effect on academic performance of secondary school student. Students of schools equipped with better school inputs and resources perform academically better than Government school student. Beside studies conducted by Harter 1999, David Richard 1992, white 1992, Magdelena Mek et al, 1995, singh satvir 1996. Came to the conclusion that School Inputs (school infrastructure, condition & facilities) largely enhance academic achievement.

V. Educational implication:

This study shows that school inputs and school resources has a significant influence on academic achievement of students, it is very helpful for parents, school teachers, principals and policy makers. It will assist parents where to admit their children as well as teachers and principal understand the difference of school inputs and will care about it. Policy makers with the help of this study can form better teaching learning process. It will help school authorities in academic achievement enhancement and ways to promote teaching learning process among students. Education system should provide the platform to each student where school resources and teacher-pupil ratio do not affect the academic achievement of student in any case.
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