

Role of Gharana in Promoting Indian Classical Music

Chaitanya Sinha (Phd Research Scholar)

Department of Music, Central University of Tamil Nadu, Thiruvavur -610005

Abstract

The gharana system has significantly played an important role in shaping and preserving Indian classical music, particularly in the Hindustani tradition. However, in the present context, its importance has become a subject of debate due to increasing institutionalization and globalization of music education. The main problem addressed in this study is the tension between traditional guru–shishya transmission and modern pedagogical frameworks, which may impact stylistic authenticity. The objective of this paper is to put forward how gharanas contribute to the promotion, preservation, and evolution of Indian classical music. A qualitative methodology has been adopted, based on the analysis of secondary sources such as books, journal articles, and documented case studies of prominent gharanas. The findings suggest that gharanas not only preserve distinct stylistic features and compositions but also provide a strong pedagogical foundation through personalized training. At the same time, they have shown adaptability by infusing new influences. The study concludes that while gharanas remain important to the vision of Indian classical music, their continued growing importance depends on their ability to balance tradition with contemporary changes.

Keywords: Gharana, Hindustani Classical Music, Guru-Shishya Parampara, Musical Tradition, Cultural Heritage, Pedagogy

Date of Submission: 02-10-2026

Date of Acceptance: 12-10-2026

I. Introduction

Indian classical music has grown over centuries through a combination of oral tradition, performance practice, and cultural exchange. One of the most relevant frameworks within this tradition is the concept of the **gharana**. The term “gharana” literally means “house” or “family,” but in music, it refers to a stylistic lineage where knowledge is passed from teacher to disciple over generations (Neuman, 1990).

The emergence of gharanas became more prominent during the 18th and 19th centuries, especially after the decline of royal patronage. Musicians began to form distinct identities based on their style, technique, and musical range. Over time, these evolved into well-recognized gharanas such as Gwalior, Kirana, Agra, and Jaipur-Atrauli (Ranade, 2006).

The thing which makes the gharana system unique is its foundation on the guru–shishya parampara, where learning takes place through close personal interaction rather than formal classroom teaching. This makes it possible to convey delicate musical details that are difficult to represent in written form.

In today’s era the role of gharanas is taking an important transformation. As the universities, music schools, and digital platforms are evolving day by day, the traditional system is no longer the only way to learn. This puts forward an important question: Are gharanas still has relevance? Do they help or provide a hindrance in the musical growth?

This paper tries to visualize these questions by looking at both the strengths and limitations of the gharana system.

II. Literature Review

Researchers have visualized the concept of gharana from different perspectives, including historical, pedagogical, and cultural angles. According to Neuman (1990), gharanas function not only restricted as stylistic categories but also has a deep impact on social institutions that shape the identity of musicians.

Ranade (2006) explains that each gharana originates its own aesthetic priorities. For example, some put deep emphasize on voice production, while others focus more on rhythmic complexity or improvisation. This richness in diversity contributes efficiently to the essence of rich Hindustani classical music.

Wade (2004) adds and highlights the significance of oral transmission in Indian music, keeping in mind that many aspects of performance—such as microtonal variations and improvisational techniques—cannot be fully captured in written form. This makes the guru–shishya system priceless and valuable.

Many scholars like *Subramanian (2006)* have put forward their view on how modern institutions have changed the way music is taught and performed. While institutionalization has made music easily available for all, it may also lead to standardization, reducing the uniqueness of gharanas.

Qureshi (2006) believes that gharanas are not static; they evolve over time. Musicians often borrow elements from other styles, leading to a more hybrid form of expression. In a nutshell, the literature proves that gharanas play a crucial role in preserving tradition while also adapting to changing contexts.

III. Methodology

This study is purely based on qualitative research using secondary sources. Books, journal articles, and documented examples of gharanas were deeply taken into consideration.

Approach

A descriptive and analytical approach was used to understand:

- how gharanas function
- their role in teaching and performance
- their relevance today

Data Sources

The study relies on:

- academic books (Neuman, Ranade, Wade)
- musicological research
- documented histories of specific gharanas

Limitations

As the article is based on secondary data, it does not include fieldwork or interviews. Still, the use of well-established academic sources helps maintain reliability.

IV. Key Findings

4.1 Preservation of Tradition

Gharanas play an important role in preserving musical heritage. Each gharana dictates a specific style, including particular ragas, bandishes, and methods of improvisation. This helps in maintaining continuity across generations. The strength of guru–shishya parampara allows for deep, personalized learning. Students not only learn techniques but also develop an emotional and aesthetic understanding of music. Different gharanas help to create stylistic diversity. This prevents Indian classical music from becoming uniform or monotonous. Although it represents deep rooted tradition, many gharanas have adapted to modern contexts. Musicians today often perform on global platforms and collaborate with artists from other traditions.

V. Dedication of gharanas for promoting Indian classical music

In musicological literature, the Gwalior gharana is strongly mentioned as the “oldest” or “foundational” gharana of *khayal* gayaki. However, this requires a more careful look. Instead of visualizing Gwalior as a starting point for the genre it is more significant if we see it as a place where teaching methods and standards of music first became organised and properly defined. Gwalior should be remembered as the first gharana where the protocols of style were more precisely visible for future generations.

As enlightened by *Vishnu Narayan Bhatkhande (2002)*, the musical work of the Gwalior gharana played a crucial role in shaping what later came to be accepted structure of *khayal* performance. The emphasis on clearly structured *bandish*, medium tempo elaboration, and systematic raga development contributed to making the style more teachable and reproducible. In this sense, Gwalior is more than just a tradition, but as a **proto-institutional model** of musical transmission.

Daniel M. Neuman (1990) mentions in his study that gharanas operate as social organizations that regulate authority and legitimacy. Extending this view, the Gwalior gharana’s apparent “simplicity” may actually represent a intentional aesthetic choice focused at **establishing authority through clarity and discipline**, rather than through complexity. This has helped it to function as a pedagogical baseline from which other gharanas differentiate themselves.

However, this type of standardization also introduces a contradiction. While it allows the music to diffuse more widely particularly when it comes to formal music education, it also throws light that unique styles of Gwalior are often taught as isolated techniques.

From a scholar’s perspective, the Gwalior gharana can therefore be interpreted as a **mediator between oral tradition and modern institutional pedagogy**, simultaneously putting efforts on preservation and transformation.

5.2 Jaipur-Atrauli Gharana: Complexity, Exclusivity, and Aesthetic Resistance

While the the Gwalior gharana is known for being able to reach and understand, on the other hand the Jaipur-Atrauli Gharana founded by alladiya Khan has a very different path. This style is very famous for its rare and unusual ragas that could be rarely heard. Creating difficult melodies that creates intricate patterns, usage of advanced rhythms which are challenging for both performer and listener. If Gwalior is the clean foundation of music then Jaipur-Atrauli is also famous for its different and unique musical layers.

Bonnie C. Wade (2004) believes that the Jaipur style places a very important emphasis on structures and intellectual depth. However, instead of visualizing it as a mere complex technical form it can also be seen as a way of protecting the art form. It is completely different from other musical styles. It acts as an intentional distancing from the trend of making music simple and more standardized which is very common in other musical traditions.

The complex and blended bouquet of ragas portrayed by the Jaipur Atrauli requires more than just technical skill. They also reflects a deep understanding of the rules that controls the Raga structure. This creates a controlled shield where only those who possess intense training can truly access the tradition. As the knowledge is difficult to master alone, it makes the guru-shishya parampara even more powerful as the guru is very selective about who is ready to learn.

At the same time, this exclusivity makes people wonder whether these traditions will survive in modern world or not. As Amjad Ali Khan and other modern musicians have often discussed about this in various interviews, that today's audience may not be able to understand very complex or rare musical forms due to lack of training. This creates tension between two things- first keeping the music pure and complex, exactly as it was meant to be and second making it a point that the listeners could actually enjoy and get connected with the performances. Most importantly it becomes a challenge to stick to the core tradition and at the same time Making sure the the tradition does not lose its audience.

Most probably the Jaipur gharanas completely changes our mindset about ideology that traditional schools are always sunk in their old traditions. They constantly try to protect rare Ragas and at the same time adding their own improvisations creating innovations instead of copying outside trends. This contradicts the idea that music can be either traditional or purely modern. It portrays that a style can be both deeply drenched in tradition and highly creative at the same time.

So in a nutshell, the Jaipur – Atrauli gharana can be seen as the one which fits all. It chooses deep complexity of Ragas over being easy for everyone to understand. This portrays how gharanas can act as a way to both keeping old traditions alive and at the same time preventing the trend of making music too simple or standardized.

When we try to have a comparative insight we come to know that, the Gwalior and Jaipur-Atrauli gharanas show different but matching ways in Hindustani classical music. At one end where Gwalior represents easy access, the spread of teaching and clear structure, in contrast the Jaipur-Atrauli focuses more on complexity, being selective about students and maintaining a unique artistic depth. This comparative analysis shows that gharana system is not a single

This comparison highlights that the gharana system is not monolithic. Instead, it operates as a **dynamic field of negotiation**, where different lineages respond differently to questions of transmission, authority, and change. Unchanging block, instead it is a diversified field where different musical lineages find their own ways to handle teaching, authority and the pressure to change.

VI. Discussion

These case studies deeply invoke the idea that gharanas are not just an intricate piece of past traditions, instead they actively shapes the future of Indian Classical music. The Gwalior styles portrays that the tradition can provide a solid foundation, while the Jaipur-Atrauli styles deeply highlights how new ideas can grow within a very strict system. Together they both demonstrate the constant balance between staying stable and embracing change.

On the other hand the gharanas face modern challenges too. Formal school education, online lessons and global influences are shifting how music is taught and shared. While this helps music to be accessible enough on the other hand they can also prove to wash away the unique identity of individual schools. In response, many artists prefers a more flexible path by choosing to learn from different platforms or sources rather than just sticking to one. It seems that the main struggle is in between making things standized and keeping them specialized. Gwalior style focuses on being clear and easy to teach on the other hand the Jaipur-Atrauli gharana sticks to its difficult and complex methods.

This difference reflects what Neuman(1990) calls the “social organisation of musical knowledge”. It shows that different schools try to prove that they are the best in their own different ways. At the same time scholars like Wade(2004) have mentioned that there is a risk that this process simplifies music to a large extent thus reducing the deep understanding of the art to just a set of technical skills.

Another important aspect is the relationship between holding onto the past and coming up with new ideas. While gharanas are often seen as old-fashioned traditional and rigid, this study shows that innovation is not something which comes from outside. It is actually built into the tradition itself. For example the way the Jaipur gharana manages to create and preserve rare ragas proves that creativity can thrive even in chains of discipline. This enlightens us with the idea that Indian classical music is not a 'frozen thing'. It is a living, breathing process which always keeps on evolving (*Ranade, 2006*)

VII. Conclusion

The gharana system becomes a cornerstone of Indian classical music which acts as a bridge between the past and the future. It helps to preserve a specific way of thinking about music, places a well proved method for high level training and ensures that Indian music remain diversified rather than sounding the same everywhere. There are four key areas where system can thrive in the modern world.

First is preserving the core while being flexible. The core represents the uniqueness of the ways a gharana treats a raga, its specific vocal production and its rhythmic soul. Second is the flexibility where modern gharanas are beginning to accept that the students can use technology like recording lessons or using digital apps for practice, which were once forbidden in strict traditional settings. Another one is adapting the guru-shishya relationship. Earlier the students used to live with their teachers but now it is rarely possible. So to adapt, the system is moving towards a hybrid model which is intense short term training where students spend their holidays or summers in gurukuls for deep understanding. Another one is the global research where teachers are using video conferencing to reach students worldwide, ensuring the "selective knowledge" of a gharana isn't lost just because of geography.

Next is diversity versus globalization. For the gharana system to remain relevant it must celebrate its specialization. By staying different gharanas provide a reason for the audiences to keep coming back for live concerts, to hear something special which couldn't be found everywhere. Innovation does not mean anything. This might mean composing new songs or bandishes that fit the modern world but follow the ancient grammar of their school. It also ensures that music does not remain a museum piece instead it should evolve like a living process.

This study has aimed to critically examine the role of the gharana system in promoting Indian classical music, moving beyond a purely descriptive understanding toward a more analytical framework. By placing gharanas within broader debates on knowledge transmission, authority, and cultural continuity, the paper has discussed that they should not be viewed as static examples of tradition, but as dynamic and adaptive structures that actively shape the evolution of musical practice.

The in-depth analysis of the Gwalior and Jaipur-Atrauli gharanas portrays that different lineages negotiate the balance between preservation and innovation in distinct ways. While the former gives the examples of the processes of codification and pedagogical accessibility, the latter reflects complexity and selective transmission. Together, they reveal that the gharana system operates not as a unified model but as a plural and internally differentiated field, where competing aesthetic and pedagogical priorities coexist.

At a much broader level, the study also highlights that the continuing significance of gharanas lies in their ability to sustain in-depth and experiential forms of knowledge, which remain difficult to replicate within institutional frameworks. At the same time, the increasing influence of universities, digital media, and global performance circuits has transformed the conditions under which this knowledge is produced and transmitted. Rather than framing this transformation in terms of decline, it may be more useful to understand it as a process of reconfiguration. As *A. H. Ranade (2006)* suggests, Hindustani music has always evolved through adaptation, and the gharana system is no exception. The challenge, therefore, is not to resist change, but to critically get involved with it in ways that retain the depth and integrity of the tradition.

This also focuses to the need for rethinking the guru-shishya parampara in contemporary contexts. While its emphasis on close, immersive learning remains invaluable, questions of accessibility, hierarchy, and pedagogy require further examination. Future research could explore how digital platforms, hybrid teaching models, and cross-gharana collaborations are reshaping traditional modes of transmission.

In conclusion, the gharana system continues to be a vital force in Indian classical music, not because it resists change, but because it absorbs, negotiates, and redefines it. Its enduring significance lies in its capacity to function simultaneously as a site of continuity and a space of creative possibility. Recognizing this dual role is essential for any meaningful engagement with the future of Indian classical music. The future of gharanas is all about being "traditionally modern". By sticking to the traditional depth and at the same time infusing new ways of teaching and reaching audiences. Gharanas indeed play a very important role in promoting Indian classical music and will always remain the heartbeat of our Hindustani music.

References

- [1]. Bhatkhande, V. N. (2002). *Hindustani sangeet paddhati*. Lucknow: Sangeet Karyalaya.
- [2]. Bor, J. (1999). *The rāga guide*. Rotterdam: Nimbus Records.
- [3]. Capwell, C. (1997). *Music of the Bauls of Bengal*. Kent: Kent State University Press.
- [4]. Farrell, G. (1997). *Indian music and the West*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- [5]. Jairazbhoy, N. A. (1995). *The rāgs of North Indian music*. New Delhi: Oxford University Press.
- [6]. Lelyveld, D. (1993). The teaching of music in North India. *Past & Present*, 123, 35–58.
- [7]. Miner, A. (1993). *Sitar and sarod in the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries*. Delhi: Motilal Banarsidass.
- [8]. Neuman, D. M. (1990). *The life of music in North India*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- [9]. Qureshi, R. B. (2006). *Master musicians of India*. New York: Routledge.
- [10]. Ranade, A. (2006). *Hindustani music: A tradition in transition*. New Delhi: Popular Prakashan.
- [11]. Rowell, L. (1992). *Music and musical thought in early India*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- [12]. Sanyal, R., & Widdess, R. (2004). *Dhrupad*. Aldershot: Ashgate.
- [13]. Subramanian, L. (2006). *From the Tanjore court to the Madras music academy*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- [14]. Viswanathan, T., & Allen, M. (2004). *Music in South India*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- [15]. Wade, B. (2004). *Music in India: The classical traditions*. Upper Saddle River: Prentice Hall.
- [16]. Arnold, A. (2000). *The Garland encyclopedia of world music*. New York: Routledge.
- [17]. Booth, G. D. (2008). *Behind the curtain*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- [18]. Clayton, M. (2001). *Time in Indian music*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- [19]. Kippen, J. (2006). *Gurus of North Indian music*. New Delhi: Oxford University Press.
- [20]. Schofield, K. (2010). Reviving the golden age again. *Ethnomusicology*, 54(3), 484–517.