

Book Review: A Critical Review On “Next in Line” — Jeffrey Archer’s William Warwick Thriller

Srija Chakraborty

Academic Researcher

Former M.Phil. Scholar, University of Calcutta

Alumnus of Former Presidency College under CU; later M.A. from Presidency University, Kolkata

Alumnus of CUES, University of Calcutta and IR Department of Jadavpur University

Date of Submission: 28-09-2026

Date of Acceptance: 07-10-2026

Book Review

Jeffrey Archer’s *Next in Line* is a crime thriller that brings together police investigation, royal security, political unease, and the atmosphere of London in the late 1980s. Published in 2022, it is the fifth novel in the William Warwick series, following *Nothing Ventured*, *Hidden in Plain Sight*, *Turn a Blind Eye*, and *Over My Dead Body*. The novel places Detective Chief Inspector William Warwick and his colleagues in the highly sensitive environment of the Royalty Protection Command, where the safety of the British royal family becomes inseparable from questions of institutional trust and national security. Alongside this investigation, another strand involving Ross Hogan, a former undercover operative, gradually widens the scope of the danger. The official description of the novel identifies London in 1988 as its setting and establishes the possibility that the very system designed to protect the Crown may contain a serious weakness. This premise gives Archer a convenient foundation for suspense while allowing him to move between police procedure, personal relationships, criminal schemes, and the public fascination surrounding the monarchy. [filecite turn0file0 L28-L48](#)

At the centre of the novel is a simple but productive contradiction: the more visible and important a person is, the more difficult it becomes to protect that person completely. The title, *Next in Line*, naturally suggests the British system of succession, yet it also acquires a darker implication as the story develops. If one person is protected, who is exposed next? If a security system appears secure, where might its weakest point lie? Archer uses this tension to keep the narrative moving. The book is therefore less concerned with creating an intensely inward psychological portrait and more interested in constructing a sequence of complications that continually encourages the reader to turn the page. Contemporary responses to the novel likewise emphasize its speed, suspense, and several interconnected plotlines, while some readers have pointed out that the large cast and the book’s position within an established series can make it more demanding for someone who has not read the earlier volumes. [filecite turn0file0 L50-L70](#)

Overview of the Story and Setting

The story is set in London in 1988, a period in which the British royal family occupied an enormous place in public attention. Princess Diana, in particular, had become an exceptionally visible figure, and Archer uses that atmosphere of fascination as part of the novel’s dramatic background. William Warwick and his Scotland Yard colleagues are asked to examine the Royalty Protection Command, the specialist unit responsible for protecting members of the royal household. At the same time, Ross Hogan, whose past work as an undercover operative has accustomed him to secrecy and uncertainty, receives an assignment of his own. The two lines of action initially appear distinct, but Archer gradually draws them together until the implications extend beyond an individual investigation and become connected with a wider threat to national security and the Crown. [filecite turn0file0 L77-L93](#)

The historical setting is not merely decorative. By choosing 1988, Archer places the fictional investigation in a recognizable cultural environment in which the royal family was constantly watched by the public and the media. That visibility creates a useful source of tension. A minor lapse in ordinary circumstances can become a serious danger when the people involved are among the most recognizable figures in the country. The presence of real public personalities also gives the novel an immediate sense of authenticity, while the fictional investigators, conspiracies, and security problems allow Archer the freedom to build the thriller he wants. The distinction between history and fiction is therefore important. The royal figures belong to a real historical

world, but the events surrounding them in the novel should be understood as part of Archer's invented narrative rather than as a documentary account of royal security. A contemporary review in *The Times of India* similarly characterizes the book as a page-turning fifth installment of the William Warwick series and draws attention to Warwick's team and the protection of the royal family. □filecite□turn0file0□L95-L110□

Plot Construction and Pacing

One of the most noticeable qualities of *Next in Line* is the way Archer constructs the plot from several moving parts. The novel does not depend entirely on one mystery that is introduced at the beginning and solved at the end. Instead, the reader is taken through investigations, professional responsibilities, personal relationships, institutional difficulties, and threats that gradually begin to overlap. This method gives the narrative a sense of movement even when an individual scene is comparatively quiet. The reader knows that other characters are dealing with other problems elsewhere, and this awareness creates an underlying expectation that separate developments will eventually meet.

Archer's pacing supports this design. His scenes tend to be direct, his dialogue is accessible, and his characters usually have a clear immediate objective. As a result, the novel is easy to read quickly. A reader who enjoys conventional suspense fiction is unlikely to feel that the narrative spends too much time away from its principal concerns. The structure also produces a cinematic quality: attention moves from one situation to another, information is revealed in stages, and the consequences of one decision often become the starting point for another development. NetGalley responses to the book similarly emphasize the layered construction, intertwined storylines, and succession of twists. □filecite□turn0file0□L112-L142□

The same quality that gives the novel energy can also make it feel crowded. There are numerous characters to remember, several professional and personal relationships to follow, and more than one line of danger operating at the same time. Readers who prefer a tightly focused mystery may occasionally find themselves spending more effort remembering who belongs to which strand of the story than concentrating on the emotional meaning of an individual scene. Oceanwriter's response raises a comparable concern about the number of characters, especially for a reader entering the series midway through. This is not necessarily a failure of the novel; rather, it is a consequence of Archer's decision to write a continuing series in which established characters and relationships carry forward. □filecite□turn0file0□L138-L150□

For that reason, *Next in Line* is probably most rewarding when approached as part of an ongoing narrative world. It can be understood without having read every earlier installment, because the principal conflict is sufficiently clear, but knowledge of Warwick's previous experiences and relationships can make the later developments easier to appreciate. The official material identifies the novel as the fifth William Warwick book, and Archer's bibliography places it firmly within the continuing sequence. A new reader is therefore not excluded, but an established reader has an advantage in recognizing the significance of recurring characters and professional relationships. □filecite□turn0file0□L152-L162□

Characterization

William Warwick remains the main reason many readers will be willing to follow the novel. He is not constructed as the kind of detective whose appeal depends on extreme eccentricity, personal darkness, or a mysterious past. Instead, he is presented as a professional investigator whose qualities are patience, observation, judgment, persistence, and a willingness to work with others. That makes him a relatively approachable protagonist. His interest lies not in being extraordinary for its own sake, but in being placed in circumstances where professional responsibility becomes complicated by uncertainty, institutional pressure, and personal relationships. □filecite□turn0file0□L165-L181□

Ross Hogan adds another dimension to the cast. His experience in undercover work gives him a different understanding of secrecy and risk from that of a conventional police investigator. Because his assignment operates on a different level from Warwick's established investigation, the novel can move between formal police procedure and less predictable forms of intelligence work. The contrast helps Archer maintain the rhythm of the narrative. Warwick represents the recognizable professional investigator, while Hogan allows the story to enter territory where concealment, uncertainty, and personal risk become more pronounced. □filecite□turn0file0□L183-L189□

The inclusion of recognizable members of the royal family, particularly Princess Diana, also affects characterization. Their public status raises the perceived consequences of the fictional events. At the same time, this device requires the reader to maintain a clear boundary between historical personalities and fictional situations. Archer is writing a thriller, not documenting the actual internal workings of royal protection. A fictional scene involving a real person may sound plausible because the person existed, but plausibility should not be confused with historical evidence. This is especially important in a novel that deliberately places invented criminal activity close to recognizable public figures. □filecite□turn0file0□L191-L201□

Themes and Ideas

The most important theme in *Next in Line* is the relationship between security and vulnerability. A protection unit exists because certain individuals are exposed to unusual levels of risk. Yet the very visibility of those individuals makes perfect protection almost impossible. Archer turns this contradiction into a continuing source of suspense. The novel raises questions about trust, secrecy, institutional competence, and responsibility without becoming a sustained political argument. The reader is encouraged to think about the machinery of protection mainly through the consequences of its possible failure. □filecite□turn0file0□L204-L216□

Another important theme is the distance between public image and private reality. The royal family is presented through ceremonies, media attention, public affection, and an established image of national importance. Behind that public surface, however, are security officers, investigators, private relationships, professional obligations, and competing motives. The thriller form gives Archer a way to explore what might happen behind controlled doors and beyond the version of events visible to the general public. The 1988 setting strengthens this theme because the monarchy occupies such a large space in public imagination. The novel repeatedly reminds the reader that a highly visible public life also creates unusual demands for privacy and protection. □filecite□turn0file0□L218-L234□

Professional duty forms a third major idea. Warwick and his colleagues are frequently required to put evidence, procedure, and responsibility ahead of personal convenience. Archer does not stop the story to develop long philosophical discussions about duty. Instead, he demonstrates the idea through decisions and their consequences. This makes the thematic dimension practical rather than abstract. The characters reveal their professional values by what they choose to do when circumstances become difficult. The limitation is that these themes rarely become deeper philosophical explorations; they remain closely connected to the needs of the thriller and its forward movement. □filecite□turn0file0□L236-L246□

Writing Style

Archer's prose is one of the most accessible aspects of the novel. The sentences are generally straightforward, the conversations are easy to follow, and information is supplied in a way that keeps the reader moving. The writing does not demand that the reader pause frequently to interpret unusual language or experimental structures. This is particularly appropriate for a thriller whose main objective is momentum. Readers who enjoy a conventional page-turner are likely to find the style comfortable because the prose does not obstruct the plot. Publisher material and contemporary responses likewise emphasize suspense, readability, and rapid narrative development. □filecite□turn0file0□L249-L261□

There is, however, a trade-off between accessibility and depth. Because the story places such strong emphasis on action, investigation, and the next development, some emotional moments receive less interior exploration than they might in a more psychologically oriented novel. Characters are often understood through their decisions and actions rather than through extended access to their private thoughts. A reader seeking intricate psychological realism may consequently find the characterization comparatively light. For a reader whose priority is clarity, suspense, twists, and recognizable thriller conventions, the same quality will probably be regarded as a strength. □filecite□turn0file0□L263-L273□

Use of History and Real-World Figures

One of the novel's most effective devices is its combination of fictional crime with a familiar historical environment. London in 1988 provides a recognizable setting, while the royal family supplies an immediate sense of public importance. Because readers may already know something about the period and its personalities, the fictional danger can appear more consequential than it would in an entirely invented world. The reader brings prior knowledge into the story, and Archer uses that knowledge to increase tension.

This technique also creates a responsibility for interpretation. *Next in Line* should be approached as historical-flavored fiction rather than as a factual reconstruction of the British royal household or its security procedures. The presence of real people does not turn invented conversations, threats, or investigations into historical records. For readers who know the period well, this mixture of fact and invention may be especially noticeable. For others, the recognizable background may simply make the thriller easier to enter. The method works best when the reader accepts the historical setting as the stage for Archer's fictional drama while keeping documented history and invented events conceptually separate. □filecite□turn0file0□L280-L303□

Strengths of the Novel

The first major strength is narrative momentum. Archer gives the reader repeated reasons to continue. Investigations develop, new complications appear, and separate strands gradually move toward one another. The novel is built to sustain curiosity rather than to encourage prolonged reflection between major events.

The second strength is the setting. London in 1988, combined with the specialized world of royal protection, provides a distinctive environment for a police thriller. The setting is recognizable enough to feel grounded but specialized enough to create situations that would not arise in an ordinary detective story.

The third strength is William Warwick himself. He is a capable investigator without being written as an exaggerated action hero. His professionalism makes him accessible, and his role within a team gives the story opportunities to show investigation as a collective activity rather than the work of a single genius.

The fourth strength is the layered plot. Police work, security concerns, personal relationships, and broader threats are allowed to coexist. This variety prevents the novel from becoming repetitive and permits Archer to change the type of tension from one section to another.

Finally, the prose is readable. The direct style suits the genre and makes the novel particularly approachable for readers who want an entertaining thriller without a highly experimental literary structure. These strengths explain why the book can function effectively as mainstream suspense fiction even when it does not pursue the ambitions of a more psychologically or stylistically complex novel. □filecite□turn0file0□L306-L326□

Limitations of the Novel

The most obvious limitation is the novel's dependence on its position within a series. A reader who has not encountered the earlier William Warwick books may need time to understand existing relationships, professional histories, and recurring characters. Although the central story remains understandable, the emotional significance of certain connections is likely to be clearer to someone familiar with the preceding novels.

The size of the cast creates a related difficulty. Multiple characters and parallel threads give the book variety, but they can also make it feel crowded. The reader's attention is frequently required in several directions, which may reduce the impact of individual scenes. The novel's design rewards readers who enjoy complex series fiction but may frustrate those who prefer a smaller group of characters.

A further limitation is psychological depth. Character development is generally secondary to plot progression. Archer is more interested in what his characters must do than in examining every layer of why they feel or behave as they do. Readers seeking subtle interior characterization may therefore find the book less satisfying than readers who prioritize action and suspense.

Some developments may also feel familiar to experienced readers of police and conspiracy thrillers. The novel works within established genre conventions, and its effectiveness depends more on the arrangement and pace of those conventions than on completely reinventing them. Finally, the presence of real royal figures creates a potential historical misunderstanding if the reader does not maintain the boundary between fiction and documented events. □filecite□turn0file0□L331-L354□

Critical Evaluation

As a thriller, *Next in Line* succeeds because it has a clear understanding of the reading experience it intends to create. It is not trying to become a quiet character study, a densely theoretical examination of British politics, or an experimental literary novel. Its principal aim is suspense. It combines professional investigations, dangerous situations, recognizable public figures, and several storylines that gradually converge. Judged according to those purposes, the novel is effective. Responses surrounding its publication also indicate a generally positive reaction to its entertainment value, while acknowledging that readers new to the series may have difficulty with continuity and the large cast. □filecite□turn0file0□L357-L373□

My main reservation concerns the amount of information the reader is expected to hold at the same time. The novel asks for attention to several characters, relationships, investigations, and risks. For a reader who enjoys complex series narratives, that density may be stimulating. For another reader, it may dilute the emotional force of particular scenes because attention is already moving toward the next plot development. In this respect, Archer appears more successful as a designer of suspense than as a writer of deeply psychological portraits. The novel's strongest effects come from anticipation, complication, and resolution rather than from prolonged examination of inner conflict. □filecite□turn0file0□L375-L387□

The title nevertheless gives the novel an effective conceptual centre. "Next in line" initially suggests royal succession, but the phrase gradually acquires another meaning connected with exposure and danger. When one individual is protected, another person may become vulnerable. When attention is focused on one threat, a different weakness may remain unnoticed. This double implication connects the royal setting with the broader logic of the thriller. Danger does not necessarily remain attached to the person who first appears to be at risk; it can shift, spread, and reveal weaknesses elsewhere in the system. □filecite□turn0file0□L389-L397□

Overall Assessment

Next in Line is a polished and accessible thriller that combines a police-procedural framework with royal intrigue and a recognizable historical setting. Its most convincing qualities are its pace, its ability to coordinate several lines of action, and its continuing focus on the question of security. Archer knows how to create the feeling that something important is approaching, even when the immediate situation seems under control. The weaknesses of the novel are closely connected to these strengths. The large cast and the continuing series

background make it less immediately simple for newcomers, while the emphasis on plot sometimes leaves the emotional development of characters comparatively light. □filecite□turn0file0□L400-L414□

The novel is particularly appropriate for readers who enjoy fast-moving detective fiction, conspiracy narratives, institutional investigations, and stories set against famous historical environments. It is less likely to satisfy readers whose primary interest is experimental prose, extensive psychological exploration, or strict historical reconstruction. That distinction is important when judging the book fairly. A thriller should not necessarily be criticized for failing to become a different kind of novel. *Next in Line* is designed to entertain through suspense, and much of its success comes from its ability to maintain that purpose across a substantial narrative. At the same time, readers should remember that the fictional treatment of royal figures is not evidence about the actual history of the monarchy or the real workings of royal security. □filecite□turn0file0□L416-L424□

In conclusion, *Next in Line* reflects Jeffrey Archer’s established preference for forward-moving storytelling, accessible prose, and plotlines that remain closely connected even when the narrative shifts between different characters and situations. Its achievement is not primarily literary complexity. Its achievement is the ability to make the reader want to discover what happens next. That is particularly appropriate for a thriller, and Archer reinforces the effect by placing his fictional danger in a world already familiar to the reader through history, media, and public memory. The royal setting creates pressure around the characters, while the questions of secrecy, protection, institutional responsibility, and vulnerability provide a coherent foundation for the suspense. Although reading the earlier William Warwick novels would give readers useful background, *Next in Line* remains an engaging entry for those who enjoy traditional suspense fiction and the steady movement of a well-organized thriller. □filecite□turn0file0□L426-L444□

References

- [1]. Archer, J. (n.d.). About Jeffrey Archer. Jeffrey Archer. Retrieved October 4, 2026, from <https://jeffreycher.com/about-jeffrey-archer/>
- [2]. Archer, J. (n.d.). *Next in line*. Jeffrey Archer. Retrieved October 4, 2026, from <https://jeffreycher.com/book/next-in-line/>
- [3]. HarperReach. (n.d.). William Warwick novels—*Next in line*. HarperCollins. Retrieved October 4, 2026, from <https://www.harperreach.com/products/next-in-line-william-warwick-novels-jeffrey-archer-9780008474362/>
- [4]. NetGalley. (2022). *Next in line* [Book reviews]. <https://www.netgalley.com/catalog/book/265520>
- [5]. Novels Alive. (2022, September 27). 5-star review: *Next in line* by Jeffrey Archer. <https://novelsalive.com/2022/09/27/5-star-review-next-in-line-by-jeffrey-archer/>
- [6]. Oceanwriter. (2022, September 5). Book review: *Next in line* by Jeffrey Archer. Oceanwriter Reads. <https://oceanwriterreads.com/2022/09/05/book-review-next-in-line-by-jeffrey-archer/>
- [7]. The StoryGraph. (n.d.). *Next in line*: Reviews. Retrieved October 4, 2026, from https://app.thestorygraph.com/book_reviews/f8fd1930-2351-407f-b900-b1312d36b973
- [8]. Times of India. (2023, June 28). Micro review: *Next in line* by Jeffrey Archer. <https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/life-style/books/reviews/micro-review-next-in-line-by-jeffrey-archer/articleshow/101307848.cms>