IOSR Journal Of Humanities and Social Science (IOSR-JHSS)
Volume 30, Issue 9, Series 6 (September, 2025) 60-63
e-ISSN: 2279-0837, p-ISSN: 2279-0845.
www.iosrjournals.org

Beautifully Broken: How Literature Romanticises Suffering In The Female Psyche

Iram Kaur Pannu
Literature Research Paper

Abstract
This paper examines how literature persistently romanticises suffering in the female psyche, turning anguish into something at once haunting and strangely desirable, asking why anguish must be lyrical to be legible. Through close reading of Emily Brontë's Wuthering Heights,
Sylvia Plath's The Bell Jar, and Margaret Atwood's The Handmaid's Tale, I trace how madness, depression, and oppression are not only narrated but aestheticised; cloaked in imagery, rhythm, and metaphor that make pain both captivating and consumable. Drawing on feminist critics such as Elaine Showalter, Sandra Gilbert and Susan Gubar, and Coral Ann Howells, I argue that style itself becomes complicit: the lyricism that immortalises female suffering also risks sanctifying it, transforming trauma into spectacle. The result is a paradox.
Beauty makes pain endurable on the page and unforgettable in cultural memory, but it also risks blurring empathy into consumption and brutality into art. By examining this aesthetic inheritance, the paper asks a question that shadows centuries of women's writing: why must female pain be beautiful before it is heard?

Date of Submission: 17-09-2025 Date of Acceptance: 27-09-2025

I. Introduction

"Whatever our souls are made of, his and mine are the same," confesses Catherine

Earnshaw, a declaration that transforms mutual ruin into romantic destiny. It sounds like a love story; it reads like an obsession. In *Wuthering Heights*, it *becomes* pain, cloaked in beauty, and burned like a myth. There's something disturbingly seductive about the way

female suffering is wrapped in poetic prose, as if heartbreak must be lyrical to be worth reading. From the fevered wildness of Catherine Earnshaw to the fractured descent of Plath's Esther Greenwood, literature doesn't just depict feminine pain, it *aestheticises* it. Turns it soft. Makes it glitter. This paper unveils how that aesthetic, whether through romanticised madness, toxic love, or the performance of fragility, transforms anguish into art. Using *Wuthering Heights*, *The Bell Jar*, and *The Handmaid's Tale*, I explore how beautiful writing can become a double-edged weapon, elevating female pain into something almost holy, even as it erases its brutality. In the end, the question isn't just *why* we write female pain so beautifully, it's why we keep needing it to be beautiful at all.

The female psyche in literature can be compared to a house, and suffering is the room we keep redecorating. It's rarely left bare. Instead, it's furnished with candlelit metaphors, velvet sentences, and the faint scent of tragedy that makes readers linger. Critics have long observed this pattern: Elaine Showalter's theory of the 'female malady' situates women's suffering—particularly mental illness— as both a historical reality and a cultural performance, one that literature often amplifies into spectacle. Sandra Gilbert and Susan Gubar, in *The Madwoman in the Attic*, identify this romanticisation as a patriarchal inheritance, where women are framed as either ethereal victims or monstrous rebels, both trapped in narratives that make their pain palatable.

What complicates these narratives is that women writers themselves often participate in the romanticisation of female suffering. This can often stem from the patriarchal view imposed on society and how it has transcended through centuries, turning gendered anguish into art. Brontë, Plath, and Atwood, though separated by time and circumstance, have woven trauma into prose that lingers for its beauty as much as its truth. Over centuries, this has created a paradoxical legacy: giving voice to the pain of women by rendering it beautiful, even as that very beauty risks reinscribing the expectation that female suffering must be lyrical to be taken seriously.

In *Wuthering Heights*, Catherine's pain is inseparable from the wild beauty of the moors. Her longing and self-destruction are written in a way that invites awe as much as pity. In *The Bell Jar*, Sylvia Plath's prose renders Esther Greenwood's descent into depression as something strangely luminous; despair is threaded with wit, imagery, and crystalline detail. And in *The Handmaid's Tale*, Margaret Atwood gives Offred's trauma a poetic cadence that risks making oppression feel almost too artful, the brutality softened by rhythm and

metaphor. This isn't to deny the power of such writing; in fact, its very beauty is what allows these works to endure. But this raises a critical question: does aestheticising suffering sharpen our empathy, or does it seduce us into consuming pain as entertainment?

The allure of aestheticised suffering lies in its duplicity. On one hand, it humanises, drawing us close enough to feel the pulse beneath the wound. On the other hand, it packages that wound in such exquisite language that it becomes consumable, even desirable. This duality isn't accidental. Virginia Woolf, in *A Room of One's Own*, warns of the danger when women's lives are filtered through 'looking-glasses possessing the magic and delicious power of reflecting the figure of man at twice its natural size.' Here, the reflection isn't just of man, it's of woman as the perfect tragic figure, her value heightened by the beauty of her demise.

When pain is embroidered with literary elegance, it risks becoming an object for aesthetic appreciation rather than a subject for moral reckoning.

This pattern threads through centuries of women's writing, and even more potently through male-authored works about women. Charlotte Perkins Gilman's *The Yellow Wallpaper* strips away ornamentation, showing how suffocatingly clinical language can still frame female madness as a perverse curiosity. Compare this to Emily Brontë's windswept imagery or Plath's jewel-cut sentences, and you begin to see how style functions not merely as a vessel for content, but as an active force in shaping our emotional response. Style, in this context, is a sedative. It softens the edges of horror until it slips past our defences. We don't recoil from Catherine's self-destruction; we romanticise it. We don't just grieve Esther's breakdown; we admire its articulation.

And perhaps that's the most disquieting truth: beauty doesn't just mask pain; it sanctifies it.

By rendering suffering in high lyric, literature risks creating a feedback loop where the archetype of the 'beautifully broken woman' becomes aspirational. As Jean Rhys once said of her own heroines, "They are never pure, never wholesome… but always touched with the poetry of ruin." This 'poetry of ruin' is intoxicating because it frames destruction as a kind of destiny, one that women in fiction rarely escape, and readers, perhaps unconsciously, rarely want them to.

II. Wuthering Heights: The 'Beauty' In Catherine's Descent Into Madness

Catherine's madness is often associated with the wildness of the moors in *Brontë's Wuthering Heights.* Her pain does not arrive quietly. It is wind-lashed, mud-stained, and echoing across the moors, a form of suffering inseparable from place. Wuthering Heights is not simply a love story; it is an autopsy of obsession, with Catherine Earnshaw's pain splayed across the Yorkshire moors like a shrine. Her suffering is inseparable from the novel's setting — the heather, the wind, the raw expanse — all conspiring to give her inner turmoil a kind of grandeur. Brontë's language elevates this suffering into something elemental, as if Catherine's psychic disintegration is part of the natural order, as inevitable and as beautiful as the seasons.

Here, aestheticisation works through the natural sublime: Catherine's emotional turbulence is staged against an elemental backdrop, the language transforming her fevered delirium into something almost mythic. Even her decline has an artistry to it; Brontë lingers on the physical fragility, the pale cheek against the pillow, the spectral presence that seems to float above the domestic sphere.

In one sense, this is Brontë's rebellion against the moralistic sentimental novels of her time; she refuses to make Catherine's pain neat or redemptive. Yet, the novel's lush prose and gothic atmosphere aestheticise that pain, transforming it into an almost mythic spectacle. When Catherine says, *"I am Heathcliff"*, the declaration is not merely romantic; it is a blueprint for self-erasure, the kind of love that consumes rather than completes. The prose refuses to strip this obsession bare; instead, it cloaks it in imagery so fierce and beautiful that the reader cannot help but be seduced.

The effect that this causes is double-edged. On the one hand, Brontë's wild romanticism pushes back against the domestic taming of women, giving Catherine a feral autonomy that refuses to conform. On the other hand, it risks creating an archetype in which female freedom and selfhood can only be achieved through destruction. The very beauty of Brontë's descriptions — the wind rattling the windows, the moors howling with grief — turns Catherine's decline into a poetic event. In doing so, it seduces readers into admiring her dissolution, perhaps even longing for it.

What's telling is that Catherine's tragedy is not framed as preventable but fated. This fatalism aligns with what Elaine Showalter terms the 'female malady,' which is the cultural script in which women's mental suffering is romanticised as both inevitable and narratively satisfying.

Catherine doesn't merely *suffer*; she *performs* her suffering, consciously or otherwise, for those around her. Nelly, the novel's unreliable narrator, recounts Catherine's illness in a way that is as much about its dramatic spectacle as its actual cost. And the reader, pulled in by the novel's heightened emotional register, is invited to linger in that spectacle.

Here, Brontë's craft becomes part of the moral question: when pain is given this much beauty, does the reader's empathy sharpen, or does it become aestheticised into something almost pleasurable to witness? In

Wuthering Heights, the line between empathy and consumption blurs, and the 'beautifully broken' woman takes on her most gothic form: wild, untameable, and unforgettable precisely because she is destroyed.

III. The Bell Jar: Despair Dressed In Pretty Clothes

If Catherine's pain is wild and windswept, Esther Greenwood's in *The Bell Jar* is crystalline: precise, reflective, and deceptively clear. *The Bell Jar* is, at its surface, a semi-autobiographical account of a young woman's descent into depression in 1950s America. But beneath that, it is also an object lesson in how beauty can smuggle despair past our emotional defences. Plath, through her novel, renders depression with a precision that is both searing and strangely glittering. Plath's sentences, honed like glass, make despair lucid and, in doing so, dangerously attractive. Plath's prose is so precise, so jewel-cut, that moments of horror shimmer like glass: delicate, breakable, and dangerously easy to admire.

Esther's mental collapse is not narrated in blunt, unadorned language; instead, Plath gives it texture, colour, and rhythm. The suffocating bell jar itself is a metaphor so elegant that it risks becoming aesthetic shorthand for mental illness, making it portable, even marketable. Lines like, "I took a deep breath and listened to the old brag of my heart: I am, I am, I am," make Esther's struggle for survival sound almost like poetry scrawled in the margins of despair.

Here, the danger is subtle: language this beautiful risks making depression feel less like an illness and more like an atmospheric mood, something to be inhabited for its tragic glamour.

Esther's pain is also political, though it's often read as purely personal. As feminist critics like Phyllis Chesler have argued, women's mental illness in mid-century America was frequently a response to systemic pressures: the stifling domestic ideal, the policing of sexuality, and the narrowing of female ambition. The Bell Jar encases these pressures in prose so luminous that it feels almost luxurious to read, even when describing electroconvulsive therapy or suicidal ideation. The rhythm of Plath's language operates like a lullaby sung over a nightmare, softening the edges of the horror until the reader can approach it without flinching.

Yet this beauty also risks commodification. The *'sad girl'* aesthetic, endlessly replicated in internet culture, from Tumblr blogs to Instagram moodboards, owes much of its cultural DNA to Plath's stylised despair. Quotes from The Bell Jar are lifted from their narrative context and repurposed as mantras, embroidered on tote bags or overlaid on grainy black-and-white photos. In this way, Plath's artistry, which was once a survival mechanism, becomes part of a cultural feedback loop in which female pain is both fetishised and depoliticised. The raw brutality of depression becomes, through repetition and aesthetic curation, a kind of consumable melancholy.

There's also the temporal glamour of tragedy at play. The knowledge of Plath's suicide, barely a month after *The Bell Jar*'s UK publication, bleeds into the reading experience. Her biography becomes an uninvited co-author, casting a shadow that turns the novel into both a work of art and a relic of an ending. The danger here is that Esther's — and by extension Plath's — suffering becomes a consumable aesthetic object, an icon of 'doomed genius' rather than a call to interrogate the cultural and structural forces that contributed to her breakdown.

Yet, as with Brontë's Catherine, the reader's encounter with Esther's suffering is inevitably shaped by the elegance of its packaging. We linger not only because we care, but because the writing is exquisite. In that lingering, the suffering becomes something we can hold, admire, and even covet, a phenomenon that complicates the very empathy the text invites.

IV. The Handmaid's Tail: Poetry Under Oppression

If Catherine's anguish is gothic and Esther's despair is crystalline, then Offred's suffering in The Handmaid's Tale is something else entirely. It's smuggled, coded, spoken through clenched teeth and still somehow beautiful. Atwood's dystopia is a place where women's bodies are state property, where language itself is rationed, and yet, Offred's narration arrives laced with poetic cadence. Trauma is threaded through metaphor: tulips open 'like chalices' under the sun; the Commander's house smells of 'polished wood, bread baking'; the Wall, hung with the bodies of traitors, is rendered with the quiet gravity of a museum exhibit. The brutality is there, it's constant, but the rhythm and imagery risk making it feel almost artful.

Atwood herself has said that nothing in Gilead is invented; every cruelty is drawn from a real-world precedent. And yet, the novel's lasting cultural image isn't just of horror, it's the crimson sweep of the handmaids' cloaks and the almost painterly contrast against Gilead's grey streets. The aesthetic is so potent that it's been adopted by protest movements worldwide, which is a testament to its power, but also a reminder that beauty can make oppression strangely photogenic. The very garments designed to erase individuality have become a visual shorthand for female resistance, their elegance inseparable from the terror they symbolise.

Offred's voice — wry, observant, at times darkly humorous — further complicates the reading experience. She offers us fragments, flashbacks, sensory details so precise they feel lived-in. The effect is intimate, conspiratorial: we are not just reading her pain, we're being invited to sit in it with her, almost like

she's letting us in on a terrible secret. As critic Coral Ann Howells notes, Atwood crafts a 'counter-discourse' where Offred's storytelling becomes an act of survival but a survival couched in beauty. The metaphors, the lyricism, the control over pacing — they turn Gilead's violence into something the reader can absorb without immediate recoil.

This is where the seduction lies. If Catherine's destruction is sublime and Esther's despair is luminous, Offred's oppression is textured, woven with sensory richness. The Ceremony — state-mandated rape — is described in language so restrained that its horror almost slips beneath the surface on first reading. We notice the folds of the dress, the positioning of bodies, the 'decorative' Bible — details that, while horrific, are rendered with the same precision a poet might give to a love scene. In this restraint is danger: atrocity risks becoming palatable through its elegance.

Atwood's control of tone means that the reader's relationship with Offred's suffering is always in flux. We feel the claustrophobia, the constant surveillance, the erasure of self — but we also appreciate the craft. It's an uneasy coexistence of empathy and aesthetic pleasure. And in that space, we face the same question that shadows *Wuthering Heights* and *The Bell*

Jar: when beauty wraps around brutality, does it sharpen our outrage, or soften it into something we can admire from a distance?

The answer may lie in what Gilead itself teaches: that controlling the narrative is as powerful as controlling the body. Offred's story is a reclamation — she turns the language meant to silence her into a weapon, even if that weapon is sheathed in poetry. Yet, for the reader, there is the lingering risk that the sheathing becomes the point — that we come away remembering the tulips and the cloaks more vividly than the terror that made them necessary.

V. Conclusion

From the moors to the bell jar to the walls of Gilead, the female psyche is never allowed to fracture in silence. It must fracture beautifully. Catherine's delirium becomes weather, Esther's despair becomes crystal, and Offred's oppression becomes poetry. Different centuries, different contexts, but the same pattern: suffering framed not in bluntness, but in lyric. We are moved because the language moves us, but that very movement risks disguising the weight of what we are asked to witness. When literature gives pain such lyricism, it doesn't just represent it; it transforms it into an object of admiration, something to be consumed as much as mourned.

The romanticisation of female suffering has always carried a double weight. On one side, it can sharpen empathy, pulling us close enough to feel the pulse of grief or oppression. On the other hand, it risks making pain aspirational, part of a cultural script where to be "beautifully broken" is somehow the highest form of womanhood. Brontë's elemental Catherine, Plath's luminous Esther, and Atwood's poetic Offred are not failures of imagination — they are masterpieces. But masterpieces that leave us uneasy, because they remind us how easily art can sanctify what should horrify.

The question that began with Catherine's cry — why must heartbreak burn like a legend? — remains unanswered. The allure of these texts, and of countless others, is not simply that they tell women's stories, but that they make those stories unforgettable through the shimmer of style. Yet style is never neutral. To aestheticise suffering is to risk blurring empathy into spectacle, truth into theatre. And the recurrence of this pattern suggests less about the women on the page and more about the readers — about us — who seem to need pain to arrive dressed beautifully before we are willing to sit with it.

Perhaps we cannot yet imagine a literature where female anguish is permitted to exist without ornament, without metaphor, without aesthetic allure. But to recognise the pattern is the first act of acceptance. To ask why we crave beauty in brokenness is to begin to imagine a different script: one in which women's pain need not be lyric to be legible, nor tragic to be memorable. Until then, we read on, caught between empathy and enchantment, knowing that the line between the two is as thin and dangerous as a glass edge.

Works Cited

[1]. Brontë, Emily. Wuthering Heights. Edited By Richard J. Dunn, 4th Ed., W. W. Norton & Company, 2003.
[2]. Atwood, Margaret. The Handmaid's Tale. Vintage, 1996. Plath, Sylvia. The Bell Jar. Harper & Row, 1971.
[3]. Gilbert, Sandra M., And Susan Gubar. The Madwoman In The Attic: The Woman Writer And The Nineteenth-Century Literary Imagination. 2nd Ed., Yale University Press, 2000.
[4]. Howells, Coral Ann. Margaret Atwood. Macmillan, 1996.
[5]. Showalter, Elaine. The Female Malady: Women, Madness, And English Culture, 1830–1980. Virago, 1987.