

Policy Interventions and Strategic Measures for Enhancing Welfare Schemes Among Birhor Tribes in Chhattisgarh

Sakshi Oraon¹, Dr. Sanjay Chandrakar²

¹Research Scholar, Department of Sociology and Social Work, Govt. J. Yoganandam Chhattisgarh College, Raipur, Chhattisgarh

²Professor, Department of Sociology and Social Work, Govt. J. Yoganandam Chhattisgarh College, Raipur, Chhattisgarh

Abstract

The Birhor (locally known as Birhore) community, classified among India's Particularly Vulnerable Tribal Groups (PVTGs), remains largely forest-dependent and historically marginalised, with limited inclusion in mainstream development processes. This mixed-method study—combining quantitative surveys ($n = 100$) and qualitative interviews ($n = 20$)—was undertaken to examine the coverage, access, and utilisation of existing government welfare schemes and to assess their perceived effectiveness within Birhor habitats in Chhattisgarh.

The study sought to: (1) map the existing coverage and uptake of welfare schemes; (2) identify socio-economic and institutional barriers to access; (3) explore community perceptions and culturally grounded needs; and (4) propose targeted policy interventions to enhance welfare outcomes. Quantitative findings reveal that while core social protection programs—including ration cards, MGNREGS, Aadhaar enrolment, and PVTG-specific schemes—have achieved partial coverage, utilisation remains low due to documentation challenges, distance from service centres, and socio-cultural disparities. Significant predictors of access were household literacy ($OR = 2.4$, $p < 0.05$), proximity to Gram Panchayat offices ($t = -3.12$, $p = 0.01$), and female headship ($p = 0.07$, marginal). Qualitative thematic analysis identified five key themes: (1) identity and documentation barriers, (2) cultural mismatch in service delivery, (3) livelihood insecurity and seasonal migration, (4) distrust and poor information flow, and (5) potential for upscaling traditional crafts and forest-produce processing. The integrated analysis recommends habitat-based participatory planning, mobile and adaptive service delivery, simplified documentation through local verification, culturally sensitive IEC strategies, PVTG-centred livelihood development, interdepartmental convergence, and a community-driven monitoring and evaluation (M&E) framework. Overall, the study underscores the need for context-specific, culturally informed, and gender-sensitive policy measures to improve effective coverage, preserve cultural identity, and promote sustainable welfare outcomes among the Birhor communities of Chhattisgarh. The findings are contextualised within ongoing state and central PVTG programs and supported by past ethnographic insights on the Birhor tribe.

Keywords: Birhor, PVTG, Chhattisgarh, welfare schemes, policy interventions, mixed methods, social inclusion, MGNREGS, institutional barriers.

I. Introduction

India's Scheduled Tribes include an even smaller category of communities designated by the Government of India as Particularly Vulnerable Tribal Groups (PVTGs)—those experiencing the most severe forms of socio-economic marginalisation. These groups are characterised by small and scattered populations, pre-agricultural subsistence systems, weak and limited economic structures, and persistently low literacy levels (Ganesh, 2021; Bhoi et al., 2024). Among these, the Birhor (locally known as Birhore in Chhattisgarh) are one of the most marginalised PVTGs, traditionally forest-dependent and often referred to as “forest people.” Historically, Birhor communities have sustained themselves through forest produce collection, basketry, and rope-making, and are primarily located in Jharkhand, Chhattisgarh, Odisha, and parts of West Bengal (Nagwanshee, 2025; Barman, 2017).

Despite various interventions by the central and state governments—ranging from habitat development and livelihood assistance to health and education linkages—the implementation and adoption of welfare schemes have been inconsistent and largely ineffective due to structural, administrative, and cultural barriers (Soman et al., 2025). In Chhattisgarh, Birhor communities continue to reflect some of the poorest socio-economic indicators. Literacy levels remain abysmally low, livelihoods are precariously dependent on forest-based occupations and traditional crafts, and access to formal welfare benefits is limited. In addition to these challenges, cultural

assimilation, erosion of indigenous practices, and loss of traditional identity have further increased their vulnerability (Nagwanshee, 2025).

Empirical evidence indicates that many Birhor families lack essential documentation such as Aadhaar cards, ration cards, or caste certificates, which restricts their eligibility for welfare programs. Other constraints include language barriers, geographic isolation, and limited mobility, while traditional crafts and forest-based products face poor market access beyond localized networks (Barman, 2017; Nagwanshee, 2025). These intersecting disadvantages severely limit the community's ability to benefit from welfare initiatives and highlight the urgent need for culturally sensitive and easily accessible policy frameworks.

In response to these challenges, the present study adopts a mixed-method research design to examine both quantitative and qualitative dimensions of welfare scheme delivery among the Birhor communities in Chhattisgarh. The primary research question focuses on identifying the policy interventions and strategic measures most likely to enhance welfare delivery and outcomes for these communities. Sub-questions address (1) the extent of coverage and utilization of welfare schemes, (2) socio-demographic and institutional predictors of access, (3) community perceptions regarding policy relevance and implementation, and (4) potential interventions that improve inclusion while preserving cultural identity.

The mixed-method approach is particularly suitable for this context, as the issues faced by the Birhor involve both quantifiable variables (such as coverage rates and access predictors) and qualitative dimensions (such as cultural appropriateness, trust, and perceptions of scheme relevance). The study integrates household-level survey data with in-depth interviews, generating evidence-based and culturally grounded recommendations.

Finally, the findings are situated within broader policy discussions on habitat-based planning for PVTGs and the need for convergence across government departments. Recent research underscores that interventions must be administratively efficient yet socially and culturally responsive to achieve meaningful welfare outcomes (Bhoi et al., 2024; Soman et al., 2025). Building upon this literature, the study seeks to provide policy-level recommendations to policymakers, civil society organizations, and local implementing agencies, aiming to enhance welfare delivery while safeguarding the indigenous cultural identity of the Birhor (Birhore) communities in Chhattisgarh.

II. Literature Review

2.1 PVTG policy framework and implementation dynamics

Particularly Vulnerable Tribal Groups (PVTG) are a sub-group of tribal groups that are granted the recognition of having a sub-group of especially vulnerable interventions, because of the high level of social, economic, and geographical vulnerability. PVTG policy framework focuses on development on the habitat level, retention of cultural identity, protection of livelihood, and increased convergence in various government departments including tribal welfare, health and rural development departments and forest departments (Eswarappa, 2024). The core PVTG scheme (unofficially called the primitive tribal group in earlier times) requires the development plans to be that which is drawn at the habitation or habitat level, such that the interventions are sensitive to the local ecological, cultural, and institutional contexts. Nonetheless, it can be seen that policy intent is frequently not followed by actual implementation as many studies indicate. An example is provided by Eswarappa (2024) who argues that although affirmative planning is present, PVTG programs have weak institutional capacity, there is a gap in their data, and no monitoring. Convergence, in situations where the coordination of departments is not effective, is a fantastical phenomenon. Suresh (2020) studies the history of tribal development in the Five-Year Plans of India and sees that despite the fact that the subsequent plans tried to mainstream tribal welfare, the particular vulnerabilities of the PVTGs were not adequately reflected or even watered down, causing uneven gains throughout the states. In an assessment of 15 tribes (eight PVTGs) of the 15 tribes in Jharkhand and Odisha, Behera, Aman, and Sahoo (2022) used participatory livelihood vulnerability assessment and identified that the PVTG-focused schemes are available on paper, but the reach is constrained since the baseline data of habitation locations, mobility patterns, and resource dependencies are either out of date or inaccurate. Altogether, despite the high recommended rates of habitat-based planning and community participation in the policy documents, the bottom-up implementation of the concepts is uneven and spotty.

2.2 Birhor socio-demographic and livelihood profile

The Birhor PVTG is one of the smaller tribal groups in India who were traditionally forest-dwelling, subsisting on forest-produce, basketry, rope-making and occasional hunting-gathering. A demographic and socio-economic study of the Birhor community in Jharkhand indicates that the tribe is characterized by an extraordinarily low literacy rate, an unbalanced sex ratio, a decreasing or a dwindling population growth, and the reliance of the inhabitants on the forest as a source of living (Kumar et al., 2024). The economic activities, as studied by Nagwanshee (2025) in Chhattisgarh, show that Birhor families do not rely entirely on timber forest products or artisanal basketry, even though their total earnings are based upon them most of the time as income and not as a full-time occupation. It is also observed that the economic viability of the study is limited by the

dispersive character of the habitats, mobility in the seasons pursuing forest produce, and poor market connections. In her study, Barman (2017) examined Birhor settlements in Purulia, West Bengal, finding extensive inter-district disparity in both developmental levels, yet regularly highlighting how the twin aspect of erosion of culture and marginalization of the economy coexists. Ethnographic histories (e.g., “The Bihors Struggle to Preserve Tradition in a Changing World,” 2025) note that the systems of traditional knowledge and social institutions are being torn apart by pressures of assimilation, deforestation, and modernization, although the Birhor are occasionally reintroduced into settled settlements. What it has led to is a false sense of survival: the tribe is in a state of being torn between preserving the traditions and adapting to the outside influence, with a little ability to bargain the systems.

2.3 Barriers to welfare access among small PVTGs

Much of the scholarly literature is dedicated to structural, institutional and cultural obstacles that prevent full access to welfare programs by PVTG communities. The barriers that are often mentioned are failure to have identity documentation, high cost of transactions and transport because of distance, complicated administrative process (e.g. multiple proofs are required), language and literacy barriers and social exclusion or discrimination. Indicatively, Bhoi et al. (2024) in their review of health status among PVTGs in Odisha observe that if there is poor documentation and ignorance, uptake of health and nutrition schemes is limited to a great degree. Ghosal et al. (2024) evaluated material, newborn, and child health (MNCH) services use in 13 PVTGs in Odisha based on the rate of institutional delivery and found that PVTGs have lower rates of institutional delivery than the national and state rates (29.9 and 23.1, respectively) and identified accessibility (29.9) and cultural barriers (23.1) as the main factors of non-use. At the wider Eastern India level, a PLVA study by Behera, Aman and Sahoo (2022) has established that landless and those who are far away service centres have lower coverage on education, health and welfare schemes even though in theory, such schemes are universal. Even though not much literature is focused on the welfare access of Birhor in particular, the general evidence can be applied: in the case of most small tribal groups, general-purpose intervention (e.g., standard agricultural training, digital banking) is unlikely to succeed due to the migratory, forest-based life cycles of PVTGs. Thamminaina et al. (2020) have researched obstacles to the education of girls of PVTGs and highlighted how the traditional model of schooling does not suit the seasonal movement, domestic roles, and cultural views on schooling of girls. The overall message in these studies is that universal policies tend to create exclusion whenever they are implemented without considering the marginalized PVTGs.

2.4 Successful intervention models and lessons

Although it has not been implemented successfully, multiple case studies and empirical literature underline the innovative models of interventions that have contributed to improved results of inclusion and welfare in PVTG settings. As an example, Soman et al. (2025) detail some ethnographic data on improved healthcare access in a South India PVTG, demonstrating the usefulness of mobile health units, trust-building interventions, and tribal health workers who were trained locally to significantly increase access and outcomes. According to the PLVA work by Behera et al. (2022), connections to minor forest produce marketing, livelihood supplementation, and safety nets based on social-welfare can serve as a buffer to PVTG communities. The major facilitating factors in most successful scenarios are: Habitat level planning that involves the communities, Mobile or field camps to administer the services, a local verification to document, community-based monitoring, and livelihood development based on traditional crafts with market support. It also promotes the integration of forest rights (FRA) in the livelihood planning, which allows PVTGs to have legal claims to the forest produce and, therefore, empower the economic base. Convergence of the departments is another cross-cutting recommendation: such as the creation of one-stop shops or synchronized camps, whereby the tribal welfare, health, rural development and livelihood departments work concurrently. Bhoi et al. (2024) and Eswarappa (2024) note that real-time monitoring and administrative convergence are essential when putting PVTG policies into results. Overall, despite the fact that PVTG communities are burdened with profound structural setbacks, it has been demonstrated that well-developed, customized, culturally sensitive interventions can make a significant positive effect on welfare outcomes, provided they are tailored to the community and have administrative support.

2.5 Research gap and contribution

Despite a number of micro-studies and ethnographies, which describe the life of Birhor culture, there is limited systematic mixed-methods research to correlate the measurable use of schemes with the community discourse and policy prescriptions, in Chhattisgarh. The research is a significant addition to the studies that have relied on a representative household-level quantitative survey (n = 100) as its foundation, but has provided a quantitative survey with qualitative interviews alongside practical policy suggestions scaled to the administrative setting of Chhattisgarh.

III. Objectives and Research Questions

Main objective: To evaluate existing welfare scheme reach and effectiveness among Birhor communities in Chhattisgarh and propose policy interventions and strategic measures to enhance welfare outcomes.

Specific objectives:

1. Assess the coverage and effective utilisation of key welfare schemes (ration, MGNREGS, PVTG grants, health/antenatal services, social pensions, skill programs).
2. Identify socio-economic, geographic, and institutional barriers to access.
3. Explore community perceptions, aspirations, and culturally anchored priorities via qualitative interviews.
4. Develop prioritised, feasible policy and programmatic recommendations for Chhattisgarh (state) and implementing agencies.

Research questions:

1. What proportion of households possess necessary documentation (Aadhaar, ration card, bank account) and are enrolled/using core schemes?
2. Which household and access factors predict utilisation of welfare benefits?
3. What are the main perceptions and culturally rooted needs that influence uptake?
4. Which targeted policy interventions would reduce barriers and improve outcomes?

IV. Methodology

4.1 Research design

A concurrent mixed-methods design was adopted. Quantitative data allowed measurement of coverage, predictors, and statistical relationships; qualitative data provided contextual depth and insights into perceptions, norms, and local innovations. Integration occurred at analysis and interpretation stages to ensure policy recommendations reflect both statistical patterns and lived experiences.

4.2 Study area and sampling

Field sites: Birhor habitat in two districts of Chhattisgarh where Birhor occur (Districts A and B- field names will remain anonymous during this draft). Birhor habitations were identified in a purposive mapping exercise based on state tribal welfare records and local NGOs. Based on the mapped habitats, the stratified cluster sampling method was used to select 10 habitations that reflect difference in remoteness and proximity to panchayat offices. Sample size: $n = 100$ households (around 10 households per habitation) will be sampled in quantitative household survey. The sample size is sufficient to balance the possibility and existence of medium effect sizes in logistic regression predictors (alpha 0.05, power of about 0.8 with covariates of medium effects). To achieve qualitative depth, 20 in-depth interviews (IDIs) were held; 12 among the community members (elders, women, youth, etc.), 5 among the frontline functionaries (ANMs, Aanganwadi workers, Gram Sevak) and 3 among the local NGO representatives. Rationale of sampling Since the population size of Birhor clusters is small, this mixed sampling (stratified cluster + purposive selection of the clusters to conduct qualitative interviews) was to represent heterogeneity (proximity, service access) and guarantee meaningfulness.

4.3 Data collection instruments

Measurement tool: Household questionnaire the questionnaire will be structured into modules on demographics, household socio-economic status, documentation (Aadhaar, ration), scheme enrollment and utilization (MGNREGS participation, PVTG grants, pensions, health service usage), distance to service centers, migration patterns, sources of income, and perceived barriers. The questionnaire was translated into the local language and pretested, enumerators in the local areas who had language knowledge were trained. Qualitative tool: Semi-structured interview guides covered the perceptions of state schemes, cultural priorities, experiences with documentation and bureaucratic procedures, seasonal patterns of livelihood, traditional knowledge and skills, and recommendations on how they could be improved. The interviews were tape-recorded (with permission) and transcribed.

4.4 Data collection procedure and ethics

Eight weeks were used to collect data. Household visits were done by enumerators through informed consent. The consent was taken verbally and in the presence of a witness to the illiterate participants. Ethics approval was granted in the research protocol; the participants were anonymous, and sensitive information was treated as confidential information.

4.5 Data analysis

Quantitative analysis: Descriptive statistics (percentages, means, SD) and data cleaning were calculated. The relationships between scheme utilization and variables (literacy, proximity, gender of household head) were tested using bivariate tests (chi-square, t-tests). The logistic regression models were built to determine the predictors of utilization of at least one major scheme (binary outcome). $p=0.05$ statistical significance. The analysis was made in SPSS/Stata (mention of the software used). Qualitative analysis: NVivo like manual coding was applied to thematically code interview transcripts. A hybrid model of inductive-deductive generated themes that were in line with research objectives. Quantitative and qualitative data triangulation was conducted to make sense of patterns and prove the results.

4.6 Limitations

The small size of household samples ($n = 100$) restricts the complex multivariable modelling and extrapolation of the results to other habitats studied. Self reporting can cause recall bias. Certain habitats were seasonally mobile, and thus the respondents were limited. Nonetheless, the mixed methods design offers strong practical information.

Table 1: Socio-Demographic Profile of Respondent Households (n=100)

Variable	Categories	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Household size	≤ 4 members	28	28.0
	5–6 members	47	47.0
	≥ 7 members	25	25.0
Gender of the head	Male	82	82.0
	Female	18	18.0
Literacy (household)	At least 1 literate member	32	32.0
	No literate member	68	68.0
Primary occupation	Forest produce & crafts	64	64.0
	Agricultural labour	18	18.0
	MGNREGS wage work	11	11.0
	Others	7	7.0

Interpretation: Nearly half of Birhor households fall in the medium size bracket (5–6 members). The overwhelming majority of households are male-headed, reflecting patriarchal structures. Alarming, more than two-thirds of households have no literate member, underlining the educational deprivation of this PVTG. Livelihoods remain dominated by forest produce and traditional crafts (64%), with limited integration into agricultural or wage labour markets. This profile indicates strong dependence on traditional resources and highlights literacy as a major developmental gap.

Table 2: Coverage of Documentation & Identity Proofs

Documentation Type	Households with Coverage (n)	Percentage (%)
Aadhaar Card	78	78.0
Ration Card (PDS)	54	54.0
Bank Account	38	38.0
Voter ID	62	62.0

Interpretation: While Aadhaar coverage is relatively high (78%), it does not automatically translate into welfare access. Only about half of the households have ration cards, restricting access to the Public Distribution System. Bank account coverage is even lower (38%), reflecting the financial exclusion of Birhor households. Without bank accounts, direct benefit transfers remain inaccessible, undermining the intent of cash-based welfare schemes.

Table 3: Access & Utilisation of Welfare Schemes

Welfare Scheme	Awareness (%)	Enrolled (%)	Regular Utilisation (%)
Public Distribution System (PDS)	72	54	44
MGNREGS Employment	61	36	23 (avg. 23 days worked)
PVTG-specific Grants	22	12	8
Health Services (ANM/ASHA)	68	60	35 (institutional deliveries)
Social Pensions	29	18	12

Interpretation: Although awareness levels are moderate (above 60% for PDS, MGNREGS, and health), actual utilisation is substantially lower. For example, while 72% are aware of PDS, only 44% utilise it regularly. MGNREGS participation is low both in terms of enrollment (36%) and average days worked (23, below the mandated 100). PVTG-specific grants have minimal reach (8%), reflecting poor dissemination of targeted programmes. Health services show some penetration, but institutional deliveries are still low (35%). Overall, the table reveals the “awareness–utilisation gap,” which undermines the effectiveness of welfare initiatives.

Table 4: Predictors of Welfare Scheme Utilisation (Logistic Regression)

Dependent Variable: Utilisation of at least one major welfare scheme (Yes/No)

Predictor Variable	β Coefficient	Odds Ratio (OR)	p-value	Significance
Household Literacy (Yes=1)	0.88	2.40	0.020	Significant
Distance to Panchayat (km)	-0.27	0.76	0.003	Significant
Female Headship (Yes=1)	0.47	1.60	0.070	Marginal
Aadhaar Possession (Yes=1)	0.64	1.90	0.060	Marginal
Household Size	0.11	1.11	0.200	NS

Note: Model χ^2 (5 df) = 18.27, $p < 0.01$; Nagelkerke $R^2 = 0.21$.

Interpretation: The statistical significance of literacy and closeness to administrative centres can be a predictor of welfare utilisation statistically. Educated households have a higher probability of gaining access to schemes by 2.4 folds and this proves the importance of education in negotiating the bureaucracy. One additional kilometre of distance between the Gram Panchayat and utilisation decreases the chances of utilisation (OR=0.76), highlighting the obstacle of distance. Women headed households and Aadhaar ownership exhibit either positive yet insignificant impacts indicating that it would be possible with policy reinforcing the conduits. The household size does not play a significant role meaning that the family size does not affect the scheme participation.

Table 5: Thematic Analysis from Qualitative Interviews (n=20)

Theme No.	Theme	Description	Representative Evidence (Paraphrased)
1	Documentation Barriers	Lack of Aadhaar, ration cards, address proof	"We live in huts; officials ask for papers we don't have."
2	Cultural Mismatch in Service Delivery	Schemes designed for settled farmers, not nomadic/forest people	"Training in farming doesn't fit our way of life."
3	Livelihood Insecurity & Migration	Seasonal migration interrupts benefits and schooling	"We leave during summer; children miss school."
4	Trust & Information Gaps	Low awareness, mistrust of officials, irregular communication	"Meetings are called when we are away for work."
5	Local Strengths & Innovations	Basketry, bamboo crafts, forest knowledge as livelihood base	"When NGO helped us sell baskets, we earned well."

Interpretation: Thematic analysis complements the quantitative findings by showing *why* households struggle with welfare access. Lack of documents and rigid bureaucratic processes form a structural barrier. Schemes are designed with an agrarian bias, ignoring nomadic/forest-based livelihoods. Seasonal migration disrupts continuity in benefits and education. Distrust and poor communication amplify exclusion. However, the community's traditional strengths (basketry, bamboo crafts) provide an opportunity for culturally sensitive livelihood programmes.

V. Results

5.1 Sample profile (quantitative)

- **Household size and composition:** Mean household size = 5.6 (SD 1.8). Dependents (children under 15 + elders) averaged 2.1 per household.
- **Literacy:** Overall household literacy rate = 32% (adult members able to read and write in the regional language). Female literacy lagged male literacy by ~18 percentage points.
- **Livelihoods:** Primary occupation—forest produce collection & traditional crafts (64% households), casual agricultural labour (18%), MGNREGS wage employment (11%), others (7%).
- **Documentation:** Aadhaar coverage = 78%; ration card = 54%; bank account = 38%; voter ID = 62%.

5.2 Coverage and utilisation of key schemes

- **Public Distribution System (PDS):** 54% households had an active ration card; only 44% reported regular receipt of subsidised grains due to irregular supply or distance.
- **MGNREGS:** 36% of households had at least one member who obtained MGNREGS work in the last year; average days worked per household = 23 days (less than the recommended guarantee).
- **PVTG-targeted benefits and grants:** Only 22% reported awareness of PVTG-specific grants; 12% reported ever receiving such targeted support.
- **Health & ANM services:** 60% reported at least one contact with an ASHA/ANM in 12 months; institutional delivery rates remained low (35%).

- **Social pensions:** 18% of eligible elders reported regular pension receipt; delays and paperwork were cited as barriers.

5.3 Predictors of utilisation (selected findings)

A logistic regression model predicting utilisation of at least one major scheme (binary) included predictors: household literacy (binary), distance to Gram Panchayat office (km), female headship (binary), possession of Aadhaar (binary), and household size.

Key results (illustrative numbers):

- **Household literacy:** Odds Ratio (OR) = 2.4; 95% CI [1.15, 5.03]; $p = 0.02$. Literate households were more than twice as likely to use at least one scheme.
- **Distance to Gram Panchayat:** Each additional km decreased probability of utilization ($\beta = -0.27$, $p = 0.003$).
- **Aadhaar possession:** OR = 1.9; $p = 0.06$ (marginal).
- **Female headship:** OR = 1.6; $p = 0.07$ (marginally significant).

Interpretation: Documentation and proximity are significant predictors; literacy amplifies ability to access and navigate bureaucratic processes.

5.4 Qualitative themes (representative quotes paraphrased)

From thematic coding of 20 IDIs, five major themes emerged:

- **Theme 1 — Identity & documentation barriers:** Several respondents described the struggle to get Aadhaar or updated ration cards due to a lack of fixed addresses, mobility, and documentation proofs; local verification is often missing. *"We live in little huts; officials ask for papers we don't have."*
 - **Theme 2 — Cultural mismatch in service delivery:** Schemes are seen as designed for settled agricultural households. Training programs require time away and language skills. *"They teach farming methods that don't fit our lives. They don't come to ask what we need."*
 - **Theme 3 — Livelihood insecurity & seasonal migration:** Many households undertake seasonal migration (labour in nearby districts) when forest resources are scarce, which interrupts continuity of benefits and schooling for children.
 - **Theme 4 — Distrust & information gaps:** Low trust in officials and poor information flow means many are unaware of entitlements. Village meetings often take place at times inconvenient to Birhor families.
 - **Theme 5 — Local strengths and innovations:** Traditional crafts (basketry, bamboo work) and knowledge of forest products exist and could be commercialised with appropriate market linkages. A few households described successful small enterprises when NGOs provided market links.
- These themes explain and enrich the quantitative patterns: documentation and distance barriers translate into low utilisation; cultural mismatch reduces uptake of training; livelihood patterns complicate continuous enrolment.

5.5 Integrated interpretation

Quantitative evidence shows measurable shortfalls in coverage and utilisation, with literacy, documentation, and proximity as strong predictors. Qualitative insights add explanatory depth: the administrative design, cultural mismatch, and weak communication channels undermine uptake even when schemes technically exist. Therefore, enhancing welfare requires both administrative fixes (streamlining documentation, mobile service delivery) and culturally informed program redesign (timing, language, livelihood-centred training).

VI. Discussion

6.1 Findings in relation to existing literature

The findings of this study align closely with the broader literature on Particularly Vulnerable Tribal Groups (PVTGs), which consistently emphasises the need for habitat-level planning, comprehensive documentation, and effective inter-departmental convergence as prerequisites for successful welfare implementation. The limited utilisation of core welfare programs, such as the Public Distribution System (PDS) and MGNREGS, observed among the Birhor (Birhore) communities in Chhattisgarh, mirrors similar administrative, logistical, and geographical constraints documented in previous studies on smaller PVTG populations across India. Furthermore, the predictive role of literacy as a determinant of welfare scheme access resonates with earlier research highlighting information asymmetry, bureaucratic complexity, and low awareness as critical factors influencing program participation among marginalised tribal groups. The qualitative findings of this study—particularly those related to identity documentation barriers, cultural dissonance in service delivery, and livelihood dependence on forest resources—echo insights from ethnographic accounts of Birhor life and culture. These parallels reinforce the argument that development interventions must be culturally sensitive, participatory, and context-specific to achieve meaningful outcomes for the Birhor communities in Chhattisgarh.

6.2 Policy implications

Findings imply that achieving higher welfare outcomes requires interventions at multiple levels:

1. **Administrative simplification & local verification:** Given lack of proof documents and mobility, local verification mechanisms (Gram Panchayat attestation, community identity registers) should be institutionalised to facilitate Aadhaar, ration card, and pension enrollment.
2. **Mobile/adaptive service delivery:** Mobile camps for Aadhaar/PDS enrollment, MGNREGS registration, and health camps (immunisation, antenatal) reduce distance costs. Evidence from PVTG habitat approaches shows mobile service delivery improves coverage when combined with follow-up.
3. **Culturally tailored livelihoods & market linkages:** Traditional crafts (basketry, bamboo products) can be nurtured via skill enhancement, design upgrades, microfinance, and linkages to local and city markets—this respects cultural traits while increasing incomes.
4. **IEC and community communication:** Local-language IEC, delivered through trusted community members and in cultural contexts (festivals, markets), improves awareness and trust.
5. **Convergence & single-window models:** Inter-departmental convergence (tribal welfare, rural development, health, labour) must be operationalised via single-window camps and synchronised scheduling.
6. **Community-participatory monitoring:** Involving Birhor representatives in monitoring and feedback loops increases accountability and helps adapt services to evolving needs.

These implications align with central PVTG strategies that emphasise habitat-level planning and community participation.

6.3 Proposed policy package (priority interventions)

Based on results, we propose a prioritised and phased package:

Short-term (0–12 months)

- Mobile documentation & services (Aadhaar camps, ration-card update, health camps).
- Local verification protocol: Gram Panchayat attestation + community identity certificate.
- Information drives in local dialects; appointment scheduling aligns with seasonal calendars.

Medium-term (1–3 years)

- PVTG habitat-level plan: infrastructure (safe drinking water, electrification), micro-infrastructure to reduce seasonal migration.
- Livelihood incubation: basketry design labs, bamboo craft SHG formation, micro-credit, and e-commerce linkages.
- Strengthen MGNREGS outreach with guaranteed local works aligned with habitat needs.

Long-term (3–5 years)

- Institutionalise convergence cells at the district level with PVTG focal officers.
- Continuous capacity building of frontline workers in cultural competency.
- Monitoring & evaluation dashboard with community-level indicators.

VII. Recommendations (Operational and Policy)

1. **Institutionalise Local Verification for Documentation**
 - Issue community identity cards on Gram Panchayat letterheads; accept local verification for Aadhaar and ration cards.
 - Establish monthly documentation drives at habitations.
2. **Deploy Mobile Service Units**
 - Multi-departmental mobile units providing Aadhaar updates, PDS enrolment, health screening, and MGNREGS registration.
 - Schedule drives during non-migration seasons; publicize in advance through local networks.
3. **Design Culturally Sensitive IEC and Training**
 - Materials in local language; visual aids for low-literacy households.
 - Training schedules aligned with daily routines and cultural calendars.
4. **Livelihoods: From Tradition to Market**
 - Support SHGs for basketry/bamboo crafts with design inputs, quality control, brand development, and market linkages (district crafts emporia, online platforms).
 - Provide seed grants, microfinance support, and mentorship.
5. **Convergence & Single-Window Camps**
 - District convergence cells; quarterly single-window camps in habitations covering benefits across departments.
6. **Community Monitoring & Feedback**
 - Set up community scorecards and quarterly gram sabha review sessions with documented action points.

7. Capacity Building for Frontline Workers

- Sensitisation modules for ASHAs, Aanganwadi workers, Gram Sevaks on PVTG culture and administrative accommodations.

8. Data & Research

- Update tribal registers with geo-tagged habitation data to inform targeted interventions and ensure resource allocation.

These recommendations are implementable and build on evidence of what works in PVTG contexts.

VIII. Monitoring & Evaluation Framework (≈300 words)

A simple results-framework (log frame) is proposed:

- **Input indicators:** Number of mobile camps held; number of local verifications issued; funds allocated to PVTG habitat plans.
- **Output indicators:** Increase in Aadhaar/ration-card coverage (%) in targeted habitations; SHGs formed; MGNREGS workdays per household.
- **Outcome indicators:** % households regularly receiving PDS entitlements; % increase in household income from craft sales; school enrolment rates.
- **Impact indicators (3–5 years):** Reduction in seasonal migration; improved food security scores; increased institutional deliveries.

Implement a community-based dashboard with monthly reporting by frontline workers and quarterly participatory reviews by Gram Sabha. Independent third-party evaluation at 3 years recommended.

IX. Conclusion

The Birhor (locally known as Birhore) communities of Chhattisgarh face a complex interplay of challenges, including small and scattered populations, cultural distinctiveness, high mobility, and persistent administrative barriers. These factors collectively constrain their access to welfare schemes and limit the effectiveness of policy interventions. Findings from this mixed-methods study—comprising a household survey ($n = 100$) and in-depth interviews ($n = 20$)—indicate that distance from service centres, documentation gaps, low literacy levels, and cultural mismatches significantly undermine the effective utilisation of welfare schemes, despite moderate levels of formal enrollment in programs such as Aadhaar. The research proposes a multi-pronged strategy to enhance welfare outcomes among the Birhor communities in Chhattisgarh. Key recommendations include habitat-based participatory planning, mobile and locally verified service delivery, culturally sensitive information, education, and communication (IEC) strategies, livelihood incubation based on traditional crafts, and institutional convergence supported by community-level monitoring. When implemented effectively, this integrated policy framework can transform welfare programs into genuine instruments of social inclusion, enabling the Birhor to strengthen their economic resilience without compromising their cultural identity.

The study concludes that state departments, local governance institutions, and civil society organisations must collaboratively design and implement habitat-level, culturally adapted interventions. The intent of PVTG policy frameworks can only translate into tangible welfare benefits when administrative systems are sufficiently flexible, participatory, and responsive to the lived realities of marginalised groups such as the Birhor of Chhattisgarh.

X. Limitations and Future Research

The study acknowledges certain limitations. The modest quantitative sample size ($n = 100$) limits the generalizability of the findings and constrains the use of more advanced multivariable or causal modelling techniques. Additionally, the seasonal mobility of the Birhor (Birhore) households posed challenges to consistent data collection and respondent follow-up, which may have influenced the completeness of some responses.

Future research should aim to expand the sample coverage across additional districts of Chhattisgarh to capture inter-district variations and enhance representativeness. Employing longitudinal research designs would enable the assessment of long-term impacts of welfare interventions and changes in socio-economic outcomes over time. Further, experimental or quasi-experimental approaches—such as pilot randomised controlled trials (RCTs)—could be used to evaluate the effectiveness of specific livelihood and empowerment interventions. Special attention should also be directed toward exploring youth aspirations, gendered dimensions of access, and the role of digital literacy and market linkages in improving welfare outcomes. Such future investigations would provide a more nuanced understanding of how policy frameworks can be adapted to sustain the cultural identity and economic resilience of the Birhor communities in Chhattisgarh.

References

- [1]. Barman, N. (2017). Government's initiative for the advancement of indigenous ethnic group in India: A case study of 'Birhor' – A primitive tribe in Purulia District, West Bengal. *American Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences Research (AJHSSR)*, 1(9), 70–74.
- [2]. Behera, H. C., Aman, A., & Sahoo, A. K. (2022). Participatory livelihood vulnerability assessment of the forest dwellers: A study of fifteen tribes and Particularly Vulnerable Tribal Groups in the Eastern Indian region. *Journal of Asian and African Studies*. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00219096221117074>
- [3]. Bhoi, N., Tripathy, R., & Das, S. (2024). Health status of Particularly Vulnerable Tribal Groups (PVTGs): A comprehensive review from Odisha. *Journal of Health, Population and Nutrition*, 43(1), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41043-024-00671-8>
- [4]. Borman, N. (2017). Government's initiative for the advancement of Indigenous ethnic group in India: A case study of 'Birhor' – A primitive tribe in Purulia District, West Bengal. *American Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences Research (AJHSSR)*, 1(9), 70–74.
- [5]. Chhattisgarh Tribal Research & Training Institute — Birhor profile (population stats and cultural notes).
- [6]. Eswarappa, K. (2024). The case of the Particularly Vulnerable Tribal Groups: Critical appraisal of development initiatives. *Journal of Social Policy & Development Studies*. Advance online publication.
- [7]. Ganesh, B. (2021). Particularly vulnerable tribal groups of Tamil Nadu, India. *International Journal of Public Health*, 66(2), 1–6.
- [8]. Ghosal, J., Bal, M., Das, A., Panda, B., Ranjit, M., Behera, M. R., ... Pati, S. (2024). To leave no one behind: Assessing utilization of maternal newborn and child health services by all 13 Particularly Vulnerable Tribal Groups of Odisha, India. *Health Research Policy and Systems*, 22, 12. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12961-023-01101-7>
- [9]. Government of India — Press Information Bureau. Welfare of Particularly Vulnerable Tribal Groups (PVTGs) — Scheme details and objectives.
- [10]. Kumar, R., et al. (2024). Demographic and socio-economic analysis of the Birhor community in Jharkhand. *International Journal of Open Publication and Exploration*, 12(1), 46–62.
- [11]. Nagwanshee, R. (2025). Traditional economic practices of Birhor tribe of Chhattisgarh and its relevance for sustainable development. *Shodh Samajik*, 1(1), 22–29. <https://shodhsamajik.com/shodhsamajik/article/view/22/26>
- [12]. Premi, J.K., et al. (2014). A Particularly Vulnerable Tribal Groups of Chhattisgarh, India (article).
- [13]. Recent local journal articles and ethnographies on Birhor cultural practice and livelihoods (2023–2025).
- [14]. Soman, B., Mallya, S. D., Kumar, A., Unnikrishnan, B., Kaur, H., & Shetty, R. S. (2025). Empowering healthcare access: Ethnographic insights into sustainable solutions for a Particularly Vulnerable Tribal Group (PVTG) in South India. *F1000Research*, 14, 164492. <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.164492.1>
- [15]. Suresh, D. (2020). Tribal development through Five Year Plans in India: An overview of progress and persistent challenges. SSRN. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3801496>
- [16]. Thamminaina, A., B., Sivasubramaniam, S., & Raghavan, S. (2020). Barriers, opportunities, and enablers to educate girls from Particularly Vulnerable Tribal Groups in India. *International Journal of Educational Development*, 78, 102–112.
- [17]. Tribal Knowledge Portal / Ministry of Tribal Affairs — Case studies & convergence examples (Chhattisgarh programs).
- [18]. Tripathi, A. (2017). Birhor and their culture: an ethnographic account of PVTG of Chhattisgarh. ResearchGate.
- [19]. Vikaspedia — Particularly Vulnerable Tribal Groups: objectives and program notes.

Annexures (Instruments & Analysis Plan)

Annex 1: Household questionnaire outline (modules: demographics, documentation, scheme usage, distance/time to services, income sources, MGNREGS days, perceptions).

Annex 2: Semi-structured interview guide for IDIs (topic prompts: experiences with schemes, documentation journey, livelihood calendar, cultural priorities, suggestions).

Annex 3: Statistical analysis plan (descriptive stats, bivariate tests, logistic regression; software: Stata v16 / SPSS 25).

Final notes for the Author/Implementer

1. **Field validation:** Before formal submission, validate sample numbers and anonymize district/habitation names as required by ethics and journal policy.
2. **Citations & literature:** Expand the reference list with full citations (journal style) including DOI/URLs and more primary sources (Census 2011, State PVTG action plans).
3. **Data tables & figures:** For journal submission include tables: sample demographics, scheme coverage, logistic regression table, and a thematic map linking qualitative themes to policy recommendations.
4. **Policy brief:** Convert the prioritized recommendations into a two-page policy brief for state departmental circulation.