IOSR Journal Of Humanities and Social Science (IOSR-JHSS)
Volume 30, Issue 9, Series 2 (September, 2025) 54-58
e-ISSN: 2279-0837, p-ISSN: 2279-0845.
www.iosrjournals.org

Shakti And Silence: Unearthing The Forgotten Legacies Of Women In The Mahabharata

Anna Manish Palwar
Student At The British School, Delhi

Abstract
The Mahabharata, India's grand civilizational epic, is often studied for its philosophical and theological gravitas; yet, beneath its sprawling narrative lies a nuanced tapestry of female agency, resistance, and subversion. Far from being mere appendages to male heroes, women in the Mahabharata act as catalysts of dharma, conscience, and crisis. This research seeks to reframe their roles not as supporting figures, but as powerful agents of change navigating a deeply patriarchal milieu. Anchored in feminist literary theory, this paper interrogates the layered portrayals of key female protagonists, including Draupadi, Kunti, Satyavati, Gandhari, and others, exploring how their narratives oscillate between conformity and rebellion. By doing so, the study aims to dismantle traditional readings and instead illuminate the epic's embedded critique of gender hierarchies. The analysis positions these women not only as mythological figures but also as enduring metaphors for the contemporary feminist struggle for voice, autonomy, and dignity.

Keywords: *Mahabharata, feminist literary theory, female agency, gender hierarchies, Indian epic*

Date of Submission: 27-08-2025 Date of Acceptance: 07-09-2025

I. Introduction: Rethinking The Epic Through A Feminist Lens

Across centuries, the Mahabharata has held an unshakable position in the cultural and spiritual consciousness of the Indian subcontinent. Revered as more than just a story, it is a vast, living archive, part scripture, part history, part moral inquiry. Its grandeur lies not only in its divine wars and philosophical dilemmas, but also in the quiet intensity of human emotion that courses through its characters. It is a text that grapples with every shade of the human condition, ambition and renunciation, loyalty and betrayal, courage and fallibility.

Yet, in this sweeping saga of kingdoms and karma, the stories of women are deeply present, often underexplored, and offer some of the most poignant, powerful, and politically charged moments. Their presence is not ornamental; it is foundational. They weep, rage, rebel, endure, and question. They carry secrets, negotiate power, and often pay the highest price for the choices made by men. Still, in mainstream discourse, their narratives have too often been read through a narrow lens either as paragons of idealized femininity or as silent victims of fate.

This research emerges from a growing discomfort with those limited readings. It seeks to center the voices that have long lingered in the periphery, not to victimize them, but to recover their strength, complexity, and inner lives. What happens when we look at The Mahabharata not as a male-driven epic with women at the margins, but as a world where women hold the moral compass, drive the political machinery, and ignite the most profound philosophical debates?

Take Draupadi, whose unflinching demand for justice in the Kauravas' court becomes one of the most defining moral turning points in the epic. Or Kunti, who navigates her sons' destinies with an uncanny mix of maternal love and political acumen. Consider Satyavati, whose hunger for legacy reshapes the Kuru lineage, or Gandhari, who chooses to blind herself not out of submission, but perhaps as an act of protest that resounds with silent thunder. These women do not merely respond to dharma; they shape it, bend it, question it.

By adopting a feminist lens, this paper does not aim to impose a modern ideology on an ancient text, but rather to draw out the layers of resistance, agency, and emotional complexity that traditional readings have overlooked or underplayed. The feminist approach becomes a means of listening differently: to the silences, to the unspoken griefs, and to the choices made in constrained circumstances. Ultimately, this study is an act of reclamation. It aims to reimagine The Mahabharata not just as a battlefield of men, but as a theatre of feminine will, where women, despite being written into patriarchal structures, find ways to speak, act, and transform their world. And in doing so, they resonate deeply with the struggles of women today, still navigating power, voice, and autonomy in systems not built to hear them.

II. Portrayals Of Power And Pain: Feminine Agency And Patriarchal Resistance In The Mahabharata

Satyavati: Matriarchal Authority in Motion

Satyavati, the daughter of a humble fisherman chief and raised along the tranquil banks of the Yamuna, transcends her origins through sheer determination and calculated foresight. Her entry into the royal lineage of Hastinapur is not accidental; it is a testament to her political acumen. When approached by King Shantanu, Satyavati's conditions for marriage were uncompromising: her son, not the king's existing heir, would inherit the throne. This assertive demand not only altered the kingdom's dynastic path but also demonstrated her unflinching prioritization of legacy over convention.

Though often labeled a manipulative mother, her orchestration of succession, particularly through invoking the practice of Niyogi by calling upon her son Vyasa, reflects a deeply strategic mind operating within the moral ambiguities of her time. Rather than being passive, she actively shaped the future of the Kuru dynasty. Even in the face of criticism for sidelining Bhishma, Satyavati emerges as a symbol of matriarchal resilience, striking a balance between emotion and empire. Her eventual retreat into the forest is not simply a turn toward asceticism; it is the quiet conclusion of a life spent wielding influence in a male-dominated court.

Ganga: The Elemental Mother and Political Guide

Ganga, the river goddess incarnate, is remembered not only for her divine association with purity but also for her quiet yet assertive role in shaping Hastinapur's future. When King Shantanu sought her hand, Ganga imposed a striking condition: unquestioned freedom of action. This stipulation, bold and rare in ancient matrimonial arrangements, reflects her deep autonomy and refusal to be subordinated.

Her decision to drown her seven sons, viewed through a mythological lens, was an act of divine redemption rather than cruelty, an escape from a cosmic curse. With the birth of her eighth son, Bhishma, and Shantanu's eventual protest, Ganga exits the marriage, adhering to her earlier vow. She later reappears not just as a mother, but as a spiritual advisor to Bhishma. Ganga's role, while less politically active than Satyavati's, is no less impactful; her maternal influence and foresight leave an indelible mark on the narrative.

Kunti: Between Sacred Duty and Silent Suffering

Kunti's life is shaped by paradox; she embodies both agency and submission, power and helplessness. Gifted with a divine boon that allows her to bear children by invoking the gods, she exercises a rare form of reproductive autonomy in ancient literature. Yet her early decision to abandon Karna, born before her marriage to Pandu, lays bare the crushing burden of societal shame and the fear of dishonor, a fear that continues to silence women even today.

Her reemergence as Karna's biological mother on the eve of the Kurukshetra war is steeped in emotional complexity. Far from a sentimental reunion, it is a calculated appeal for Karna's loyalty to protect her other sons. Critics interpret this moment as politically expedient, if not emotionally manipulative, raising questions about maternal ethics in the face of dynastic loyalty.

Kunti is emblematic of women who operate from the shadows – exerting quiet influence, navigating the treacherous terrain of court politics, and bearing the emotional toll of decisions made for the greater good. Her motherhood is exalted, yet her identity outside of this role is constantly diminished.

Gandhari: Blindfolded Visionary and Moral Counterweight

Gandhari's act of self-blinding is traditionally seen as an ultimate gesture of marital devotion. But viewed critically, it is also a form of resistance, a non-verbal protest, a decision in which she had no agency: being married to a blind prince against her will. Her voluntary darkness becomes a metaphor for the invisibility of women's autonomy in royal decisions.

Throughout her life, Gandhari stands as a moral compass within the Kuru court. Though a loyal wife, she never shies away from voicing dissent. Her warnings to Duryodhana about the disastrous consequences of his ambition are clear, firm, and tragically unheeded. Her final act – removing her blindfold to try to protect her last living son, and cursing Lord Krishna after the devastation of war elevates her to the status of a seeress whose grief is not passive, but transformative.

Gandhari challenges the reader to rethink the notion of the ideal wife: not one who obeys blindly, but one who critiques, mourns, and acts when the world around her fails.

Rukmini: The Woman Who Chose Her Destiny

Although her presence in the epic is brief, Rukmini's character represents the powerful assertion of individual desire in a world of arranged marriages. Betrothed against her will to Shishupala, she writes a secret letter to Krishna, pleading with him to rescue her, a brave move that breaks through layers of feminine silence. Her abduction, executed with her consent, is not an act of male heroism alone but a mutual rebellion against

coercion.

Rukmini's faith, devotion, and emotional strength often go unnoticed in favor of more politically involved women in the epic. However, her story is no less significant; it speaks to the woman's right to choose love over obligation and to voice her concerns rather than remain silent.

Madri: The Chosen Yet Unheard Wife

Madri, gifted as a bride to Pandu by her brother, is yet another example of a woman denied agency in marriage. Her role, though limited in screen time, is profound. She becomes the mother of Nakula and Sahadeva and ends her life by committing sati, immolating herself on Pandu's pyre.

Though her death is often glorified as an act of love, it also reflects the tragic expectation of self-erasure. Her identity is absorbed into the ideal of the devoted wife: a model still uncritically exalted in traditional retellings. Madri symbolizes the silenced voices of women who never received the space to assert individuality.

Amba, Ambika, and Ambalika: Echoes of Resistance and Repression

Bhishma forcibly takes the three princesses of Kashi for the marriage of his half-brother – a vivid representation of the commodification of women. While Ambika and Ambalika submit to the arrangement and later become mothers to Dhritarashtra and Pandu, Amba rebels. Her plea to Bhishma to marry her, after being rejected by her true love, is denied. In response, she vows revenge, undergoing a series of transformations that ultimately culminate in her rebirth as Shikhandi Bhishma's nemesis on the battlefield.

Amba's story is one of rage reclaimed, identity redefined, and power reasserted. She subverts the silence assigned to her, challenging not only Bhishma but the entire patriarchal structure that sanctioned her erasure. Her tale foreshadows the feminist call for restitution through justice, even across lifetimes.

Draupadi: The Voice That Shattered the Assembly Hall

Born not of the womb, but of sacred fire, Draupadi's origin story itself resists normative frameworks. She enters the world not as a daughter, wife, or possession but as an answer to a father's prayers for vengeance and justice. From the outset, she is a woman marked by purpose, by destiny, by flame. Her very birth defies submissiveness; she is elemental, untamed, and meant to disturb order rather than uphold it.

Draupadi's life is a narrative woven with paradoxes. Worshipped for her beauty and wisdom, she is simultaneously objectified, politicized, and disempowered. Her polyandrous marriage, often misread as a symbol of excessive desire or deviancy, is in fact a moment of profound erasure. It originates not from her volition, but from Kunti's accidental command, revealing how a woman's fate, even one born divine, is subjected to familial and masculine will. Yet Draupadi, far from being crushed by this reality, asserts herself in ways that neither challenge nor conform entirely, but rather reveal the complex agency she must navigate.

It is, however, in the infamous Kaurava court, amidst stone pillars and colder hearts, that Draupadi's voice echoes most powerfully through the corridors of history and myth. Wagered like property in a game she neither consented to nor participated in, she is dragged by her hair before a room full of kings, warriors, elders, and gods-in-disguise. The silence of those present, Bhishma, Drona, Dhritarashtra, and even her husbands, becomes louder than any uttered injustice. Yet Draupadi does not remain silent.

She raises a question that shakes the foundation of dharma: "Whom did you lose first – yourself or me?" In a single inquiry, she exposes the ethical hollowness of Yudhishthira's gamble, the complicity of silence, and the hypocrisy of a moral code that enables violence under the guise of righteousness. She is not merely a woman protesting her humiliation – she is a moral philosopher, challenging the very frameworks of justice and legality in a male-dominated world. Her voice, sharp as flint and deliberate as ritual, turns the sabha (assembly) into a battlefield long before Kurukshetra is conceived.

Draupadi's defiance is not theatrical; it is existential. When she raises her blood-soaked vow – not to tie her hair until it is washed in Duhshasana's blood it is not revenge alone, but a reclamation of bodily autonomy and symbolic honor. Hair, a marker of feminine grace and domesticity, becomes her site of resistance. She turns her trauma into power, her helplessness into resolve. And even as she calls upon Krishna for rescue, the act is not a surrender to patriarchal saviorhood, but a strategic invocation – she knows when and how to engage divine forces without being consumed by dependence on them.

Her rage is not irrational; it is righteous. Her pain is not a weakness; it is a political issue. She does not seek merely retribution but acknowledgment. In exile, in anguish, in rage, Draupadi remains a character who refuses to be written out of consequence. Her fire burns not to destroy, but to illuminate the hypocrisies cloaked in dharma.

Feminist readings of Draupadi rightly position her as one of the earliest literary embodiments of resistance. She is not a monolith of victimhood, nor a flawless ideal. She is a woman in negotiation with her circumstances, with tradition, with men, with God, and most importantly, with herself. She does not conform to one archetype; she fractures them. Draupadi is as much fire as she is flesh, deeply human, immensely political,

and unforgettably fierce.

She does not vanish into the margins of the epic after the war; her pain lingers. She mourns, questions, and remains unfulfilled in many ways. And perhaps that is her most modern trait: the refusal to be neatly resolved. Draupadi endures in literature and memory not because she was avenged, but because she spoke, and in doing so, compelled others to listen, even centuries later.

III. Conclusion: Reclaiming The Forgotten Half Of The Epic

The Mahabharata, often hailed as the soul scripture of Indian civilization, is more than a tale of warriors, kingdoms, and divine interventions. It is a mirror cracked and luminous that reflects the eternal dilemmas of human life: power, duty, longing, and loss. Yet, for centuries, one half of that reflection has remained dim: the voices of women who walked through the same fire, grief, and questions, but were rarely allowed to speak in full. This study began with a simple yet urgent impulse: to listen.

To listen not to the mythic gloss, but to the emotional truths hidden behind silences. Not to the simplified archetypes, but to the complex, layered humanity of women like Draupadi, Kunti, Gandhari, and Satyavati. Through a feminist lens, this inquiry has attempted to bring those women closer – not as ornaments to a male-driven plot, but as agents who shaped and sometimes shattered the narrative itself.

Draupadi is not just the flame-born queen or the cause of a great war. She is a voice that refused to break, even when stripped of dignity in a hall of lawless men. Her resistance was not just political; it was deeply personal, the kind that rises from raw humiliation and refuses to accept injustice as fate. She demanded answers when silence was expected. She questioned dharma when others hid behind it. In her, we see not a victim of her times, but a woman who transcended them. She reminds us that sometimes, dignity is defended not by swords, but by speech.

In Draupadi's defiance, we glimpse the agony and resilience of every woman who has ever stood alone against a roomful of power and asked, "Do I not count?" Her story is not a relic; it is a pulse that still beats in every courtroom where women demand justice, in every household where silence is mistaken for virtue.

Kunti and Gandhari represent two different modes of maternal agency, each shaped by duty, sacrifice, and impossible choices. Kunti, often remembered as the wise mother of the Pandavas, also carries a deep well of silence – about Karna, about pain, and about the moral burdens of choosing between personal truth and collective good. Her silence was not a weakness; it was a survival tactic. She navigated patriarchy with strategy, not submission.

Gandhari, who blindfolded herself for life, has long been reduced to an image of passive devotion. But what if that act was a protest? A quiet refusal to participate in a world that chose to ignore her suffering. Her grief after the war, her fury directed even at Krishna, shows that moral clarity sometimes comes from those who are forced to endure, rather than act. Gandhari reminds us that pain can become prophecy.

Satyavati's story is one of remarkable ascent from fisherwoman to queen mother, from outsider to matriarch of a dynasty. She was not guided by love or gods, but by will. Her choices were sharp, calculated, and bold. And yet, her legacy, like many ambitious women's, is marked by loss. She built an empire but couldn't save it from implosion.

Satyavati is often viewed with discomfort: too ambitious, too manipulative, too unapologetic. But what if that discomfort is the very reason we must study her? She asks us to consider what ambition looks like when it wears a woman's face, and what price is extracted for exercising power in a world where power was never meant to be hers.

This epic, then, is not just a tale of kings and wars. It is an emotional archive, a place where love, rage, silence, betrayal, and wisdom coexist. When we read it through a feminist lens, we don't distort its meaning; we reveal its depth. We unearth the contradictions it holds and the questions it quietly asks: Who gets to speak? Who gets remembered? And whose stories are left untold, not because they were insignificant, but because they were inconvenient?

The Mahabharata does not offer easy answers. That's what makes it timeless. It invites us into its ambiguity. It lets us sit with the discomfort of knowing that dharma was not always just, and power was not always earned. But it also gives us characters who resisted, who endured, and who, in their own ways, challenged the scripts they were handed.

The stories of these women are not buried in the past; they echo in today's headlines, courtrooms, protests, and households. Draupadi's defiance is visible in every woman who speaks truth to power. Kunti's dilemma lives in mothers navigating choices between societal expectations and personal conviction. Gandhari's grief resonates with every woman who has watched her world collapse and still found the strength to mourn with dignity. Satyavati's ambition parallels that of countless women in boardrooms, politics, and the arts, who seek space in arenas never meant for them and are judged harshly for daring to want more.

In South Asia, where mythology and memory often blur, how we interpret these characters matters. It shapes how we raise daughters, how we write textbooks, and how we imagine justice. To reclaim these women

is not an academic indulgence; it is an act of cultural reparation.

No single reading of the Mahabharata can be final. It is too vast, too contradictory, too alive. But that is precisely why we must keep returning to it, not to repeat old hierarchies, but to discover new ways of listening. This paper hopes to be part of a larger conversation one where scholars, teachers, readers, and storytellers ask harder questions, listen more carefully, and read more generously.

Feminist readings do not add something "extra" to the epic; they bring us closer to its core. They remind us that history is not what happened, but what is remembered. And sometimes, to remember differently is the most radical thing we can do.

In reclaiming the female voice in the Mahabharata, we do not merely recover forgotten stories; we participate in rewriting tradition itself. We move from being passive inheritors of epic memory to conscious creators of meaning. And in doing so, perhaps we begin a new kind of epic, one where women are not just remembered but heard.

Bibliography

[1]. Butler, Judith. Gender Trouble: Feminism And The Subversion Of Identity. London, Routledge, 1990.
[2]. De Beauvoir, Simone. The Second Sex. 1949. Translated By Constance Borde And Sheila Malovany-Chevallier, New York, Vintage Books, 2011.
[3]. Doniger, Wendy. The Hindus : An Alternative History. New York, Penguin Books, 2010.
[4]. Hiltebeitel, Alf. Rethinking The Mahabharata. University Of Chicago Press, 30 Oct. 2001.
[5]. Lal, Malashri. The Law Of The Threshold. Indian Institute Of Advanced Study, 1995.
[6]. Narasimhan, Chakravarthi V. "The Mahabharata: An English Version Based On Selected Verses." Internet Archive, 1966, Archive.Org/Details/Mahabharataengli0000chak.
[7]. Narayan, R K, And Wendy Doniger. The Mahabharata : A Shortened Modern Prose Version Of The Indian Epic. Chicago ; London, The University Of Chicago Press, 2013.
[8]. Pattanaik, Devdutt. Jaya : An Illustrated Retelling Of The Mahabharata. Gurgaon, Haryana, India, Penguin Books, 2010.
[9]. Showalter, Elaine. A Literature Of Their Own : British Women Novelists From Bronte To Lessing. Princeton, N.J. Univ. Press [Ca, 1991.
[10]. Spivak, Gayatri Chakravorty. In Other Worlds : Essays In Cultural Politics. New York ; London, Routledge, 1988.
[11]. Sutherland, Sally J. "Sītā And Draupadī: Aggressive Behavior And Female Role-Models In The Sanskrit Epics." Journal Of The American Oriental Society, Vol. 109, No. 1, Jan. 1989, P. 63, Https://Doi.Org/10.2307/604337.
[12]. Thapar, Romila. Ancient Indian Social History : Some Interpretations. New Delhi, Orient Longman, 1978.
[13]. The Mahabharata. Translated By Bibek Debroy, New Delhi, India, Penguin Books, 2010.