IOSR Journal of Humanities and Social Science (IOSR-JHSS)
Volume 30, Issue 7, Series 1 (July, 2025) 74-76
e-ISSN: 2279-0837, p-ISSN: 2279-0845.
www.iosrjournals.org

To Explore the Effect of Motivation Strategies for Enhancing Team Creativity in Entrepreneurial Ecosystems

Shruti Kalia
Assistant Professor, Department of Business Administration, Chandigarh Group of Colleges, Landran
Email id: shruti.4369@cgc.edu.in

Abstract:
This paper explores how diverse motivation strategies affect team creativity in entrepreneurial ecosystems. Startups, characterized by rapid innovation, limited resources, and high uncertainty, require a nuanced understanding of what drives creativity among team members. Through a mixed-method approach involving surveys and interviews across Indian startups, this research highlights the crucial role of intrinsic motivators such as autonomy, shared purpose, recognition, and collaboration. These factors demonstrated stronger associations with creative performance than extrinsic incentives. The paper contributes both theoretically and practically by offering insights for entrepreneurs, ecosystem builders, and educators aiming to foster sustainable team creativity.
Keywords: *Entrepreneurial Ecosystem, intrinsic motivators, Extrinsic incentives, Entrepreneurs*

I. Introduction

Creativity serves as a cornerstone of entrepreneurial success. In the dynamic and high-risk world of startups, the ability of teams to consistently generate innovative ideas is critical for survival and growth. Among the various enablers of creativity, motivation stands out as a pivotal factor that can either unleash or constrain creative potential.

Startups typically operate in environments marked by limited funding, evolving roles, and high uncertainty. Unlike traditional organizations, they often lack formalized structures and processes, placing a premium on self-driven and collaborative work cultures. In such settings, understanding how motivational strategies influence creativity is essential.

This study aims to examine two core questions:

1. Which motivation strategies are most effective in enhancing creativity within startup teams?
2. How do elements of the entrepreneurial ecosystem—such as mentorship, co-working environments, and community networks—impact the effectiveness of these strategies?

II. Literature Review

2.1 Motivation and Creativity in Startups: Teresa Amabile (1996) emphasized that intrinsic motivation plays a central role in creative performance. In entrepreneurial contexts, where ambiguity and resource scarcity are the norms, motivation serves as the engine that drives innovative behavior. Shalley and Gilson (2004) reinforced this by identifying autonomy, clear objectives, and managerial support as essential drivers of creativity.

2.2 Theoretical Background: Self-Determination Theory (SDT) by Deci and Ryan (2000) posits that three fundamental psychological needs—autonomy, competence, and relatedness—must be satisfied for sustained intrinsic motivation. Autonomy refers to the freedom to make choices, competence relates to mastery and skill development, and relatedness signifies meaningful connections with others.

Herzberg's Two-Factor Theory (1968) distinguishes between hygiene factors (e.g., salary, job security) and true motivators (e.g., achievement, recognition). In the entrepreneurial realm, where formal compensation may be limited, intrinsic motivators become particularly salient.

2.3 Creativity in Entrepreneurial Teams: Team creativity depends on open communication, diversity of perspectives, and psychological safety (Edmondson, 1999). In startups, flat hierarchies and cross-functional teams can enhance these conditions. Ensley et al. (2006) found that both shared and vertical leadership contribute positively to creativity when motivation strategies align with team dynamics.

2.4 Motivation within Entrepreneurial Ecosystems: Entrepreneurial ecosystems include accelerators, incubators, co-working spaces, and mentorship networks. These entities provide both extrinsic rewards (e.g., funding, exposure) and intrinsic enablers (e.g., peer learning, mentorship). Isenberg (2010) argued that culture is a defining element of ecosystem success, shaping risk attitudes and creative behavior.

2.5 Research Gap: While the relationship between motivation and creativity has been well documented, there is limited empirical evidence on how these dynamics play out within entrepreneurial ecosystems—particularly in non-Western contexts. This study seeks to address this gap by focusing on Indian startups.

III. Methodology

3.1 Research Design: This research adopted a mixed-method approach to triangulate findings from quantitative surveys and qualitative interviews.

3.2 Survey: A structured questionnaire was distributed to 50 startup team members from sectors including technology, education, and healthcare. Respondents rated motivational factors (autonomy, purpose, recognition, collaboration) and self-assessed creativity levels using a 5-point Likert scale. Demographic data such as role, experience, and industry type were also collected.

3.3 Interviews: Semi-structured interviews were conducted with 10 startup founders and team leads. Questions focused on the implementation of motivational practices, perceived impacts on creativity, and ecosystem influences.

3.4 Data Analysis: Quantitative data were analyzed using regression models to examine the relationship between motivation and creativity. Interview transcripts were subjected to thematic coding to identify recurring patterns and contextual insights.

IV. Results

4.1 Survey Findings:
- Autonomy had the strongest correlation with creative output (mean: 4.3).
- Purpose alignment and recognition also scored high (means: 4.1 and 4.0, respectively).
- Collaboration among peers had a notable impact (mean: 3.9).
- Extrinsic rewards like bonuses had relatively weak associations with creativity (mean: 3.1).

4.2 Interview Insights:
- Founders emphasized the value of ownership and decision-making freedom in encouraging creativity.
- Recognition—both verbal and through public acknowledgment—was described as a strong motivator.
- Challenges identified included burnout, lack of role clarity, and inconsistent feedback.
- Mentorship, peer networks, and community learning emerged as essential support systems.

V. Discussion

5.1 Reinforcing Self-Determination Theory: The data validated the principles of SDT, demonstrating that satisfying the needs for autonomy, competence, and relatedness leads to heightened creative performance. Startups that actively encouraged autonomy and built connected, skill-enhancing environments saw better creative results.

5.2 The Limitations of Extrinsic Rewards: Consistent with Herzberg's theory, extrinsic incentives were insufficient for driving creativity. While they provided baseline satisfaction, they did not energize teams to explore novel solutions.

5.3 Team Dynamics and Psychological Safety: Psychological safety was crucial. Teams that regularly practiced open dialogue, celebrated small wins, and maintained a culture of mutual respect were more likely to innovate.

5.4 Ecosystem Support and Cultural Influence: The broader ecosystem played a reinforcing role. Mentorship, co-working spaces, and community events not only offered resources but cultivated belonging and intellectual

stimulation. Indian startups particularly valued mission-driven work and social impact, suggesting that motivation strategies should be culturally contextualized.

5.5 Barriers to Implementation: Despite the awareness of intrinsic motivators, startups often lacked the capacity to consistently apply them due to resource shortages, overlapping roles, and the absence of HR infrastructure.

5.6 Contribution to Research and Practice: This study enhances theoretical models by applying them to an entrepreneurial context and offers practical guidelines for building motivation-centric, creative team environments.

VI. Conclusion and Implications

6.1 Summary of Key Findings: Intrinsic motivators significantly outperform extrinsic rewards in fostering creativity in startup teams. Autonomy, purpose, recognition, and collaboration were consistently linked with innovative behavior.

6.2 Implications for Entrepreneurs:

- Create a culture that supports decision-making freedom.
- Align team roles with organizational mission.
- Celebrate creative contributions regularly.
- Facilitate ongoing peer collaboration.

6.3 Implications for Ecosystem Builders:

- Provide access to mentorship and leadership training.
- Encourage peer learning and open community engagement.
- Design incubation programs with a psychological and motivational focus.

6.4 Implications for Educators and Policymakers:

- Embed motivation theory in entrepreneurship curricula.
- Promote experiential learning environments.
- Support national and regional ecosystem initiatives that emphasize intrinsic motivation.

6.5 Final Thoughts:

Sustainable creativity in startups arises not from financial perks but from meaning, freedom, and belonging. As entrepreneurial ecosystems continue to evolve, understanding the motivational underpinnings of innovation will be essential to building resilient, high-performing teams.

References

[1]. Amabile, T. M. (1996). *Creativity in context*. Westview Press.
[2]. Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2000). The "what" and "why" of goal pursuits: Human needs and the self-determination of behavior. *Psychological Inquiry, 11*(4), 227–268. https://doi.org/10.1207/S15327965PLI1104_01
[3]. Edmondson, A. (1999). Psychological safety and learning behavior in work teams. *Administrative Science Quarterly, 44*(2), 350–383. https://doi.org/10.2307/2666999
[4]. Ensley, M. D., Hmieleski, K. M., & Pearce, C. L. (2006). The importance of vertical and shared leadership within new venture top management teams: Implications for the performance of startups. *The Leadership Quarterly, 17*(3), 217–231.
[5]. Herzberg, F. (1968). One more time: How do you motivate employees? *Harvard Business Review, 46*(1), 53–62.
[6]. Isenberg, D. J. (2010). How to start an entrepreneurial revolution. *Harvard Business Review, 88*(6), 40–50.
[7]. Shalley, C. E., & Gilson, L. L. (2004). What leaders need to know: A review of social and contextual factors that can foster or hinder creativity. *The Leadership Quarterly, 15*(1), 33–53. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2003.12.004