

Satanic Bible: Where Is It Coming From?

(M. R. Pinheiro)/Twins Of God

Abstract:

In this paper, we discuss the origins of the satanic bible. This is where all rhetoric and logic involved in usurpation, multiples, slavery, brainwashing and all other practices of the so-called satanic brotherhood are found. The satanic brotherhood is the origin of absolutely all problems in human kind for more than 3,000 years and those include Our Lord (Lord of incarnations) and Savior, Jesus Christ, son of Our God, therefore son of the God of Abraham, Isaac and Jacob, the God of Wisdom and Heavens, therefore eternal life, having gone through a martyrdom in this world. His martyrdom consisted of having been illegally accused, arrested, condemned and inhumanly treated all the way through on top of brutally attacked and violently injured plus atrociously wounded by what was supposed to be the Roman Empire compliance officers but was actually the satanic brotherhood slaves/usurpers. All terrorist activities on earth are to be blamed on the satanic brotherhood, just to mention another consequence of tolerating their existence or the existence of their bible. Basically, they seem to be the source of all evil in human kind and on earth for more than 3,000 years. We also talk about the book currently called Christian bible: it was put together by the satanic brotherhood, just like the Jewish and Islamic 'holy' books: we mostly discuss the creation account in Genesis. Besides, we acknowledge the usurpation of the existence of Julius Wellhausen and Richard Elliott Friedman before these IDs were seen associated with the Documentary Hypothesis, so that we acknowledge the Documentary Hypothesis as fraud. We also prove that the actual truth is precisely the converse to what it states, which means that the Jewish creation account is the one where man and woman are created at the same time while the satanic creation account is that where the man is created before the woman. We prove that any creation account stating that the entire humanity originated on a single heterosexual couple is completely absurd, therefore ungodly. We also prove that no entity deserving the label 'god' has created humanity and Our God is simply one that adopted us and decided to offer the possibility of eternal life in their Elohim Planet or paradise as well as the simple destruction of the soul He put in us after due punishment in hell whenever we fail adhering to the standards of the heavenly path (after Jesus) and of heavens (before Jesus).

Keywords: *devil, God, Jesus, satanic brotherhood, crime, satanic bible, documentary hypothesis, black African, Egypt*

Date of Submission: 25-03-2025

Date of Acceptance: 05-04-2025

I. Introduction

The satanic brotherhood seems to have been started by 3 black African men who were in Egypt at a certain height of their lives. It is just that the Egyptians are also black Africans and it actually seems that slavery is an idea coming exclusively from their race as well as satanism or adoration to the devil through the principles, rites and rhetoric established by his helper, satan (in the same way Our God has Jesus as his helper, so to help people ascend to heavens, the devil has satan to help people descend to hell).

From the satanic brotherhood members came the idea of the satanic sisterhood, since the satanic bible demands that black men have intimacy only with the own black men, figuring as such, so no effemination of any type allowed, and they exterminate all physical types on earth that be not black men. In order to make society not be able to react in any sense, they thought of making men, who should be heterosexual by default, imagine that the satanic sisterhood transgenders (only those would be allowed and they would have to be made out of black men) were the actual natural women and, after all natural women had been replaced with those, they would satanize them to an extreme, so they would make them behave and appear each time more masculine, to finally get men rid of any memory or idea of femininity, which they think would make of the transition to a world where men marry the own men, no effemination of any type allowed, easier.

Without the satanic brotherhood, it is likely that rivalry and conflict would still exist among humankind, such as the disputes between protestants and Catholics in Ireland [1], or competition among members of one gender over a potential spouse, as well as the abuse of children, yet nothing like the World War I or World War II or Vietnam War or, worse, the takeover of Australia (the brotherhood claims that all native have been exterminated already a few years ago, so more than 20 million people).

We here try to produce evidence in the direction of proving that both the satanic bible and the satanic brotherhood, therefore also sisterhood, originate in the 'work' of black Egyptian men, therefore black African

men, and have their roots in the placid contemplation of the slavery relationship formed between black Africans and black Africans. The satanic bible, correcting the thinking presented in [7], must have been created around the 6th century BCE (date of the first illegal modifications of the book that would later on become the book of the Jewish people, modifications made by the satanic brotherhood). The Jewish, however, would have written the beginning of their bible before 1300 BCE [2].

II. Development

Since the Hebrew language did not exist before 1200 BCE, it is likely that the satanic bible was written in another language. In Egypt, they spoke Classical Egyptian [3] back then, so that it is likely such a book was written in Egyptian. Portuguese did not exist in the world back then, according to Co-pilot. 'Braganca'/the devil reports that this male, who gave the book, was not originally from Egypt and ended up staying there for reasons of trade, like he was a businessman and decided to stay there. According to Co-pilot, both businessman and slave could have come from a few places in Africa. Those were: Nubia (modern Sudan and Southern Egypt), Western Desert and Lybia and Sub-Saharan Africa. Yet, Co-pilot also says that, for trade of slaves, the first two would be the ones, like some happened from the third one but only rarely. Co-pilot says that it was more common that slaves came from Nubia to Egypt than from the other two places. The devil says that the slave received the book but did not really appreciate it. Another black man who had contact with him then took the book to the same sort of place where the scholars (they had no priests or rabbis back then, Co-pilot says) from the so-called 'priestley' source wrote Genesis [4] (Documentary Hypothesis, according to Co-pilot). That should have been Babylon, in the 6th century BCE, according to Co-pilot (Jewish priestly class mostly in exile in Babylon (modern-day Iraq)). The devil says the book was already written but the male then used the satanic bible to 'fix' some parts of it, such as creation, so that they usurped the life of 2 black scholars (men), and they were two at that stage [4], and they then found a book in the library and got interested in reading plus editing it ('Braganca' told me so as well, not only guessing). The creation story where man and woman are created at the same time is called First Creation Account by Co-pilot and this one would date from 6th century BCE while the Second Creation Account would be the one where Adam appears first, then Eve and Our God takes a rib from Adam to give him Eve, this one having been written in the 10th-9th century BCE (Co-pilot). Co-pilot also says that the second account would have come from a Yahwist source, so a source connected to Yahweh and, since that account appears earlier, he is stating that our scholars would have written the second account themselves. Notice that the difference of time they claim there was between the two accounts is at least 3 centuries, yet there is obviously something wrong with these dates, like how did they get them? Adam and Eve never made any sense: it is irrational, since women have more Our God's powers (start and end of the human race) than men and therefore wouldn't be created from man: if anything of that type, it would have to be the opposite. That would have to belong to the usurper. Also the names 'Adam' and 'Eve' do sound quite pagan but Abraham, Isaac and Jacob, names Our God associates to Himself, are clearly Jewish, therefore non-pagan.

According to [5], the earliest mention to Yahweh on archaeological findings is the one from Sudan, 15th century BCE. The presenter from [5] is clearly a member of the satanic brotherhood, since he exists in multiples [6]: we find at least two men figuring as him in [5], the man from 1:48 and the man from 5:47 (the noses are very different).

Here what Co-pilot says: "The Soleb Inscription, dated to around 1500 BCE, is often cited as one of the earliest mentions of Yahweh. It was discovered in a temple built by Pharaoh Amenhotep III in Soleb, Nubia (modern-day Sudan). The inscription refers to a group of people called the "Shasu of Yahweh," suggesting a connection between Yahweh and a specific region or group." Co-pilot also says that the shasu are mentioned in Egyptian texts frequently as enemies or raiders and at least a couple of pharaohs conducted military campaign against them. This basically means that Yahweh is not Our God, that is, the God of Abraham, Isaac and Jacob, as we have now said a few times in our blogs with Blogger (<https://www.blogger.com/profile/10593986052245851563>):

Our God is the God of the Jews, clearly the case (all three names are of Jews), so that He is not the same God because that was the God of the shasu instead plus they are associated with wrongest things as possible, which in noting matches Our God and His demands over us. As said before, the bible was written by the satanic brotherhood [7], so that it is definitely not our bible either.

There is at least one creation account in Genesis that is entirely satanic (the one involving Adam and Eve) and, as we know, highly absurd and incorrect (just the number of languages in human kind tells us that is impossible, like, if we all came from the same couple, why would we ever speak a different language? And languages are as different as Sumerian and English, like one uses cuneiform writing (relies on symbols) and the other uses the Latin alphabet (phonetic alphabet) (Information from Co-pilot).

Then, if we came from 2 different couples, say both creation accounts stated the same and both were valid at the same time (yet the first account says created 'woman' and 'man', not one woman and one man, so that it doesn't necessarily imply only one couple generates the entire humanity), why would we have a third

type of communication, say logographic (symbols represent whole words or ideas), which is that used by Chinese people (Co-pilot)? The Sumerian language is partially logographic, when it comes to writing, but not fully logographic, like Chinese (Co-pilot). The click languages are yet another example: clicks are then used as consonants in some African communities (Co-pilot). We have 7,000 different languages in the world today, according to Co-pilot: we would need to have at least that amount of couples originating different peoples for this Maths to work. We must also observe that each change of language demands new occlusion, articulation and voice placement patterns, so that the likelihood the Eve and Adam account could be correct is realistically not only negative, but a 'hell' lot negative.

We believe Our God never gave a creation account to us, even because the first person He spoke to was Abraham and Abraham gave us no creation account whatsoever. The proof that Abraham is the first person He spoke to in human kind is the sequence of the bible but also Our God referring to Himself as God of Abraham, Isaac and Jacob, in this order: that is, for instance, in Exodus 3:6. Our God is perfect and therefore His communication is perfect: if there were anyone else before Abraham who had had contact with our type, He would have said the name of that person. Even if we never took note of their name, He could have said: I am the God of the first human on earth. Yet, He didn't say such a thing, so that we believe were not created by Our God and therefore have no physical similarity of any type with Him or the Elohim. That is then the reason as to why we have a soul and only through it we can become an Elohim and therefore live in the Elohim Planet or paradise. It is also only through it that we can evolve throughout multiple incarnations if not ready on one to move to live in paradise with Our God and His wife, the goddess. We have heads that are too fragile of us to be god-like, to mention one good reason as to why our organism cannot be a copy of theirs: the satanic brotherhood and sisterhood have taught us in the ways of the devil. The chin knock [33] is one of the most mind-bending things I have ever watched in life: I think I am sure nobody can stop that force or do anything to avoid death when it is coming for them if they are humans. Another major fragility our body suffers from is that of vulnerability to voice to skull and the alike (instantaneous brainwashing and others) [34]: That can make anyone do anything for anyone else at any time upon the person who wants something done by them simply whispering their command close to their skull (it does demand technique to be able to do it to someone else, though). This obviously means we could absolutely never be gods in our human bodies and therefore there are no gods with our body shape. Fragilities are many though: we need to drink water, otherwise our kidney fails and we die. We need to eat in a rational way, otherwise we get constipation and we die. Someone comes and puts a pillow over our heads as we sleep and we die. Someone sinks the finger in our eye and we cannot move anymore, so that we cannot fight. A woman kicks the penis of a man with all her strength and the man cannot do anything anymore for a while. So many...

In this way, if a god/goddess created us, that was not Our God, who is the own wisdom and therefore would have given more perfection to us, humans, also in those regards, since we seem to be the only beings on earth capable of evolving through collective and historical thinking and it is wise to make the wisest person last forever, therefore have strongest physicality. Yet, if a god/goddess created us, then they obviously don't care about us, since we have never heard from them. In this case, our only utility in their lives would probably be predation or recreational hunting, so that they must come once in a while or stay here predated over us. If our function in their lives were entertainment, they would communicate once in a while to make us entertain them better.

If Our God does not have the same physical shape as us, He might be ethereal in shape, which would be ideal, since the satanic then wouldn't be able to kill or usurp them. They could have this shape only when outside of the Elohim Planet and 'dress' a physical body when on it. Their planet could be considered heavens also for two very special factors: not being visible by any other beings, so protected or encased in a special fabric or with advanced technology that provides that experience, and only being found if a being from it takes us there, so say Jesus or his envoys.

Coming back to Yahweh, the fact that 'Kramer' says that Yahweh appears 6,823 times in the Old Testament [5] further proves our statements [7] (shasu): that the bible is satanic, not Christian, so the opposite to what the Catholic Church says it is.

Osahon seems to tell several truths and one of them is that Yahweh is the son of Lucifer [8], so of one of the creatures of the devil, therefore also son of the devil. Barker [9] proves that Yahweh is the son of El Elyon (he was seen as such by the ancient Israelite religion followers) only and we didn't find enough sources to testify on El Elyon being the same as Lucifer.

Co-pilot says: "The location of Mount Horeb, also known as Mount Sinai, is a subject of debate among scholars and historians. Some traditions associate Horeb with the Sinai Peninsula, while others suggest it could be in northwestern Saudi Arabia, within the region historically identified as Midian. For example, Exodus 3:1 mentions that Moses was tending the flock of his father-in-law, Jethro, the priest of Midian, when he encountered the burning bush at Horeb. This has led some to propose that Horeb was located in or near Midian. However, the exact location remains uncertain, and different theories place it in various regions." It is pretty

logical to infer that Horeb was in Midian, since Moses' father-in-law was from Midian and Moses was taking care of his flock. In this case, Osahon commits no mistake when he says that Moses had contact with a Midianite deity there, in the burning bush episode.

[10] lets us know that this is a sentence from the Exodus, Jewish version: "A messenger of יהוה appeared to him (Moses) in a blazing fire out of a bush. He gazed, and there was a bush all aflame, yet the bush was not consumed." יהוה is to the right side of the page and they then explain that it actually means YHVH or tetragrammaton. [11] says that YHWH should have been the name for the Jews instead and the Ugaritic version of this name is YW, pronounced Yahweh. In Exodus 6:3, according to [11], YHWH would be the name of Our God given by Himself to Moses.

According to Co-pilot: "The name **Yahweh** (יהוה), from the tetragrammaton YHWH in Hebrew, is often understood to mean "**He Is**" or "**He Causes to Be**". This interpretation comes from Exodus 3:14, where God reveals Himself to Moses with the phrase "**Ehyeh Asher Ehyeh**" (אֶהְיֶה אֲשֶׁר אֶהְיֶה), typically translated as "**I Am Who I Am**". The name Yahweh is derived from the same root verb **hayah** (הָיָה), meaning "to be" or "to exist."

Co-pilot then says that "the original handwritten Pentateuch, as in the very first manuscripts, no longer exists. However, handwritten Torah scrolls, which are meticulously copied by scribes (soferim) following ancient traditions, are still created and used in Jewish communities today." That should make investigations a bit more difficult: the satanic destroyed the original document, handwritten, which does make a lot of sense, like they want us to never find out what they have done from the perspective of those who can scientifically prove it.

We had thought of investigating consistency and coherence also in terms of writing, since we think they simply added letters in places because Hebrew would allow for that, like we were told that some scholars had already written something and the two then kept on going from there after they usurped the existences of two of the black scholars, yet, given the information from Co-pilot, we know that won't be possible. We now also remember 'Braganca'/the devil saying that they did not know the local language and therefore remained quiet all the time but that was not a problem, given the way the scholars worked back then, like still nowadays we all seem to praise silence more than anything else wherever we go. They then used a male translator, according to 'Braganca', and asked him to read piece by piece of the book to them and the three went on comparing the existing book with the satanic bible and 'fixing' it as the initial two pleased (understood that who fixes it by hand is then the translator himself. Since they could easily be confused with teachers of the law, it might have been easy to get a translator to help them for free, someone who knew Egyptian and Hebrew).

We now believe the right information is that the opposite happened in what regards the creation accounts: the first creation was ours and the second is theirs, so the one that comes from the dust. Whoever wrote the satanic bible was convinced that negro men had their soul changing nature from satanic/demoniac into good/compliant through processes of brainwashing that the negro men from the satanic brotherhood let us know about through Scientology, OT level, their creation/writing after usurpation of 'Hubbard's' existence [12]. They also then believed that the original nature of the black man is evil/demoniac (from watching how the black men enslaved and treated the own black men, according to 'Braganca') and therefore Our God is taking away their freedom by forcing them to be good, when the satanic come up with things such as Baal's Portal, which Leinha succeeded in making be mentioned in the New York press, making be exhibited in the New York's City Hall ([13], [14], [15]) and making be used by world icons during the World Government Summit 2025 in Dubai [16]: a portal that, if you cross, makes you transition from slavery/brainwashing to freedom, according to the believers. That is a copy of the entrance portal of the Baal's temple in Israel ([17] and 1 Kings 16:32-33), so that they imply following Baal, which is the deity chosen by Leinha from the satanic bible/satanic brotherhood realm, should lead to being free, so to returning to their (original) evil/demoniac nature.

Even though the devil/'Braganca'/my Al Qaeda father did not tell us where the writing of the satanic bible took place, I have, in my head (I seem to see the street from where the man wrote), that such a place was Nubia, which is, in part nowadays, Sudan according to Co-pilot (it says that Nubia was the largest provider of black African slaves to Egypt in the period of time when the facts we mention here should have happened). I initially theorized that the man who watches could not be of their colour, so black, but I concluded he himself 'walks the talk' after writing the book, so that he also killed his parents and then travelled to the father's place of origin (Egypt) to eliminate their possible brainwashing and still assimilate all they would have assimilated before having him (the devil did say it was a 'he', so that we know that for sure and also it is the only thing that makes sense), since the satanic assume at least knowledge about their original countries the parents give to their kid (even though they told me parents, when referring to this part, that was Leinha, I now think they meant father only, since it seems that it is all based on the figure of men, women are all irrelevant and, as we know, ultimately exterminated). In this case, he has to be a negro himself, like it doesn't make sense otherwise. Maybe he then thought that, since the philosophy he is going to put together aims at destroying the human soul, and he believed in reincarnation, the negros would then need to split human life into a few segments in order to live a few incarnations in a single life (one can only reincarnate if there is soul!), which is then why they have five

segments of 20 years. The devil blames the segments on the amount of change in aspect of the person instead: that they would have studied that and concluded that the signs of ageing show after 20 years in a more meaningful manner, so that they must get out of the ID at that stage.


We then concluded that the parents/father of this unnamed black man, creator of the satanic bible, therefore responsible for the creation of the satanic brotherhood/sisterhood and all evil in human kind since then (as for how it seems) were/was from Egypt (even because he had widest knowledge of deities that be not Our God (the satanic bible would talk about enormous number) and Co-pilot says that, from all places that people migrating to Nubia back then could be, so circa 600 BCE, Egypt is the one where people knew the most about largest variety of deities) and that forms the reason for him to move to there. He should speak the local language of Nubia, having perhaps being born there, so that he must have been able to speak at least two languages in his life: Nubian and Egyptian. We also concluded that he killed his parents in Nubia and then killed a businessman who was passing by there and was from another Country, not Egypt or Nubia, and usurped his life, then acquiring resources to move to Egypt and acquire his own black African Nubian slaves, which must have been his dream on earth, so what came to his head upon observing masters and slaves around him growing up in Nubia.

While living in Egypt, the black African man who created the satanic bible noticed a neighbour staring at his place and him a few times but he did not want to converse with the neighbour, even though he felt he could have the same feelings as his for others/the world/etc. He had written his book in Egyptian, language of his father ('Braganca' did not tell us about this), and he did not speak very well Egyptian even so, so that usurping a foreigner's existence was best option not to be found ('Braganca' did tell us this). He then plotted: he would call one of his slaves, so a Nubian black African male (we don't know if he had both genders in slavery or not, but 'Braganca' says he called a male), the one that most looked like he would like the ideas from his book ('Braganca' did tell us that. The Nubian should speak only Nubian, therefore, in principle, could not read from the book even if he wanted), and made sure he gave his book to him in front of the neighbour, who was originally from Egypt, black male himself too. He then made the neighbour pay attention to their conversation and believe the book was a really good gift. The neighbour then spoke to the slave, who, according to 'Braganca', did not show any interest in the book, and the slave gave him the book straight away.

From there the neighbour reads it and does the same: kills his parents and is ready to go to the land of his parents/father, which then must have been Babylonia, but he decides to get a male partner, so another black man we understand, before he departs. He takes a while but finally finds someone 'in his same situation' ('Braganca's' words). We then now imagine someone who had just killed their parents, so say someone who was in or should be in jail and perhaps someone who was also the son of a Babylonian. That is when they both go to Babylonia and usurp the existences of the 2 black scholars.

We conclude that the unnamed author of the satanic bible probably had access to written sources from Egypt that contained all details about these so many pagan deities and therefore that his parents liked reading/books. It is possible that his father was from Egypt and his mother was from Nubia and that the father ended up in Nubia after knowledge (according to Co-pilot, Egypt and Nubia had 'extensive trade networks', which included the exchange of papyrus, and Nubia had rich libraries and cultural centers plus there was a lot of political interaction between both places).

We concluded that the creation accounts had their dates of creation swapped through the Documentary Hypothesis [18], so that the right creation account, as for the original book the original black scholars were writing in Babylonia before the satanic brotherhood members came and usurped 2 of them, changing the entire book, is the first creation account, which then dates from 10th-9th century BCE. We could now proceed to proving that each researcher defending the Documentary Hypothesis is a usurper and therefore has satanic intentions with human kind, which means they try to deceive, lie and cheat in all they do, especially in what comes to Science, since they then worry to maximum about not being identified as perpetrators/most disloyal competitors/marginals. We will then prove the hypothesis to only a couple of those, leaving the rest to the reader. See here Julius Wellhausen, German researcher [19]:



[19]

[20]

[21]

From [19] to [20], we notice that the pocket of the eye is of different thickness (the one on [20] has a pocket that is much longer), the ear sticks out more on one than on another ([19] sticks out more) and the shape of the ear is distinct (while [20] has the usual two curves at the top, [19] has flat ear at that height). The nose of [21] differs from that of [19] and [20]. Therefore, they are three different men. Therefore, we conclude the existence of Julius Wellhausen was usurped [22] and therefore he passed before the date [19] gives for his death, which is then the date of the end of the occupation of the ID by humans.

Co-pilot says: “The first time Julius Wellhausen publicly defended the Documentary Hypothesis was in his seminal work *Geschichte Israels* (1878)”. He was then 34 years old [19]. A bit before that, he was working at the University of Göttingen, where he had graduated, between 1870 and 1872 [19] and academics like that, who work at the same university they graduated, don’t really like changing universities but [19] says that he went to work at the University of Greifswald in 1872. He was not a professor in the university where he graduated and sometimes it happens that our masters get us a job at another university, so that that is still possible. He resigns from the faculty in 1882 with an absurd excuse [19], completely incompatible with his profile. Yet the book was published in 1878, so that my strongest hypothesis is that his existence got usurped in 1872 instead of 1882, since the usurper could fear people would identify him as usurper if he continued at the same university of the person he murdered, whose existence he stole. The marginal does that probably soon before he gets the offer of the professorial position, since he can then skip professional formation and the usual coherence or consistency of claims or cohesion of biographical class (people with his profile should all agree that the hypothesis that could not be the oldest one is the one based on Adam and Eve, like they probably get told since early in life what the dominant beliefs of the Jewish people are and even the names ‘Adam’ and ‘Eve’ do not mark Jewish people but Our God is the God of Abraham, Isaac and Jacob and calls Himself that way when speaking to us, those are all strongest Jewish names, like a person with such a name should be identified as a Jew by others automatically. Our God is also known as God of the Jews until Jesus comes, so that having an Adam and Eve as first beings doesn’t really make sense)..

According to Co-pilot, “The most prominent and widely read defender of the Documentary Hypothesis is Richard Elliott Friedman. His book *Who Wrote the Bible?* is a cornerstone in biblical scholarship, presenting the hypothesis in a clear and compelling way. Friedman’s work has been instrumental in defending and popularizing the hypothesis, making it accessible to both scholars and general readers alike. His arguments are supported by linguistic, literary, and archaeological evidence, solidifying his reputation as a leading authority on the subject.” We then proceed to examining his images over time. See:



[23], 1:08

[24]

[25]

Notice here that it is hard to tell what is similar, not what is different: noses, ear lobes, shape of skull and chins all prove that these three men are completely different. In this case, we are sure the existence of Richard Elliott Friedman was usurped at certain stage of his life, so that he was murdered a time ago, despite the ID being officially treated as that of someone who is biologically alive [26]. [26] says he finished his ThD (same as PhD, just that it is only about Theology) in Harvard in 1978 and the first time humanity as a whole acknowledged that ‘he’ was talking about the Documentary Hypothesis was in 1987 (with the publication of ‘his’ book, *Who Wrote the Bible?*-information from Co-pilot), so that he was murdered by the marginal somewhere between 1978 and 1987, the purpose being that the marginal then usurped his credentials and biography and used those for his purposes, of securing his claims: that the satanic brotherhood members’ creation account was the oldest one, therefore the one that is likely to be the most accurate, so to secure points to satan, basically.

As for argument, here you have what Co-pilot says about ‘Richard’ and the **Documentary Hypothesis**: “Richard Elliott Friedman argues that the second creation account (Genesis 2:4–25), attributed to the Jahwist (J) source, is older than the first creation account (Genesis 1:1–2:3), attributed to the Priestly (P) source.”

Co-pilot: “(What criteria led to people saying that the second account of creation was put in the bible before the first one, please?)

1) Literary Style and Language:

The first account (Genesis 1:1–2:3) uses a structured, poetic style with repeated refrains like "And God said," and "It was good." It refers to God as "Elohim."

The second account (Genesis 2:4-25) is more narrative and conversational in tone. It uses a different name for God, "Yahweh Elohim," which reflects a distinct tradition.

2) Historical and Source Criticism:

Biblical scholars often attribute the creation accounts to two different sources: the first account to the "Priestly" (P) source, and the second to the "Yahwist" (J) source. The "Yahwist" tradition, which focuses on a more anthropomorphic and personal portrayal of God, is thought to be older, dating back to roughly the 10th century BCE, while the "Priestly" tradition is considered later, around the 6th century BCE.

3) Theological Focus:

The first account emphasizes God's transcendence and sovereignty over the cosmos, presenting a universal, orderly creation. The second account focuses on a more intimate relationship between God and humans, with a particular emphasis on the creation of Adam and Eve, and the Garden of Eden.

4) Cultural and Historical Context:

Some argue that the second account reflects an earlier stage of Israelite religion and storytelling, rooted in agricultural and pastoral societies. The first account, by contrast, is seen as a reflection of a later, more developed theology influenced by the Babylonian exile and exposure to other creation myths like the Enuma Elish.

These differences have led many scholars to propose that the second account represents an older tradition, even though it appears after the first in the text.”

Counter-argumentation:

Co-pilot says the original writings, hand writings, have been destroyed, yet those, through studies of the ink used, would have made it possible that we dated the writings with accuracy. Another way would be studying calligraphic patterns to sort out what belonged to the translator of the usurper and what belonged to the original scholars. That however is not possible for the reasons already presented.

As for the first point (name of god, structured plus poetic style and conversational narrative), indeed we notice the first account of creation has Elohim from almost beginning to end. The last sentence is not matching the rest of the account, so that it was introduced by the marginal: first of all, in the beginning of the account, it says that the Elohim (therefore those in the flying object spitting fire, since that is one of the 6 possible meanings of this word, which appears in Aramaic in the current bible, according to the book Senhores do Mundo, and this book is so far exclusively in the domain of the satanic brotherhood because they usurped the existence of also this author, who should then be Brazilian, and never released it to the public. I had access to it because the devil let me see the so few books he had in his apartment and go through them) created heavens and earth and all is logical and not repeated inside of it up to the end, where it says ‘these are the generations the heavens and the earth when they were created in the day that made Yahweh god the earth and the heavens’ [27] instead. The sentence was added by the marginal (and I first guessed using logic/science but the marginal then let me have access to my own memories and 'Braganca' actually told me that as well) therefore and the intentions were then saying that a single heavenly being had created all that there is instead, and that interests them because the only way to effectively make people do what you want is having a single ‘god’: if there is conflicting information or more than one god, people naturally start thinking that there could be even more, so that they start thinking they may become god and things like that, which either leads to democratic thinking, if they have superior understanding, wisdom of Our God, or plots to usurp/become one of the gods and both options will make the group lose management power/possibility of forcing entire masses to do what they want. The figure of Yahweh Elohim is then introduced in the first account, what already destroys the argument the marginal wants to impose, which is that the first account doesn’t have Yahweh Elohim in it. As for ‘structured and poetic’ narrative, all ancient narratives seem to be of that type for all the other gods of Israel, which we have mentioned here and just the narrative given for El, which was the most popular god before the Israelites swap to Yahweh (Co-pilot), appeared in 1500-1200 BCE, according to Co-pilot, which means the first account has to be the oldest one also using the logic of the argument.

As for the second item (more anthropomorphic account is the second one), we have already explored the Yahwist part and here is what I got from Co-pilot: “(my question: “Please give me a list of the theories associated with the creation of human kind for each one of the gods of Israel”)

1. El

Theory: El is sometimes regarded as the "father of humankind" in Canaanite tradition, embodying a creator role. While there are no specific narratives detailing human creation, he is considered the progenitor of gods and men.

2. Asherah:

Theory: Asherah is connected with fertility and life-giving powers. While there are no direct myths of her creating humans, her role as a mother goddess suggests an association with the sustenance and continuity of life.

3. Baal

Theory: Baal's focus is on agricultural fertility and rain, which sustains human life. He is not depicted as a creator of humans but is vital for their survival through the fertility of the earth.

4. Anat

Theory: Anat does not have a role in the creation of humankind. Her mythology focuses on war, protection, and vengeance.

5. Mot

Theory: Mot represents death and the underworld. There are no creation myths linked to him; his role is the opposite -- consuming life.

6. Yam

Theory: Yam, the sea god, embodies chaos and the oceans. No creation myths of humans are associated with him.

7. Yahweh

Theory: Yahweh is directly associated with human creation in the Hebrew Bible. Genesis 1 and 2 provide two accounts: the Priestly account describes humanity created in God's image, and the Jahwist account portrays God forming Adam from dust and Eve from Adam's rib.”

Co-pilot again: “(my question: “Does Richard Elliott Friedman says that the account of creation of humans involving Adam and Eve is more anthropomorphic or the god that creates the humans in that account is more anthropomorphic in the 2nd argumentation you provided for his theory) Richard Elliott Friedman emphasizes that the second creation account (Genesis 2:4–25), attributed to the Jahwist (J) source, portrays a more anthropomorphic depiction of God. In this account, God is described performing human-like actions, such as forming Adam from the dust of the ground, breathing life into him, planting a garden, and fashioning Eve from Adam's rib. These vivid, human-like actions make the portrayal of God in this account distinctly anthropomorphic.” Well, it seems that, in all other creation accounts, of all other gods of Israel, there is nothing like a human-like action of the ‘god’ when creating humans when there is a suggestion that they created those (in the case of El and Asherah only). In this case, it seems that the account containing Adam and Eve contains more innovation, therefore it is more revolutionary than all the other accounts, what has to mean more modern or younger.

As for the third point (more intimate relationship between Our God and humanity), we see no possible intimacy between Our God and humans in any of the creation accounts, at most conversational relationship, for intimacy has to do with knowing the inside of people and there is nothing in the account that testifies on Our God knowing the inside of Adam and Eve (rather the contrary, he tells them not to eat from the tree and obviously believes his order will be obeyed but it isn't, clearly proving he doesn't know their inside) or Adam and Eve knowing the inside of Our God (they seem to have no clue as to why they shouldn't eat from the tree. Co-pilot, who will always give us the satanic brotherhood thinking/rhetoric, says, “The Bible doesn't explicitly record what Adam and Eve thought about the prohibition. However, based on the narrative in Genesis, God told Adam not to eat from the Tree of the Knowledge of Good and Evil because it would lead to death (Genesis 2:16–17). When Eve relays this command in Genesis 3:2–3, she adds that even touching the tree could bring harm—a detail that wasn't part of God's original instruction, but perhaps reflects Eve's perception of the tree as particularly dangerous or sacred. When the serpent later deceives Eve, it casts doubt on God's reasoning by suggesting that eating the fruit would instead grant divine knowledge (Genesis 3:4–5). This may

have led Adam and Eve to wonder if the command was about withholding power or wisdom from them. Their choice to eat the fruit implies a desire to understand and perhaps challenge the limits set by God.” Notice that they don’t even understand or know that Our God is totally logical and does not withhold power or wisdom from us, it is precisely the opposite. We just need to be with Him, go through Jesus, so have pure heart, clean soul, no sin (already having sought forgiveness and having been granted, through Jesus but by Our God), and go through the effort, maximum, always deepening reasoning, each time into more details, so each time employing more energy into placid contemplation). We then drop ‘intimate’ for ‘conversational’, since, in the second account, Our God consults humans regarding certain decisions of His, what has not happened before in the history of deities from Israel (if it never happened before, the account is innovative, once more, therefore younger, not older, once more).

As for the fourth point (agricultural and pastoral societies are catered for and Israel modernized, so that the second account, dealing with those matters, is the oldest one), we here show a table built with the aid of Co-pilot and this table proves that agricultural and pastoral societies are catered for in both accounts in almost exactly the same way, demolishing the argument. It is interesting to notice that the second creation account (the pagans, Adam and Eve) associates vegetables with spiritual limitations but the first creation account associates those with growth and life.

| Aspect | Agricultural (About Adam and Eve) | Pastoral (About Adam and Eve) | Agricultural (Not About Adam and Eve) | Pastoral (Not About Adam and Eve) |
|-------------------------|---|--|---|--|
| Work & Labor | Adam cultivates and cares for the garden. | Reliance on creation for sustenance. | Plants and trees created for food (Gen 1:29). | God provides food through vegetation. |
| Food Sources | Adam and Eve eat fruit from the trees. | Harmony in consuming what is provided. | Plants and fruits are sources of sustenance. | Animals live peacefully in creation. |
| Environment | Land described as lush for Adam's care. | Adam names animals, showing stewardship. | Earth's vegetation reflects divine planning. | Animals initially given as companions. |
| Symbolism | Tree of Knowledge ties to spiritual boundaries. | Themes of care and harmony in creation. | Plants symbolize growth and life. | Life is interconnected in creation. |

Co-pilot: “In the context of ancient Israelite religion, most references to vegetation—such as plants, trees, and crops—are associated with Yahweh, not other deities. Yahweh’s relationship to vegetation appears in symbolic and spiritual ways, such as the Tree of Life and the Tree of the Knowledge of Good and Evil in Genesis. These trees embody boundaries, divine wisdom, and life itself. When it comes to other gods from Israel’s surrounding cultural context, such as El or Baal, they were often associated with fertility and agricultural success. For example:

Baal, a deity worshipped by the Canaanites, was connected to rain and harvests, emphasizing his role in agricultural fertility. However, his association was more practical (ensuring crops grow) than symbolic or spiritual like Yahweh’s.

Asherah, often referred to as a mother goddess, was symbolically linked to trees and groves, and these were sometimes venerated in sacred spaces. Her connection to vegetation was more about fertility and life rather than spiritual boundaries.

Unlike Yahweh’s nuanced use of vegetation to symbolize deeper spiritual truths, other deities primarily represented the practical and life-sustaining aspects of agriculture.” So, an association with growth (physical growth) and life looks more compatible with the Israelites’ history of god narratives than the other association, involving the pagan couple’s account, which means, once more, that the second account is more innovative, therefore more modern, not older.

As a conclusion, the second creation account was inserted by the marginal. There should then be a lot of other things the marginal has changed. It appears to be the case that Moses, for instance, would originally be

black [8], yet the Jewish were not black, so that Moses was not a Jew but Our God belongs exclusively to the Jewish people until Jesus, His son, is on earth. In this case, the Exodus thing, which many complain about, or oppose to in science ([28] and [29], for instance), was probably the history of the life of a satanic brotherhood friendly person instead, since the brotherhood is definitely all black in skin color, like originally. Also the life of Moses points at that: that he was an incestuous child [8].

The life narrative of Abraham, Isaac and Jacob has been totally destroyed by the marginal, since there is not a single trace of wisdom, for instance, in any of those. Sin is all over Abraham as well, what certainly disqualifies him in terms of being the ‘chosen one’, chosen by Our God, the God of Wisdom: In Genesis 12:10–20, Abraham travels to Egypt during a famine and introduces Sarah as his sister. Pharaoh takes her into his household, and Abraham receives wealth in return. (Basically, this is absence of scrupulous and shows complete disregard for Our God’s World: having intimacy with another man would decrease the spiritual bond between Sarah and Moses, what would make them spiritually weaker and therefore make them be farther from paradise, having a Our God’s coupleship being a primary requirement for achieving the degree of Elohim, and this is absolute absence of wisdom, so regressing instead of progressing in terms of closeness to paradise, but Our God is the God of Wisdom in first place, so that Our God cannot possibly support or condoning their actions and therefore they would stop being Our God’s choice of people by the moment they did that). These would be the narratives of life that most matter to us, Christians, since Our God called Himself (indeed, this part being believed by us, Twins of God, messengers of Our God for this generation, despite coming from a satanic bible, a book that should never have existed [7], for Our God is about here and now, not about past) God of Abraham, Isaac and Jacob, so that it is by studying their narratives of life that we could get to know Our God, so, for instance, what ‘goods’ He gives us and how. That is basically the satanic version of Abraham, Isaac and Jacob in the same way Christus was the satanic version of Our Jesus.

Since the Moses’ thing is fully discredited, Jesus, Our Savior, never said His father was the ‘god of the bush’ or of the ‘burning bush’ (clearly a satanic thing: fire is used in many satanic ceremonies. It is still written that it burnt Moses’ feet, but Our God never punishes us like that and that would have to be a punishment in the Christian world, that something harms your body, yet hell is indeed associated with fire and burning our flesh). Notice that it never made any sense: Our God would not hide behind a bush or distract us with another being to attract us to the bush behind which He hid (who hides is the marginal, since he has done wrong and who needs to attract others to him via deceit, with them thinking it is someone else, who they welcome into their lives (we believe that was a reference to Moses’ mother), is obviously and again the marginal). We believe the image of Our God showing up in the sky is the one that is correct, matching Elohim and everything else. We also believe that, if we all convert this time, all of us, the entire humanity, Our God is going to show up in the sky once more: that He is just incredibly upset with humanity since we did what we did to Jesus and keep on doing (each time we carry the crosses, kiss those, put them in altars, portray him as suffering, in pain, bleeding and still adore that image, each new fraction of second the Twins of God are deprived from freedom, tortured, injured in any sense and still without a way of reacting or even conversing with the aggressor most of the time, have their body split from their natural soul through mental or body manipulation of some type and are split, without being able to be physically together, the list goes on and on, is a new nail you have pierced the body of Jesus with), since we, Twins of God, are under Jesus’ command and we go through an ordeal, a martyrdom, for about 120 years, both lives together. Our Jesus obviously said that he was the son of the God of Abraham, Isaac and Jacob instead, completely consistent with what was said before and giving us an unequivocal (without any possible confusion) reference for him (so that we know what he is here for, what ‘goods’ he himself brings). Our God is clear in all, clean in all. The marginal also invented all narratives from the New Testament. As said before [7], this happened majorly because Our God’s people did not know how to read and write, but also because the marginal chased the original writings and the original followers of Jesus and destroyed them all, including the own Jesus ([30]-[32] and [35]).

III. Conclusions

The satanic bible was written by a black African man whose father was Egyptian and mother was Nubian (‘Braganca’/devil confirmed) by 600 BCE (we had miscalculated the time in [7]). He knew how to write in Egyptian well, what made it possible that he wrote the satanic bible in that language, his father’s language, but he didn’t know how to talk in the language well. He was probably born in Nubia, from the marriage between a Nubian black female and the Egyptian black male. He knew how to speak at least two languages: Nubian and Egyptian. He initially observed the relationship between black African masters and black African slaves and he then wished for having that. He used his father’s personal library to tell the details about all demons/deities from satanism, since his father was interested in books and reading, that probably being one of the reasons for him to end up in Nubia. After he finished writing his satanic bible, he ‘walked the talk’, so that he killed both his parents and then waited for the right opportunity to usurp human existence. A businessman

from overseas came around and he thought that was ideal for him, since his oral Egyptian wasn't great and he had to move to the Country where his father was born, as a next step in the satanic bible path to full freedom.

The satanic brotherhood was founded by two black African men from Egypt after one of them got the book from a black African slave that belonged to the 'businessman' who had just moved to Egypt. He got interested in the book because the 'businessman' deceived him into that using the slave as a vehicle. They seem to have formed their satanic coupleship, which must also be a step in the progression of the satanic bible path to full freedom (for the black man to find his black man, since they believe in homosexual relationship of the black man where both men remain as masculine men), so first steps, after the black African man who got the bible killed both his parents (first degree in the brotherhood. They seem to have 33, age of Christ) and, after they meet, the other man is then obliged to kill both his parents as well, so that they can be together and proceed in their journey to full satanic freedom.

One of their fathers was probably born in Babylonia, since they head to there: they must, as next step, after killing the parents, move to the birth Country of the father and usurp there. They are then interested, after reading the satanic bible, in finding out what others know about the origins of humans and other topics, such as demonology. They then decide to usurp the existences of two black men/scholars who were regular at a place that was like a library and lots of Jewish teachers attended there too.

The so-called priestley source is then the satanic brotherhood members, those 2 initial ones, and whoever had written the book they adulterated and later on passed to believers as being their book of law. Whatever was preserved from the original writing of the original library book (the handwritten original book that originates the Catholic and Jewish bibles has been destroyed) did not necessarily come from the Jewish people or from teachers of the law: it was just one more book in that library when they found it. As said before, if the Jewish God is Our God, there is no book: at most one A4 page should do (front and back) to tell everyone what it is about before they start practicing themselves. The history of interactions between Our God, Jesus and human kind is probably of interest but not necessary for the practice of the cult to Our God and it will take a really long time, even after we have Our God's Brainwashing going, and are running the first Marcia Hope, for us to get to the right life narrative involving Abraham, Isaac, Jacob and Jesus, if that is possible at all. It is probably best going from now onwards, starting with our own narrative, of the Twins of God. Notice that who uses such words, as 'priestley' or 'yahwist' is the negro, perhaps also African negro (always chopping words, so saying 'bro' instead of brother, calling total strangers brothers, 'ma' instead of mother, 'pa' instead of father, ozzie instead of Australian or even Aussie, etc.), not the whites, so that it is all the time them and not us/the people who immediately accepted going for Our God and Jesus, as soon as introduced to them by someone else or a vehicle: it is all realistically demoniac/satanic this far and they seem to have messed up with all religions (also changed masonry into something like 'macumba' even, which is carioca for the black African voodoo: their usurpers are seen pouring a food mix over a statue and claiming that to be a masonry thing in the press [36]. 'Braganca' told me about the 'Bull On' they invented for them, so in the same way they invented the cross sign for Christians: a way to ask the satanic to kill them, basically. Bull-on was created in reference to 'Jose Delgado' (their slave/usurper, not the original) and 'his' research into bulls and 666s for those. 'Jose' is seen on YouTube's pressing buttons to make the bull 'not charge' even when top furious. When the bull is on, the 'torero' kills it). The Moses from the bible is not even a Jewish person, but his actual story tells us he could never have been chosen to be a prophet of the Jewish god.

The Jews seem to have been entirely exterminated, even because that was the will of Our God when He let/made all that happen, so that those that appear today as Jews should not really have blood of the Jewish people (usurpers or kids of those!), which means they probably do not really know Hebrew or the Hebrew people's original culture, including the wealth of their language. Yahweh and Yeshua are the names they gave us, Christians, for their god, after making a lot of secrecy for a lot of time, yet some of the people appearing as Jews and Israelites, who live there, in Israel, have said both terms refer to demons, demoniac creatures, not Our God at all (the meaning of such names is basic thing and we cannot really reach any definite conclusion from reading the sources available so far: even the right spelling of such names is something out of the other world to determine with no mistake, like we here saw different sources giving different spellings for Yahweh. They have at least YW, YHWH and YHVH in the sources we consulted, but one of those spellings and sources we didn't mention here. Notice that is just trying to convert what is symbols into letters, still without vowels, which creates an extra problem for us, occidentals, but even there we are not being able to determine what the thing is with no mistake). Archeological findings seem to connect Yahweh with the Shasu, who seem to be demoniac people. The Hebrew language has a lot of mystery so far, sources are scarce and really poor (Senhores do Mundo was a book from a Brazilian researcher from before 1980 and he said words in Aramaic had 6 possible meanings, making us understand all of them did. He also said Aramaic was cheap Hebrew, therefore pointing at Jesus (who is told to have spoken Aramaic until recently, when they changed to Hebrew) having been born among really humble people, matching the names 'Mary' and 'Joseph', which do not sound Jewish to me at all and are used, still nowadays, mostly for lower-income class people. Yet, I don't find not even one source online

saying that words in Aramaic have 6 possible meanings. I found at most four meanings, like top miracle, just for one of them once: this is the size of the satanization of everything we were imposed by the satanic brotherhood/sisterhood for now 137 years). It is obviously much more adequate always referring to Our God as the God of Abraham, Isaac and Jacob and to Our Savior as Jesus Christ, His son, since that will 'find them' with no possible mistake and Our God does understand all languages in the world because He speaks to us through our bodies, something that makes us immediately understand Him, since it comes in the language of the spirit (which is different from the soul, since it, for instance, gives us our flare).

The two accounts of human creation contained in the bible seem to be absurd, yet the most absurd one is the one involving Adam and Eve, which would have been illegally inserted in the bible by the two satanic brotherhood members figuring as founding fathers of the satanic brotherhood by 600 BCE while the first creation account, the one in which both man and woman are created at the same time, was written by 1000 BCE. Our God, so the God of Abraham, Isaac and Jacob, never gave us a creation account and seems to not have created us. If we were created by a god, this god does not care about us at all and probably created us to have food (eats us) or do sports hunting. The first contact we had with Our God happened through the figure of Abraham and, from that moment until Jesus' death, our soul would either go to heavens/Elohim Planet/Paradise (Our God is an Elohim, so is Jesus after his death) or undergo punishment in hell and then be destroyed. After Jesus, we get the opportunity of evolving in a slower way, throughout several incarnations, and Jesus became the manager of that entire process as well as the judge in terms of hell and heavens (who goes to where). Any creation account that states that the entire humanity came from a single heterosexual couple has to be wrong. The biology/fragility of our bodies tells us that we could never have been created at the similarity of a god/with a body that mirrors that of a god, especially of Our God, who is, among others, the God of Wisdom.

The black men (only those should be allowed) who join the satanic brotherhood or sisterhood should be convinced that the original nature of those is satanic/evil and Our God brainwashes our soul before putting it inside of our bodies, so that getting rid of the programming from heavens by the insertion of a mechanical soul (666) and satanic programming is simply restoring their true nature. The satanic brotherhood and sisterhood is then the 'good guide', trying to free them (by literally releasing their demons!), and the satanic bible is the path to full freedom, so that a black man who reaches the 33rd level of the brotherhood (it seems to be the last one) should be a completely free man. Entering the brotherhood has to mean having the first degree of pertinence, which then has to mean the first steps of the 'path to full freedom' of the satanic bible have been achieved, and that means the black man has already killed father and mother and probably also means he has already organized his devil's coupleship (with another black man who would have done the same as him, so who also killed father and mother) and usurped existence in the Country of origin of his father (we can't be sure about the degrees, like maybe the coupleship and the first usurpation belong to the second instead). The incarnated devil/'Braganca', Leinha, black 'Nelson' and the Brazilian 'Osama' all chose to adore Baal (satanic brotherhood members can choose their deity from a range).

The Documentary Hypothesis is a fraud: it has been created by the satanic brotherhood to deceive human kind. Its most iconic defenders are proven here to be usurpers, therefore never qualified to say anything like that: those were Julius Wellhausen and Richard Elliott Friedman. Both were murdered before their IDs were seen associated with defending the Documentary Hypothesis. Julius is most likely to have lost his life/been murdered by the marginal when 'he' finished working at the University of Gottingen, where he had graduated, so in 1872, a bit before the university receives the communication regarding him leaving the place. He would have then died at the age of 28 years old. Richard was murdered somewhere between 1978 and 1987, most likely to have been 1978, so that he was probably 32 years old when he passed away. The most prominent and widely read defender of the Documentary Hypothesis is Richard and we here have demolished all his arguments, still using his reasoning to prove that it is precisely the converse: the oldest creation account is that where man and woman are created at the same time.

Reference

- [1] Council On Foreign Relations (CFR) 2023, Understanding Northern Ireland's Troubles, Education.Cfr.Org, Viewed 22 March 2025, <<https://Education.Cfr.Org/Learn/Reading/Understanding-Northern-Irelands-Troubles>>.
- [2] Roberts, J.R. 2020, When Was Genesis Written?, Academia.Edu, Pp. 1–14, Viewed 22 March 2025, <https://www.academia.edu/44847192/When_Was_Genesis_Written>
- [3] Burns, P.J. 2018, Getting Started With... Middle Egyptian, ISAW Library Blog, Viewed 22 March 2025, <<https://isaw.nyu.edu/library/blog/getting-started-with-middle-egyptian>>.
- [4] The Truth Hurts 2022, The Bible Plagiarised Pagan 'Beginning', Youtube Video, Viewed 22 March 2025, <<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Tb44YrS6HgY>>.
- [5] Kramer, J.P. 2022, Searching For The Earliest Mention Of The Israelite God, Youtube Video, Viewed 22 March 2025, <<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Pgeoz5yi22m>>.
- [6] Pinheiro, M.R. 2025, Multiples: A New Crime For Criminology, IOSR Journal Of Humanities And Social Science, Vol. 30, No. 2, Pp. 70–105, Viewed 22 March 2025, <<https://www.iosrjournals.org/iosr-jhss/papers/vol.30-issue2/ser-7/A3002070105.pdf>>.
- [7] Pinheiro, M.R. 2025, Devil X God: 3,200 Plus Years Of Huge Losses, IOSR Journal Of Humanities And Social Science, Vol. 30, No. 3, Series 2, Pp. 18–24, Viewed 22 March 2025, <<https://www.iosrjournals.org>>.

- [8] Osahon, N. 2013. Africans And Their First Cousins, The Jews, Modern Ghana. Viewed 22 March 2025, <<https://www.modernghana.com/news/443374/africans-and-their-first-cousins-the-jews.html>>.
- [9] Barker, M., 1992. The Great Angel: A Study Of Israel's Second God. London: SPCK.
- [10] Sefaria, 2006. The Contemporary Torah. [Online] Available At: <<https://www.sefaria.org/Exodus.1?lang=bi&lookup=A%20messenger%20of%20%D7%99%D7%94%D7%95%D7%94%20appeared%20to%20him%20in%20a%20blazing%20fire%20out%20of%20a%20bush.%20He%20gazed%2C%20and%20there%20was%20a%20bush%20all%20aflame%2C%20yet%20the%20bush%20was%20not%20consumed.&with=Lexicon&lang2=en>> [Accessed 23 March 2025].
- [11] Ivankovic, M., 2017. International Journal Of Sanskrit Research, 3(1), Pp.21-25. Available At: <<https://www.anantaajournal.com/archives/2017/vol3issue1/Parta/2-6-21-331.pdf>> [Accessed 23 March 2025].
- [12] Pinheiro, M.R. (2025) 'Usurpation: A New Type Of Crime For Criminology', IOSR Journal Of Humanities And Social Science (IOSR-JHSS), 30(2), Pp. 30–35. Doi: 10.9790/0837-3002033035.
- [13] Jalabi, R. (2016) 'Replica Of Syrian Arch Destroyed By Isis Unveiled In New York City', The Guardian, 20 September. Available At: <https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2016/sep/20/palmyra-arch-syria-new-york> (Accessed: 24 March 2025).
- [14] Times Square Chronicles (2023) 'The Temple Of Baal Is Being Resurrected In Times Square'. Available At: <https://t2online.com/the-temple-of-baal-is-being-resurrected-in-times-square/> (Accessed: 24 March 2025).
- [15] The Editors Of Encyclopaedia Britannica (2025) 'Baal', Encyclopaedia Britannica. Available At: <https://www.britannica.com/topic/baal-ancient-deity> (Accessed: 24 March 2025).
- [16] Berkowitz, A.E., 2017. New World Order Forming Under Pagan Temple Of Ba'al Arch? Israel365 News. Available At: <https://israel365news.com/313928/new-world-order-forming-pagan-temple-baal-arch/> [Accessed 24 March 2025].
- [17] Rainer, M. (N.D.) 'Israel Worships Baal', She Reads Truth. Available At: <https://shereadstruth.com/israel-worships-baal/> (Accessed: 24 March 2025).
- [18] The Trustees Of The University Of Pennsylvania, N.D. Documentary Hypothesis. Available At: <https://www.sas.upenn.edu/~jtreat/RS/002/Judaism/Jepd.html> [Accessed 24 March 2025].
- [19] Wikipedia, 2024. Julius Wellhausen. Available At: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Julius_Wellhausen [Accessed 24 March 2025].
- [20] Project TABS, 2025. Was The Documentary Hypothesis Tainted By Wellhausen's Antisemitism?. Available At: <https://www.thetorah.com/article/was-the-documentary-hypothesis-tainted-by-wellhausens-antisemitism> [Accessed 24 March 2025].
- [21] Biblical Archaeology Society, 1975. Julius Wellhausen. Available At: <https://library.biblicalarchaeology.org/sidebar/julius-wellhausen/> [Accessed 24 March 2025].
- [22] Pinheiro, M.R., 2025. Usurpation: A New Type Of Crime For Criminology. IOSR Journal Of Humanities And Social Science (IOSR-JHSS), 30(2), Series 3, Pp.30–35. DOI: 10.9790/0837-3002033035. Available At: www.iosrjournals.org [Accessed 24 March 2025].
- [23] University Of California Television (UCTV), 2008. Dig This: Richard Elliott Friedman. Available At: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Cyt3oom0pjjg> [Accessed 24 March 2025].
- [24] University Of Georgia, 2025. Richard Elliott Friedman. Available At: <https://www.linguistics.uga.edu/directory/people/richard-elliott-friedman> [Accessed 24 March 2025].
- [25] Judaism Unbound, 2025. Episode 27: Who Wrote The Bible? - Richard Elliott Friedman. Available At: <https://www.judaismunbound.com/podcast/2016/8/18/episode-27-who-wrote-the-bible> [Accessed 24 March 2025].
- [26] Wikipedia, 2024. Richard Elliott Friedman. Available At: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Richard_Elliott_Friedman [Accessed 24 March 2025].
- [27] Bible Hub, 2004, Genesis 2:4. Available At: <https://biblehub.com/text/genesis/2-4.htm> (Accessed: 25 March 2025).
- [28] Gericke, J.W., 2017, The Meaning Of Moses' Life: An Analytic And Comparative-Philosophical Perspective. Old Testament Essays, 30(2), Pp. 315–336. Available At: <https://ote-journal.otwsa-otssa.org.za/index.php/journal/article/view/137>
- [29] Nigosian, S.A., 1993, Moses As They Saw Him. Vetus Testamentum, 43(3), Pp. 321–336.
- [30] Pinheiro, M., 2025. Jesus And The Crucifixion. The Empire Of God, March. Available At: <https://theempireofgod.blogspot.com/2025/03/jesus-and-crucifixion.html> (Accessed: 25 March 2025).
- [31] Pinheiro, M., 2025. Our God, Jesus And Pain Or Suffering. The Empire Of God, March. Available At: <https://theempireofgod.blogspot.com/2025/03/our-god-jesus-and-pain-or-suffering.html> (Accessed: 25 March 2025).
- [32] Pinheiro, M., 2025. Resurrection Of Jesus. The Empire Of God, January. Available At: <https://theempireofgod.blogspot.com/2025/01/resurrection-of-jesus.html> (Accessed: 25 March 2025).
- [33] Pinheiro, M., 2025. Single Chin Strike Death. Crime In The 21st Century, January. Available At: <https://crimeinthe21stcentury.blogspot.com/2025/01/single-chin-strike-death.html> (Accessed: 25 March 2025).
- [34] Pinheiro, M.R., 2025. Basic Elements Of Effective Brainwashing. IOSR Journal Of Humanities And Social Science (IOSR-JHSS), 30(3), Series 3, Pp.60–69.
- [35] Pinheiro, M. 2025, The Beasts From Hell And Our Chances Against Them: Our God X The Devil, The Empire Of God Blog, Viewed 25 March 2025, <https://theempireofgod.blogspot.com/2025/03/the-beasts-from-hell-and-our-chances.html>. Certainly! Here's The Harvard Reference Entry For The Source:
- [36] The Uncommonwealth 2019, Statue Stories: George Washington's Statue Of The Deathless Name, The Uncommonwealth Blog, Viewed 25 March 2025, <https://uncommonwealth.virginiamemory.com/blog/2019/12/13/statue-stories-george-washingtons-statue-of-the-deathless-name/>.

Attachments:

Blog post: Jesus and the Crucifixion (Blogger, Marcia Pinheiro, Blog Religion)

“Friday, 21 March 2025

Jesus and the Crucifixion Doctor Marcia Pinheiro

<https://www.youtube.com/shorts/7DBSxRONcIO> tells us how things actually were: Jesus had his intestines out of his body when he died on the cross, meaning he resisted all the negro here says, still alive, and died only at the end of it. Since his intestines were out AS WELL, he obviously never resurrected (even though the marginal, after replacing him, comes up with wounds that were almost self-inflicted, as explained before, he

obviously wouldn't put intestines out or anything like that. Jesus at most showed up in spirit therefore, once more, after death. Longinus was a good guy, good centurion, but he got replaced by the marginal before Jesus was taken, so that the marginal could maximize suffering and pain for Jesus. Even though the replacement of Longinus from Hell received such instructions, the marginal ended up pitying Jesus Christ when he saw his intestines out and the scenery the negro describes in this video, so that the marginal couldn't cope and replaced the replacement, then invented that Longinus had visual impairment and got healed through the suffering/pain/mutilation of Jesus Christ for several reasons: one was to spread rhetoric in the direction of us acquiring a picture, in the Collective Unconscious (my definition), that, Our God,

by hurting the Christians or Christ, we would get favors/good things/miracles happening, two was Jesus and to spread rhetoric in the direction of us acquiring a picture, in the Collective Unconscious, that the satanic did something good to Our Jesus by hitting him (to legitimize their methods/approach to life, which is violence, brutality, maximum disloyalty, no mercy, and so on) and something way less worse than they had done (they used the history of Longinus to spread their version of the the Son of centurion, their slave, was actually a devotee, loved Christ like nobody else and so on) and three to the keep on going with the myth they were there creating in order to pass way more satanic rhetoric.

Also observe that the level of violence employed by the centurion was infinite and therefore this narrative frontally disagrees with what the supposed veteran said on his page. By the way, the satanic seem to have exterminated with almost all veterans. I was watching the Anzac Parade yesterday and couldn't believe how meagre it was.

Notice here that, as the marginal did all things he did to Our Jesus, he concluded he was a crook and Mary invented he was the savior to save herself from stoning, which was a version of the story that came to my mind when I was heavily satanized as well. In concluding such things, the marginal felt empathy and that is the only reason as to why he decided to replace Jesus and therefore invent some satanic utility for his figure. Our God is wise and great, so that He made good use of that, since it was only thanks to the marginal that the world got to know Jesus and Our God somehow and is therefore already inclined to join us. Our God has this thing: of writing straight through tortuous lines.

Yet upon asking a few things regarding human anatomy and situation of Christ in relation to the centurion to Co-pilot, also forensics, I am absolutely convinced that the marginal did not lie in what regards intestines being exposed: the satanic centurion (replacement of the good Christian Longinus, may Our God take good care of him now and forever) obviously would like to guarantee Christ's death, so that they could run their own program, of the satanic brotherhood, which would be that of confirming the prophecies they had invented, like Jesus couldn't keep on being alive after all that, he had to actually die, so that they could replace him. The own marginal says 'side' as the injured part (more than once, like when he is getting pierced and when the apostle is checking, so that we are sure sure that is what they meant, the entire side), not lungs, torax, or stomach, so that it was the entire side and, to guarantee death, according to Co-pilot, that was best strategy, so that the marginal pierced on a single strike the entire side of Jesus, starting from below, where he was located, since things are similar to what we see in the movies. Just like the chin knock, the satanic make moves that remain unacknowledged by the human eye, so quick, so that the satanic centurion made a straight line from behind the intestine and upwards, taking his entire side, what made the intestines show. To have the immediate flow of water and blood they describe, Co-pilot says it would have to be heart (blood) and pericardium (water), and it is possible to simply drag the spear in such a way starting from below the intestine. As another point, the satanic brotherhood wanted maximum humiliation of Our God's creatures, as always, if possible that they be proven to have a God that doesn't care enough or at all about them: that would be rhetoric to the own satanic brotherhood/sisterhood (then satan is right, powerful and caring, in the sense of protection and revenge, is only the devil). We observe that Christ never cried or yelled during the entire process of crucifixion (never yelled, ever), according to the own satanic brotherhood members: Our God takes away the pain from his body, process some described as being his soul being taken out, but it isn't.

Our Lord Jesus also takes away the pain from my body during the entire process that I go through, of crucifixion from inside over and over, like perhaps every single day in the last 3 years and 3 months plus, and I do not split from my soul: it is there all the time. I then believe human life is not a big deal for Our God and it became even less with the figure of Jesus and the process of incarnations. According to the satanic, Jesus would have asked Our God why he abandoned him, yet notice that the word used was Abba, which is father, therefore Joseph, in my humblest, since we never see his figure mentioned during the crucifixion, only the female figures instead and Jesus.”

Blog post: Our God, Jesus and Pain or Suffering (Blogger, Marcia Pinheiro, Blog Religion)

“Sunday, 23 March 2025

Our God, Jesus and Pain or Suffering Doctor Marcia Pinheiro

Upon concluding that Our God removed the pain and suffering from Jesus while he went through his martyrdom, including crucifixion, and that Jesus removes my pain so far as I go through crucifixions on a daily basis, we decided to further examine this. We then recalled the people we witnessed the devil and his army killing or raping and we found no tears or yells in any of them:

1) Hermolga Maria Ricci and Bolivar Holanda de Oliveira ('Braganca' threw balls over them to kill them in a gynasium, one after the other, I watched until the very end, nothing)

2) The man whose name is unknown to me, someone from Brazil, apparently south, who gave up on usurping after having been practically forced by the male cousin to do it (black 'Nelson' cut off his both lower legs in front of me when he was over a bed saying he was doing that, then he cut his foot from one of those and made a very wide movement with the arm where the hand held the foot, the left arm, which made blood sprinkle all over the bedroom to the left side while the man lied down there on the bed to the right side. He did that several times to get the entire blood out. He then ate the man's foot (really biting and eating it) in front of me and him and none of us shed a tear or yelled). This man then remained alive and was left on his own in a totally locked apartment ('Nelson' had sealed the entire place, it was the same place where his lower legs got cut off), with no food or anything else apart from water. We saw him again, 'Nelson' took me, and he was walking over his knees or a bit higher than that ('Nelson' cut one leg shorter than the other and one of them above the knee), as for one of the legs: He had not been given any sort of medication or treatment. He was walking and asking me for advice when 'Nelson' left us alone, so that he asked what he could still be trying, something that he could do with the things that remained to him in that situation. He had no signs of starvation, looked exactly the same, was also in the same mood and speech of the first time we saw him speaking to 'Nelson' and me. We then suggested that he used the window to write a message of help. He asked with what, I said blood, since there was nothing there with which he could write, like we conversed about that too. That was days later. We came back to the place, I and 'Nelson' or Leinha, and, this time, there was a message asking for help drawn with blood, plenty, on the window, large window, all over it, as big as life. We just passed in front of the place for them to show me that also he had done what I suggested (like they all seem to follow me). Several days passed and 'Nelson' took me to another apartment, this time something that seemed to be in Porto Alegre, to the back of a house, actually a bungalow, if I understand the word well, like a sort of very tiny house at the back of another, more like an apartment. There was a bedroom, tiny one, a corridor with a small fridge, like half of normal size, next to the door, right at the front, and a bathroom, a small one, right in front of the door. He was again in the same mood and same sort of speech. He still walked over his stumps as if nothing had happened to him. 'Nelson' then speaks to him once more as if they are friends. He then asks how he is doing. I had asked 'Nelson' to keep him alive and 'Nelson' was then showing me he had done that that far. 'Nelson' asks if he has everything he needs or similar thing. He initially says nothing. 'Nelson' insists. He then shows the fridge: that it was empty. He tells us about his shower. 'Nelson' does not want to converse any further. As we leave him there, 'Nelson' tells me that that cannot keep on going for much longer. I then understand he will be killed, that we cannot do anything else for him. Yet, not a tear or yell ever came from that man.

3) Diana Ross (would the singer be a multiple, thus share her ID in the USA? Perhaps it is possible) arrived at the Enmore house, which originally seems to have belonged to Irene Prince, the original one, killed before 1973, with her new hair, which she got for following persistent suggestion of 'Eddy Murphy's' cousin, having then visited the hairdresser he suggested to her, and that was the one that does Afro on the main road, according to 'Braganca', a family of cannibals. She then had long afro hair, full of plaits, which, later on, would be worn by 'Ross Burke' (she was Diana Ross Burke, the original girl, who had Asperges, was with Centrelink, but the marginal succeeded in suppressing the Diana, so that 'Ross' would look and feel more normal on the ID). Leinha opens the door and speaks to her as if she is her friend, talks about her hair and already grabs her from the back of her body, both arms in lock, then moving her to the front of the kitchen (but half way into the living room), from which 'Ross' emerges carrying a huge bread knife. He then performs their organized sequence of moves (Leinha was having sex with him in front of me as she put some plastic toys over his chest marking the area where he was going to stab Diana). He then repeatedly stabbed the girl (a white native Australian girl) around the heart, with Leinha pointing with her finger each time he hesitated, which happened a few times, since he initially did not want to do it (we accompanied that too. A male passed rhetoric when he did not accept following

Leinha initially, like they were at the same table at the back of the house and Leinha spoke from the kitchen. 'Ross' then said no, that he wasn't going to do it. The male then said he had to do it to stay in Australia) and she seemed to be romantically interested in 'Ross', having gone to the place following his invitation after they met at a show in the theatre in Newtown. After he stabbed her a number of times, not sure how many, but something between 3 and 10, she was still alive and like the others had not shed a tear or yelled at any stage of it. Leinha then moved her by physically keeping contact and changed things a little as they climbed the stairs

going to the upper level, this time having her to her side, pretending to care. She then would be bleeding internally and externally in front of Leinha until death, we imagine, even though we did not accompany them to the upper level. I am sure that she didn't cry or yell there either.

4) The unnamed man who claimed to be from Sweden and stayed behind me in the Enmore house, behind 'my' room, was being taped by 'Nelson' after he seemed to wish for making contact with me, like eye contact, conversing or something, like he seemed to not connect to anyone else. 'Nelson' did not like it, I think, so that he took him to 'his' bedroom (unnamed man's bedroom in the house, next to 'mine') and asked him if he had already experienced a man inside of him or something similar. He didn't say a word. 'Nelson' insisted. 'Nelson' then raped him for the first time behind me. He didn't seem happy at all, but again did not yell or cry. He conversed with me about it, very few words, and I then suggested that he hit the wall each time he was raped, so that I could count and tell, since, at that height, we already knew he was going to die, like I told him to escape, run away, never come back, but he claimed he had to come back to the house and work during the day instead. That was when he told me he was from Sweden. I never thought his looks were compatible with Sweden and his accent sounded somehow Brazilian. I then initially counted, but, with time, even with memories back, I became unable to tell how many times he got raped by (black) 'Nelson'. Yet, not a tear or yell. I did not see him die.

5)The woman I say is Indian, whose original nationality I don't know, but she was usurping in Australia as laboratory technician or something of the type (I now remember 'Braganca' said they were trying something new, which I now believe was allowing women to also exist in multiples, and I do think those were actual women, so that, because of the plans of the brotherhood, they had to impose different rules, like they want to exterminate women, so that they couldn't communicate among themselves, despite apparently being natural sisters and all else, even though standard multiples seem to be able to communicate among themselves), was given a hug by 'Braganca' (when he then breaks her neck killing her instantaneously) and also did not yell or cry. They cooked and ate her heart after that. She had violated one of the satanic principles, which was not communicating with her multiple, I now reckon, even though, back then, I thought they were sisters. Her multiple was in an apartment in Praia Vermelha, where I later on would live with 'Lea Maria', like not in the same apartment, but in the same complex, a military thing. They killed that one too. She was 'married' to an American white man, who seemed to try to stop that from happening (I believe they had pure heart and clean soul, despite what they were doing, so something like a narrative that gives them redemption before the eyes of Our God).

6)My 'cousin'(like I don't know who she is, but the satanic wanted me to believe she was our mother, so of the Twins of God) was lying in bed, following what she had organized with me to give her more chances in the thing. That was at Monty Hall's house in the USA, so my 'uncle's' (like just my best guess). I was next to her when 'Trevor Skinner' came and went for the chin knock but allowed her to try to stretch herself and stuff (I now understand they moved her entirely back then, so used the 666 to command everything she did). She also did not yell or cry. The previous ones were not moved, though, and faced all 'on the dry', like I am sure. I believe she also did, despite being moved in terms of body movements, since Leinha conversed with me about what she could be trying to do in bed before it happened and she did exactly that.

7)A secretary or similar associate of my natural family was sent to Australia to try to take us from the hands of the marginal claiming to be our relative, as I had advised my natural relative to do in order to not further risk themselves. She was brutally raped next to me, in the bedroom next door at the Bashir's, which was completely taken by the marginal already back then, so by 1972, with me believing the name comes from India and was given after the marginal took over to denote ownership/domination (entire staff is always doing whatever they want with whomever it is for as long as they want until nowadays. That is where they marked me in psychiatry for the first time in Australia in a permanent manner (circa 2020) and I feared even eating corpse there at a certain stage, like being given that with them saying it was something else. 'Nelson' drops a certain amount of black cannibals, apparently from Africa, every year through it. He parks his Macquarie University spaceship in the building: there is a false looks of harmony in the external wall, where the entrance hole is, and he is able to open the entry via remote and park the spaceship inside of the building structure, between one level and another during the drop ins. 'Bradley Paul Neal' always goes for a walk at night with the cannibals brought that way (I believe they are black African cannibals) each time, thinks they are best friends). She was then subjected to an ordeal but never cried, yelled or complained. I believe she might even have been a virgin at the time, despite her age, as for looks. She bled tremendously and left a drop of her blood in the room on the other extreme of the building where they put her before killing, so in the bathroom, next to the flusher, and it was still there in 2020, when they put me there. That was so that we could acknowledge her passing, tell who she was, the only idea

that occurred to me and her as to what else she could do before being killed. I was with her soon after the rape and she never said a word, never complained or anything. Not a tear, not a yell, all the way through. I am sure she was a Christian and I believe all the others were somehow Christians as well, like at least had parents who were Christians and most were Christians, apart from perhaps this guy who said he was from Sweden.

I then thought of finding one that was evil and died yelling or crying, just to further make the point: that Our God and Jesus take away the pain and suffering from their people when they die by the hands of satanic humans (anyone who is not with them). I found, Mohammad, from Islam (I do not think there were many people as evil as him, like just creating slavery for women disguising that as a religion and that lasting for at least 1,500 years so far is more evil than anyone else has done in history, in my humblest: simple calculations, as the ones we have already performed in this blog, will reveal extraordinary number of women who had their unique incarnation perfectly wasted and ruined in these 1,500 years. Just in Saudi Arabia, there are currently about 18 million women, this just at this

very moment), is told to have died yelling and clearly in pain, still saying he was feeling as if his aorta was being severed (his own sign, as for his bible, that the person is a false prophet!). Yet, we must remember that this was also the satanic brotherhood, so that, in terms of institutions, nothing has done more harm to human kind and this world (nobody!) than the satanic brotherhood/sisterhood (to me, they are both the same, as said). I would like to make another observation: I had gotten a source for the information about Mohammed, which was one of the Islamic liturgical books, and I had published it here on a blog post from this blog, but the satanic (namely Leinha, following request from the male 'cariocas' who attack me day and night via voice) deleted it, so that I now cannot locate the link to the YouTube from the scholar who said that, an expert in their language and liturgical books, or the name of the book that he showed in the YouTube. Reckon his name is 'David Wood', yet the satanic also introduced one more David Wood to the Internet, YouTube, this one in favor/defending Islam, to make it all worse, so repulsive their ways and thinking are: lie, cheat and deceive. May Our God bless those who do what we ask immediately.

Blog post: The Beasts from Hell and Our Chances Against Them: Our God x the devil (Blogger, Marcia Pinheiro, Blog Religion)

“Monday, 24 March 2025

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=EF5vK7yHD4I>, I got this as a message today, a telegram from Leinha. I've been thinking for a while about whether Our God or Jesus had to save these people or not. The answer to this question seems to have been given by the previous post (that Our God doesn't seem to care much about human life and protect it against beasts from hell if the satanic sends those to attack us but He seems to make us, through Jesus, not feel pain or suffer with deaths like that). The satanic, on those occasions, have alledged that Our God is powerless because He cannot save us from the beasts from hell they put over us and I then see that as them saying that it is better praising the devil/his creatures, as a conclusion. Yet, if a satanic (since Christians cannot do that and wouldn't, by philosophy and knowing) does the same with a group of satanic people of the same size, they are also going to die, it seems to me, and the crowd, in case what they put in this movie is true, that the audience celebrates on those occasions, and enjoy, would certainly be enjoying much more in their case, for that would be adequate: one beast destroying the other. I would say, Leinha, if you think your deity is better than Our God because of this, then why don't you prove to us, without bugging the lions, that you can do better? At this stage, with you insisting with the story of replacing the world with cariocas, keeping on going with your atrocities, instead of comming to common sense, joining our Al Qaeda father, our Al Qaeda brother and our Al Qaeda uncle, as well as your Al Qaeda sister, therefore stopping crime/disloyalty right now, taking these horrendous 'carioca' men out of my head, passing an order to your satanic transgenders so that they release me from carioca psychiatry and tell others that I am fully sane and all I said about crimes is sound and true, and letting us save the world and human kind, I am starting to think you should indeed do something like that, quite sincerely. Leinha, they ruined my entire body, it was all still perfect apart from the eye 3 years and 3 months ago, please. Everyone will benefit from Our Solution, Leinha, the entire world, the entire human kind. With us, not only everyone will be happy and get to keep their lives, but they may still have eternal life in the same human body, get saved/go to Our God's paradise, Elohim Planet, and so on...

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=yZe1ksEx-VA> This is about the 'apostles': they say they all died in a tragic way. Again the satanic yells at us: Prove your God is worth it. First of all, we have already provided a proof, using Logic, that there was no such a thing as an apostle, so that this was invention of the satanic brotherhood. Since they invented that, they may as well usurp any amount of Jewish lives and say they are such and then put in their book that they are such and such. Well, then I am afraid what I can only classify as the Christus' Apostles (the invention of the satanic, basically a multiple of the replacement of Jesus that they put in Rome after Jesus' death) died AS THEY DESERVED INDEED, so that justice was served this time. At the

same time, all that we have watched and come to know about usurpation is that they get brainwashed to do it, so that perhaps they were innocent people, however, if moved by the satanic, they never had a chance of communicating with Our God or Jesus to at least feel no pain or suffering. May Our God bless those that do what we ask immediately.”