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ABSTRACT: The global community is faced with the challenge on how to curtail the level of social deviance in the society. The high rate of juvenile crimes in the likes of rape, alcoholism, drug addiction, smoking, murder, fraud, robbery, internet hacking e.t.c. have been matters of serious concern to both the government and the people. Several professional solutions involving philosophy, psychology, sociology, science and law have been, and is still being, consulted all in a bid to providing solutions. Certain control measures have also been employed to tackle the menace of the society.

In the midst of this societal deviance, is the Church indifferent and non-challant about providing solutions? What is the role of the Church to help tackle the challenge? Is she incapacitated as to knowing and having nothing to do to help create solutions?

Now, this paper work, with a view to presenting the role of the Church in tackling social deviance in the society, will look at certain professional proceedings developed towards controlling or curtailing social deviant, which include social control, where three periods are identified having the first period involving a repressive character, second period involving a control of personality, and the third period involving a deterrence control.

The next is punitive control in which two regularities are identified, namely the assessment of behavior based on its level of dangerousness and the concern of legislators over certain deviation only on the ground where it threatens the stability of the state’s existence and the existence of the society ruled by it, which give rise to the question of social justice, drawing attention to the issue of social injustice. Then, the segment which identifies some positive benefits Churches bring to communities which include the provision of direct economic benefits, provision of social benefits, promotion of education and civil engagement, assisting to decrease crime and deviance, promotion of mental and physical health, while at the conclusion, it looks at how Churches promote outcomes that improve government stability and economic growth.
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I. INTRODUCTION

T. Gobbs, an English philosopher, thinking about the problem of sociogenesis, had formulated a question: ‘How can a society exist if dog eats dog?’ He presumed that in an evolutionary process people had lost a programmed natural prohibition on killing representatives of their own kind (as opposed to animals). So, killing is a natural characteristic of human. That is why a society can exist only under a tight control of human behavior.

This theme has got a wide development in social-philosophical and sociological proceedings. Here we can name O. Kont, H. Spencer, W. Sumner, G. Tarde, E. Durkheim, E. Ross, R. Park, M. Weber, P. Sorokin, T. Parsons and others. Social philosophers sorted out basic institutions of social control that are responsible for human integration into society. First of all, they are: family, religion and a state. To the basic means of social control were related folk customs, traditions, manners, laws, social regulations. And amongst the maintenance mechanisms of social order were specially marked out imitation in a socialization process, obedience in two forms – personally-in official (based on consent and carried out as an inside control) and impersonally-official (by means of outer control); reactions on stable forms of social behavior (punishment or award).¹

In the development of social control of deviant behavior that has been practiced in all Western countries we can sort out three periods. The first period – until the end of XVIII century: The control had a repressive character. Measures of punishment were aimed at the human body (death penalty, mutilation, monstrous anguishes) and through this at external behavior. In this period there was no clear separation of control into formal and informal. The second period – from the end of XVIII century: Ideas had particularly
stretched during the first decades of the XIX century. The human personality became the subject of control, his internal condition, “soul”. During several decades many countries refused to use corporal punishments. The meaning of formal institutions (schools (control over children), factories (control over workers), psychiatric clinics (control over mentally ill), army (control over military men)) that controlled humans’ behavior was understood. The origin of prisons dates from this period (the end of the XVIII century). Despite the fact that, with the imposition of prisons, the ideal aim of transition from repressive control to deterrence control was not reached, closed institutions remained legitimate and even strengthened. It leads to unwarranted widening and toughening of formal control, and, on the other hand, to the decrease of efficiency. The third period has begun approximately since 1950s. Social, economical and cultural changes became its prerequisites: economical and social expansion of youth (liberation from conservatism), reduction of the role of family and church (as socialization institutions), increasing level of consumption with growing demands in the material sphere, broadening of possibilities for creative self-realization not only in a labour sphere, but also in leisure, too. This washed out the standards and stereotypes of behavior, strengthening internal control and weakening of the external one. Another important prerequisite was the declining belief in the ability of criminal law to secure the decrease of criminality – “crisis of punishment”.

In this phase offers of using alternative methods of punishment appeared (probation, intensive supervision, electronic oversight, community works, conciliation, conditional early discharge, tax, etc.), and also different patterns of social control started to be suggested. Social control became understood as a mechanism of establishing the social order, the mechanism that ensured the aspiration of the system (whole society and/or social groups) for self-preservation and functioning. It acts constantly and appears as a totality of technologies, means and methods of influence of all society and/or a social group upon undesirable forms, kinds of behavior and activity with a purpose of their total elimination or minimization of damage caused by their existence, bringing to the condition, that would afford them to exist without destroying the social order.

**Functions of Social Control**

1. Correction by means of awards and punishments (in Russian conditions it is rarely employed),
2. Maintenance of conditions for the stability of the social system (of society),
3. Preservation of an adaptive flexibility of the system by means of inclusion of some kinds of alternative behavior and activity into legitimate social practice,
4. Integration of members of the society by the use of resocialization, readaptation, rehabilitation, treatment.

Punitive control (founded on realization of correcting function by means of punishment) is based on sorting out and on the consolidation of prohibitive and binding norms in law. In a process of codification of these norms in law we can see two regularities:

1. Assessing the level of dangerousness of this or that kind of behavior and/or activity resp. legislative issues not from damage or utility of an action for definite individuals but from the level of conjectural damage for society and state. It should be noted that with absence of civil service and mechanisms of social control over the state’s activity there appears a tendency to assessing damage/utility of an action in the first place for the state as a bureaucratic machinery, that tries to hold the power using all its efforts;
2. In most cases (not including acts in political sphere) authorities are not interested in particular negative activities of people. The legislator pays attention only to arisen massive (mass) forms of deviation, yet again including not all of the deviations, but only those, which (from the authority’s point of view) may threaten the stability of the state’s existence and the existence of society ruled by it.

Though the legislator’s assessments may not be adequate (wrong) and enter the contradiction with the assessments of other social subject’s importance of actions. In medias res, exactly the differences in rating of the importance of these or those actions lie in the basis of the beginnings of different deviantological paradigms and all discussions about deviant phenomena. It is clear that divergences of opinion in assessments of actions made by representatives of different social groups may be essential. These differences are conditioned by various experiences and, correspondingly, various definitions of the vital situation. Different determinations of situations and their assessments (discourses) may in some parts cross with each other, creating possibilities for shaping tolerance in society or intervals of norm operation, establishing measures of punishment and development of steps by controlling instances.

Divergences of opinion in assessments have particular consequences for the society’s life. So, if the social importance of an action is adequately reflected in total public opinion, but inadequately in law, then social-inadequately low norms emerge. And here official instances inevitably clash with mass inobservance of established directions, because “imposed on norms are associated in an individual’s mind with an external enemy, that causes hatred, animosity, while ‘homemade’ norms stimulate voluntary obeying to their directions, solidarity, absence of violence”.
Efficiency of Social Control over Deviant Behavior

The confrontation of assessments of the social importance of actions elapses difficultly. This process is connected with political and economical concerns of different social groups, which undertake all possible means (lobbying, bribing, blackmailing, corrupting and contract murders of officials, who apply to the creation of regulatory acts), to assign legislatively these concerns as law and order. This is how laws, which conflict with common sense, bring damage to society, but maintained by ruling top-down, are born. And this way, at the same time new kinds of “crimes” and other “deviations” are also born, the quantity of imprisoned people grows, the interference of law in the natural process of society’s development expands, inadequate lay policy forms, and methods of social control over deviant behavior change.

In terms of efficiency of social control over deviant behavior we should recognize the functions of the maintenance of conditions for a stable social system (society), the preservation of an adaptive flexibility of the system by means of including some kinds of alternative behavior and action in legitimate social practice, the integration of the members of society. The realization of these functions proposes the establishment of the priority of preventive control, which is targeted, from the one side, at creating conditions for human’s integration into society, and from the other side – at the protection of human rights to determine to live one’s life oneself and to carry responsibility for one’s own choice, in compliance with the principle of democratic society “everything that is not prohibited is allowed”.

The ideological and connecting basis for the realization of these functions could become the conception of social justice. Humanistic ideas which maintain people’s aspiration for a happy life on Earth, for freedom, have been born in democracy and liberalism. And the formula for justice in democratic relations had always required for an accurate definition. Modern democrats focus definitions of social justice, which were introduced by K. Popper and J. Rawls. Karl Popper in his book “Open Society and its Enemies” gives a widespread understanding of justice: “… a) an equal distribution of civil duty’s burden (those restrictions of freedom, which are necessary in a public life); b) equality of citizens in the eye of law, surely on conditions that c) laws are not prejudiced towards or against definite citizens, groups or classes; d) fair trial and e) an equal distribution of privileges (not only burdens) which may signify a membership in a definite state for citizens”.

Social Justice

Definition is given by J. Rawls. He argues that justice principles for the basic structure of a society are objects of an original agreement. On the basis of this statement he had sorted out two aspects of justice:

1. Justice as an ideal social order (theory of strict agreement is in line with it);
2. A real society’s condition, where there is injustice with which the society must somehow get on. But how should we treat injustice? The theory of partial agreement can be the answer. It includes two principles of justice: a) everyone has an equal right for freedom, compatible in a fundamental way with the same freedom of others; b) economical and social inequality (for example, authority and wealth) is fair only if it brings common utility and compensates the losses of the most unprotected members of society. Furthermore, social and economical inequality must presuppose: a) the highest utility for those who are in disadvantaged places and b) opened possibilities and equality of conditions for all in the same positions. The theory of partial agreement constantly demands the renewal of justice, searching of its new forms and formulas (for example by means of “forced measures”).

Without entering the contradiction with supposed definitions of social justice, we can give its integrative definition: it is a measure of social utility (social adequacy) of laws and other normative prescriptions (formal and informal), which establishes and maintains (on the basis on an agreement) a special kind of vital activity of people, organizations, physical and judicial persons, that favours the society’s survival and development, and also ensures the integration and worthy existence of all members of a society.

Socially fair institutions, forms of government, norms and laws are those, which on the basis of an agreement between subjects of social interactions create and support bases of worthy life of people in society where the requisit principle on merits is proclaimed, i.e. there is aspiration to provide everyone individual justice in his relations with the state and social institutions. In the given tool definition of social justice offers foreseeable reference points (or the purposes) – the establishment of such an order of ability to live for people and the organizations which promotes survival and development of society; the maintenance of integration and a worthy existence of members of society, and also the means of their achievement – utility measure, i.e. social adequacy of social norms. Here the definition «worthy existence» is a generalization of all those blessings which are proclaimed as those of modern society: a measure of freedom, equality, social security, an educational level, inclusiveness in social networks, wide opportunities of a choice of legal kinds of activity etc. Social justice infringement (social injustice) leads to the occurrence of various forms of protest, including deviant ways. The protest against injustice can be passive (short circuit in itself, alienation and leaving from the reality in fantastic dreams, in various kinds of mysticism, drunkenness and alcoholism, narcotism, virtual reality of the Internet
Criteria of levels of justice/injustice and, accordingly, social adequacy/inadequacy of a system of standard instructions are: high level of infringement of norms (official and informal); narrowing of “a field of possibilities” for legal activity; low level of tolerance; protest reactions in the form of strikes or other forms of expression of disagreement; subjective feeling of citizens of injustice; low level of trust to official social institutions; indifference or abhorrence to the actions of the state; political apathy; conflicts between social groups; social exception of the social groups, etc. These phenomena are an actualization of the citizens’ feelings of the injustice. This feeling appears, when people in social interactions constantly face socially-inadequate norms interfering their high-grade participation in life of society, or the absence of socially-adequate norms, capable to raise their integration in the social space and, therefore, to make life more productive, i.e. allowing most effectively (with smaller expenses and qualitatively) to satisfy requirements and to realize the potential. Social justice immanently includes also justice in relation to the person. Considering it, some researchers consider as indicators of measurement of social justice «the fact of presence of the legislation of human rights and citizen rights.» It is necessary to notice, that the simple presence of a legislation of human rights is insufficient. It is necessary to consider also all totality of legal maintenance of the legislation of human rights as blessing and as an indisputable display of justice in modern society.

The observance of human rights, however, is not a unique criterion of the estimation of justice in society. Here are also such indicators, as the level of quality of life, and also the development of human potential. These indicators are being discussed and worked out, which shows their utility and availability for measurement of level of social justice.

In the most general meaning the standard of living is understood as a level of satisfaction of material requirements of people in a quantitative expression when the available level is compared with a standard set or being counted. And the quality of life – the level of satisfaction with non-material requirements, reflecting the value judgment of social and cultural comfort (8, с. 100). Thus, elements of the quality of life in each state are separated depending on ideological and cultural orientations. The European economic commission of the United Nations systematized a set of social indicators, having emphasized eight groups: health; quality of a workplace; purchase of the goods and household services; possibility for spending free time; feeling of social confidence; chances of development of the person; quality of environment; possibilities of participation in public life (in the same place). The generalized indicators of the quality of life of people, represented in the international statistical data, are averaged and they can not always be used for practical needs of an increase of the level and quality of a life of members of a concrete society or its subsystems. Therefore it is necessary to form more operational rating scales of all elements of quality of life with the account social-cultural features of each concrete society which would give the chance to track dynamics of development of justice in attitude to the separate person in concrete society.

As one more criterion of a level of social justice in society is the index of development of human potential (IDHP), which can be considered as an integrated indicator of the development of the person. Among the leading indicators defining an index of development of human potential it emphasizes three: expected life expectancy; an educational level; real per capital gross internal product (gross national product). Taken together they reflect three main qualities: a healthy life, knowledge, a worthy human level. Representations of developers of the theory of development of human potential about a fair social system are harmoniously combined with the understanding of social justice meaning at an individual level the protection of rights and freedom of the person. Especially it concerns representations of integration, development and public blessing including also an indicator of adaptive flexibility of social system, supported mainly by the development of human potential.

Among the lacks of IDHP, first of all, are to be named the following: giving the exaggerated value of correlation between incomes of the population and well-being (health, life expectancy, education); difficulty of discovering qualitative parameters of well-being, the omissions connected with consideration of a social inequality and absence of differentiation on socially-age groups. Taking into account our theme, to lacks it is possible to add also the following: In IDHP only minimum parameters of level of social justice/injustice in society are fixed. But indicators of possibilities (for example, of level of income and education) are essentially differed within the limits of each society depending on the social status of people.

The social differentiation causes the presence of a big interval in possibilities in which representatives of different classes and social groups take a corresponding place. From the point of view of practical advantage for the increase of the level of social justice, it should, firstly, regularly count the IDHP of different classes and social groups (as well as indicators IDHP of different regions within one society), secondly, to develop a more differentiated rating scale of quality of already available indicators, and also those characteristics of human needs which yet do not get a tool reflection in the concept of development of human potential. Such specifications are necessary, because an overall objective of this concept is the establishment of social justice on the basis of «the thought over and real system of measures which is based on more fair distribution of industrial
actives and incomes, focused on development of abilities and satisfaction of needs of person, his as much as possible active participation in economic, political and cultural life (8). Some steps to this direction are already undertaken. So, an inequality of income is being tried to be considered at the calculation of IDHP «adjusted for distribution» or with other amendments. And a correction taking into account the problem of an inequality of sexes is suggested tube carried out by the means of IDGF – «an index of development taking into account the gender factor.»

When the level of income, the possibilities of satisfaction of needs (primary and secondary) of the largest part of population ceases to correspond to representations of worthy life (cultural caused or built on social comparison with rich people), an actualization of the protest giving a large increase of different kinds of deviation begins. It is necessary to notice, that even in a more or less fairly organized society such protest based on social comparison, will always exist, as it is basically impossible to establish equality of all and about all. However it is possible to assume that it will have, most likely, the character of violence not against others, but against oneself as an expression of dissatisfaction by the abilities, talents, the competitiveness, not allowing to receive more an appreciation, wide recognition and to occupy a higher social status, or as the expression of the protest against safe, but too predicted and measured daily occurrence. Probably, a high level of suicides in some safe Scandinavian countries, for example in Sweden and Finland, or in the small countries of Europe – in Switzerland and Denmark, can be explained partly by that.

**Concept of Deviant Behavior and Rational Model**

In existing explanatory concepts deviant behavior and deviation in general are considered through a prism of various social processes and the phenomena (anomie, stigmatizing, sub cultural differentiation, conflicts, easing of social communications and control etc.). However, all of them inherently reflect this or that display of social injustice and correspond with a concept of «social injustice» as a separate and general, or as a part and whole. (It is necessary to consider also that the arisen kinds of deviation influence the further level of social injustice). We will show it in examples.

In rational-educational models of the formation of the person the formation of the criminal is caused by an existing unfair inequality in getting education and the means of providing a worthy existence. If to speak about modern society «worthy existence» – it is not the simple possibility of satisfaction of the most necessary requirements, but it is satisfaction at such a level which corresponds to the quality standards accepted in the given society at the given stage of its development. Such worthy existence directly depends on the received education and the social status corresponding to this education. Besides, numerous research shows that the educational level influences the various aspects of ability to live of people, for example, the state of health, political activity, tobacco consumption, perception of classical music, speed of distribution of the information, upbringing of children, catering services, health protection of members of a family and education of children. For example, «with the growth of an educational level of mother decrease also children’s death rate as irrespective of income level in a family quality of care of children and their food» becomes better.\(^{12}\)

There is also an inverse relationship in this kind of model. So, the connection between an educational level and some kinds of criminality is being established. The main kinds of crimes against the person (murder and attempt at murders, deliberate causing of heavy harm to health, rapes and attempts at rapes, robberies, robberies, thefts) are connected to people having a low educational level, not having a constant source of income.

As the evidence of education and not learning fundamental ethical standards lead to low level and the most refined and cynical physical violence, numerous facts of unmotivated cruelty of teenage criminal groupings, growth of extremism among youth, violence in colonies for minors are also connected with it. There is data that the most severe kinds of violence (beating, rape, mockery), made sometimes with no reasons and with a refined sadism, occur in correctional facilities for minor criminals institutions, and transfer to «an adult zone» of many minor prisoners is regarded as a blessing. Apparently, an explanation for this is not only social and economic trouble of Russian children (a low standard of living, absence of attention from outside parents, high level of violence in a family etc.), a high level of aggression and violence in the Russian society, but also the not full-value educational systems and formations, a low standard of living of many families, their social disorder and absence of prospects in life. These factors cannot promote a formation of empathy and moral maturing of the person. And if the person does not have moral internal control in extreme conditions it is said that he or she will inevitably show all wild instincts.\(^{14}\)

Social injustice in the sphere of education lies in the fact that society cannot provide a high-quality education for all citizens that would allow them to be competitive on the labour-market. This is caused by many problems of objective and subjective causes. As objective causes there should be labeled firstly great economical costs for schools, equipment, high pays for teachers and so on, and also the inability of some people to receive modern education in the full amount. Subjective causes are the unavailability and unwillingness of society to invest money in the education of those who cannot pay for this education by themselves.
From time to time in different countries there are attempts being undertaken to solve this problem. The conversation leads towards the special social programs, in example, the Program of preschool education of Perry town in USA. The main aim of it was to lower the risk of unlawful behavior. In the group were gathered children at the age of three from families with low socio-economic status for the period of two years. Almost half of these families were composed from one-parent families, and almost all of the parents had a low coefficient of intellectual development, a low educational level, poor employment sheets and lived in overpopulated dwellings. The program of preschool education included the drawing of the children into the planning of the work in group for the purpose of the improvement of their intellectual and social development. Over the years it was found out that children who took part in the program of preschool education (in comparison with the control group) were better in school, as a rule they finished school and got a job. The level of teenager pregnancy was considerably lower (almost twice), and the level of arrests was lower by 40 percent. The analysis of financial costs of the project of Perry showed that it cost about 5000 dollars for one child in a year. Despite the great costs the program fully covers the expenses in the future. The USA special committee on matters of children, youth and family has calculated that there is an income of 4,75 dollars for every dollar invested in the programs of preschool education, which is a result of economy on the organization of special education, social assistance and combating crime. Despite the high effectiveness and recoupment in the future, these programs are probably the exception.

Uneducated or poorly educated people are imprisoned because of crimes more often than highly educated (it should be considered that in Russia high education diploma does not always correspond to the high level and quality of education). The reason for that could be on the one hand side that they get judged or imprisoned more often because of an unjust judicial system or on the other hand side – in an anomic explanation – because they are rather poor, belong to the lower stratum of society, so more often and acute feel their different displays of social injustice and accordingly more often protest against it. For people who haven’t got sufficient education, on the one hand it is much harder to fight such vices as restraints, envy, aggression, injustice and so on, and thus deviance seems more often successful.

Rational Ethical Model on Deviant Individual

In the ratio-ethic model the forming of the personality of a criminal and deviant person in whole is conditioned by such cases of injustice as deprivation of favorable development environment (?), the establishment of unequal possibilities to become familiar with cultural values, the deprivation of parents attention and absence of good human relationships in the closest surroundings.

Social injustice consists of absence or underdevelopment of a legislative basis (the totality of laws with according mechanisms of their realization, social projects and programs), that leads to react adequately to the changing situation and to protect the rights of children and teenagers, creating conditions for their integration in a legitimate social space. Talking about Russia, the situation on these issues became critical. Scientists created a method, which could objectively estimate the effectiveness of the whole totality or certain legislative acts, aimed on a family’s social protection. Researches took place according to this method to estimate the families’ social protection according to the law (proper documents) performed nowadays in Russia (49 documents totally). The estimation was adjusted to the following indicators:

1. The reflection in the act of the life cycle of the development of the subject of the legislative act: in terms of family it means the ability of usage of the acts on all or only on some phases of family existence, in the most crisis periods of its development
2. Targeting of legislative act: means indication in document the family or certain family categories, upon which its act is spread
3. Absence of objective obstacles for the document’s realization
4. Absence of unconformity with other legislative acts
5. Presence of elements, which initiate participation of non-government organizations in law’s realization
6. The presence in the act of sanctions for non-compliance or impede of its compliance;
7. The ability of the legislative act to provide satisfaction of material and spiritual needs of family members.

The following needs were considered: material supply of vital functions and support of efficiency of adults and physical development of children; accommodation; medical service; education; self-actualization; legal protection.

The not very comforting results were obtained after carrying out the analysis: a) legislation foresees one or another protection level only for some categories of families; b) only for 30% of families that are definitely in need of social protection any legislative acts work; c) the Russian legislative system poorly foresees the social protection of the families that are in need of preventive social protection; d) the majority of families belong to the type of poorly and/or satisfactorily social protected on legislative basis. The other shortcomings of the legislative system that is aimed at the social protection of population, are the abundance of declarative
clauses and the absence of the mechanisms of its realization; the non-compliance of laws; the absence of a non-contradictory legislative system itself, connecting the legislative acts regulating financial, tax, economic, legal decisions and so on.

When there is no organized mechanism for the regulation of activities of people and social systems by the creation of a system of socially adequate norms in the society, it pays for this in the growth of number of crimes and other types of deviant behavior. So, from the end of 1980s the noticeable growth of number of crimes is registered in Russia. Against the background of an increase of the number of crimes in general the number of crimes among juveniles rises steadily. There are the rejuvenation and the rise of the number of grave crimes, the number of drug addicts is more than 6 million, and the number of prostitutes composes 2% of the population.

Here are interesting facts given by foreign researchers and showing the connection between socialization in amoral environment with different displays of deviant behavior:

- About 65% of the children treated severely by family have a breach of behavior (39% in control group); these breaches often reveal as problems at school and also problems of integration to social environment
- Emotional violence or sexual assault leads to refusal to eat (21% of questioned adults, subjected to these forms of violence in childhood, in control group – 8%), damaging themselves (34% and 1% in control group, respectively), theft (26% and 6% in control group);
- Among the adults subjected to cruel treatment in childhood smoked 62% (16% – in control group), took alcoholic drinks 22% (5% – in control group), took drugs 18% (3% – in control group), attempted suicide – 16% (2% – in control group), had difficulties with sex 60% (15% – in control group);
- Women subjected to sexual abuse in childhood later far more often become victims of new sexual assaults and start to engage in prostitution.

According to the anthropologic-biological model social injustice in the case of criminal identity becomes apparent in unequal ability of getting medical service for the treatment of some mental diseases (heightened excitability, depressions, impulsivity of actions, distrustfulness, heightened aggression and so on), that could be fully or partly cured. In addition, social injustice can show when the matter is that there is an undeveloped system of health service, an exposure of population to different epidemic diseases, the population’s lack of knowledge on matters of health support and so on.

Let’s cite some data characterizing the activity of the system of public health services and the state of health of Russian citizens:

- In the Russian Federation, in comparison with developed west-European countries, the indicator of maternal mortality is 5-10 times higher (50,2 for 100,000 newborn). The main causes in the structure of infant mortality are those related to maternal health. The number of children who died exceeded the number of the newborn by 1,6 times. The mortality of 1 year infants in Russia is 2-4 times higher than in other economically developed countries.
- The rise of the level of general morbidity is permanently seen.
- 400 thousand people get malignant diseases every year, 3,000 of them are children, and about 300 thousand people die from cancer.
- The situation with syphilis is close to epidemic. In the end of 90s the number of people fell ill risen by 77 times in comparison with 80s (10% of them are children under 14).
- Children’s psychosomatic diseases become more and more widely-spread. So, 40% of children in Saint-Petersburg have somatogenic psychic disorders; 20% – different somato-vegetative symptomatology, mainly of psychogenic origin; 10% of them are children with psychosomatic disorders, 14% are children of risk groups as they were in unfavorable family conditions or were inadequately grown-up. While studying data in one of St. Petersburg’s districts it was known that 16% children have a high level of affective intensity; 31% – middle level; 22% – low level. Basing on this data it can be concluded that children of this district have a high level of anxiety which means a liability to neurotic and psychosomatic disorders.
- In 1989 in the Russian Federation for the first time the attempt was undertaken to set the diagnosis of psychosomatic disorders among children under 15. Nowadays 8 million children get ill annually. Studying the teenage population (more than 5 thousand) showed clinical displays of dystonia.16

A question can arise: “How are different population diseases and deviant behavior correlated with each other?”
1. Some mercenary crimes are committed to get money for a close acquaintance’s operation, like in case of cancer or chronic diseases, especially if children have it.
2. For instance, a higher level of anxiety can lead to spontaneous aggressive and/or violent behavior displays.
3. Experts in narcomania point out that more often drug addicts are people whose course of life was accompanied with the following occurrences: mother’s pregnancy pathology (toxins, infections etc.).
complicated birth; frequent, hard, chronic diseases in childhood; psychic diseases, psychical problems of one of the close relatives, etc.

4. Many violent crimes are committed by people, suffering from different types of mental diseases, which (given the normal functioning of public health services) could have been revealed and cured on the early stages.

“Normal was functioning” of public health services means servicing as a system to people, creating the totality of such socially adequate regulations, which could help all members of the society to get qualified help right in time. Moreover, “normal functioning” means the creation of real protective mechanisms from negligent medics and the possibility of their punishment for unsatisfactory work.

From the above we can draw the following conclusion: without canceling the work with people with deviant behavior, it should be paid special attention to the reforming of social institutions, called to provide citizen’s rights in education (schools, universities, and mass media), medical services, social protection and support and by that also to prevent deviant behavior. In Russia these institutions (mostly state) became dysfunctional subsystems, producing services that influence the forming of citizens morally, educationally and physically. Not in the last place the result of their activity is the decrease of quality of life of the young generation, a decrease of the level of morality and responsibility, leading towards the growth of different forms and types of deviant behavior. I’d like to underline that mostly guilty are not certain teachers, medical and social workers, but the ideological concept and organization of these institutions, that are characterized with the following features: a high level of bureaucracy and tough administrative hierarchy; creating people needing social help in future; guided to only informing people about establishing some standards instead of submitting support in complex situations or conflicts and helping with ways to solve it; based mostly on compulsion instead of voluntariness; in case of fault it uses punishment, provoking the fixation of stigma; through selection mechanisms the current power system is supported; human values are being frustrated. So, the whole system seems to be irrational and dysfunctional, because it basically increases the displaying of social injustice.

Some Positive Benefits Churches Provision to Communities

The American founders viewed churches as a central institution within American life, because religion provided the moral foundation of self-restraint and community awareness necessary for the success of republican self-government. Many believed that the American experiment would not succeed without the moral training churches provided to citizens. Churches, surely, have contributed to the success of America by encouraging virtue, but social science research has also shown that churches provide direct and indirect economic and social benefits to communities. Churches provide valuable contributions to communities in the areas of direct economic contributions, social services and community volunteering, education and civic skills training, and reduced levels of deviance.

These benefits positively improve communities in direct and indirect manners, and they enhance political stability and the long-term health of communities. This paper will outline some examples of each cited by prominent social science researchers, developing the argument that churches bring benefits to communities that outweigh the loss of revenue from their tax exempt status. In fact, if it were not for churches, government would have to expend public funds to replace the community benefits that churches provide. Overall it is clear that churches bring positive benefits to communities, and their role in the community as a beneficial, nonprofit institution should be maintained.17

Churches Provide Direct Economic Benefits

The presence of churches in the community brings direct economic benefits to the local area. Church organizations provide jobs for the community, and churches support a variety of local businesses. Churches bring individuals from surrounding areas to the community where the church is located, and these individuals provide economic support to local establishments. Thus, churches aid in bringing additional revenue communities.

Churches are also an attractive component to local communities. Much like strong school systems, many families and individuals consider the presence of local religious organizations when making decisions about moving to communities and purchasing property. The presence of churches aids in families choosing to establish residence in a local community. This, in turn, helps support local businesses and contributes to property tax payments. Therefore, churches provide direct economic benefits to the community. Churches encourage community growth, job creation, and overall economic vitality.
Churches Provide Social Benefits

Beyond direct economic benefits, churches also provide social benefits that have economic value. Several researchers have identified the social benefits that churches bring to communities, including: providing help to poor and vulnerable individuals in the community, improving marriage relationships, decreasing violence among women, increasing moral community obligations, and promoting charitable contributions and volunteering. Social scientists consider it irrational to participate in moral and volunteer projects, because they have such a low personal benefit. However, being a member of a religious community increases one’s duty to serve others in the community, countering the “free rider” problem. Churches help communities complete vitally important social projects, for which the government would need to fund if churches did not provide such support.

A comprehensive study of religious congregations in six metropolitan communities found that 91 percent of religious congregations provided at least one social service, and, similarly, 87 percent of the congregations in a Philadelphia survey provided at least one social service to the community. While some argue that this percentage of churches is overestimated because it overlooks smaller churches, even conservative estimates claim that larger congregations, representing approximately 75 percent of the religious population in America, provide at least one social service to the community.

In a recent, detailed study of churches in Philadelphia, researchers found that churches do much more community aiding work, including helping the poor and making positive social inroads in the community, than previously realized by scholars. The authors declare, “If it were not for the impressive collective effort of some 2,120 local religious congregations, life in Philadelphia would have become extremely harsh” (Cnaan et al. 2006; p. 291). In a similar study in Philadelphia, congregations, on average, provided 2.33 different social programs (Boddie et al 2001). Another study shows that a typical church provides financial support, volunteers, space, and in-kind donations to six community programs each year (Am merman 2001). In categorizing these community programs, Am merman finds that congregations, on average, aid two direct service programs, two educational, health, or cultural programs, and one community development or political/social advocacy program.

The presence of churches in the community will also increase the religiosity of locals, and increased religiosity results in positive social contributions for the community. For example, religiosity influences individuals’ obligations to perform non-religious moral acts. Individuals who are religious have been shown to have increased propensity to participate in community-building, moral projects, such as giving blood. Additionally, church affiliation and religiosity increase community volunteering as well as intra-church volunteering. One scholar finds that churches contribute volunteers to three organizations on average, though some churches provide dozens of volunteers to different projects. A 1990 national study finds that church members volunteer 56 million hours each year to organizations outside their local congregations, aiding with human service projects, educational attainment, cultural awareness and training, and environmental improvement.

Because it can be difficult to quantify the exact value of the volunteering and community building benefits churches provide to local areas, many scholars have sought to quantify the “replacement value” of the social and volunteering benefits that churches provide to communities. The replacement value calculates monetary donations and in-kind support, staff and congregant volunteer hours, utilities, and the value of space (Tirrito and Cascio 2003). Cnaan valued that churches in large metropolitan communities provide support equal to one full-time social service employee, and in a comprehensive study of Philadelphia scholars valued community services at $115,009 per congregation and $230,018,400 for all the religious congregations in the city. The accuracy of this figure can be debated, but it is clear that by building up and sending out volunteers to the community, churches provide significant economic and social benefits, helping improve communities.

Churches Promote Education and Civic Engagement

Along with creating social programs and serving as a foundation for community volunteers, churches also improve the educational success of students and provide training and skills that promote civic engagement. For students, religious involvement is positively correlated with higher math and reading scores and greater educational aspirations. Students who frequently attend church have improved ability to allocate time and achieve goals and religiously connected students are five times less likely than their peers to skip school. Parents’ involvement in churches also improves their children’s educational capacities and achievements. Parents with higher levels of religiosity raise children who more consistently complete homework, attend class, and complete degree programs. Churches provide educational, psychological, and moral training and resources, which result in positive present and future educational outcomes for students.

Several cross-national and community based studies also show that churches help members obtain civic skills, such as public speaking, networking, organizing, and participating in politics. The church environment provides a training ground for individuals from all socioeconomic backgrounds, affording individuals the skills
to succeed in industry, business, education, and politics. In sum, the education and civic engagement training and motivation that church institutions foster has great social and economic benefits to societies. As education and civic engagement increase, deviance and crime decrease and economic growth and political stability increase. Churches are important institutions in the development of educational, life, and social skills necessary to succeed in society.

**Churches Help Decrease Crime and Deviance**

In addition to providing social programs and community volunteers, churches decrease the occurrence of crime and deviance in communities and among local youth. Reduced levels of crime and deviance make communities more safe, stable, and productive, and safe and stable communities encourage economic growth, through business expansion and attracting new residents. Several studies find that churches decrease crime and deviance, helping promote these economic benefits of a safer community.

Being involved in a church consistently decreases levels of deviance and crime. Religious involvement decreases domestic violence among both men and women, according to a national study. Church attendance has also been associated with decreased levels of assault, burglary, and larceny, and religiosity promotes decreased levels of violent crime both at the individual and the state level. Increased levels of religiosity also directly decrease deviant behavior, such as drug use, violence, and delinquency among at risk youth. Decreased levels of deviance aid in bringing about social order, increase the likelihood that businesses will expand into local areas and bring economic opportunities, and decrease government expenditures into programs and institutions that reduce, punish, and compensate for deviance.20

**Churches Promote Mental and Physical Health**

Churches also promote a variety of health benefits for the community, improving the vitality of the community and decreasing government expenditures. Studies have consistently shown that religiosity is related to increased longevity (Johnson, et al. 2002; Fagan 2006). The average religious individual lives seven years longer than the average nonreligious individual, and this increases to fourteen years for African American individuals (Hummer, et al 1999; Fagan 2006). Research by Johns Hopkins scholars shows that nonreligious individuals have increased risks of dying from cirrhosis of the liver, emphysema, arteriosclerosis, cardiovascular diseases, and suicide (Comstock and Patridge 1972; Fagan 2006). Religious attendance has also been shown to decrease alcohol abuse and drug use (Fagan 2006; Gartner, et al. 1991; Hasin, et al. 1985). A study in San Diego, California also shows that nearly two-thirds of all churches provide health promotion programs and participate in community health programs (Elder, et al. 1989). Church programs and religious practices promote physical health, and a healthy community is more productive and less of a strain on local resources. By helping improve physical health, churches provide a significant benefit to the community.21

In addition to physical health, church attendance also promotes mental health. In a comprehensive survey of mental health studies, 81 percent of 91 studies showed that religion is positively associated with mental well-being22 (Johnson, et al. 2002; Fagan 2006). Religious attendance has been shown to decrease stress, increase self esteem, and give individuals hope and a greater sense of life purpose. Increased religious practice also is associated with decreased levels of depression and suicide (Johnson, et al. 2002; Ellison 1995).23 In sum, church involvement has been shown to improve mental health, and having strong mental health makes individuals more productive and less at risk for committing crimes. Churches provide mental health benefits to individuals, and improved mental health directly aids communities.

**II. CONCLUSION: CHURCHES PROMOTE OUTCOMES THAT IMPROVE GOVERNMENT AND ECONOMIC GROWTH.**

Community contributions such as volunteerism, mental and physical health, reduced deviance, increased education and civic awareness, and social networks are all components of social capital—a concept numerous social science researchers have identified as having a significant impact on successful communities and societies. Social capital is the outcome of trust, social networks, and social health, and it encourages economic and social opportunities for communities. Scholars have frequently referenced the role of religion in creating social capital and developing the positive societal impacts of social capital. Social capital, which churches promote, has been shown to increase economic growth, and it also improves government performance, according to an evaluation of the thirty-six states in Nigeria.

In total, Churches have diverse positive impacts on communities, ranging from increased trust, improved mental and physical health, decreased crime, and enhanced levels of volunteering and community outreach. These attributes build norms and values that encourage political stability and economic performance. Churches contribute to vitally important components of successful societies, and their presence in communities provides many benefits that cannot be measured solely by direct revenue.
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