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I. INTRODUCTION

Indonesia As A Constitutional State As Set Forth In Article (3) Of The 1945 Constitution Of The Republic Of Indonesia States That "The State Of Indonesia Is A State Of Law" Shall Mean Any Form Of The Exercise Of Stateless Activities Subject To The Applicable Law.

In The Elucidation Section Of Law Number 37 Year 2008 Regarding The Ombudsman Of The Republic Of Indonesia Article 4 Letter A, It States That "The State Constitutes A State Which In All Aspects Of Social Life, Nation And State, Including In The Administration Of Government Shall Be Based On Law And Principles Good Governance That Aims To Promote A Prosperous, Just And Responsible Democratic Life.

As Above, The State Of Law In The Understanding Embraced By Indonesia Is The Administration Of Government Aimed At One Of Them For A Prosperous Life, This Means That In The Context Of The Legal State, Indonesia Is Included In The Category Of Material Law States.

The Legal State Of This Material According To Prof. Moh. Mahfud Md Is A Shift From A Formal Legal State That Prohibits The Government To Interfere In Community Activities, Toward A New Understanding Of The Legal State Of The Material That The Government Must Be Responsible For The Welfare Of The Community So That Should Interfere In Community Activities And Should Not Be Passive 1.

The Purpose Of A Material Law State To Achieve Welfare Is Stipulated In The Form Of Services, Public Services In Which The State Is Present To Meet The Needs Of Its Citizens Services Include The Service Sector Of Goods, Services, And Administrative Services. In Carrying Out These Services, Indonesia As A Legal State In This Case The Law Has The Character Of Such Lex Scripta Contained In A Form Of Written Norms Known As Legislation, Then To Ensure The Implementation Of Public Service By The State Established The Provisions / Legal Norms In A Law Number 25 Year 2009 On Public Services.


1 Moh. Mahfud MD, Hukum dan Pilar-Pilar Demokrasi, Yogyakarta : Gama Media, 1999, Hal. 130
2 Hans Kelsen,Teori Hukum Murni, Bandung : Nusa Media, 2014, Hal. 6
From the description above, it can be underlined that the norm contains the meaning of "what should be, so that what is intended to be done by humans is subject to the rules of norm to further determine the act is legal or illegal. Kelsen further states that in this norm a number of human behaviors are governed, ordered, encouraged, prohibited, or permitted, allowed, and authorized.

Law as a coercive order means that legal norms impose forced actions attributable to the legal community. This does not mean that the implementation of sanctions always requires the application of physical violence; this is only necessary if the implementation gets a resistance, which usually does not happen. The modern legal order sometimes contains norms that provide rewards or rewards, such as titles or merits, to specific, devoted acts. But reward is not a common element in all the social orders called law; rewards are not an important function of this order. In this coercive order, the rewards only play a small role. In addition, norms that authorize certain organs to give titles or marks to prominent individuals among others have a fundamental relationship to the norms that set sanctions; because the use of titles or embedding of service marks is not legally prohibited, which is allowed negatively, or positively permitted, which means it is prohibited if there is no official permission. Thus the legal circumstance can only be explained as a normative restriction on the validity of norms of abandonment; namely by referring to a norm of coercion.

Then it becomes clear that the difference between the legal norm and the other social order, the law has the main criterion defined as the element of "coercion" - this means that every act established by the legal norm has been accompanied by the consequences, which is called the instinct of the instinct is sanction.

The difference between legal norms and other norms lies in the nature of the coercion equipped with the coercive instrument itself referred to as sanctions, then as part of the legal norms, legislation should have been followed by sanctions as a consequence of the action otherwise of the stipulated rule in the legal norm.

The law as the coercive order for human behavior contains the command to apply a particular behavior as well as to impose forced action on the opposite treatment. Further, according to Kelsen, the sanctions imposed by the legal order are socially immanent sanctions (which are different from the transcendental ones); in addition, they are socially organized (not expressly agreed or disagreed).

Formulation of the Problem
1. What is the regulation of sanctions in the principles of the formulation of legislation?
2. What is the norm (order or prohibition) without the imposition of sanctions in law number 25 year 2009 regarding public service?

II. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

1. The Rule of Law

Some of the definitions of the legal state put forward by scholars who are certainly influenced by the schools of thought, as well as their respective theoretical concepts can be both reflective and enriching our knowledge in understanding the meaning behind the word law. There are also some expert views are as follows:

Aristotle (384-322 Sm) laws are something different from what regulates and expresses the form if the constitutions; it is their function to direct the conduct of the magistrate in the execution of his office and the punishment of offenders.

Thomas Aquinas (1225-1274), law is a rule and measures of act, wherebuh man is induced to act or is restrained from acting; for lex (law) is derived from ligare (to bind), because it binds one to act....law is nothing else than a rational ordering of things which concern the common good, promulgated by whoever is charged with the care of community.

Thomas Hobbes (1588-1679), the civil laws are the command of him who is endowed with supreme power in the city concerning the future actions of his subjects.

The state is a translation of the foreign word staat (Dutch and German), state (English), and etat (French). The term of this country also began to arise in the Zaqma renaissance (Re-Birth) in Europe, the term la stato is also known in the book II principe by Niccolo Machiaveli. Prof. Mr. L.J. Van

3 Ibid, Hal 38
4 Prof. Dr. Achmad Ali,SH.,MH., Menguak Teori Hukum dan Teori Peradilan, Jakarta : Prenada Media Group, 2009, Hal. 418

Apeldoorn In His Book Inleiding Tot De Studie Van Het Nederlandse Recht Gives A Definition Of The State As Follows:


2. Legal Norm


According To Kelsen Which Makes An Act Legal And Illegal Is Not His Physical Existence, Which Is Determined By The Law Of Causation That Exists In Nature, But The Objective Meaning Arising From The Interpretation Of It. The Typical Legal Meaning Of This Action Comes From The "Norm" Whose Content Refers To It; This Norm Gives A Legal Meaning To The Action, So That It Can Be Interpreted According To This Norm. The Norm Serves As An Interpretive Scheme. In Other Words: Consideration That An Action Of Human Behavior, Done In Time And Place, That Is "Legal" (Or "Illegal") Is The Result Of The Interpretation Of A Special Norm. And Even The View That This Action Has The Character Of Natural Phenomena Is Merely A Particular Interpretation, Which Differs From The Normative Interpretation, Ie, The Interpretation Of Cause And Effect. The Norm Which Gives The Meaning Of Legality Or Illegality To An Act Itself Is Created By A Act, Which In Turn Receives Its Legal Character From Another Norm. For Example The Assertion That A Group Of People Is A Parliament, And That Their Actions Mean The Law, Is Based On The Conformity Of All These Facts To The Norms Set Out In The Law. That Means That The Form Of The Actual Event Corresponds To The Legitimate Norm.
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A Norm As The Meaning Of The Action. Therefore, It Is Not True That We Affirm - As Is Often Done - That The Statement "An Individual Should ..." Just Means That Another Individual Wants Something; That It Should Be Reduced To Existing.

Thus It Can Be Underscored That The Norm Contains The Meaning Of "What Should Be, So That What A Person Wants To Do Is Subject To The Rules Of The Norm To Further Determine The Act Is Legal Or Illegal. Kelsen Further States That In This Norm A Number Of Human Behaviors Are Governed, Ordered, Encouraged, Prohibited, Or Allowed, Permitted, And Authorized.

If We Use The Word Supposed To Encompass All These Meanings, As Advised, We Can Justify The Validity Of A Norm By Saying: Something Should Be, Or Should Not Be, Done. If We Explain The Particular Existence Of A Norm As "Legitimacy," Then We Reveal Specifically How That Norm - Contrary To The Natural Fact - Is. The "Existence" Of A Positive Norm - That Is, Its "Validity Or Validity" - Is Not The Same As The Whim Of The Act Of Will, Whose Objective Meaning Is That The Norm Exists. A Norm May Apply Even If There Is No Further Act Of Will Whose Objective Meaning Is That The Norm Exists. Actually, The Norm Does Not Apply, Nor Does It Exist, Prior To The Act Of Will That Denotes The Existence Of The Norm. Individuals Who Create Legal Norms With An Action Directed Against The Behavior Of Others, Do Not Need To Continue To Carry Out This Behavior So That The Norm Can Apply. When A Person Acting As The Legislator Has Passed A Law Regulating Certain Matters And Making This Law "Applicable," They Then Direct Their Decisions To Another Regulatory Effort; And The Enacted Laws May Take Some Time After These People Die And Can No Longer Want Anything. Therefore, It Is Wrong To Characterize Norms In General, And The Norm Of Law In Particular, As "Will" Or "Command" Is A Psychological Act Of Will. Norma Is The Meaning Of An Act Of Will, Rather Than An Act Of Its Own Accord.


Validity And Effectiveness Are Also Impossible At The Same Time. The Legal Norm Becomes Valid Before It Becomes Effective, Ie, Before It Is Applied And Obeyed; A Court Of Law That Implements A Law As Soon As It Is Announced - And Thus Before The Law Has The Opportunity To Become "Effective" - Implies Valid Legal Norms. But A Legal Norm Is No Longer Considered Legitimate If It Remains Ineffective. Effectiveness Is A Requirement Of Validity In The Sense That Effectiveness Must Accompany The Establishment Of Legal Norms So That The Norm Does Not Lose Its Validity.

So Talking About The Effectiveness Of Legal Norms As An Order To Force Can Not Be Separated From The Existence Of Sanctions As The Instrument Of Force. Against Such Sanctions Kelsen Provides 2 (Two) Understandable Facts: (1) That This Norm Is Applied By Legal Organs (Especially The Court Of Law), Which Means That Sanctions Are Ordered And Executed In Concrete Cases; And (2) That This Norm Is Obeyed By Individuals Who Are Obedient To The Legal Order, Which Means That They Behave In A Certain Way To Avoid Sanctions. This Explanation Asserts That The Existence Of Legal Norms Is A Complete Package With The Provision Of Sanctions As A Coercive Effort.

3. Sanksi


Hans Kelsen Unravels The Type Of Forced Action That Must Be Divided Into Two Namely: First, A Forced Action That Is A Sanction, In Other Words This Action Is In Reaction To An Action Or Also Against The Non-Action Of An Action Established By Law. Secondly, The Forced Act Of Civil Execution, This Act Is Done As A Common Attempt To Hear "Fixing Up" Mistakes, Continued Kelsen 'By Means Of Fixing The Mistakes Is The End Of The Situation Caused By Unlawful Acts And The Presentation Of The Situation In

According With The Provisions Of The Law. This Ending Situation May Be The Same As The Situation Caused By aberrant Legal Behavior; But If It Is Impossible To Bring Up Such A Situation, Then Another Situation May Serve As A Substitute.

According To Kelsen, The First Characteristic That Is Commonly Found In All Social Orders Termed "Law" Is That All The Order Is The Ordinance Of Human Behavior, Then The Second Is That All The Order Is A Coercive Order. This Means, All Of The Order Reacts To Certain Events, Which Are Regarded As Undesirable For Harming The Public.

III. DISCUSSION

1. Sanctions In The Formation Of Legislation

The Law As The Coercive Order For Human Behavior Contains The Command To Apply A Particular Behavior As Well As To Impose Forced Action On The Opposite Treatment. Further, According To Kelsen, The Sanctions Imposed By The Legal Order Are Socially Immanent Sanctions (Which Are Different From The Transcendental Ones); In Addition, They Are Socially Organized (Not Expressedly Agreed Or Disagreed).

Law As A Coercive Order Means That Legal Norms Impose Forced Actions Attributable To The Legal Community, This Does Not Mean That The Implementation Of Sanctions Always Requires The Application Of Physical Violence; This Is Only Necessary If The Implementation Gets A Resistance, Which Usually Does Not Happen. The Modern Legal Order Sometimes Contains Norms That Provide Rewards Or Rewards, Such As Titles Or Merits, To Specific, Devoted Acts. But Reward Is Not A Common Element In All The Social Orders Called Law; Rewards Are Not An Important Function Of This Order. In This Coercive Order The Rewards Only Play A Small Role. In Addition, Norms That Authorize Certain Organs To Give Titles Or Marks To Prominent Individuals Among Others Have A Fundamental Relationship To The Norms That Set Sanctions; Because The Use Of Titles Or Embedding Of Service Marks Is Not Legally Prohibited, Which Is Allowed Negatively, Or Positively Permitted, Which Means It Is Prohibited If There Is No Official Permission. Thus The Legal Circumstance Can Only Be Explained As A Normative Restriction On The Validity Of The Norms Of Prohibition; Namely By Referring To A Norm Of Coercion 7

Then It Becomes Clear That The Difference Between The Legal Norm And The Other Social Order, The Law Has The Main Criterion Dnegan The Element Of "Coercion" - This Means That Every Act Established By The Legal Norm Has Been Accompanied By The Consequences, Which Is Called The Instinct Of The Instinct Is Sanction. If Forced Acts Governed By A Legal Order That Serves As A Reaction To A Human's Behavior Are Established By The Legal Order, Then This Forced Act Has The Character Of Sanctions. The Human Behavior That Is Subjected To Forced Action Should Be Regarded As Illegal Or Illegal - As A Offense. The Opposite Of That Behavior Is Regarded As A Command Or Legal Behavior, A Behavior That Avoids Imposition Of Sanctions. The Calling Of The Law As A "Coercive Order" Does Not Mean - As It Is Sometimes Stated - That It "Imposes" The Conduct Of The Ordered Or Legal Conduct. This Behavior Is Not Enjoined By Forced Measures, Since Forced Measures Must Be Exercised When Individuals Act In Forbidden, Or Not Ordered Ways. For This Purpose The Forcibly Is Set As Sanction. However, Perhaps This Statement Should Not Mean That The Law, By Setting Sanctions, Attempts To Force People To Behave In Accordance With What They Command, Because The Motive That Elicits The Behavior Is The Desire To Avoid Sanctions Themselves. However, Such Motivation Is Not Always A Legal Function: Legal Behavior - That Is, Ordered - Can Also Be Generated By Other Motives, Especially By Religious Or Moral Motives. And This Happens Quite Often. The Coercion Contained In That Motivation Is Psychic Coercion Which Is An Effect That May Arise From The Individual's Understanding Of The Law, And Which Takes Place Within This Individual. And This Psycho-Coercion Should Not Be Confused With The Enforcement Of The Forced Act, Which Takes Place In The Legal Order Of The Etrsebut. Every Effective Social Order Establishes A Kind Of Psychic Coercion, And Some Other Orders - Such As The Religious Order - Establish Psychic Coercion At A Higher Level Than The Legal Order. Thus, This Psychic Coercion Is Not A Distinguishing Characteristic Of The Law Of The Other Social Order. The Law Is Not A Coercive Order In The Sense That It Establishes Psychological Sanctions, But In The Sense That It Implements Forced Action, Namely The Actual Deprivation, Liberty, Economy, And Other Values By Force As A Condition Of Certain Conditions. This Condition Is Primarily - But Not Always - The Form Of Certain Human Behaviors, Which Gain Illegal Behavior - Such As Violations - As A Condition Of Sanctions.

In The Formation Of Material Legislation In The Content Of Legislation May Also Contain Other Principles In Accordance With The Field Of Law Of The Relevant Legislation As Regulated In Article 6 Paragraph (2) Of Law Number 10 Year 2004 On The Establishment Of Laws And Regulations. As For Other Principles Which Are In Accordance With The Field Of Law, Among Others, In Criminal Law For Example There Are Principles Of Legality, Non-Retroactive Principle, The Principle Of Presumption Of Innocence, And

7 Ibid, Hal 38
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Others. As Well As For Civil Law There Are Principles Of Freedom Of Contract, The Principle Of Agreement And So On. Then In 2011 The Provision Is Replaced By Law Number 12 Year 2011 On The Establishment Of Legislation Which Stimulates The Principles In The Formulation Of Laws And Regulations As Well As Principles In The Content Of Legislation Regulated In Article 5 And Article 6. Indeed This Provision Is Not So Much Different With The Principles Set In The Previous Law. Principles Of Establishment Of Good Legislation In Accordance With Article 5 Of Law Number 12 Year 2011 Concerning The Establishment Of Laws And Regulations As Follows:

A. Clarity Of Purpose;
B. The Appropriate Institutional Or Forming Authority;
C. Suitability Between Species, Hierarchy, And Content Material;
D. Can Be Implemented;
E. Usefulness And Usability;
F. Clarity Of Formulation; And
G. Openness.

As For The Material Content Of Legislation Should Reflect The Principles Set Forth In Article 6 Of Law Number 12 Year 2011 Regarding The Formation Of Legislation As Follows:A. Pengayoman;B. Humanity;C. Nationality;D. Kinship;E. Kenusantaraan;F. Unity In Diversity;G. Justice;H. Equality Of Positions In Law And Government;I. Order And Legal Certainty; And / Or. Balance, Harmony, And Harmony.

From The Whole Set Of Principles Above, If We Appeal To The Principle Of Justice And Be Associated With The Opinion Of Rudolf Stammler Explaining That Justice Is The Effort Or Act Of Directing The Positive Law As An Attempt To Force The Sanction Into Something Just (Zwangversuch Zum Richtigen). Therefore, According To Stammler, Justice Is The Effort Or Action To Direct The Positive Law To The Ideals Of Law. Thus The Law Of Justice (Richtigen Recht) Is A Positive Law That Has The Nature Directed By The Ideals Of Law To Achieve The Goals Of Society.

Related To This Sanction Itself In Relation To The Legislation Further Explained By Maria Farida That Criminal Provision Is A Provision That Is Not Absolutely Exist In The Legislation, So The Formulation Of Criminal Provision Depends On Each Of The Legislation. However, Legislation That Can Include Criminal Provisions Is Only The Laws And Regional

2. Norma Tanpa Sanksi Dalam Undang-Undang Pelayanan Publik

Norms Defined As Rules, Measures, Guidelines Or Standards For A Person To Behave Or Behave In Relation To Others As Well As To The Environment. Thus The Norm Is Used As A Benchmark To Assess A Person's Attitude Or Action. In General, The Legal Norm Of The State According To Its Contents Consists Of Three Kinds, Namely:

1. The Legal Norms Containing The Order (Mandatory)
2. Legal Norms Containing Prohibitions (Haram)
3. Legal Norms That Contain Permissibility (Sunnah)

Law Number 25 Year 2009 Regarding Public Service As A Legal Norm In The Form Of Law Also Contains The Obligations In The Form Of Orders, As Well As Prohibitions, As Well As The Permissibility That Governs Each Person So That It Is Subject To The Provision. According To Purnadi Purbacaraka And Soerjono Soekanto That There Are Two Properties Of Legal Principles, Namely Imperative Legal Principles And Legal Principles That Are Facultative. The Rule Of Law That Is Imperative Is The Law That Must Be Obeyed. While The Legal Principles That Are Facultatif Not A Priori Binding Means To Be Obeyed Or Not. In Other Words Norms In The Form Of Orders (Mandatory) And Prohibitions Include Imperative, While Norms That Contain Skill Is A Norm That Is Facultatif.


Regarding Legal Forms (Juridisch Voermen), Which In Essence According To Kelsen Norms Are Tiered And Layered In The Order Of Hierarchy, This Theory Is Also Called The Stufenbau Theory, So That The Lower Norms Are Based On And Derived From The Above Higher Norms, High Norms Derive From Higher Norms, And So On Until It Stops At The Highest Norm Known As The Basic Norm Or Grundnorm, Basic Norm, Or Fundamental Norm.

Thus The Legal Norm Is Included In The System Of Dynamic Norms Because The Law Itself Is Formed And Removed By The Institution Or Authority That Has The Authority To Form It. It Is Formed By The Authorized Institution Then The Law Has Its Validity Or Legality. In Indonesia Itself, In Its Legal System, The Legislation Is Set In Law No. 12 Of 2011 Concerning The Establishment Of Laws And Regulations, Which
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In Article 7 Paragraph (1) Establish Hierarchy In Stages Starting From The Constitution Of The Republic Of Indonesia Indonesia 1945, Decree Of The People's Consultative Assembly, Law / Government Regulation In Lieu Of Laws, Government Regulations, Presidential Regulations, Provincial Regulations, And Regency / City Regulations.


Among These Are Law 25 Of 2009 On Public Service As One Form Of Legislation In Which Contains Good Terms That Are Commands With Mandatory Or Must, Banagan, And Permissibility. And With Some Sanctions Defined As A Coercive Instrument So That Norms That Have Been Established Can Be Implemented With A Guarantee Of Legal Certainty.

3. **Norm's Provisions In Law 25 Year 2009 On Public Service**


The Provision Of Norms In The Form Of Orders With The Phrase "Mandatory" To The Public Service Providers There Are 1 Article And 3 Verses, For Public Service Providers There Are 22 Articles And 43 Paragraphs, For The Implementer Of Public Service Consists Of 4 Articles And 3 Verses, And For The Community As The User Public Services There Is Only 1 Article Only, While For The Supervisor Of Public Service There Are 2 Articles And 6 Verses.

The Provisions Of The Norm In The Form Of Prohibition In The Public Service Act Are Divided Into Prohibitions For The Public Service Provider Consisting Of 4 Articles And 4 Paragraphs, While For The Executive Service Of Public There Is Only 1 Article Only.

As For The Provisions Of The Norm In The Form Of Permissibility With The Phrase "Can" Set The Four Parties In The Provision Of Public Services. Each Is Divided Into The Providers Of Public Services 7 Articles And 8 Paragraphs, Public Service Operators Arranged In 1 Article Only, The Permissibility Noma For The Community There Are 7 Articles, And For The Supervisor Of Public Services There Are 2 Articles.

For The Provisions Of The Norm In The Form Of A Command With The Phrase "Must" Or "Necessity" Is Set Out In 4 Articles And 3 Paragraphs With The Divisions, 3 Articles And 3 Paragraphs Governing The Requirement For The Providers Of Public Services And 1 Article Governing The Requirement For The Public Service. Of The Total Norms In The Form Of Orders With The Phrase "Compulsory" In The Law Of Public Services Which Amounted To 50 Terdaat 11 Of Which Are Not Accompanied By Sanctions, While For The Norm In The Form Of The Overall Ban Amounted To 6 All Accompanied By Sanctions. For Norms In The Form Of Permissibility With The Phrase "May" Which Amounts To 18 There Is 1 Which Has Sanctions And 17 Of Which Are Not Accompanied By Sanctions, While For Orders With The Phrase "Must" Which Amounts To 4 Norms As A Whole Is Not Accompanied By Sanctions.

Public Service In Its Origin Is The Right Of Society So That In Its Implications In Formulating Norms Related To Public Obligations It Will Lead To The Emergence Of Other Rights, Such As Complaints Against Poor Public Services. As For The Organizers Or Implementers Of Public Services On The Origin Is The Obligation Then Of Course Any Norms That Are Formulated And Impose Obligations Must Be Accompanied By Sanctions. This Is Binding In An Effort To Encourage The Quality Of Public Services That Is Still Strongly Influenced By The Compliance Level Of The Organizers Only Based On The Presence Or Absence Of Sanctions.


---

8 Ibid, hal. 68


Norm Without Sanction


Furthermore, Van Apeldoorn Describes That In The Recht Has Seen A Moral Emphasis, The Word Rechtvarding (Just) As In The Old Anglo-Saxon Law, The Word Right Is Not Merely A Recht (Right) But Rechtvaardig (Fair).

Van Apeldoorn, However, Does Not Deny The Necessity Of Coercion Which In Certain Circumstances Can Be Presented, But Nevertheless It Can Not Be A Justification For The View That Sanctions Are The Distinction Between Legal Norms And Other Social Norms, And Most Closely Related To Forced Coercion Physical Is A Criminal Law. Thus If Following The View Of Experts Who Declare Sanctions As A Distinction Between Legal Norms With Other Social Norms Then The Branch Of Law Other Than Criminal Law That Does Not Contain The Element Of Coercion If Then Referred To As Non-Legal.

IV. CONCLUSION

1. The Existence Of Sanctions If Associated With The Principles Of The Establishment Of Legislation Is Not Explicitly Mentioned, But It Is Implicitly Contained In The Principle Of Justice And The Principle Of Legal Certainty As Embraced By The Indonesian Legal System As Reflected In Law Number 10 Year 2004 On The Establishment Of The Following Laws And Regulations With The Successor Of Law Number 12 Year 2011 On The Establishment Of Laws And Regulations.
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