Phylogenic Study of Genital Armature of Family Megachilidae (Apoidea : Hymenoptera) in the Western Himalayan Region

Dr Sudhir Kumar Rawat

Associate professor. Zoology department Government Degree College, Kasganj, UP India

I. Introduction :

'Bees' belong to super family Apoidca Ashmcad of order Hymenoptcra of monophyletic super order aculcata (class insccta). These bees could be readily distinguished from all other Hymcnoptcrous. Mainly by presence of plumose or feather hair over their bodies. The studies have shown that the solitary bees are the dominant pollinators of angiosperms that they constitute the most diverse group of flowers visiting insects in the areas. Bees are absolutely essential to the maintenance of the diversity in the flowering plants. A high species diversity in these bees in necessaries to maintains high angiosperms diversity. The loss of bee diversity will surely be accompanied by the loss of flowering plants species, it has been shown that the bees arc essential to the fertilization and reproduction large number of flowering plants and render excellent help to farmers, horticulturist and foresters by cross pollinating there valuable crops as well os fascinating interest of mankind.

The family megachilidae popularly known as leafcutter bee's mason bees, wood borers and resin users. Which arc instrumental in pollination of variety of cultivated and wild plants. As regards habits to distinct groups of these bees are recognized parasitic and non-parasitic bees, they are cosmopolitor in distribution. In India they are common and occur almost in all the parts.

The taxonomic value of genital armature is a prime importance, than any other aspects for each fauna the megachilid bees exhibits the most uniformly character morphology. Easily recognizable by bear ventrally situated abdominal scopa, solitary bees are usually very fast movers. One can visits around 30 - 35 flowers with in a minute because of it. Megachilid bees are among the world most efficient pollinators.

Leafcutter bees, as their name implies, use 0.25 to 0.5 inches circulars piece of leaves they neatly cut from plants to construct nest. Nest building is of particular interest, reflecting the material they build their nest cells from soil or leaves respectively, afew collect plants or animal's hairs and fibers and are called Carder bees. All species feed on nectar and pollens but afew are cleptoparasites (informally called Cuckoo bees) feeding on pollens collected by other megachilid bees. They construct cigar like nest that contains several cells, each cells contains a ball or leaf of store pollen and a single egg, each cells will produced a single bee. Leafcutter bees construct these nests in soil, in holes (usually made by other insects) in wood and in plants stems. A diversity of cavities, such as cells of dead snails, holes in concrete wall (like those produced for hurricane shutters) and other holes in man made objects are used as sites. Thus a nice variation in habits- habitat has derived themselves to the modification in the morphology of genital armature.

The taxa description for both sexes the methods group around 100 characters for both sexes. The method possessed by Mitchell (1973 and 1980) after studying the genital armature of megachilid bee intensively from American region. At the age of 'fauna' (Bigham 1897), proper Indian territories explored 53 megachilid species, incoming 8 genera under the' common head Apidac. However present work docs not suffices for all round claim. Study of Megachilid bees on genital armature and its parts is certainly useful for taxonomy of higher group. Himalayan region is rich in wild and cultivated, visitation of orchards, since many species of Mcgachild bees are very common in this region; we propose the present Study keeping in view that not much more has been carried out in the past in their lines.

We not hesitate in stating that this study can be regards as first prelimany attempt on genital armature of Megachilid bee of western Himalayan region. It will be necessary to examine. Many more characters other then genital armature and its parts in order to establish the systematic **of** all categories of Apoidae.

II. Existing concept of study :

The leafcuttor bees (megachilidae species) are a type of bee, which has the Interesting trait of chewing little circles out of leaves flowers plants.

Leafcutter bees, as their name implies, use 0.25 to 0.5 inches circular piece of leaves they neatly cut from plants to construct nests. They constructs cigar like nest that contains several cells. Each cells contains a ball or leaf of store pollen and a single egg each cells will produced a single bee, leaf cutting bees, construct these nests in soil, in holes (usually made by other insects) in wood and in plant stems. A diversity of caritics,

such as shells of dead snails, holes in concrete wall (like those produced for hurricane shutters) and other holes in man made objects arc used as sites. As regards habits megachilie bees recognized.

They are over winter in these nests as newly formed adults. In the following spring these adults chew there way out of the nest. Leafculturs are solitary bees and do not live in large group or colonics like honey bees. Leafculters do not aggressively defend nesting areas like honey or bumble bees.

Leafcutter bees are important pollinators of many wild flower, leafcutter bees also pollinators by commercial growers of blueberries, onion, carrots and alfalfa. Leaf cutting bee's use the leaves of almost any broad leaf deciduous plant to construct their nest, some species of leaf cutting bees use petal and region in addition to leaves. The most commonly reported problem of leaf cutting comes from ornamental plants such as roses, redbud, ash, bougainvillea and other with thin smooth leaves.

Leaf cutting bees can be considered a pests because of leaf cutting ornamental plants.

Very little is known about genital nnmiturc and its different parts of Indian megachilidae (Apoidcn: Hymenoptera), our knowledge of genital armature of Indian Megachilidae bee has largely been derived from the work of Bingham (1897), Robertson (1903) also divide genus Megachilc lair. Into several new and much smallest genera. Micliencr (1944), peters (1970) and Mitchell (1980) upgraded most of sub genera to generic owing to their increasing numbers of species.

After fifteen years mourse, J.A. and Pasteels, JJ. studies the genital armature of Megachlild bees and proposed numerous sub genera of Megachile ltr., and of them along with sub genera detailed in thirties by Mitchell himself (1980), this work also include some notes an phylogeny of Megachilidae.

At the moment, when frieze, Cresson and cockerel etc. were busy in rearranging few old texa, col. C.T. Bingham (1897), and G.R. Dult (1912) collected the Indian fauna and got then identified with those in catalogues of smith (1853 and 1854) and Dalla Torre (1894 and 1896), and prepared an incredible account of Indian Hymenoptera.

Pasteels, revised the Megachilid bees of Arabia region on the basis of genital armature, and also gave an account of phylogeny of the group. Torchie, P.F. and bees a Tepedind (1981) studies the sex ratio, body size and seasonability in solitary bee- osmia lignaria propingcia Tkalcu (1981) recorded a new genus waria and two new species of it from western India and also discussed the tanoremic importance of their genital armatures. In the same year vonder Zander revised the Megachilid bee of Turkish and discussed the distribution of some rare Megachilid bee in reference to importance of genital armature in their Taxonomy. Crips (1985), smelling and wing (1986), rust and Bohart (1987).

III. Review of Literature :

The phylogenic sludy of megachiiidac (Apoidae : Hymenoptera) literature dealing with the taxonomy and different morphological features and nesting behaviors of megachiiidac is very extensively available in different languages in various journals but very little known about Phylogenetic significance of genital armature and its parts

The morphologists were familiar for IInd quarter of 18th century and 1st important contribution regarding morphology of the genus come, perhaps from Linnaeus (1758) and his placement of all known bees under the genus. Head of Apis Schmiedcknecht (1882-1886), should be referred whose classification in socials, solitcrlcs and parasitic genera become the basis of origin this family. This sub category castrilogidae, under the 'Apidac solitaries' was been grouped with single family named megachiiidac with the genera megachile, lithurgus, osmia, heriades and anthidium.

Friese (1895 to 1897) merely increased the volume of schmiedcknecht's classification by adding one more genes coclioxys under the distinct sub family coclioxyne. Letter Dala Torre (1895) more or less followed the same ways. Then Bingham came (1897) with his pioneer work of 'fauna' in that he include die genera of megachiiidac into the common head of Apidae, along with the neighboring family of Apoidea

Just after Bingham, in (1899), Ashmead published a quite elaborated account of bees classification of sub divided into 14 families with 36 genera but his work completely ignored the Phylogcnic value of genital armature and its parts.

Later working of family megachilidae categorization are found with Robertson in 1899, friese (1902-11), Michener (1944-65) and finally Mitchell (J934, 39, 43, 73, 80 and 2000) but most of the letter working were either related with generic level or they were confirmed to continental or regional versions.

In these revisions worth will be mentioning namely Alfken (1926-42) from North Africa— Europe, some Paralactic and Central – West Asia; Benoist (1926-61) from Central Africa, South Europe and extending up to Hands near to Africa; Cockerell, T.D.A. (from different museums of America and Africa in long period

from 1895 lo 1948, in short separates); Krombein, (1935-79) from Australia, America upto preparation of the catalogues in 1967 and 1979; Mavromoustakis (1930-68) from African and European countries; Michener (1936-67) from America and Australia; Mitchell (1930-80) from America; Moore (1941-65) from South America; Pasteels (1960-76) from South-central Africa and South west Europe and Central Asia; Peters (1970); Popov (1945-65); Rayment, (1928-56); Rebmann (1965-75); Schwarz (1926-57); Tkalcu, (1965-78) and at the last Yasumatsu (from Japan and South East Asia in 1935-51).

Most of these short but complete taxonomic revisions supplied the important generic and species description but very few have discussed the taxonomic position with regard to morphology, phylogenic and bionomic point of view.

Any way it can be concluded that the evolution of the family Megachilidac is of quite recent period, other than the related families of Super-family Apoidae. Their habit-habitat, mode of feeding and nesting, and foraging behavior, solitary state and particularly since beginning, habitats of dense forest area have compelled them to become adoptively specialized. Thus morphologically well as functionally they became of considerable difference from rest of the Apoidae. Particularly, the scopa on abdominal sterna, being the highest evolved feature, and (still contir under developmental stage) two sub marginal cells in the fore-wings, some times put them among the most advanced, or other times less advanced than the bees with only one sub-marginal cell (considered more specialized forms), or from those who also carry that to open at apical end, In the all respect on one hand they seems to be much closed to Fidellinae and on to the other with Ceratini and Xylocopini.

The perusal of the literature shows that no attention has been paid so far the *study* of the Phylogeny on the basis of genital armatures and its parts of Indian megachilidac (Apoidea), therefore. We purpose to start the work on the topic Study of genital armature of family megachilidac (Apoidea : Hymenoplcra) in the Western Himalayan region

References

1. Alfken, J.D.

1926 Fauna Buruana Hymenoptera, Apidac Treubia 7(3) : 259-275.

- 1933 Beitragzur kenntinis der Coelioxys arten von Aegyptn. Bull. Soc. Ent. Egypt, Cairo, 18: 177-187.
- 1942 Beitragzur kenntnis Palaarktischer Bienin Veroffdtsch Kolon Mus. Bremen 3 : 206-216.
- 1942 Beitragzur kenntnis Palaarktischer Bienin Mitt. Munchn. Ent. Ges, Munich 32: 678-681.

2. Ashmead, W.H.

1899 Classification of bees. Trans. Amer. Ent. Soc. 26: 49-100.

3. Batra, S.W.T.

- 1965 Crop Pollination and the flower relationship of the wild bees of Ludhiana, India, (Hym. Apoidea). J. kans. Ent. Soc. 40 : 167-177.
- 1977 Bees of India (Apoidea), their behavior, Management and a key to the genera. Orient insects 11: (3) : 289-324.

4. Bingham, C.T.

- 1896 Hymenoptera, Vol. I. Wasps and Bees. In W.T Blend ford, ed., The fauna of British India Taylor and Franeis, London CCIC; pp 410-579.
- 1897 New and little known species of indo-Malayan Hymenoptera. J. Bomb. Nat. Hist. Soc. 10: 195-216.
- 1898 On species of Indian Hymenoptera Bomb. Nat. Hist. Soc. 12: 115-130.
- 1908 Notes on the aculeate Hymenoptera in Rec. Ind. Mus. 2(4): 347-368.

5. Cockerell, T.D.A.

- 1900 The new Mexoco bees of the genus Coelioxys can. Ent. 32:297-301.
- 1910 Some bees from high Altitude in the Himalayas Entomologist 43 : 238-42.
- 1931 Descriptions and Records of bee 130. Ann. And Mag. Nat. Hist. (10): 537-553.
- 1933 African bees of the genus megachile. Rev. Zool. Bot. Africa 23 : 138-57.
- 1935 Bees of the genus megachile in the Congo Museum. Ibid., 26: 239-246.

6. Cripps, C and Rust, R.W.

1985 Biology and Sub generic placement of osmia pikei Entomol. News 96(3); 109-113.

7. Dalla Torre, C.G.DE and Priese, H.

1894 Synonymischer catalog der europaeischen Schmarotzerbienen. Entomol. Nachrichtem 20: 33-43.

8. Engel, M.S.

1999 The taxonomy of recent and fossil Honeybees (Hym. : Apoidae)

9. Fishcher, R.L.

1955 Classification of megachilidae bees (Hymenoptera) based on the comparative morphology of genitalia and associated sterna, Abstr. Diss. Kans. St. Coll. Manhatfan, 1933-1955 : 74-75.

10. Friese, H.

- 1903 Neue Arten der bienengattung megachile aus Amerika Z. Syst. Hymen. Dipt. 3 : 246-248.
- 1911 Apidae I, megachilinae Das Tiemeich 28, R.F. Friedlander und Sohn. Berlin. 440 pp.

11. Gupta, R.K.

1988 Two new species of genus Eumegachile friese from India (Insecta, Apoidae : Meg.) 26(13) : 71-77.

12. Hurd, Jr. P.D. and Michener, C.D.

1955 The megachiline bees of California (Hym., megachilidae). Bull. Calif. Ins. Surv. Berkeley 3 : 1-247.

13. Kerr, W.E. and V. Maule

1964 Geographical distribution of stingless bee and its implications (Hym. : Apidae)

14. Kumar Virendar

1989 New species of Genus osmia Panzer (Hym., : Apoidae : Megachilidae) from India. 13(1/2) : 137-139.

15. Mavromoustakis, G.A.

- 1947 Some megachilidae from Spain and Morocco. (Hym., Apoidae). Eos. Madrid 23: 357-367.
- 1968 Missione Giordani Soika in Iran 1965, IInd, new & little known bees of the family megachilidae. Biol. Mus. Civ. Stor. Nat. Venezia 18 : 125-149.

16. Medler, J.T.

1958a A note on Megachile inermis Prov. In trap- nests in Wisconsin. Can. Ent. 90, pp. 325-327. 1958b Biology of megachile relative Cress. In traps- nests in Wisconsin, Ann, En. Soc. Amer. 51 : 337-344.

17. Michener, C.D.

- 1936 Some bees of the fenus ashmeadiella (Hym., megachilidae) Pan. Pacific Entomol. 12(2): 56-69.
- 1936b Some North American Osmeini (Hym., Apoidea) Amer. Mus. Novit. 875 : 1-30.
- 1936 Some westernb bees of the genis osimia (Hym., negachilidae) Canadian Entomol. 68(2): 39-43.
- 1941 A generic revision of the American Osmiinae with Description notes on old world genra. Amer. Midl. Naturalist 26: 147-167.
- 1944 Comparative external morphology phylogeny and a classification of the bees (Hymnophera)
- 1953 The biology of a leaf cutter bee (megachile brevis) and its associates. Univ. Kans. Sci. Bull. 35 : 1659-1748.

18. Mitchell, Theobore B.

- 1926 New species of megachile, with notes and corrections trans. Amer. Ent. Soc. LII : 111-118.
- 1929 Sex anomalies in the genus megachile, with description of new species. Trans. Amer. Ent. Soc. 54(5) : 321-394.
- 1934 A revision of the bees of the genus megachile of the Mearctic region. Part 1. Trans. Amer. Ent. Soc.. 59 : 295-361. Pls. XX & XXI
- 1980 A generic revision of the megachiline bees of the western Hemisphere. Contr. Deptt. Ent. N.C. state Univ. 95 pp.

19. Moure, J.S.

1955 Duasespecies de Megachile de grands altitudes, (Hym., Apoidea) Dusenia 7 : 103-106.

20. Robertson, C.

1903 Synopsis of Megachilide and bombinee. Trans. Amer. Ento. Soc. 29 : 163-178.

21. Sinha, R.N. and C.D. Michener

1958 A revision of the genus Osmia, sub genus centre osmia), (Hym., Mega) Univ. Kans. Sci. Bull. 39 : 275-303.

22. Sinha, R.N.

1958 Phylogenetic relationships with in genus osmia, in the new world. Proc. Xth Int. Congr. Ent. Mon. Treal. 1956, 1:243-259.

1958 A sub generic Division of the genus osmia in the western Hemisphere (Hym., Mega.) Univ. Kans. Sci. Bull. 39 : 211-261.

23. Tiwari, V.K. and R.K. Gupta

1987-88 A new species of genus lithurgenes, latreille (Hym. : Apoidae : Mega.) 84(2) : 405-407.