# Ammonium sorption by soils profile of semi-arid areas

Hamdi Wissem<sup>1,2</sup>, Seffen Mongi<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Higher Institute of Agronomy, Chott Meriem 4042, Sousse, Tunisia. <sup>2</sup>Laboratory of Energy and Materials (LABEM; FP4BATIW project) Higher School of Sciences and Technology, 4011 Hammam Sousse, Sousse University, Tunisia.

**Abstract:** The establishment of the ammonium  $(NH_4^+)$  sorption capacity by soil in the Sahel region of Tunisia is crucial for effective management of  $NH_4^+$  in the region. However, the  $NH_4^+$  sorption capacity for soils is not well developed. The aims of this study were to determine the  $NH_4^+$  sorption capacity of soils and to generate that relates these capacities to soil properties. Air-dried samples soils collected at four depths, 0–25-, 25–60-, 60–90- and 90–120-cm from three sites in the Sahel region of Tunisia (Chott-Mariem, Enfidha and Kondar). Soil chemical, physical and mineralogical properties were analyzed. The kinetic sorption of  $NH_4^+$  by the soils was investigated using batch experiments. The contact time to achieve the equilibrium condition was 300 min. The average amounts of  $NH_4^+$  sorption on these soils varied from 5, 4 to 6, 35 mg.g<sup>-1</sup>. The maximum adsorption ranked as: Kondar>Enfidha>Chott Mariem. Differences in  $NH_4^+$  sorption were greatly influenced by soil organic matter, exchangeable cations ( $Ca^{2+}$ ,  $K^+$  and  $Mg^{2+}$ ) and clay content. As far as the modeling is concerned, the kinetic sorption was fitted by the first order, second order kinetics and Elovitch model. Isotherm experiments were carried out by concentrations the following (0, 25, 50, 75, 100, 125, and 150mg  $NH_4^+ L^{-1}$ ). The equilibrium data was fitted with Langmuir and Freundlich isotherms. Comparison of the adjusted  $R^2$  and RMSE for the two models indicated that the Langmuir model could adequately predict the  $NH_4^+$  sorption process.

Keywords: Ammonium, semi-arid areas, sorption, soil properties, kinetic, isotherms.

# I. Introduction

Ammonium (NH4<sup>+</sup>) is an inorganic ion form of nitrogen impurity. It is an essential nutrient for all living organisms. Although in most soils  $NH_4^+$  can be the major source of nitrogen (N). It may also result from the mineralization of soil organic matter (SOM) [1]. The efficiency of N fertilizers can be significantly affected by the mobility of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> essentially due to an increasing N uptake by crops from native soil N pools [2]. Ammonium is a usual subsurface pollutant that may result from different sources such as leakage from landfills, incorrect sewage systems and contaminated industrial sites, aquifer recharge with pretreated wastewaters, and fertilizer application [3]. High concentrations lead to the growth of algae and plants resulting in a reduction of dissolved oxygen and toxicity for aquatic organisms. In soil,  $NH_4^+$  dynamics is affected by the process of sorption and desorption. These are important factors affecting the N availability for crops and for the protection of soil N supplies against leaching [4]. The amount of sorption and desorption of  $NH_4^+$  depends on the fixation capacity of soils. This capacity can be determined by the complete saturation of fixing sites in soil with excessive amounts of  $NH_4^+$  [5]. In fact, the  $NH_4^+$  ions can be electro-statically adsorbed on soils surfaces [6]. On the basis of surface chemistry, soils can be divided into two groups with permanent and variable charges [7]. Soils with variable charges can have both positive and negative charges. The total amount of the variable charge differs because of mineral compositions and pH variation. Soils with positive charges can adsorb the major part of the applied fertilizers consisting of  $NH_4^+$ . The  $NH_4^+$  ion-exchange capacity varies depending on the presence of other cations in the solid and solution phases and on the initial  $NH_4^+$  concentration [8].  $NH_4^+$  adsorption occurs by the ion exchange of  $NH_4^+$  ions with sodium, potassium, magnesium, and calcium ions at cationexchange sites. The electrostatic energy between  $NH_4^+$  or  $K^+$  and the negative charges in the crystal sheets is greater than the hydration energy of these cations. Therefore, they easily lose hydration water and are placed in the interlayer holes. The silicate layers collapse, and consequently the trapped  $NH_4^+$  and  $K^+$  between sheets will be non-exchangeable [4]. Wang and Alva [9] by studying the effect of soil texture on NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> absorption found that clay soils were more capable to adsorb NH4<sup>+</sup> than sandy soils. The (2:1) clay minerals type including illite, vermiculite, and montmorillonite, has the greatest NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-retention capacity. The negative charges of theses minerals may be balanced by absorbing cations such as  $NH_4^+$  and  $K^+$ . Both ions can be held in the ditrigonal spaces in the basal oxygen plane of (2:1) clay minerals due to having the same ionic radius and low hydration energy [1].  $NH_4^+$  sorption in agricultural and forest soils has been widely investigated [9]. Considering the intensive application of N fertilizers in semi-arid areas of Tunisia, we have conducted this study to improve the knowledge about NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> sorption using results from kinetics and isotherms data.

## II. Material And Methods

# Site description

The ammonium sorption research was conducted with soils from three sites of the semi-arid areas of Tunisia: Chott Mariem (35°54'N10°36'E), Enfidha (36°08'N10°22'E) and Kondar (35°55'N10°17' E). The climate is moderate in the winter and hot in the summer, with mean annual temperature of 23°C, and mean annual precipitation of 300 mm. The soil (0–25-cm) is fine sandy loam (Isohumic soils) at Chott Marien, clay soil (Calcic-magnesic) at Enfidha and Kondar (Solonetz) [10].

## Physicochemical analysis

Triplicates soil samples were randomly collected at four depth, 0-25-, 25-60-, 60-90-, and 90-120-cm, air-dried, sieved (<2 mm) and stored until analysis. The soils were classified as Isohumic soils for Chott Mariem, calcic-magnesic for Enfidha, and solonetz for Kondar [10]. Soil pH was determined in a 1:2 soil: water suspension [11]; organic C (OC) by the wet oxidation method [12]; particle-size distribution by the pipette method [13]; and calcium carbonate equivalent (CCE) by the BaCl<sub>2</sub> extraction method [14]. Mehlich-III P, Ca, Mg, Fe, Al, Cd, Cu, Mn, were determined by equilibrating 2.5 g of air-dried soil with 25 mL of Mehlich-III extracting solution for 5 min and filtering through Whatman No. 40 filter paper. Concentrations of the various elements in the extracts were determined by inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrophotometer (CP–OES, Perkins Elmer, Model 4300DV). Soil N-NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and N-NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> concentrations were analyzed using the steam distillation method.

## Mineralogy analysis

The quantitative mineralogical analysis was extracted from the powder XRD data using an internal standard for each mineral [16]. The clay fraction was quantified, after purification, and based on a pure, standard clay mineral [17].

## **Experimental sorption study**

The batch sorption experiments were carried out in 250-mL Erlenmeyer flasks where 1 g of each soil sample and 100 mL of the  $NH_4^+$  solutions prepared with  $NH_4Cl$  were added in presence of 0.01 M CaCl<sub>2</sub> solution. The Erlenmeyer flasks were subsequently capped and agitated in an isothermal shaker at 100 rpm and  $20\pm2^\circ$  C to achieve equilibration at 300mn. The pH of all solutions is that the initial soil. After each 15 min, 5mL of solution was sampled from each flask, centrifuged at 2800 (g) for 10 min and the supernatant immediately analyzed for  $NH_4^+$  using the double beam UV–vis spectrophotometer (Shimadzu, Model UV 1601, Japan) at 668 nm. The amount of sorption at equilibrium, Qe (mg.g<sup>-1</sup>), was calculated by Eq(1):

$$Qe = \frac{(Ce - C_0)xV}{M}$$
; Where C<sub>0</sub> and Ce (mg.L<sup>-1</sup>) are the liquid-phase concentrations of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> at initial

and equilibrium, respectively; V is the volume of the solution (L) and M is the mass of dried soil (g).

#### **Kinetics modeling**

Different models have been proposed to investigate the kinetic behavior of sorbents and examine the rate controlling the process. Traditionally one has to choose between the first and the second pseudo order. Recently progress has been made due to the availability of powerful nonlinear methods and the introduction of fractal concepts in complex reactions. In complex systems which or this aim, the classic models namely, the pseudo first-order, pseudo second-order rate equation model and Elovitch model were used in this paper.

The pseudo-first-order: The rate equation given by Lagergren, [18] is generally described by the following  $\frac{dq}{dq} = \frac{dq}{dq} = \frac{dq}$ 

equation (Eq2): 
$$\frac{dq}{dt} = k_1(qe - qt)$$
. After integration by applying the conditions,  $q_t=0$  at t=0 and at t=t,  $q_t=q_t$ ,

Eq. (2) becomes (Eq3):  $\log(qe - qt) = \log(qe) - \frac{k_1}{2,303} \times t$ ; Where k<sub>1</sub> is a constant and qe is the amount of

 $NH_4^+$  sorbed at equilibrium in mg.g<sup>-1</sup>. The constants k<sub>1</sub> and qe can be calculated using the slope and intercept from the plot of log (qe-q) versus time (t).

Pseudo-second-order model: The pseudo second-order kinetic model is expressed as follows Eq(4):

 $\frac{dq}{dt} = k_2(qe-qt)^2$ . Integrating equation Eq (4) for the boundary conditions t = 0 to t = t and q<sub>t</sub> = 0 to q = q<sub>e</sub>

gives  $\frac{t}{qt} = \frac{1}{k_2 q e^2} + \frac{t}{qe}$ ; Where: k<sub>2</sub> is the second-order rate constant (g mg<sup>-1</sup> min<sup>-1</sup>). By plotting t/q<sub>t</sub> versus t in

a linear relationship, values of  $k_2$  and  $q_e$  were calculated from the intercept and slope of the plots.

**Elovich equation:** The Elovich equation was the third model used and can be expressed as:  $\frac{dQt}{dt} = \propto e^{-\beta qt}$ , Eq (5); Where  $\alpha$  is the initial adsorption rate (mg g<sup>-1</sup> min<sup>-1</sup>) and  $\beta$  is the desorption constant (g mg<sup>-1</sup>). To simplify the Elovich equation, we assumed  $\alpha\beta$ t>>t and applied the boundary conditions q<sub>t</sub>=0 at t=0 and q<sub>t</sub>=q<sub>t</sub> at t=t. Eq

(5) then gives:  $q_t = (\frac{1}{\beta})\ln(t+t_0) - (\frac{1}{\beta})\ln t_0$ ; where  $t_0 = \frac{1}{(\alpha\beta)}$ . If t is much larger than  $t_0$ , this can be further

simplified to:  $q_t = (\frac{1}{\beta})\ln(\alpha\beta) + (\frac{1}{\beta})\ln(t)$ , Eq (6). A plot between  $q_t$  versus ln(t) yields a linear

relationship with a slope of  $(\frac{1}{\beta})$  and an intercept of  $(\frac{1}{\beta})\ln(\alpha\beta)$ . The term  $(\frac{1}{\beta})$  indicates the number of sites

available for adsorption.

#### Isotherms modelling

The study of equilibrium behavior of sorbent is necessary to design and optimize the adsorption systems [19]. The correlation between the adsorbent concentration in the liquid phase and the adsorption capacity at equilibrium time is presented by isotherm models. Among many isotherms investigating the adsorption mechanism, Langmuir [20] and Freundlich [21] are the most common isotherm models. In this study, the equilibrium behavior of the sorbent during ammonium ion adsorption was investigated by Langmuir and Freundlich models at different earlier concentrations.

#### Langmuir equation

The Langmuir isotherm is based on monolayer coverage of adsorbent homogenous surface with the constant

adsorption heat for all active sites that is given as Eq. (4):  $Q = Q_{\text{max}} \times \frac{k_1 \times C}{1 + k_1 \times C}$ ; Where Q (mg NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> kg<sup>-1</sup>) is

the adsorbed  $NH_4^+$  onto the soil after 24-h contact,  $Q_{max}$  (mg  $NH_4^+$  kg<sup>-1</sup>) is the  $NH_4^+$  sorption maximum;  $k_1$  ( $NH_4^+$  mg.L<sup>-1</sup>) is the binding energy of  $NH_4^+$ , and C (mg  $NH_4^+$  L<sup>-1</sup>) is the equilibrium  $NH_4^+$  concentration in solution.

## **Freundlich equation**

The linear form of Freundlich model defined by  $Q = k_F \times C^n$ ; Where Q (mg NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> kg<sup>-1</sup>) is the adsorbed NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> onto the soil after 24h contact, k<sub>F</sub> is a coefficient, C (mg L<sup>-1</sup>) is the equilibrium NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> concentration in solution, and n is a coefficient introducing non-linearity.

## Statistical analysis

Non-linear regression techniques were applied to the sorption data using NLIN procedure [22]. Sorption was characterized by fitting Eqs. (1) and (2) to the plot of adsorbed  $NH_4^+$  against equilibrium  $NH_4^+$  concentration in solution. The fit of the regression curve was evaluated using the adjusted  $R^2$  to determine how well the curve explains experimental data variation and the root mean square error (RMSE) which estimates the variation, expressed in the same units as the data, between theatrical and experimental values. This parameter is

defined by the following formula: RMSE= $\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{Q_{the} - Q_{exp}}{n}\right)^2\right]^{1/2}$ , where:  $Q_{the}$  and  $Q_{exp}$  are simulated and

observed values, respectively. The RMSE tests the accuracy of the model, which is defined as the extent to which simulated values approach a corresponding set of measured values (Daroub et al., 2003) [23].

## III. Results And Discussion

#### Physicochemical analysis

The main soil properties thought to influence  $NH_4^+$  sorption and movement are indicated in Table 1. All of the different samples were alkaline for all depths with the pH generally above 8. The texture for the Chott Mariem area varied with depth, and ranged from a fine sandy loam to clay. Soil texture Kondar for the varied to clay a heavy clay. Finally, in the profile texture soil area of Enfidha, only heavy clay texture soil was determined at different horizons.

Table1. Soils characteristics												
	C	hott-Ma	riem ar	ea		Enfidl	na area		Kondar area			
Dept(cm)	0-25	25-60	60-90	90-120	0-25	25-60	60-90	90-120	0-25	25-60	60-90	90-120
pH (H <sub>2</sub> O)	8.12	8.41	8.56	8.54	8.26	8.14	7.97	7.87	8.66	8.86	8.35	8.12
OM (%)	1,89	1,42	3,61	4,66	3,48	3,18	3,19	3,08	7,36	7,13	5,68	1,89
P(mg/kg)	93,68	20,90	4,12	4,99	4,48	2,19	3,70	3,79	1,98	1,53	1,27	1,91
Ca(mg/kg)	5450	5508	5874	5450	10026	9495	7885	11360	17784	10108	12786	20337
Al(mg/kg)	76	87	72	51	230	159	108	198	34	19	14	34
K (mg/kg)	491	299	135	106	294	310	298	376	143	129	160	234
Fe(mg/kg	33	24	9	3	41	41	45	44	12	19	30	31
Mn(mg/kg)	410	433	356	461	668	774	717	841	680	963	1000	966
Clay	12.8	24.4	30.5	25.8	63.3	76.1	78.8	77.5	58.6	56.8	51.5	71.8
sand	49.2	60.4	59.5	59.1	20.7	13.9	12.2	10.5	28.6	26.8	46	24.2
Silt	38	15.2	10	15.1	16	10	9	12	12.8	16.4	2.5	4
Texture	Fine sandy loam	Loam sandy clay	clay	Loam sandy clay	Clay	Heavy clay	Heavy clay	Heavy clay	Clay	Clay	Sandy clay	Heavy clay

Clay content in particular can affect soil behaviour, fertility, water and nutrient holding capacities as well as plant root movement. The Enfidha soils with their high clay content would probably hold more water and nutrients than the two other soils. The organic matter (OM) content for the different soils horizons was highly variable between the various areas and horizons. For Enfidha soils, the OM content was similar for all depths, with a mean of 3.16%, which was relatively high compared to the other soils. This is likely due to migration of OM to deeper horizons and it's incorporation with the particle size of clay under the action of microbial activity [24]. In the Chott-Mariem soils, the majority of OM accumulated at depth, primarily between 60 and 120 cm. By contrast, the soil profile from the Kondar site contained very high rates of OM in the surface horizons (i.e. 0-25 cm and 25-60 cm), which decreased with the depth. In general the distribution of level of OM is influenced by different factors such the amount of OM and the soil texture, especially clay content [25]. The amount of exchangeable cations also differed markedly between the soils. The Ca content was particularly different and varied between less than 6 mgkg<sup>-1</sup> dry weight in the surface horizon for the Chott-Mariem profile to more than 20 mgkg<sup>-1</sup> dry weight for the deeper horizon from the Kondar profile. The values for the soils were high and in all instances increased with depth. By contrast, available P, in the two of the three soils was low and in all profiles soil P decreased with depth. The inverse can be seen for other exchangeable cations such  $K^+$  and  $Fe^{2+}$  where concentrations were moderate and decreased with increasing depth. For the other ions there were no distinct patterns in terms of the amount and distribution within the different horizons of the soil profile. **Mineral analysis** 

The distribution of minerals in each profile depicted in Table 2 can be described in the following way: for the soil profile of Chott-Mariem, one can observe a relative homogeneity of the proportions in quartz in the all layer, increasing of calcite in the deep layer and different abundance of the kaolinite, illite and chlorite. For the Enfidha soil profile, one observes a relative homogeneity of the distribution of the proportions in kaolinite, quartz, calcite, smectite in the all horizons and different abundance of illite and chlorite. Finally for the Kondar soil profile, the data suggest a preponderance of quartz, calcite in all the horizons and then become less abundant in the deep horizons. The smectite is detected with stable values in all layers but the kaolinite, illite and chlorite show an irregular abundance.

Area	Depths	Kaolinite	Quartz	Calcite	Smectite	Illite	chlorite
	0-25cm	+	+++	+	-	-	-
Chott-Mariem	25-60cm	-	+++	+	+	-	-
	60-90cm	+	+++	+	+	+	-
	90-120cm	+	+++	++	+	+	+
	0-25cm	+	+++	++	+	-	+
Enfidha	25-60cm	+	+++	++	+	+	-
	60-90cm	+	+++	++	+	+	-
	90-120cm	+	+++	++	+	+	+
	0-25cm	-	+++	+++	+	-	-
Kondar	25-60cm	+	+++	+++	+	-	+
	60-90cm	+	+++	+++	+	+	+
	90-120cm	+	++	++	+	+	+

Table 2. Mineralogical composition of the different soils profiles

+++ Abundant, ++ moderately abundant, + poorly abundant

#### The effect of the contact time on $NH_4^+$ ion sorption is shown in Fig. 1.2. and 3. 7 6 NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> adsorbed (mg.g-1) 0-25cm 25-60cm 60-90cm 1 90-120cm 0 0 40 80 120 160 200 240 280 320 Time (mn)

Sorption kinetics of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>



**Fig.1.** Effect of contact time in adsorption  $(NH_4^+)$  by the soil of Chott Mariem.

**Fig.2.** Effect of contact time in adsorption  $(NH_4^+)$  by the soil of Enfidha.



**Fig. 3.** Effect of contact time in adsorption  $(NH_4^+)$  by the soil of Kondar.

The increment of the contact time leads to the increasing of the  $NH_4^+$  ion sorption by the soil solution. As it can be seen, the increase in  $NH_4^+$  sorption capacity is rapid within the first 60 min. It can be due to increase diffusion in the pores during the first 60 min [26]. In the course of time, pores are occupied due to  $NH_4^+$ ion sorption causing the increase of the  $NH_4^+$  ion sorption capacity. But, with the increase of contact time, there is a decrease of the driving force during the mass transfer process and consequently the  $NH_4^+$  sorption decrease slowly until120 min and finally reaches a constant value after approximately 300 min which is considered as the equilibrium time [26]. Zhu [27] reported that the sorption of the  $NH_4^+$  decreased with time until equilibrium was approached. Ranjbar [8] indicated that the sorption  $NH_4^+$  in calcareous soils decreases after 90mn. Weatherley and Miladinovic [28] indicated that 50–59 % of applied  $NH_4^+$  was adsorbed during a short time in laboratory and field experiments. The amount of sorption by different soil profiles for 0-25; 25-60; 60-90 and 90-120 cm depths are in order of 5,4 ; 5,3 ; 5,1 and 5,25mg.g<sup>-1</sup>, in order of 5,83; 5,6; 5,4 and 5,1 mg.g<sup>-1</sup> and in order of 6,35; 6,25; 6,1et 6,1 respectively from the soil profile of Chott-Mariem, Enfidha and Kondar. Moreover sorption is a rapid process due to the increased level of soluble and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>ions. The maximum amount of sorption was observed in soil profile of Kondar. The lowest and the highest values were measured in the deeper (90-120cm) and surface (0-25cm) soil layer respectively.

DOI: 10.9790/2402-0951133141

## Modeling kinetics sorption

The parameters of kinetic models are listed in Table 3. Based on the highest  $R^2$  and the lowest SE and Q, it can be revealed that the pseudo-second order model can describe kinetic behavior.  $R^2$  values in this model are higher and the calculated sorption capacities are in agreement with experimental values. This result is in accordance with reported results by Liu et al., [29].

		Premier ordre			Second ordre			Elovitch			
	Profondeur	$\mathbf{R}^2$	Qe (mg/g)	k	$R^2$	Qe(mg/g)	k	$\mathbf{R}^2$	$\alpha(\text{mg.g-1.min}^{-1})$	$\beta$ (g.mg-1)	
Chot	0-25cm	0.997	5,877	0.037	0.997	7,166	0.0002	0.98	0.059	2.243	
	25-60cm	0.998	5,769	0.035	0.994	7,120	0.0002	0.984	0.057	1.903	
	60-90cm	0.983	5,395	0.037	0.989	6,571	0.0002	0.982	0.064	2.058	
	00-120cm	0.986	5,108	0.039	0.989	6,195	0.0003	0.980	0.0694	2.070	
Enfidha	0-25cm	0.980	6,3428	0.040	0.987	7,564	75.644	0.983	0.060	3.168	
	25-60cm	0.983	6,2505	0.041	0.992	7,435	0.0002	0.988	0.061	3.269	
	60-90cm	0.989	6,182	0.036	0.993	7,543	0.0002	0.987	0.056	2.276	
	00-120cm	0.989	6,142	0.039	0.993	7,379	0.0002	0.987	0.060	2.800	
Kondar	0-25cm	0.979	6,384	0.044	0.993	7,517	0.0003	0.992	0.063	3.988	
	25-60cm	0.980	6,624	0.043	0.993	7,830	0.0002	0.992	0.060	3.852	
	60-90cm	0.984	6,32	0.043	0.993	7,490	0.0003	0.989	0.062	3.618	
	00-120cm	0.968	6,087	0.043	0.981	7,162	0.0003	0.983	0.066	3.719	

**Table 3 :** Modelling kinetics parameters.

## Effect of initial $NH_4^+$ concentration on $NH_4^+$ sorption

Since that the initial NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> concentration in solution provides an important driving force to overcome mass transfer limitations of  $NH_4^+$  between aqueous and solid phases, a higher initial  $NH_4^+$  concentration will enhance the sorption process. The effect of initial  $NH_4^+$  concentration on  $NH_4^+$  sorption for all soil depths was studied in the following concentrations (0,25, 50, 75, 100, 125, and 150mg  $NH_4^+L^{-1}$ ) in presence of 0.01 M CaCl<sub>2</sub> solution. Figs. 4.5 and 6 illustrate the change of the equilibrium sorption capacity of soil samples with different initial  $NH_4^+$  concentrations. It was showed that the amount of sorbed  $NH_4^+$  at equilibrium enhanced with increasing initial  $NH_4^+$  concentration for all soil horizons, although rates differed according to the soil layer. In fact, the increase of concentrations at 25 to 150 mg  $NH_4^+ L^{-1}$ , enhance the capacity sorption by the soil profile of area-Chott Mariem at 0.63 to 6.63; at 0.64 to 6.5; at 0.63 to 6.31 and 0.63 to 6 (mg.g<sup>-1</sup>) from 0-25; 25-60; 60-90 and 90-120 depths respectively. For the soil profile Enfidha area, sorption increases from 0.34 to 6.47; from 0.34; from 0.31 to 6.43; from 0.34 to 6.07 (mg.g<sup>-1</sup>) from 0-25; 25-60; 60-90 and 90-120 depths respectively. Finally for the soil profile area-Kondar, sorption also increased from 0.63 to 6.07; from 0.64 to 6.43; from 0.63 to 6.43 and 0.63 to 6.47 (mgkg<sup>-1</sup>) from 0-25; 25-60; 60-90 and 90-120 cm depths respectively.  $NH_4^+$  sorption increased linearly with increasing concentrations of  $NH_4^+$  added in each soil. With the increase of  $NH_4^+$  concentration in solution, the sorption capacity of the  $NH_4^+$  ion also increases possibly because of complete substitution of other cations by  $NH_4^+$  ions. Indeed, it is noted that for the three soils profiles, sorption is higher in deep horizons that surface horizons.



**Fig.4.** Effect of initial concentration on NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> adsorption by Chott Mariem soil





Fig.6. Effect of initial concentration on NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> adsorption by Kondar soil

#### Effect of substrate physicochemical properties on NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>adsorption

The results of physico-chemical and mineralogical showed in the Tables 1 and 2 reported that sorption of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> had positive and negative correlation with some soils compositions. The maximum amount of strongly sorption NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>was observed in soil profile of Kondar area, which had the highest capacity exchange cation (CEC) and clay content. For soil Chott Mariem, the amount of  $NH_4^+$  adsorbed decreases with an increase in calcium content in the different profile soil layer. However for the soil (Enfidha), we note that the retention of  $NH_4^+$  decreases with soil depth and that this decrease was accompanied by a reduction in organic matter content and clay fraction. Wang et al., [7] show that the sorption of  $NH_4^+$  is influenced by the addition of calcium ions. Ranjbar et al.,[31] reported the crucial effect of Ca in the adsorption of  $NH_4^+$  by calcareous soils. Others researchers have indicated that  $NH_4^+$  sorption capacity remarkably depends on the degree of K<sup>+</sup> saturation of the interlayers of 2:1 clay minerals. High concentration of  $K^+$  in soil solution results in saturation of interlayer spaces with  $K^+$  and, to a smaller extent, with  $NH_4^+$  ions [32]. The presence of  $NH_4^+$  and  $K^+$  may change the amount of sorption due to the competition for sorption sites. Kwok and Loh, [33] found that addition of K<sup>+</sup> before NH4<sup>+</sup>caused a decrease in NH4<sup>+</sup>sorption. Whereas Cui et al., [32] reported that pre-application of K<sup>+</sup> increased NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> sorption. Korkusuz et al., [34] indicated that NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> sorption was significantly greater in soils containing low contents of exchangeable  $K^+$  compared with soils having a high degree of  $K^+$  saturation. Sarioglu, [35] indicated that high application of  $K^+$  caused no release of adsorbed  $NH_4^+$ , whereas low addition of  $K^{+}$  resulted in noticeable release of this fraction. Another hand Zhang et al., [4] found a positive correlation between amount of sorption  $NH_4^+$  and soil clay content. Ranjbar et al., [8] found that  $NH_4^+$  adsorbed was greater in clay soils than sandy soils. Furthermore, Nieder and Benbi [36] indicated that NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> content sorption varied from 10 to 90 mg kg-1 in coarse-textured soils, 60 to 270 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> in medium-textured soils, and 90 to 460 mg kg-1 in fine textured soils. Gouveia and Eudoxie [37] suggested that the saturation of exchangeable sites with  $NH_4^+$  leads this ion to be placed in the interlayer spaces of clay minerals until all available fixation sites are occupied. The large internal surface area of 2:1 expanding clay minerals involved in fixation may be responsible for an abundance of both strongly and weakly adsorbed  $NH_4^+$  sites [38]. Due to the equilibrium between weakly and strongly adsorbed pools,  $NH_4^+$  ions transfer from the clay edges into interlayer spaces over time Gouveia and Eudoxie [37]. Indeed, the clay mineralogy had an important role in the adsorption  $NH_4^+$  ions. Ranjbar et al., [31] indicated that the sorption of  $NH_4^+$  strongly related on clays 2: 1, such as smectites that are prevalent in our soils after their identification by X-rays. Sprynskyy et al., [39] reveled that  $NH_4^+$  sorption capacity of illite was greater than that of montmorillonite. In contrast, Feigenbaum et al., [8] signaled that along with montmorillonite, is a high-fixing smectite due to the isomorphic substitution in the tetrahedral layer. Kaolinite as one of the 1:1 clay minerals is unable to adsorb NH4+ions due to the hydrogen bonds, which prohibit the expansion of interlayer spaces.

#### **Adsorption Equilibrium and Isotherms**

Ammonium sorption and equilibrium solution  $NH_4^+$  concentration increased linearly with increasing amounts of  $NH_4^+$  added in each soil. With the increase of  $NH_4^+$  concentration in solution, the adsorption capacity of the  $NH_4^+$  ion also increases possibly because of complete elimination of other cations by  $NH_4^+$  ions. Both

				Langmuir				Freundlich				
	Profondeur	Qmax (mg/g)	R <sup>2</sup>	Qe (mg/g)	kl	RMSE	$\mathbf{R}^2$	Qe (mg/g)	1/n	RMSE		
	0-25cm	6,11	0.99	6.821	0.110	0,11	0.98	2.458	0.211	0,59		
Chott	25-60cm	5,857	0.99	6.559	0.112	0,11	0.97	2.486	0.198	0,57		
mariem	60-90cm	5,867	0.99	6.672	0.093	0,13	0.98	2.225	0.223	0,62		
	90-120cm	5,687	0.99	6.54	0.083	0,14	0.97	2.066	0.231	0,63		
	0-25cm	6,82	0.98	7.760	0.097	0,13	0.84	2.558	0.228	0,62		
Enfidha	25-60cm	7,013	0.98	8.332	0.089	0,18	0.96	2.449	0.252	0,65		
	60-90cm	6,78	0.97	7.946	0.099	0,17	0.94	2.606	0.229	0,61		
	90-120cm	6,42	0.97	7.794	0.073	0,21	0.93	2.152	0.257	0,66		
	0-25cm	6,86	0.96	8.321	0.074	0,21	0.94	2.187	0.271	0,68		
Kondar	25-60cm	6,632	0.97	7.723	0.102	0,16	0.94	2.620	0.221	0,6		
	60-90cm	6,579	0.97	7.284	0.164	0,1	0.94	3.273	0.167	0,5		
	00-120cm	6,412	0.97	7.225	0.140	0,12	0.94	3.035	0.179	0,52		

Freundlich and Langmuir isotherms were used to fit the  $NH_4^+$  adsorption by the different soils in this study. The parameters of both empirical equations of Langmuir and Freundlich are given in Table 4. **Table 4 :** Modelling isotherms parameters

According to this Table it can be show that application of the Langmuir equation indicates that the sorption phenomenon was adequately described by this isotherm. It can be provided a strong fit adjusted  $R^2$  ( $R^2 \ge 0.97$ ) and weakness values of RMSE for all layers of different profiles except in the layer of (0-25cm) of Kondar profile witch it recorded a low value of  $R^2 = 0.96$  and a high value RMSE= 0,21. The value of  $k_L$  ( $Lmg^{-1}$ ) parameter of the Langmuir model is the constant representing the affinity of sorbate to sorbent. However,  $k_L$  changed with depth, which suggests that variation availability of inorganic sites for  $NH_4^+$ binding. Comparison of these values with  $k_L$  parameter of the Langmuir model in this study reveals that the sorption affinity of  $NH_4^+$  to samples soil Chott Mariem is lower than the affinity of Enfidha and Kondar. Both the  $Q_{max}$  and binding energy ( $k_L$ ) values indicated that  $NH_4^+$  sorption capacity as suggested by the population of sites in the low equilibrium  $NH_4^+$ . High  $k_L$  values observed in this experiment show that the tenacity of ammonium sorption is greater at low  $NH_4^+$  equilibrium concentrations. However, as shown in Table 4 the Freundlich model also could not describe the sorption of P onto soil samples and its corresponding  $R^2$  and RMSE values in Table4 concurred with this result. Furthermore, the value of Freundlich exponent 1/n was greater than 0.16., indicates an inadequately adsorption.

Comparing the two equations it may be concluded the Langmuir model showed a better fit to the data than the Freundlich model. Although the Freundlich isotherm provides the information about the surface heterogeneity and the exponential distribution of the active sites and their energies, it does not predict any saturation of the surface of the sorbent by the sorbate. Whereas the Langmuir isotherm fits the experimental data well may be due to homogeneous distribution of active sites on the samples soils surface; since the Langmuir equation assumes that the adsorbent surface is energetically homogeneous. Nearly similar results have been reported in the literature [40, 41] for Langmuir and Freundlich models.

## IV. Conclusion

The results of this study indicated that soil properties, including pH, exchangeable cations, CEC, and amounts of sand and clay, significantly influenced the sorption  $NH_4^+$  by soils. The maximum sorption capacity of ammonium was obtained at profile soil of kondar 6,  $35mg.g^{-1}$ . The kinetic data followed the pseudo-second-order kinetic model. Another hand there was a linear relationship between  $NH_4^+$  concentration in solution phase and adsorbed  $NH_4^+$  on solid phase as initial concentration of  $NH_4^+$  increased. Langmuir and Freundlich isotherms were applied to test the equilibrium data. Langmuir isotherms showed a good agreement with the equilibrium data in various concentrations, thus showing that this adsorption is carried out homogeneously and in a monolayer.

#### References

- [1]. R. Nieder, R., Benbi, D.K., Scherer, H.W. 2011. Fixation and defixation of ammonium in soils: a review. Biol Fertil Soils 47:1–14.
- [2]. Juang, T.C., Wang, M.K., Chen, H.J., Tan, C.C. 2001. Ammonium fixation by surface soils and clays. Soil Sci 166:345–352.
- [3]. Jellali, S., Diamantopoulos, E., Kallali, H., Bennaceur, S., Anane, M., Jedidi, N. 2010. Dynamic sorption of ammonium by sandy
- soil in fixed bed columns: evaluation of equilibrium and non-equilibrium transport processes. J Environ Manag 91:897–905.
  [4]. Zhang, Y.Z., Huang, S.H., Wan, D.J., Huang YX, Zhou WJ, Zou YB.2007. Fixed ammonium content and maximum capacity of ammonium fixation in major types of tillage soils in Hunan province, China. Agric Sci China 6(4):466–474.
- [5]. Kucic, D., Cosic, I., Vukovic, M., Briski, F. 2013. Sorption kinetic studies of ammonium from aqueous solution on different inorganic and organic media. Acta Chim Slov;60(2013):109–19.
- [6]. S.Balci, Y. Dincel, Ammonium ion adsorption with sepiolite: use of transient uptake method. Chemical Engineering and Processing, 41, 2002, 127-133.
- [7]. Wang, S., H.Lin, K. Hou, 2011. Impact assessment of ammonia emissions on inorganic aerosols in East China using response surface modeling technique, Environ. Sci. Technol., 45, 9293–9300.

- [8]. Ranjbar, F. Jalaliet, M. 2014. Empirical and Mechanistic Evaluation of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> Release Kinetic in Calcareous SoilsArchives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology. Arch Environ Contam Toxicol (2014)66:606-615.
- [9]. Wang, F.L.Alva A.K.2000. Ammonium adsorption and desorption in sandy soils. Soil Science Society of America Journal, 64: 1669–1674.
- [10]. FAO. 1989. La politique forestière en Tunisie. By Chakroun, ML. Rome: FAO. pp. 27.
- [11]. Hendershot, W.H., Lalande, H., and Duquette, M. 1993a. Soil reaction and exchangeable acidity.In: Soil Sampling and Methods of Analysis for Canadian. Society of Soil Science, pp. 141–145.
- [12]. Walkley, A. and I.A. Black. 1934. An examination of the Degtjareff method for determining organic carbon in soils: Effect of variations in digestion conditions and of inorganic soil constituents. Soil Sci. 63:251-263.
- [13]. Gee, G.W., Bauder, J.W. 1986. Particle size analysis. In: Klute A, editor. Methods of soil analysis. Part1. Madison (WI): American Society of Agronomy and Soil Science Society of America; p. 383–411.
- [14]. Hendershot, W.H., Lalande, H. and Duquette, M. 1993b. Ion exchange and exchangeable cations. In: Soil Sampling and Methods of Analysis for Canadian. Society of Soil Science, pp. 167–176.
- [15]. R.Cody, G.Thompson, Quantitative X-ray powder diffraction analysis of clays using an orientating internal standard and pressed discs of bulk shale samples: Clays & Clay Minerals 24,1976, 224-231.
- [16]. Hamdi, N. and E. Srasra.2008. Filtration properties of two Tunisian clays suspensions: Effect of the nature of clay. Desalination, 220: 194-199. DOI: 10.1016/j.desal.2007.02.037.
- [17]. Lagergren, S.1898. Zur theorie der sogenannten adsorption gel<sup>\*</sup>ster stoffe, Kungliga Svenska Vetenskapsakademiens. Handlingar, 24 (4): 139.
- [18]. Yusof, A.M., Keat, L.K., Ibrahim, Z., Majid, Z.A., Nizam, N.A. 2010. Kinetic and equilibrium studies of the removal of ammonium ions from aqueous solution by rice husk ash-synthesized zeolite Y and powdered and granulated forms of mordenite. J. Hazard Mater. 174, 380–385.
- [19]. Langmuir, I.1916. The constitution and fundamental properties of solids and liquids. Journal of the America Chemical Society, 38:2221–2295.
- [20]. Freundlich, H.M.F. 1906. Over the adsorption in solution. J. Phys. Chem. 57, 385-471.
- [21]. SAS Institute. 2001. SAS user's guide: Statistics, Ver. 8.2, Cary, N.C.
- [22]. Daroub, S., Stuck, J.,Dand, Diaz, O.A.2003. Phosphorus Transport Studies in the WCA: WCA Canal Sediment P Studies, Inventory, Release and Transport." Seminar presented at the ARM Loxahatchee National Wildlife Refuge.
- [23]. R.Cornelia, K.Ingrid, Deep soil organic matter- a key but poorly understood component of terrestrial C cycle. Plant Soil. 338, 2010, 143–158.
- [24]. Hiederer, R., Jones, R.J.A. and Daroussin, J. 2006. Soil Profile Analytical Database for Europe (SPADE): Reconstruction and Validation of the Measured Data (SPADE/M). Geografisk Tidsskrift, Danish Journal of Geography .106-71-85.
- [25]. Huang, H., Xiao, X., Yan, B., Yang, L. 2010. Ammonium removal from aqueous solutions by using natural Chinese (Chende) zeolite as adsorbent. J. Hazard Mater. 175, 247–252.
- [26]. Zhu, W. L., Cui, L. H., Ouyang, Y., Long, C. F. and Tang, X. D. 2011. Kinetic adsorption of ammonium nitrogen by substrate materials for constructed wetlands. Pedosphere. **21**(4): 454–463.
- [27]. Weatherley, L.R. Miladinović N.D. 2004. Comparison of the ion exchange uptake of ammonium ion onto New Zealand clinoptilolite and mordenite. Water Research, 38, 4305-4312.
- [28]. Liu, H., Dong, Y., Wang, H., Liu, Y. 2010. Ammonium adsorption from aqueous solutions by strawberry leaf powder: equilibrium, kinetics and effects of coexisting ions. Desalination 263, 70–75.
- [29]. Wang, H.Lin, K. Hou, Z.Richardson, B.Gan, J.2010. Sorption of the herbicide terbuthylazine in two New Zealand forest soils amended with biosolids and biochars. Journal of Soil and Sediments, Vol. 10, No. 2, 283-289.
- [30]. Ranjbar, F. Jalaliet, M. 2012. Measuring and modeling ammonium adsorption by calcareous soils. Environ Monit Assess (2013) 185:3191–3199.
- [31]. Z.Cui, F.Zhang, X.Chen, Y.Miao, J.Li, L.Shi, J. Xu, Y.Ye, C. Liu, Z.Yang, Q. Zhang, S. Huang, D. Bao, On-farm evaluation of an in-season nitrogen management strategy based on soil Nmin test. Field Crops Research 105, 2008, 48-55.
- [32]. Kwok, C. K., Loh, K.C.2003. Effects of Singapore soil type on bioavalability of nutrients in soil bioremediation. Advance of Environmental Research, 7, 889-900.
- [33]. Korkusuz, E.A, Meyer, D., Langergraber G.2007. Simulation results of lab-scaleverticalflow filtersfilled withspecial mediaandloaded with municipal wastewater. In: Mander Ü, Kóiv M, Vohla C,editors. 2nd International Symposium on" Wetland pollutant dynamics and control WETPOL 2007 "— extended abstracts, 16 – 20 September 2007, Tartu, Estonia, vol. II.. p. 448–50.
- [34]. Sarioglu, M. 2005. Removal of ammonium from municipal wastewater using natural Turkish (Sogantepe) zeolite. Sep Purif Technol, 41: 1–11.
- [35]. Nieder, R., Benbi, D.K. 2008. Carbon and nitrogen transformations in soils. In: Carbon and nitrogen in the terrestrial environment. Heidelberg, pp 137–159.
- [36]. Gouveia, G.A., Eudoxie, G.D. 2007. Distribution of fertiliser N among fixed ammonium fractions as affected by moisture and fertiliser source and rate. Fertil. Soils 44, 9–18.
- [37]. Sprynskyy, M., Lebedynets M., Terzyk A.P., Kowalczyk P., Namieœnik J.2005. Ammonium sorption from aqueous solutions by the natural zeolite Trans carpathian clinoptilolite studied under dynamic conditions. Colloid and Interface Science 284, pp. 408–415
   [38]. N.C.Brady, R.Weil. Nature and properties of soil, 14th edn. Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, 2007-881.
- [39]. Mittal, L. Kurup, and J. Mittal. 2007. Freundlich and Langmuir adsorption isotherms and kinetics for the removal of Tartrazine from aqueous solutions using hen feathers," Journal of Hazardous Materials, vol. 146, no. 1-2, pp. 243–248, 2007.
- [40]. Malakootian, J. Nouri, and H. Hossaini. 2009. Removal of heavy metals from paint industry's wastewater using Leca as an available adsorbent. Environmental Science and Technology, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 183–190, 2009.