Evaluation of Growth Performance of Rats Fed With Sweet Detar, *Detarium Microcarpum* Fruit as Supplementary Feed Ingredient
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Abstract: This research was carried out to evaluate the phytochemicals constituents and nutritional values of mesocarp and seed of sweet detar (*Detarium Microcarpum*) fruit as supplementary feed ingredient in the diets of rats. Thirty five healthy mixed sex albino rats of four weeks old were divided into five groups of seven rats each and fed with different ratios (M₁:2R, M₂:1R, S₁:2R and S₂:1R) of mesocarp and seed of *D. microcarpum*. Data were collected on feed intake and growth rate was observed.

At the end of the experiments, saponins, phenolics and terpenoids were detected in both the mesocarp (fruit pulp) and seed while alkaloids and steroids were detected in the seed only. Furthermore, flavonoids and cardiac glycosides are detected only in the mesocarp. Tannins, cardenolides and anthraquinone were not detected in both the mesocarp and seed of *D. microcarpum*. There was depressed feed intake and daily weight gain of rats in groups C (M₂:1R), D (S₁:2R) and E (S₂:1R) and hence their growth when compared with the control (group A).

The results of this investigation suggest that fruit of *D.microcarpum* could have adverse effects on the rats and may contain some anti-nutrients that might have interfered with its digestion and absorption, hence the observed retardation in the growth of rats fed with this fruit.
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I. Introduction

Through the ages, plants have been used by humans as sources of food, cosmetics and medicine. Plants have served as the basis of supplementing traditional medicine for thousands of years, in countries such as India, China (Cragg, 1999) and Nigeria. Fruit, bark and leaves of plants such as Sweet detar (*D.microcarpum*) are used not only for medicine, texture and flavour, but also for their nutritional values (Abulude et al., 2004).

*D. microcarpum* bears different local names among socio-cultural groups of different countries. For examples, socio-cultural groups like Yoruba, Igbo, Kanuri and Hausa in Nigeria named the plant as Ogbogbo, Ofo, Gatapo and Taure while Fulbe, Sonrai and Soninke in Mali called it Doli, Tambacounba and Fantu respectively (Kouyaté, 2005).

Sweet detar, *D.microcarpum* belongs to the family Fabaceae. It is very common locally in wooded savannas; shrub savannas and semi-cleared dry forest areas and is one of the most abundant species in fallows (Kido and Kim, 2012). There are two types of sweet detar trees. They are the tall (up to 40 m) and small (5-10 m) (Okorie et al., 2003) types. The tall type grows in the forests. These have reddish pods containing yellow pulp, which tastes somewhat bitter and therefore is not edible. The small type grows in savanna. These have brownish pods when matured and greenish pulp, which is eaten (Abdalbasit et al., 2009). *D. microcarpum* is hard, dark-brown wood which provides very good quality timber which is very durable under water and is used in carpentry and construction. It is also used as good quality fuel wood and charcoal. The leaves, stems, roots, barks, as well as the fruits have found tremendous usage in treatment of various ailments like tuberculosis, meningitis, itching and diarrhoea (Obun et al., 2010). Its a leguminous tree from West Africa that bears pods containing sweet sour pulp which is popularly eaten by local people. The fruit is rich in vitamin C and the leaves and seeds are also used in cooking. The fruit may be eaten raw or cooked, but traditionally, the mesocarp is transformed into flour used in the preparation of cakes, bread, couscous, baby food and local beer. Seed kernels are added to egusi soup (generic name for seeds of some Cucurbitaceae species) or are cooked and eaten as a vegetable (Vautier et al., 2007).

It is therefore necessary that the fruit be investigated to study its phytochemical compositions that are responsible for its ethnomedical purposes, and its nutritional benefits, as the flesh is eaten raw and cooked by many ethnic groups, especially in the northern part and middle belt of Nigeria.
II. Materials And Methods

Materials

Collection of sample
Fruits of *D. microcarpum* were collected from Gbugudu village in Moro Local Government Area of Kwara State, Nigeria, and identified by Dr. A. A. Folunsho, a plant biologist in Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile Ife, Osun State.

Experimental Animals
Thirty five healthy mixed sex albino rats of four weeks old were obtained from Success Street, flower garden, G.R.A., Ilorin, Kwara State, Nigeria. The rats were individually housed in cages at 12 hours light cycle.

Chemicals and Reagents
All chemicals and reagents were of analytical grade and of high commercially available purity, obtained from British drug house; Poole, UK.

Methods

Separation of fruit into mesocarp and seed
The fruits of *D. microcarpum*, were collected and sun-dried consistently until they were properly dried. They were then lightly pounded (to avoid seed breakage) with a pestle and mortar to separate the seeds from the mesocarp. The mesocarp was then stored in a water proof container. Similarly, separated seeds were then milled and kept in a water proof container until needed.

Experimental design
The rats (n = 35) were divided into five groups (n = 7 rats per group). Test diets were formulated by mixing mesocarp with rat mash in different ratios. Similarly, seed cake was mixed with rat mash in different ratios while the control diet was made up of rat mash only as shown below:

- **Group A**: Rat mash only
- **Group B**: Mesocarp 1:2 Rat mash
- **Group C**: Mesocarp 2:1 Rat mash
- **Group D**: Seed 1:2 Rat mash
- **Group E**: Seed 2:1 Rat mash

The rats were allowed to acclimatize for one week prior to diet treatment. Water and feeds were provided ad libitum throughout the study period (4 weeks). Weekly weight gained in different groups was observed while total feeds consumed were also estimated. At the end of experimental period, individual rat was weighed and anaesthetized with chloroform. Liver, heart and kidney from both control and test animals were removed and weighed for comparison.

Qualitative Phytochemical Screening of Mesocarp and Seed
Extracts were tested for the presence of active principles such as Anthraquinone, Triterpenes, Steroids, Cardiac Glycosides, Saponins, Alkaloids, Flavonoids, Tannins, phenolics and cardenolides (Sofowora, 1993).

Proximate Analysis of Mesocarp and Seed
The method of Adewale and Faremi, (2010) was used to determine the proximate analysis of *D. microcarpum* fruit as follow:

**Determination of Moisture Content**
Three grams (3g) of the sample powder was weighed into empty crucible with initial weight \( (W_0) \). Weight of the sample with the crucible \( (W_1) \) was dried in an oven at 80°C until constant weight \( (W_2) \) was obtained. Percentage moisture content was calculated thus:

\[
\text{% Moisture} = \left( \frac{W_1 - W_2}{W_0} \right) \times 100
\]

**Determination of Crude Ash**
Two grams (2g) of the powdered sample was accurately weighed into crucible of known weight. The sample was ashed/ burnt in a furnace at 550°C for 7-9 hours. The burnt sample was cooled in a desiccator and weighed. The percentage ash content was calculated thus:

\[
\text{% Ash} = \left( \frac{\text{weight after ashing} - \text{weight of empty dish}}{\text{weight of sample}} \right) \times 100
\]
**Determination of Crude Fat**

Ten grams (10g) of the sample was weighed into a pre-weighed conical flask. 10ml of concentrated HCl, 3ml of distilled water and 2ml of ethanol were added into the flask. The mixture was shaken and put onto the water bath until it turns black. The black mixture was poured into a separating funnel and 25ml of petroleum spirit with diethyl ether was added. The non-aqueous fraction was collected and evaporated to dryness on a water bath. The conical flask with the sample was re-weighed and percentage crude fat was calculated thus:

\[ \% \text{ Crude Fat} = \frac{\text{weight of flask} + \text{fat}}{\text{weight of empty flask}} \times 100 \]

**Determination of Crude Fibre**

Two grams (2 g) of sample was weighed into a conical flask (W₀). Twenty (20) ml of 10% H₂SO₄ was added and boiled gently for 30 minutes. The mixture was filtered through a poplin cloth stretched over a Buchner funnel, rinsed with hot distilled water and the residue was scraped back into the flask with spatula. Then 20ml of 10% NaOH was added and boiled gently for 30 minutes and filtered through poplin cloth. The residue was washed thoroughly with hot distilled water and rinsed once with 10% HCl and twice with ethanol. It was then rinsed thrice with petroleum ether and allowed to drain once with 10% HCl and twice with ethanol. The residue was scraped into a crucible and oven dried for 24 hours at 105°C. It was cooled in the desiccator and weighed as (W₁). It was ashed at 550°C for 90 minutes in furnace and cooled in the desiccator and the final weight taken as W₂. The percentage of crude fibre was calculated thus:

\[ \% \text{ Crude fibre} = \frac{W₁ - W₂}{W₀} \times 100 \]

W₀ = Weight of sample,
W₁ = Dry weight of the residue,
W₂ = Weight of ash.

**Determination of Crude Protein**

Ten ml (10 ml) of the sample was measured into a conical flask and 3 drops of 1% phenolphthalein was added. The mixture was titrated to the end point with 0.1M NaOH. Two ml (2 ml) of 40% formaldehyde was added to the already titrated acidity (from acidity of the sample) before titrating again with 0.1M NaOH and the titre value was gotten as T.V. The steps were repeated with 10ml of distilled water and 1ml of phenolphthalein before titrating for blank. Percentage crude protein is calculated thus:

\[ \% \text{ Crude Protein} = \frac{(\text{T.V} - \text{Blank}) \times 1.95}{1.95} \]

1.95 = Multiplication factor for protein.

**Determination of Total Carbohydrate**

Ten grams (10 g) of the sample was diluted to 100 ml with distilled water; 1ml of the distilled filtrate was added into a test tube in duplicate. Duplicate blanks were prepared each with 1ml of distilled water in lieu of the diluted filtrate. Standard carbohydrate solution using 1ml of dilute D-glucose (10-100µg/ml) was prepared, 5ml portions of freshly prepared anthrone reagent was added to the content of each tube and mixed properly. The mixture was incubated in a boiling water bath for 12min and cooled quickly to room temperature. The absorbance was read at 620nm against the reagent blank using spectrophotometer and the total available carbohydrate of each sample was obtained directly from the standard carbohydrate curve (Adewale and Faremi, 2010).

**Statistical Analysis**

Results were expressed as Mean ± SD. The data were analysed by one way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Duncan Multiple Range Test to separate treatment means. The p values of < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

### III. Results

Saponins, phenolics and terpenoids were detected in both the mesocarp and seed. Alkaloids and steroids were detected in the seed only while flavonoids and cardiac glycosides were detected only in the mesocarp. Tannins, cardenolides and anthraquinone were not detected in both the seed and mesocarp of *D. microcarpum* (table 1).

The moisture and total carbohydrate contents of mesocarp were higher than those of the seed while crude fat, crude fibre, crude ash and crude protein in the seed were significantly higher than those of the mesocarp (Table 2).
There was a significant increase in the feed consumed by groups A and B throughout the experimental period. Similarly, there was an increase in the amount of feed consumed by groups C and E rats in the 2nd week, but thereafter, a decrease in consumption in the 3rd and 4th weeks was observed. However, feed consumption in group D rats did not follow any pattern (Table 3).

There was no significant difference in the growth between the rats in all the groups at the end of the 1st week of the treatment. However, the weight gained by the rats in groups A and B are significantly higher than those in groups C, D and E at the end of the 2nd and 3rd weeks of treatment. At the end of the 4th week of treatment, the weight gained by the rats in group A (control) was significantly higher than those of all other groups. At the end of the experiment, rats in groups C, D and E had significant reduction in weight compared to their initial weights (Table 4). The feed intake, final weight and weight gain of the rats were increased in groups A and B but decreased as the quantity of D. microcarpum fruit supplement was increasing in the diets.

The heart: body weight of group A rats were significantly reduced compared with groups B and C whereas, it is not significantly different with those of groups D and E. However, the kidney: body weight of group A rats was significantly reduced compared with other groups (B-E) that was fed with D. microcarpum fruit supplement. Furthermore, the liver: body weight of group A rats were significantly different with those of groups C-E but there was no significant difference with group B (Table 5).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Qualitative phytochemical screening of Detarium Microcarpum fruit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phytochemicals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saponins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flavonoids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenolics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkaloids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steroids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tannins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terpenoid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac Glycosides</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardenolides</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthraquinone</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results are mean ± SD of duplicate determinations.

KEY: + indicates phytochemicals detected and - indicates phytochemicals not detected.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2: Proximate analysis of Detarium microcarpum fruit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nutrients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moisture Content</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude Fat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude Ash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude Fibre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude Protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Carbohydrate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results are mean ± SD of duplicate determinations.
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Table 3: Feed intake of rats (g)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>210.0</td>
<td>210.0</td>
<td>202.3</td>
<td>175.2</td>
<td>27.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>600.0</td>
<td>356.5</td>
<td>267.2</td>
<td>91.9</td>
<td>70.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>720.0</td>
<td>400.0</td>
<td>257.0</td>
<td>228.0</td>
<td>26.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>830.0</td>
<td>440.0</td>
<td>245.0</td>
<td>210.0</td>
<td>23.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results are mean ± SD of seven determinations

Table 4: Growth effect of Detarium microcarpum fruit on Albino rats (g)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Weeks</th>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>116.7±4.24\textsuperscript{a}</td>
<td>99.5±13.26\textsuperscript{a}</td>
<td>111.0±9.48\textsuperscript{a}</td>
<td>118.9±5.79\textsuperscript{a}</td>
<td>118.9±10.79\textsuperscript{a}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>118.5±2.86\textsuperscript{a}</td>
<td>101.0±1.48\textsuperscript{a}</td>
<td>111.4±0.33\textsuperscript{a}</td>
<td>115±3.16\textsuperscript{a}</td>
<td>115.3±4.99\textsuperscript{a}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>141.5±3.00\textsuperscript{b}</td>
<td>105.2±3.48\textsuperscript{b}</td>
<td>97.9±3.21\textsuperscript{b}</td>
<td>96.8±1.47\textsuperscript{b}</td>
<td>99.4±3.67\textsuperscript{b}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>161.4±7.77\textsuperscript{b}</td>
<td>112.0±3.21\textsuperscript{b}</td>
<td>88.1±1.23\textsuperscript{b}</td>
<td>97.5±1.67\textsuperscript{b}</td>
<td>99.7±3.56\textsuperscript{b}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>173.9±6.43\textsuperscript{c}</td>
<td>126.1±6.57\textsuperscript{c}</td>
<td>96.3±8.20\textsuperscript{c}</td>
<td>78.9±5.52\textsuperscript{c}</td>
<td>90.8±12.29\textsuperscript{c}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Weigh
t
gained

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>57.2</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>-14.7</td>
<td>-40.0</td>
<td>-28.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results are mean ± SD of seven determinations

Values with different letters across the same row are significantly different from each other.

R only = Rat mash only
M1:2R = Mesocarp 1:2 Rat mash
M2:1R = Mesocarp 2:1 Rat mash
S1:2R = Seed 1:2 Rat mash
S2:1R = Seed 2:1 Rat mash

Table 5: Organ: body weight of rats fed with D. microcarpum fruit supplement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Organ: Body Weight</th>
<th>Heart</th>
<th>Kidney</th>
<th>Liver</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A (R only)</td>
<td>0.003 ± 0.0003\textsuperscript{b}</td>
<td>0.007 ± 0.0010\textsuperscript{b}</td>
<td>0.040 ± 0.0030\textsuperscript{b}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B (M1:2R)</td>
<td>0.004 ± 0.0005\textsuperscript{b}</td>
<td>0.008 ± 0.0010\textsuperscript{b}</td>
<td>0.041 ± 0.0030\textsuperscript{b}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C (M2:1R)</td>
<td>0.004 ± 0.0007\textsuperscript{b}</td>
<td>0.008 ± 0.0018\textsuperscript{b}</td>
<td>0.050 ± 0.0040\textsuperscript{b}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D (S1:2R)</td>
<td>0.003 ± 0.0004\textsuperscript{b}</td>
<td>0.008 ± 0.0018\textsuperscript{b}</td>
<td>0.038 ± 0.0071\textsuperscript{b}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E (S2:1R)</td>
<td>0.003 ± 0.0004\textsuperscript{b}</td>
<td>0.008 ± 0.0018\textsuperscript{b}</td>
<td>0.036 ± 0.0064\textsuperscript{b}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results are mean ± SD of seven determinations

IV. Discussion

The phytochemical substances and nutritional values of mesocarp and seed in D. microcarpum fruit are shown in tables 1 and 2 respectively. The variation in the phytochemicals and nutrients present in the mesocarp and seed is an indication that different parts of the same plant could have different types and varying concentrations of phytochemicals and nutrients. These phytochemicals present may be responsible for the medicinal/ethnobotanical uses of the plant. The mesocarp of D. microcarpum has high moisture content compared to the seed. This is an indication that the seed can be stored for a longer time compared to the mesocarp without deterioration. This further implies that the seeds may still be viable even after the mesocarp has decayed. The higher fibre content of the seed compared to that of mesocarp could be due to the relative thick seed coats. The percentage crude fat obtained for the seed was higher (15.5 %) compared with
themesocarp(10.5%). This may be due to the fact that seeds of plants do contain higher percentage of fat than any other parts of the plants.

The eating pattern and growth performance of the rats fed with mesocarp and seed of D. microcarpum is presented in Tables 3 and 4. The observed decreased in feed intake and final weight of the rats in groups C, D and E as the quantity of D. microcarpum fruit supplement was increasing in the diets could be attributed to the relatively high crude fibre and inherent anti-nutritional factors that might be present in the fruit. High dietary fibre level has been shown to depress feed and consequently nutrient intake in animals (Kass et al., 1980). In addition, the observed retardation in the growth of rats fed with D. microcarpum may be due to anti-nutrients that might be present in the feed formula. Anti-nutrients are natural or synthetic compounds that interfere with the absorption of nutrients (Oxford, 2006). Nutrition studies focus on those anti-nutrients commonly found in food sources and beverages. Examples include saponins, tannins, flavonoids, phytic acid and oxalic acid. For instance, flavonoids are a group of polyphenolic compounds that include tannins, which chelate metals such as iron and zinc and reduce the absorption of these nutrients. They also inhibit digestive enzymes and may also precipitate proteins (Beecher, 2003). Some proteins can also be anti-nutrients, such as the trypsin inhibitors and lectins found in legumes (Gilani et al., 2005). These enzyme inhibitors interfere with digestion. There may be presence of these types of protein anti-nutrients/inhibitors in D. microcarpum fruit, which prevent digestion and subsequent absorption in rats fed with it. It has also been reported that saponin impairs performance through its irritating effect on the linings of the mouth and guts and through its bitter taste. Saponins in plants may serve as anti-feedants (Weintraub, 1993). Furthermore, most alkaloids have bitter taste and some are poisonous when ingested (Carey, 1987). This could be attributed to the low consumption of feed formula/diet, which eventually led to decreased in the quantity of feed intake and hence, subsequent retardation in growth and loss in weights.

The increase in the size of liver of rats fed on seed diets may be due to the effects of saponin toxicity in the feed causing inflammation and friable liver (Roscchack et al., 1986). Although both mesocarp and seed formula contain saponins, the concentrations may not be the same, hence, its manifestation in rats fed with seed feed formula.

Excessive intake of required nutrients can also result in them having an anti-nutrient action. Pearson (2007) has reported that excessive intake of fibre can reduce the transit time through the intestines to such a degree that other nutrients cannot be absorbed. Therefore, increase in the ratios of mesocarp (M2:1R) and seed (S2:1R) in feed formula may have contributed to the cumulative effects of these anti-nutrients.

However, animals that were fed with mesocarp supplementary in group 2 recorded little increase in growth (although cannot be compared with the control formula, which recorded significant increase) but none of the groups fed with seed supplementary formula recorded any increase in their growth, instead there was a reduction. This observation may be that seeds of D. microcarpum fruit contain higher amount of anti-nutrients compared with its mesocarp.

V. Conclusion

This investigation revealed that D. microcarpum fruits contain some phytochemical substances, which may be responsible for its medicinal uses for the treatment of certain diseases/aillments. The fruits are a rich source of nutrients but may contain anti-nutritional factors, although the mesocarp supplement diet could be tolerated up to 35% (M1:2R) inclusion levels compared to 35% seed supplement diet (S1:2R), which led to reduction in growth and weight of rats.

However, it is suggested that the fruits of D. micocarpums should be cooked for several minutes to neutralize the inherent anti-nutritional substances in order to make its nutrients available for the consumers. Cooking increases nutritive quality of plant foods through the reduction of certain anti-nutrients such as phytic acid, polyphenols, and oxalic acid (Hotz and Gibson, 2007).
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