Studies on Fixed and Fluidized Bed Ion Exchange Column to Treat Wastewater
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Abstract: A continuous fixed and fluidized bed study was carried out by using strong-base anion-exchange resin for removal of nitrate from water. The effect of operating parameters, such as flow rate, initial concentration and bed high was studied. Data confirmed that the breakthrough curves were dependent on flow rate, initial concentration and bed high. Breakthrough experiments were carried out to compare breakthrough curves between packed and fluidized beds. Thomas model was applied to experimental data to predict the breakthrough curves and to determine the characteristic parameters of the packed and fluidized bed columns.

Key words: nitrate removal; fixed bed; fluidized bed; ion exchange

I. Introduction

Increased levels of nitrate in ground water have made many wells unsuitable as sources for drinking water. Nitrate is so toxic, especially to pregnant women and infants, that the USEPA (United States Environmental Protection Agency) standard of 10 mg NO3⁻/L or less in drinking water were established for human health (i.e.,[1],[2]). The primary health concern regarding nitrate, NO₃⁻, is that it is reduced to nitrite, NO₂⁻, in the body. Nitrite in turn react with the red blood cells to form methemoglobin, which affects the blood’s capability to transport oxygen. High intake of nitrate by infants when bottle-fed, can causes a condition known as “blue-baby” syndrome that can be fatal. It is also claimed by some researchers that there exist a correlation between exposure to nitrate and the risk of developing cancer (i.e.,[3]).

Several processes have been described for nitrate removal from portable water among which ion exchange and biological denitrification are the only ones considered feasible and practical for full-scale treatment (i.e.,[4]). Nitrate removal from water using ion exchange is economical and convenient and provides a suitable solution for small or medium-sized water treatment plants containing comparably low nitrate level. Ion exchange is the most common process for public water supplies in the United States (i.e.,[1]).

Ion exchange is a chemical treatment process used to remove unwanted ionic species from waste water. As the name implies, ion exchange works by exchanging undesirable cations or anions in solution with less harmful ones (i.e.,[4],[5]).

II. Modeling of column operation

Full-scale column operation can be designed on the basis of data collected in laboratory level. Many mathematical models have been proposed in the past for the evaluation of efficiency and applicability of the column models for large scale operations. To design a column sorption process it was necessary to predict the breakthrough curve or concentration time profile and sorption capacity of the sorbent for the selected sorbate under the given set of operating conditions. Many models have been developed to predict the sorption breakthrough behaviour with high degree of accuracy. The Thomas model was used in this study to analyse the behaviour of the selected adsorbent-adsorbate system.

2.1 Thomas model

The Thomas model is one of the most general and widely used. The model is applicable in system with a constant flow rate and no axial dispersion, and its behavior matches the Langmuir isotherm and the second-order reversible reaction kinetics. The model has the following form: (i.e.,[6]).

\[
\frac{C}{C_0} = \frac{1}{1 + \exp\left(-\frac{q_0 m}{Q}(q_0 C_0 - V)\right)}
\]

Where \(k_m\) is the rate constant (L/mmol h), \(q_0\) is sorption capacity of the column (mg/g), \(Q\) is flow rate (L/h), \(m\) is mass of the bed (g) and \(V\) is effluent volume (L).

The linearization of above equation:

\[
\ln\left(\frac{C}{C_0} - 1\right) = \frac{k_m q_0 m}{Q} - k_m C_0 t
\]

From the linear dependence of \(\ln\left(\frac{C_0}{C} - 1\right)\) versus \(t\), the removal capacity \(q\) and rate constant \(k_m\) can be...
III. Materials And Methods

3.1 Ion Exchange Resin

The ion-exchange resin employed was the CL-1 type INDION NSSR which was a strong base anion exchange resin. INDION NSSR (CL-1) was obtained from Ion Exchange Company. The particles are in the shape of almost perfect spheres with an average diameter 0.5 mm (500μm). The total exchange capacity was about 0.9 meq/ml of resin.

3.2 Fixed bed and Fluidized bed systems

The overall experimental apparatus is depicted in Fig 1. The column was filled with resin and washed with distilled water. Experiments were carried out in a glass column having 2cm diameter and 100 cm high. The resin were regenerated in down/up flow with volume of 5% NaCl solution and washed with distilled water. The temperature was maintained at 31 ± 1°C.

The bed dynamic capacity can be expressed by the following equation

\[ q_b = \frac{V_b C_0}{V_s} = \frac{Q_v t_b C_0}{V_s} \]

Where, \( q_b \) is the amount of solute ion exchange at rough point (mmol/l); \( t_b \) is the service time (h) when the effluent concentration reaches at 45 mg/l; \( Q_v \) is the volumetric flow rate (1/h) and \( V_s \) is the volume of ion resin in the column (1).

In this study the coefficient of determination, \( r^2 \), was used to test the best-fitting breakthrough through models to the experimental data (i.e.,[7],[8]).

\[ r^2 = \frac{\sum (C_{cal} - \bar{C})^2}{\sum (C_{cal} - C_{exp})^2 + \sum (C_{cal} - \bar{C})^2} \]

Where \( C_{cal} \) is the concentration obtained from the isotherm model, \( C_{exp} \) is the concentration obtained from experiment, and \( \bar{C} \) is the average of \( C_{exp} \).

3.3 CHEMICAL ANALYSIS

Nitrates were measured by a UV-Vis spectrophotometer. The absorbance was measured at 220 nm and a second reading was taken at 275 nm. This allowed correction for the interference due to dissolved organic matter. The difference between the two absorbance measurements was then calculated by the formula (i.e.,[9])

\[ \text{Abs}220 - 2*\text{Abs}275 \]

![Fig 1](https://example.com/f1.png)

**fig 1.** Experimental system: (1)NaNO₃, (2)H₂O, (3) NaCL, (4)pump, (5)rotameter, (6)column, (7)resin, and (8)effluent

IV. Result And Discussion

4.1 Effect of flow rate

The nitrate solution was pumped in down and up flow mode at 2.34, 3, 3.53 l/h for fluidized and fixed bed respectively. The results show that flow rate has a major effect on the breakthrough behavior. Changing the flow rate also influences breakthrough by changing the residence time. Longer residence time gives slower breakthrough and sharper separation. The boundary layer thickness surrounding resin particles becomes smaller as flow rate increases, and thus transfer resistance through the film is reduced at high flow rates. Process throughput is proportional to feed rate.

As shown in table 1 it can be deduced that an increase of the flow rate decreases the breakthrough point at effluent concentration of 45 mg/l. Table 1 shows the dynamic capacity calculated from...
the column experimental data, it is observed that the flow rate of 2.34 and 3 1/h gave the maximum dynamic capacity at inlet concentration of 260 mg/l for fixed and fluidized bed respectively. Thus, these conditions were considered the optimal operating conditions for these systems. Figures 2, shows the effect of flow rate on the dynamic capacity of nitrate onto INDION NSSR resin at different inlet concentration.

**Table 1.** Breakthrough point and Dynamic capacity at different concentrations and different flow rates, D=2 cm, and h=6cm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>C&lt;sub&gt;0&lt;/sub&gt; (mg/l)</th>
<th>Flow rate (l/h)</th>
<th>Breakthrough point (h)-fixed bed</th>
<th>Breakthrough point (h)-fluidized bed</th>
<th>Dynamic capacity (mmol/l)-fixed bed</th>
<th>Dynamic capacity (mmol/l)-fluidized bed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>260</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>678.5</td>
<td>626.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>522</td>
<td>642.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.53</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>519</td>
<td>567</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>375</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>489.3</td>
<td>534.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>434.3</td>
<td>511.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.53</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>442.8</td>
<td>522.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>501.8</td>
<td>512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>489</td>
<td>501.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.53</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>514.8</td>
<td>530</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig 2.** Effect of flow rate on dynamic capacity at a different initial concentration-a)fixed bed b)fluidized bed

### 4.2 Effect of initial concentration

The change in initial nitrate concentration has a significant effect on breakthrough curve as illustrated in figure 3.

It shows the resulting breakthrough curves for nitrate at different inlet concentrations. It can be seen from this figure that there was a period of time where nitrate concentration remained zero and then the concentration of the nitrate started to increase. The larger the initial concentration, the steeper is the slop of breakthrough curve and smaller is the breakthrough time. A decrease in breakthrough and the exhaustion time at higher initial concentration may be due to the rapid exhaustion of the sorption sites. In addition, the saturation of the bed is faster at higher nitrate concentration. These results demonstrate that the change of concentration gradient affects the saturation rate and breakthrough time, or in other words, the diffusion process is concentration dependent. Figure 3 show that the effect of initial concentration on the breakthrough curve is the same on fixed bed and fluidized bed.
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Fig 3. Effect of initial concentration on breakthrough curves at a flow rate of 2.34 (l/h) and prediction of Thomas model a) fixed bed, b) fluidized bed

4.3 Effect of bed height

Breakthrough curves obtained for the ion exchange of nitrate from its solutions by INDION NSSR resin at different bed heights, that is, 2, 3, and 10 cm, constant concentration of 260 mg/l, and constant flow rate of 2.34 l/h are shown in figure 4.

Figure 4 shows how the breakthrough curves for the removal of nitrate vary with column bed height. The breakthrough curves for the 2 and 3 cm bed heights reached breakthrough faster than the 10 cm bed height for nitrate removal. The volume of solution treated and the bed service time increased with an increase in bed height. The increase in the volume of solution treated was because of an increase in the sorbent mass (as the bed height was increased) which meant an increase in the ion exchange binding sites available for nitrate ion exchange. Furthermore, an increase in bed height also results in an increase in residence time and hence there is more time for the nitrate to interact with TULSION A-27 resin resulting in an increase in removal rates and amounts. The breakthrough curves for 2 and 3 cm bed heights are nearly closed because the bed heights are not sufficient to keep ion exchange process stays for sufficient residence time; therefore, ion exchange is not favorable under these conditions as seen by the premature breakthrough.

Fig 4. Effect of bed high on breakthrough curves at concentration of 260 mg/l, flow rate 2.34 l/h and D=2 cm. a) fixed bed and b) fluidized bed
4.4 Comparison of breakthrough in packed beds and fluidized beds

Breakthrough experiments were carried out to compare breakthrough curves between packed and fluidized beds. The amount of resin loading was same in both packed and fluidized beds of each figure. In figure 5, a breakthrough curve in packed beds is slower than in fluidized beds because the flow rate and back mixing rate is not sufficient to decrease the liquid film mass transfer around the resin particles in fluidized bed, which causes decrease in mass transfer resistance while figures 6 and 7 show breakthrough curve in fluidized beds is slower than in fixed beds. The different mass transfer at the early stage of sorption may be related to interstitial velocity. Interstitial velocity, however, is faster in packed beds than in fluidized beds, because of low bed voidage. The higher interstitial velocity in the packed beds will decrease liquid film mass transfer and give slower breakthrough curve than the lower interstitial velocity in the fluidized beds.

Fig 5. Comparison breakthrough curves in the packed bed and fluidized bed at a flow rate 2.34 l/h, concentration of 260 mg/l, and D=2 cm

Fig 6. Comparison breakthrough curves in the packed bed and fluidized bed at a flow rate 3 l/h, concentration of 260 mg/l, and D=2 cm

Fig 7. Comparison breakthrough curves in the packed bed and fluidized bed at a flow rate 3.53 l/h, concentration of 260 mg/l, and D=2 cm
V. Conclusion

The breakthrough curves are controlled by several experimental parameters such as the flow rate, initial concentration, and bed high. Data from column studies were described by Thomas model. The Thomas model was successfully found to predict the breakthrough curves for the fixed and fluidized bed. In the column study, a high breakthrough point which indicates good performance of the ion exchange process. Moreover, the breakthrough time increased with decreasing initial concentration, decreasing flow rate, and increasing bed high. Increased dynamic capacity is generally considered beneficial, because it allows given amount of ion exchange resin to ion exchange (bind) larger amount of nitrate. In the other words, this ion exchange procedure will give better capture of the nitrate.
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