Effect Of Pre-Treatment Conditions And Varietal Differences On The Functional Properties Of Sweet Potato Flour
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Abstract: To determine the effect of pre-treatment and varietal differences on the functional properties of orange-fleshed sweet potato flour, five tuber varieties (numbered 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5) were subjected to three treatments namely (i) no treatment (ii) soaking of whole tubers in water at ambient conditions for 6 hours and (iii) steam blanching of whole tubers in water for 10 minutes. A General Factorial design was employed to analyse factor effects and functional properties evaluated were Water absorption capacity (WAC), Water solubility index (WSI), Dispersibility (D), Bulk density (BD), Fat absorption capacity (FAC) and Emulsifying capacity (EC), though only WSI, D and EC were used for the factor analysis. In terms of WSI, no significant difference was found with the varieties or pre-treatments (p>0.05). For Dispersibility, a significant difference was observed with the varieties (p<0.05) but not with pre-treatments With respect to EC, however, a significant difference was observed with the varieties as well as pre-treatments at p<0.05. On the whole, varietal differences were observed and pre-treatment was found to improve functional properties of some of the tuber varieties investigated in this study.
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I. Introduction
Sweet potato (Ipomoea batatas) is an important root crop that is produced in appreciable quantities in Nigeria, who is reported to be the largest producer in Africa with an annual production figure of about 3.49 million tonnes. It is a readily available, cheap and nutrient rich crop but not put to much local use because of its natural sweet taste which makes it out of place in many of the local savoury dishes and also because it is difficult to peel. It has, however, been recommended as having great potentials as raw material for the manufacture of a wide range of products.

Choice of variety and pre-treatment to ease peeling difficulties have been investigated and reported. The aim of this work is to study the effect of these pre-treatments and varietal differences on the functional properties of sweet potato flour, so that the natural sweetness of the crop can be explored and utilized appropriately in different food formulations.

Functional properties are those physical-chemical properties that influence structure, appearance, texture, viscosity, mouth feel and flavor retention of a product. They can be hydration related or surface related and contribute desirable characteristics to food products, thus affecting utilization and acceptability. Adequate information on the nature and functional properties of sweet potato flour is lacking and until this gap is bridged, the crop may not be of much use despite its considerable potentials.

II. Methodology
This research work was carried out at the Federal Institute of Industrial Research, Oshodi (FIIRO) in Lagos, Nigeria.

2.1 Materials
Five varieties of CIP* certified orange-fleshed sweet potato tubers (namely: 199024, 440034, 440140, 440216 and Ex- Oyunga and given serial numbers 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 respectively), were obtained from the University of Ibadan Agronomy Department. They were subjected to different pre-treatments viz (i) No treatment (i.e. controls), (ii) Soaking of whole tubers in water at ambient conditions for 6 hours and (iii) Steam blanching of whole tubers for 10 minutes.

2.2 Experimental design and Data analysis
A General Factorial Design with Analysis of Variance by Design Expert Version 8.0 was used to determine the effect of variety and pre-treatment, singly and in combination, on functional properties based on the stated parameters.

2.3 Functional properties
These were measured in terms of:
(i) Water absorption capacity (WAC)
(ii) Water solubility index (WSI)
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Measurements were done in triplicates and mean values presented.

* CIP - International Potato Centre, Lima, Peru. South America

III. Results

The results from this study are presented in Tables 1 - 2 and Figures 1 – 6

Table 1: Functional Properties of Flour Samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>WAI (g/g)</th>
<th>WSI (%)</th>
<th>Dispersibility (%)</th>
<th>Bulk density (g/ml)</th>
<th>FAC (g/g)</th>
<th>EC (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A*</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>14.41</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>40.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 B</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>12.90</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>41.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>3.37</td>
<td>12.93</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>40.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>12.37</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>39.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 B</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>10.44</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>41.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>8.50</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>40.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>14.07</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>41.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 B</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>19.32</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>34.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>3.34</td>
<td>18.48</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>45.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>19.94</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>40.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 B</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>18.08</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>39.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>13.04</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>41.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>3.12</td>
<td>13.40</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>39.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 B</td>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>15.66</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>39.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>15.80</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>41.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Notations 1, 2, 3, 4 & 5 are the different varieties; A- notreatment, B - soaking in water, and C - steam blanching

Table 2: Model coefficients for functional properties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WSI</td>
<td>14.62587</td>
<td>-12.9593</td>
<td>4.196</td>
<td>2.67538</td>
<td>2.97538</td>
<td>0.767393</td>
<td>1.718</td>
<td>-3.43538</td>
<td>2.70467</td>
<td>-1.17067</td>
<td>-0.654</td>
<td>1.72667</td>
<td>0.402667</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>0.1178</td>
<td>0.1178</td>
<td>0.1178</td>
<td>0.1178</td>
<td>0.1178</td>
<td>0.1178</td>
<td>0.1178</td>
<td>0.1178</td>
<td>0.1178</td>
<td>0.1178</td>
<td>0.1178</td>
<td>0.1178</td>
<td>0.1178</td>
<td>0.1178</td>
<td>0.1178</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disp</td>
<td>49.8</td>
<td>10.467</td>
<td>15.9333</td>
<td>-5.46667</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>-1.53333</td>
<td>1.66667</td>
<td>3.46667</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
<td>4.06667</td>
<td>-1.73333</td>
<td>1.73333</td>
<td>1.658</td>
<td>2.44667</td>
<td>-0.22667</td>
<td>0.51333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC</td>
<td>40.4767</td>
<td>-0.13667</td>
<td>-1.1167</td>
<td>-0.398</td>
<td>-0.1133</td>
<td>1.75333</td>
<td>0.25933</td>
<td>1.658</td>
<td>2.44667</td>
<td>-0.22667</td>
<td>0.51333</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Legend: p<0.01; 0.01<p<0.05; p<0.01×10

(p values greater than 0.05 are not significant)
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**Figure 1**: Variety/Treatment effect for Water Absorption Index

**Figure 2**: Variety/Treatment effect for Water Solubility Index
Figure 3: Variety/Treatment effect for Dispersibility

Figure 4: Variety/Treatment effect for Bulk Density
Effect Of Pre-Treatment Conditions And Varietal Differences On The Functional Properties

Figure 5: Variety/Treatment effect for Fat Absorption Capacity

Figure 6: Variety/Treatment effect for Emulsifying Capacity
IV. Discussion

4.1 Functional properties

The functional properties of the different varieties, subjected to the different pre-treatments are presented in Table 1. The A’s are the controls; the B’s are soaked while the C’s are steam blanched samples.

Water Absorption Index (WAI) in g/g is a measure of the ability of the samples to take up water, thereby indicating the water available for gelatinization and other physico-chemical changes. Values ranged from 1.52 in 2A to 3.37 in 1C. Higher WAI values indicate loose association of starch polymers in the native grano and imply that more water will be required during reconstitution. Generally, variety 2 followed by 4 showed lower WAI values (Fig.1) while 1, 3 and 5 showed higher values, indicating the tendency of these flours to be gelatinized and well cooked. Pre-treatment reduced WAI in variety 5 but increased it in 3. Specifically, soaking reduced WAI in varieties 1 and 5 while steam blanching reduced it in 5.

Water Solubility Index (WSI) is an indication of the ability of the sample to leach soluble components into water, thereby affecting taste and chew ability of the resulting product. Values recorded ranged from 8.50% in 2C to 19.94% in 4A (Fig.2). Higher WSI as observed in variety 4, therefore, imply the tendency for a transfer of sweet potato flavour and this can be appreciable in masking or reducing undesirable taste effects from other components in a given product. Pre-treatment reduced WSI values in varieties 1, 2 and 4 but gave an increase in 3 and 5.

Dispersibility ranged from 37% in 1A and 1C to 66% in 2A and 2C (Fig.3). When the varieties were compared together, number 2 showed higher dispersibility values, 1 showed lower values while the others were in between. The higher the dispersibility, the better is the ability of a flour material to be reconstituted. This parameter is of importance and will influence consistency during mixing. Pre-treatment increased dispersibility in varieties 1, 4 and 5 but reduced it in 2 and 3.

Bulk Density (BD) in g/ml is a measure of particle heaviness determined as mass per unit volume and ranged from 0.69 in 4A to 0.83 in 2A. As shown in Fig.4, varieties 4 and 3 seemed to be lighter while 2 seemed heavier with 1 and 5 in between. Higher bulk density figures (as observed in variety 2) indicate the ability not only to disperse in water during processing but also to occupy a smaller space for a given mass of sample. These values therefore have implications for packaging. Pre-treatment decreased BD in varieties 2 and 3 but increased it in 4 and 5 while in 1, BD was reduced by soaking but increased by steam blanching.

Fat Absorption Capacity (FAC) in g/g, which is an indication of the ability of a sample to take up fat, ranged from 0.49 in 3B to 1.79 in 4B. Varieties 1 and 5 generally showed higher FAC while 2 and 4 showed lower values (with the exception of the soaked sample, 4B) as seen from Fig.5. Pre-treatment increased FAC in varieties 2 and 4 but reduced it in 1 and 3 while in variety 5, the FAC was reduced by soaking but increased by steam blanching. The ability to absorb and mix with fat is important as fat is one of the key materials in many food formulations and has pronounced effect on the flavour.

Emulsifying Capacity (EC) was least in 3B with 34.41% and highest in 3C with 45.16% (Fig.6). This parameter indicates the ability of the sample to be able to form emulsions and consequently a stable product, arising from the uniform distribution of its particulates. Generally, pre-treatment increased the EC in varieties 1 and 2. Specifically, steam blanching increased EC in all the varieties likely as a result of the heating, as processes involving fat are enhanced at increased temperature.

4.2 Factor Analysis

This was done using three of the measured parameters, considered to be very pertinent, (WSI, Dispersibility and EC). The results are summarized in Table 2.

From the model coefficients (values greater than 0.05 are not significant), it can be observed that in terms of the water solubility index at p > 0.05, there was no significant difference in water solubility index with the varieties and pre-treatments.

With dispersibility, there was significant difference with the varieties (p<0.05) but not with pre-treatments.

With respect to emulsifying capacity, a significant difference was observed with the varieties as well as pre-treatments (p<0.05).

This means that, on the whole, varietal differences were observed and pre-treatments can improve the functional properties of some tuber varieties investigated in this study.

V. Conclusion

This study has shown that the orange-fleshed sweet potato varieties investigated were significantly different in EC and Dispersibility but not in Water Solubility Index. Steam blanching also significantly improved EC but not WSI and Dispersibility. The information generated is very relevant in the choice of sweet potato tuber variety and pre-treatment for specific food processing applications.
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