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Abstract: Since the introduction of “Efficient Market Hypothesis” (EMH) or Market efficiency theory by Professor Eugene Fama there has been a lot of research supporting and contracting the theory. There are three variants to the theory “weak”, “semi-strong”, and “strong” form. The weak form of the EMH claims that prices of traded assets (e.g., stocks, bonds or commodity) already reflect all the past price and volume information about the asset. The semi-strong form of the EMH claims that prices reflect all publicly available information including past price and volume data and that prices instantly change to reflect new public information. The strong form of the EMH additionally claims that prices instantly reflect even hidden “insider” information. The research paper tries to review “weak” form of market efficiency for selected five companies. Five different companies from five different sectors are being considered viz. Infosys Limited, Hindustan Unilever Limited, Larsen & Toubro Limited, Mahindra and Mahindra Limited and Sun Pharmaceutical Industries Limited. These companies represent various sectors in the economy viz. Information Technology (IT), Fast Moving Consumer Goods (FMCG), Capital goods (CG), Automobiles (Auto) and Pharmaceuticals (Pharma). To test the “weak” form of market efficiency monthly data from 1st April 2004 to 31st March 2016 would be considered. Statistical tests such as “Runs Test” and “Autocorrelations” would be used to infer from the data.
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I. Introduction
Capital Market is a place where equity and debt instruments are traded. There are buyers and sellers of these securities. The efficiency in the capital market should lead to fair pricing of these securities. This implies all the fund raising by the companies should happen at a rational price. The logic behind concept of efficiency is that there is competition amongst market participant so any information gets incorporated quickly into stock prices. If at all if there is any unfair pricing as per market efficiency concept it should adjust itself instantaneously. Technical Analysis and Fundamental Analysis are the two dominant techniques which are used by investment analyst to identify the investment opportunity. Technical Analysis are predominantly based on past price and volume data whereas Fundamental analysis is based mainly on financial statement analysis. Weak form of market efficiency contradicts the importance of technical analysis by saying that prices of traded assets already reflect all the past price and volume data which are the backbones of technical analysis. Nevertheless, as per weak form of market efficiency other publicly available information mainly financial statements or events such as stock split, bonus issues, or acquisition announcement could lead to returns which are significantly different from expected risk adjusted returns. Expected risk adjusted returns could be calculated based on Capital Asset Pricing Model or Arbitrage Pricing theory. However, Semi strong form of market efficiency says all these publically available information is already reflected in the stock price and any new announcement is said to be adjusted by the shares instantly. Semi strong form of efficiency further says only private or insider information could give investors returns better than risk adjusted returns. Strong form of efficiency says even private information cannot give returns better than risk adjusted returns.

So in a nutshell, Weak form of efficiency says technical analysis are not effective for predicting future price movements however fundamental analysis and inside information could be used for predicting future price patterns. Semi strong form of efficiency says both technical analysis and fundamental analysis are not effective for predicting future price movements however inside information could be used for predicting future price patterns. Strong form of efficiency says technical analysis, fundamental analysis and inside information are not effective for predicting future price movements and future price movement is completely random.

II. Review of Literature
The relevant review of literature on the topic was done. 

Research work done abroad
Internationally, Eugene Fama (1970) carried out a study on market efficiency and asset price predictability. He classified market in three forms of market efficiency 1) Weak Form 2) Semi strong form 3)
Strong Form. Weak form makes technical analysis i.e. past patterns of price & volume irrelevant but said fundamental analyses of financials were relevant. Semi strong form said both technical & fundamental analyses were useless but insider information was helpful. Strong form said all three were irrelevant and price movement was purely random in nature. Fama called it a random walk theory. Since then there has been lot of research supporting it & contradicting it. Cootner (1964), Lo and MacKinlay (1999) and Lo, Mamaysky and Wang (2000) did the work in the same area. Their work suggested that in the short run there are too many price moves in the same direction making technical analysis useless with some predicting power. Malkiel (2003) suggested one should be careful in drawing conclusions regarding efficiency or otherwise of the stock market from such evidence on predictability of stock returns. It was argued that pricing irregularities and even predictable pattern that appear over time were, in effect, temporary in nature, and hence these might not be able to counter the hypothesis that the stock market was efficient. Lim et al (2009) carried out the test for market efficiency based on trading volume, market return volatility, trade openness and financial openness. They studied these variables for 23 countries for the period from 1992-2006. They concluded that higher was the trading volume lower was the autocorrelation. (i.e. more efficiency). They also found higher level of market return volatility was associated with greater return autocorrelations and hence a lower degree of informational efficiency. The level of trade openness was associated with high degree of trade efficiency. They did not find any significant association between the extent of financial openness and the degree of informational efficiency.

Khan (2013) examined market efficiency in four emerging stock markets from the South Asian region which were Bangladesh, India, Pakistan and Sri Lanka over the 1993 to 2010-time period. The results indicated that there was a relationship between the equity price changes of the four markets being studied. Their returns were co-integrated (reverted to mean values) over a medium term. These markets cooperated with each other through various membership bodies. Benjamin Graham (1949) in the book “Intelligent Investor” suggested value investing for common people rather than getting caught in market extremity. The book was also admired by legendary investor Warren Buffet. The book was fundamentally about the difference between investment and speculation, between quoted stock prices and the underlying or real value of the companies behind them. According to Graham investing was about long term & investor has to tolerate the noise of extreme price movement that would occur in the interim. Schwager (1988) for his book “The Market Wizards” interviews some great traders who have ridiculed the concept of fundamentals. Famous quote from Edward Seykota in that book was “Fundamentals that people read about were typically useless as the market has already discounted the price, and according to him they were “funny-mentals”. However, if one could catch on early, before others believe, he/she might have valuable “surprise-a-mentals” which was partly consistent with what Benjamin Graham believed. Seykota believed in system trading which were based on certain principles of technical analysis. The supporter of fundamental analysis like Warren Buffet said technical analysis didn't work when He turned the charts upside down and didn't get a different answer making them redundant.

Research work done in India

In India, Poshakwale (1996) carried out first study on efficiency/predictability in the Indian stock market. Based on runs test and tests for serial correlation, he found evidence of violation of weak-form efficiency in Bombay Stock Exchange over the period 1987- 1994. Consequent study by Gupta and Gupta (1997) gave similar results for the period July 1988 to January 1996. Essentially that implied both fundamental & technical analysis were relevant in those days. Part of that was before NSE came into picture back in 1992 and replacing floor based trading with nationwide screen based electronic trading. This meant more transparent system & hence more efficient system. However major studies carried out by various researchers till 2002 showed lack of efficiency in markets. There were seasonal effects such as the “day-of-the-week” and “the month of the year” effect in the returns on Indian stock indices. Debabrata Mukhopadhyay (2007) inferred macroeconomic & financial variables such as consumer price index, nominal exchange rate, NASDAQ composite return, foreign direct investment, long-term interest rate and fiscal deficit of the central government had predictability power for CNX Nifty returns. Mukhopadhyay also explored the concept of “rational bubble” which was a situation where asset prices increased far higher than could be explained by fundamental parameters of the assets. Here the investors anticipated that other investors would drive prices even higher in future. Now, it was perfectly rational for investors to be willing to pay prices that were increasingly divergent from fundamental values as they were to be compensated for this by ever-increasing returns. According to this concept, even though investors recognize that the asset was overvalued, they believe that they would be able to sell it at an even higher price at a later date. Thus, the formation and rapid collapse of speculative bubbles did not require investor irrationality. Something similar to greater fool theory in which investment was made not because investor believed that it was worth the price, but rather because investor believed that he/she would be able to sell it to someone else at an even higher price.
Aims & Objectives

India being a developing economy it has given very good investment opportunities in the past. However, the benefit of the same has not been taken by Indian investors. As per www.valueresearchonline.com as on 31st March 2015, the highest ownership of the marketable portion of the equity, which is also known as free float, was by the foreign institutional investors (FIIs). FIIs dominate the Indian market by holding 40 per cent of the free float, thus impacting the market movement with their flows of funds. Retail investors or small investors own one-third of the free float, which was far less than retail holding in developed economies and many developing economies as well. This paper will be an honest attempt towards developing simple principles which could be followed by common man to enter and exist good quality stocks. Current study considers sample of five large companies listed on NSE which are relatively safer for investors to start investing.

III. Aims & Objectives

Research Gap

- The research reviewed did not take into consideration the same five companies.
- Time frame considered from 1st April 2004 to 31st March 2016 is different.

Need for study

India being a developing economy it has given very good investment opportunities in the past. However, the benefit of the same has not been taken by Indian investors. As per www.valueresearchonline.com as on 31st March 2015, the highest ownership of the marketable portion of the equity, which is also known as free float, was by the foreign institutional investors (FIIs). FIIs dominate the Indian market by holding 40 per cent of the free float, thus impacting the market movement with their flows of funds. Retail investors or small investors own one-third of the free float, which was far less than retail holding in developed economies and many developing economies as well. This paper will be an honest attempt towards developing simple principles which could be followed by common man to enter and exist good quality stocks. Current study considers sample of five large companies listed on NSE which are relatively safer for investors to start investing.
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Objective
To find whether all the past information reflected in current share price.

Hypothesis Testing
For Monthly Data of selected five companies
Null Hypothesis (Ho): Monthly stock returns are random.
Alternate Hypothesis (Ha): Monthly stock returns are not random

“Runs Test” was used to test the above hypothesis. Based on difference between “Expected number of runs” and “Actual Number of runs” Z-value was calculated. Positive Z indicates that there are too many runs in the sample, negative value of Z indicates that there were less runs than one would expect if the changes were random. The p-value (2-tailed) was used to infer the randomness. If this value was sufficiently small, less than .05, null hypothesis of no randomness was rejected and it was concluded that the series does not alternate enough (too few runs) or alternates too much (too many runs). Autocorrelation Tests would also be used to test the data

IV. Research Methodology

Population
All the stocks which have given more than market returns over the period from 2004 to 16.

Sample Size Considered
5 stocks. Non-probability convenience Sampling was used to choose the sample. Data was taken from 1st April 2004 to 31st March 2016.

There were 143 observations each for each of five companies for the monthly data.

Stock Returns can be negative but stock Prices cannot be negative hence log normal distribution is used to calculate monthly returns of various stocks.

Method of calculation for calculating returns
In a log-normal distribution S (Daily Closing Prices), the parameters denoted μ and σ are, respectively, the mean and standard deviation of the variable’s natural logarithm (by definition, the variable’s logarithm is normally distributed), which means

\[ S = e^{(\mu + \sigma Z)} \]

Stock returns would be \( r = \ln(S_t) - \ln(S_0) \)

Where,

\( S_t \) = Stock Price close at t days.

\( S_0 \) = Stock Price close at the beginning of the period

Ln stands for natural logarithm function.

For Monthly returns,

\( S_M \) = Stock Price close as on the end of M\(^{th}\) month.

\( S_{M-1} \) = Stock Price close as on the end of (M-1)\(^{th}\) month.

Statistical Tools Applied/Used

Runs test would be used to see if stock prices follow a particular trend in terms of successive upward or downward price movement. Runs test is a non-parametric test. It depends only on the sign of the price changes but not on the magnitude of the price. It does not require the specification of the probability distribution. It depends only on the sign of the price. They are essentially concerned with the direction of changes in the time series. The main drawback of using Runs Test that it could not detect the amount of change from mean because it only looks at the number of positive or negative changes. By comparing the total number of runs in the data with the expected number of runs under random walk hypothesis, the test of the random walk hypothesis may be constructed. Positive Z indicates that there are too many runs in the sample, negative value of Z indicates that there are less runs than one would expect if the changes were random. The important advantages of this test are its simplicity and independence of extreme values in the sample. In order to compare the observed number of runs in the series, the expected number of runs is calculated according to the formula. The standardized Z is defined as:

\[ Z = \frac{(R - X)\sqrt{n1n2}}{\sigma} \]

Where,

\[ R = \text{number of runs} \]

\[ X = \frac{(2n1n2 + 1)}{n1 + n2} \]

\[ \sigma^2 = \frac{2n1n2(2n1n2-n1-n2)(n1+n2)}{(n1+n2)(2(n1+n2-1))} \]

[1] SIFICO 2017

SIMSR International Finance Conference

“SIFICO 2017”
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R is the real number of runs (i.e. A run is defined as a succession of similar events preceded and followed by a different event.)

\[ n1 + n2 = \text{number of observations in each category} \]

\[ \sigma = \text{standard deviation} \]

Z= Standard normal variant

The p-value would be the normal distribution of Z values which states the likelihood of happening will also be calculated.

**Autocorrelation or Serial Correlation Tests**

In this test price change on monthly basis would be considered over different time lags and time periods would be considered. If the coefficient of correlation is positive and close to 1 for a specific level of significance it could concluded that data is positively or negatively correlated.

### V. Data Collection And Analysis

**Data Collection**

Secondary data was used for this research. It was collected from Capitaline database. The data was authenticated financial websites of the exchanges viz. as Bombay Stock Exchange (BSE), National Stock Exchange (NSE). Capitaline database provides monthly data for period under consideration. Period of consideration of sample was from 1st April 2004 to 31st March 2016.

**Findings based on Runs Test Analysis for Monthly Data**

Run Test results based on Monthly lognormal returns for Infosys Limited.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of observations</th>
<th>143</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number above cutoff</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number below cutoff</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of runs</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E(R)</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stdev(R)</td>
<td>5.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z-value</td>
<td>1.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-value (2-tailed)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Run Test results based on Monthly lognormal returns for Hindustan Unilever Limited

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of observations</th>
<th>143</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number above cutoff</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number below cutoff</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of runs</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E(R)</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stdev(R)</td>
<td>5.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z-value</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-value (2-tailed)</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Run Test results based on Monthly lognormal returns for Larsen & Toubro Limited

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of observations</th>
<th>143</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number above cutoff</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number below cutoff</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of runs</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E(R)</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stdev(R)</td>
<td>5.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z-value</td>
<td>-2.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-value (2-tailed)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Run Test results based on Monthly lognormal returns for Mahindra and Mahindra Limited

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of observations</th>
<th>143</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number above cutoff</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number below cutoff</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of runs</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E(R)</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stdev(R)</td>
<td>5.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z-value</td>
<td>-2.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-value (2-tailed)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Run Test results based on Monthly lognormal returns for Sun Pharmaceutical Industries Limited.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of observations</td>
<td>143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number above cutoff</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number below cutoff</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of runs</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E(R)</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stdev(R)</td>
<td>5.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z-value</td>
<td>1.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-value (2-tailed)</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Findings based on Autocorrelation
Analysis for Monthly Data
Autocorrelation Graph based on Monthly lognormal returns for Infosys Limited with 1 to 35-Month lag
E Autocorrelation Graph based on Monthly lognormal returns for Hindustan Unilever Limited with 1 to 35-Month lag

Autocorrelation Graph based on Monthly lognormal returns for Larsen & Toubro Limited with 1 to 35-Month lag
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Autocorrelation Graph based on Monthly lognormal returns for Mahindra and Mahindra Limited with 1 to 35-Month lag

Autocorrelation Graph based on Monthly lognormal returns for Sun Pharmaceutical Industries Limited with 1 to 35-Month lag

Interpretation based Runs Test for Monthly Data
- p-value is less than 0.05 in case Mahindra and Mahindra Limited and Larsen & Toubro Limited indicating monthly stock returns are not random. (Null Hypothesis is rejected.)
- The above fact is substantiated since actual number of runs are significantly different from expected number of runs.
- p-value is greater than 0.05 in case Hindustan Unilever Limited, Infosys Limited and Sun Pharmaceutical Industries Limited indicating monthly stock returns are random. (Null Hypothesis is rejected.)
- The above fact is substantiated since actual number of runs are very close to expected number of runs.
- However, p-value for Infosys Limited and Sun Pharmaceutical Industries Limited are less than 0.10 indicating at 90% confidence Null Hypothesis is rejected meaning stock returns are not completely random.
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Interpretation based Autocorrelation Test for Monthly Data
- Mahindra and Mahindra Limited positive correlation for 1-month lag.
- All five stocks show many negative correlations within 36-month lag (i.e. 3-year period) indicating stocks do give investment opportunities for a long term investor.
- All the correlation numbers vary between -0.2 to 0.2 indicating even though they might be significant they are not very strong. Since correlation can take values between -1 to +1.

VI. Limitations And Future Scope For Further Study

Limitations of the study
- Study is based on the data of five companies over the period of 12 years
- Study is based on data of large cap companies mid cap and small cap companies might show different results.
- One company per sector is used as an indicator towards that sector which might not be perfect indicator.
- In technical analysis volume is also used to complement price patterns but this paper focuses on test which are just based on price.

Future scope for further study
- Study could be extended to more companies across market cap.
- Statistical techniques other than the two used in this paper could also be used.

VII. Conclusion & Suggestions

Conclusion
Based on the companies under study, the following conclusions can be drawn:
- Stock returns are not efficient in extreme short term. However, they could be efficient in medium to long term period of more than a month.
- Stock price movement is not random in extreme short term particularly for companies like Larsen & Toubro Limited and Mahindra and Mahindra Limited. These companies reflect more volatile sectors such as Capital goods and Automobiles as against sectors such as FMCG, IT and Pharma.
- Stock price movements have a tendency to give fair reflation of the nature of the business.
- Sun Pharmaceutical Industries Limited representing pharma sector is the most random in the price movement.
- Irrespective of the sector, stocks do correct (indicated by negative correlation in returns over various time frames) and give long term investors investment opportunities.
- Even though stock prices may move in same direction the magnitude might not be same as the previous movement indicated by weak correlation numbers.

Suggestion
- Short term traders could focus more on stocks from sectors such Capital goods and Automobiles.
- Extreme short term traders should focus on momentum in the particular direction. They should avoid countrend trades in short term.
- Even in short term (less than one month) stock prices show some tendency of mean reversion so short term traders and particularly long term investors could wait for correct price point before executing the trade.
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