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Abstract: In this paper an attempt has been made to control frequency deviations using Particle Swarm Optimization in a two area system. And PSO technique has been used to optimize the parameters of TCPS and SMES. Here TCPS is installed in series with Tieline in coordination with SMES. There is the comparative study of transient response and tie-line deviation of the system with and without optimized TCPS and SMES. The proposed frequency scheme is improved by using PSO optimized SMES and TCPS.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The main objective of power system operation and control is to maintain continuous supply of power with an acceptable quality, to all the consumers in the system. The system will be in equilibrium, when there is a balance between the power demand and the power generated. There are two basic control mechanisms used to achieve reactive power balance (acceptable voltage profile) and real power balance (acceptable frequency values). The former is called the automatic voltage regulator (AVR) and the latter is called the automatic load frequency control (ALFC) or automatic generation control (AGC)[1].

The parallel operation of interconnected systems is the today’s requirement with the increase of size of electric power system, controlling the frequency of interconnected power system has becoming the challenge for control engineer[3]. The deviation of the frequencies and tie-line power arise because of unpredictable load variations, which occur due to a mismatch between the generated and the demanded power[1]. The main objective of providing an Automatic Generation Control is to maintain system frequency at nominal value.

A. Automatic Generation Control: Fundamentals

The main objectives of AGC are to regulate the frequency (using both primary and supplementary controls). The ALFC is use to control the frequency deviation by maintaining the real power balance in the system. The ALFC loop shown in Fig. is called the primary ALFC loop. It achieves the primary goal of real power balance by adjusting the turbine output to match the change in load demand[3]. The restoration of the frequency to the nominal value requires an additional control loop called the supplementary loop. This objective is met by using integral controller which makes the frequency deviation zero[2]. The ALFC with the supplementary loop is generally called the AGC.

![Block diagram representation of AGC unit.](image)

In a single area system, there is no tie-line schedule to be maintained. Only it has to deal with frequency.
B. Modeling of TCPS:
The incremental Tie-Line power flow without TCPS is given as[5]:
\[
\Delta P_{net2}(s) = \frac{2\pi T_{12}}{s} (\Delta F_1(s) - \Delta F_2(s))
\]
(1)
Where \( T_{12} \) is the synchronizing constant without TCPS and \( \Delta F_1(s), \Delta F_2(s) \) are the frequency deviation in area1 & area2 respectively. Current flowing from Area 1 to Area 2 is given by[5], when TCPS is placed in cascade form with tie-line
\[
i_{12} = \left[ |V_1| \angle (\delta_1 + \phi) - |V_2| \angle (\delta_2) \right] jX_{12}
\]
(2)
and
\[
P_{net12} - jQ_{net12} = \left[ |V_1| \angle (\delta_1 + \phi) - |V_2| \angle (\delta_2) \right] / jX_{12}
\]
(3)
The above expression can be written as
\[
P_{net12} = \frac{|V_1||V_2|}{X_{12}} \sin(\delta_1 - \delta_2 + \phi)
\]
(4)
\[
\Delta P_{net12} = \frac{|V_1||V_2|}{X_{12}} \cos(\delta_1^0 - \delta_2^0 + \phi^0) \sin(\Delta \delta_1 - \Delta \delta_2 + \Delta \phi)
\]
(5)
In the above equation, \( \Delta \delta_1 - \Delta \delta_2 + \Delta \phi \) can be neglected, therefore,
\[
\Delta P_{net12} = \frac{|V_1||V_2|}{X_{12}} \cos(\delta_1^0 - \delta_2^0 + \phi^0)(\Delta \delta_1 - \Delta \delta_2 + \Delta \phi)
\]
(6)
\[
\Delta P_{net12} = T_{12}(\Delta \delta_1 - \Delta \delta_2 + \Delta \phi)
\]
(7)
Where, \( T_{12} = \frac{|V_1||V_2|}{X_{12}} \cos(\delta_1^0 - \delta_2^0 + \phi^0) \)
(8)
\[
\Delta P_{net12} = T_{12}(\Delta \delta_1 - \Delta \delta_2) + T_{12}\Delta \phi
\]
(9)
But \( \Delta \delta_1 = 2\pi \int \Delta f_1 dt \) and \( \Delta \delta_2 = 2\pi \int \Delta f_2 dt \)
(10)
Therefore,
\[
\Delta P_{net12} = 2\pi T_{12}(\int \Delta f_1 dt - \int \Delta f_2 dt) + T_{12}\Delta \phi
\]
(11)
Its Laplace transform given by
\[
\Delta P_{net12} = \frac{2\pi T_{12}}{s} (\Delta F_1(s) - \Delta F_2(s)) + T_{12}\Delta \phi(s)
\]
(12)
The tie line power flow can be controlled by the phase shifter angle \( \Delta \phi \).
Assuming the control input signal to the TCPS controller is \( \Delta Error(s) \) and that the transfer function of the signaling conditioning circuit is \( K_\phi(s) \), where \( K_\phi(s) \) is the gain of the TCPS controller.
The phase shifter angle can be written as
\[
\Delta \phi(s) = \frac{K_\phi}{1 + sT_{ps}} \Delta Error(s)
\]
(13)
Where \( K_\phi \) and \( T_{ps} \) are the gain and the time constants of the TCPS and \( \Delta Error_1(s) \) is the control signals which control phase angle of the phase shifter.
Thus Eqn.12 can be written as :
\[
\Delta P_{net12} = \frac{2\pi T_{12}}{s} (\Delta F_1(s) - \Delta F_2(s)) + T_{12} \frac{K_\phi}{1 + sT_{ps}} \Delta Error(s)
\]
(14)
\( \Delta Error \) can be any signal such as the areafrequency deviation \( \Delta f_1 \) or frequency deviation \( \Delta f_2 \) or ACE of the other area to the TCPS unit to control the TCPS phase shifter angle which in turn controls the tie-line power flow.
The above logic can be demonstrated as below:

![Fig1: Structure of TCPS as a frequency stabilizer](image)

**C. Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO):**

Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) is a technique used to explore the search space of a given problem to find the settings or parameters required to maximize a particular objective. This technique, first described by James Kennedy and Russell C. Eberhart in 1995 [3], originates from two separate concepts: the idea of swarm intelligence based off the observation of swarming habits by certain kinds of animals (such as birds and fish); and the field of evolutionary computation.

PSO belongs to the broad class of stochastic optimization algorithms. PSO is a population-based algorithm that exploits a population of individuals to probe promising regions of the search space. In this context, the population is called a swarm and the individuals are called particles. Each particle moves with an adaptable velocity within the search space, and retains in its memory the best position it ever encountered.

In the global variant of PSO the best position ever attained by all individuals of the swarm is communicated to all the particles. In the local variant, each particle is assigned to a neighborhood consisting of a prespecified number of particles. In this case, the best position ever attained by the particles that comprise the neighborhood is communicated among them. Finally, the PSO algorithm maintains the best fitness value achieved among all particles in the swarm, called the global best fitness, and the candidate solution that achieved this fitness, called the global best position or global best candidate solution. PSO also keeps the track of the all the best values that the particles have achieved so far.

**II. SYSTEM MODELING:**

![Fig3: Linearised model of two area AGC system using SMES](image)

(a) Linearised model of two area system using SMES:

In this we have considered a two area system with smes. The PID controller used in this system is being optimized using PSO, even the control variables of SMES system is optimized using PSO. For the dynamic performance of the test system 10% load change is considered.

(b) Mathematical model of SMES:

The structure of frequency stabilizer for SMES is modeled as the second order lead-lag compensator [4] and is shown in Fig. The objective of AGC is to reestablish primary frequency regulation, restore the frequency to its nominal value as quickly as possible and minimize the tie-line power flows. In order to satisfy above requirements, the parameters of SMES are need to be optimized, which is done by using PSO.
(c) Calculation of Area control error:

In the control strategy each area of an interconnected system tries to regulate its area control error (ACE) to zero[2].

This error signal can be used to generate the Area Control Error (ACE) signal as:

\[ ACE_i = B_i \Delta f_i + \Delta P_{tie-i-error} \] (1)

Where, Bi is the frequency bias factor and \( \Delta f \) is the frequency deviation in area-i.

III. PSO WORKING:

The PSO algorithm works by simultaneously maintaining several candidate solutions in the search space. During each iteration of the algorithm, each candidate solution is evaluated by the objective function being optimized, determining the fitness of that solution[9]. Each candidate solution can be thought of as a particle “flying” through the fitness landscape finding the maximum or minimum of the objective function. Initially, the PSO algorithm chooses candidate solutions randomly within the search space. Figure shows the initial state of a four-particle PSO algorithm seeking the global maximum in a one-dimensional search space[8]. The PSO algorithm simply uses the objective function to evaluate its candidate solutions, and operates upon the resultant fitness values.

Each particle maintains its position, composed of the candidate solution and its evaluated fitness, and its velocity. Additionally, it remembers the best fitness value it has achieved, referred to as the individual best position or individual best candidate solution. The PSO algorithm consists of just three steps, which are repeated until some stopping condition is met:

1. Evaluate the fitness of each particle
2. Update individual and global best fitnesses and positions
3. Update velocity and position of each particle

The first two steps are fairly trivial[7].

The velocity of each particle in the swarm is updated using the following equation[8]:

\[ v_i(t+1) = w v_i(t) + c_1 r_1 [ \tilde{X}_i(t) - x_i(t)] + c_2 r_2 [g(t) - x_i(t)] \]

Where:
- \( v_i(t) \): Velocity of particle at \( t+1 \)th iteration
- \( w \): Velocity of particle at \( t \)th iteration
- \( c_1 \): acceleration factor related to gbest
- \( c_2 \): acceleration factor related to ibest
- \( r_1() \): random number between 0 and 1
- \( r_2() \): random number between 0 and 1
- \( gbest \): gbest position of swarm
- \( pbest \): pbest position of particle
IV. SIMULATION RESULTS:

PSO based PID controller replaced the GA based PID controller, simulation result showed best solution. Further, an SMES unit along with TCPS unit is introduced to the above system, its parameters have been optimized using PSO technique and we saw that an SMES & TCPS based PSO PID controller gave much better result as compared to only SMES based PSO PID controller.

(a) Simulation Results of Two area system with & without optimized TCPS:

![Fig7: Transient response of the test system with & without TCPS](image)

From the figure it can be seen that the system with optimized TCPS has less frequency deviation and much better dynamic stability as compared to the system without TCPS.

(b) Simulation Results of Two area system with optimized SMES without TCPS & with optimized SMES & TCPS

![Fig8: Transient response of the test system with optimized SMES & without TCPS & with Optimized SMES & TCPS](image)

Transient response of the system with optimized TCPS & SMES has better response and dynamic stability as compared to the system without TCPS and SMES.

(c) Comparison of Tie-line power deviation in two area system:
The Tie-line power deviation for test system without SMES and TCPS has been shown below.
Fig 9: Tie line deviation response of a PSO based test system without optimized SMES & TCPS

Fig 10: Tie line deviation for test system with SMES and TCPS.

From Fig 9 & 10 it can be seen that the settling time for the Tie line deviation for PSO based test system is much better as compared to GA based test system.

V. CONCLUSION:

Significant conclusion of this paper are as follows:

SMES coordination with TCPS can yield dynamic stability and effectively suppress the frequency and tie-line power oscillations after load disturbance and thus improve the transient response of the system. Application of TCPS coordinated with SMES may be successfully implemented for dynamic stabilization of dynamically unstable interconnected two-area multiple-units hydro-hydro system and for load frequency stabilization under load disturbance. PSO technique for the optimization of the parameters of PID controller, SMES & TCPS yields better result as compared to the traditional optimization technique.
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### APPENDIX

**Table:** The system data (capacity of each area is 1000MW)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value 1</th>
<th>Value 2</th>
<th>Value 3</th>
<th>Value 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P1=P2</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kp1=Kp2</td>
<td>120</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K1=K2</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1-B2</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1-D2</td>
<td>0.0803</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tp1=tp2</td>
<td>265</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T12</td>
<td>0.0707</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tg1-Tg2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T11-T12</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Optimized SMES Parameters**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value 1</th>
<th>Value 2</th>
<th>Value 3</th>
<th>Value 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ksmes</td>
<td>0.2907</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.1119</td>
<td>0.8654</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iones</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.1229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Optimized TCPS Parameters**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value 1</th>
<th>Value 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kϕ</td>
<td>3.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tps</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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