Design optimization and experimental analysis of Piezoelectric Energy harvester

A. Rami Reddy, D. Ezhilarasi, M. Umapathy

Department of Instrumentation and Control Engg. National Institute of Technology, Tiruchirappalli-620015, India

Abstract: This paper describes an approach to harvest electrical energy from a mechanically excited piezoelectric element. The structure of piezoelectric energy harvester is optimized for maximum power with minimum dimensions through Genetic Algorithm approach to enhance the conversion of mechanical energy into electrical energy using direct piezoelectric effect. Numerical analysis is carried out to obtain optimal PZT position on the cantilever beam to get maximum harvested power. A rectifier with boost converter IC is used for converting harvested power in to usable DC power. The simulation results are verified experimentally. **Keywords:** Energy harvester, FEM analysis, Genetic Algorithm, Optimization, Piezoelectric.

I. Introduction

Over the last couple of decade energy harvesting technologies have received a great attention at both the industrial and academic levels. Harvesting energy from the environment (solar, thermal, kinetic, etc.) is a promising alternative to batteries and it has been receiving much more attention lately due to the increasing demand for wireless sensor networks, implantable medical electronics, tire-pressure sensor networks, MEMS devices etc.[1]-[6]. Different energy harvesting techniques have been investigated for the purpose to broaden the energy sources, alleviate the dependence on batteries, and hopefully someday make all WSN devices self-powered[7]-[12].

There are various methods to convert ambient energy into electrical energy. According to the conversion mechanism, the power harvesting device can be classified into three types: piezoelectric, electromagnetic and electrostatic [13]. Among these different types of conversion, piezoelectric transducers have been of great promise and interest. In an energy harvesting system using piezoelectric materials, mechanical energy can be converted to electrical energy through the piezoelectric effect, and the wasted energy such as wind and tidal energy and the generated vibrations on the structure will be reutilized [14]. Vibration is one of the most commonly available forms of ambient energy, found in civil structures, machines, the human body, etc. Various vibration sources with their maximum acceleration magnitude and frequency range were well listed by Roundy et al. [15]-[19] and it is a popular way of extracting electrical energy from the environment [20]-[29].

In this work the analytical design of energy harvesting structure is presented and the same is optimized using Genetic Algorithm to get maximum power. Numerical optimization is done using the COVENTORWARE to fix the PZT position on the beam from the fixed end. With the optimized design, experimentation is carried out with magnetic force induced vibration to give maximum output power in mW.

II. Analytical design of Energy harvesting structure

The piezoelectric energy harvester is described by two PZT layers mounted on an Aluminum rectangular cantilever beam with a proof mass placed at the free end, as shown in Fig. 1. In order to vibrate the cantilever beam, one cylindrical permanent magnet is attached at the free end of the cantilever beam (tip mass) and other one is cylindrical electromagnet. The tip is excited through an electromagnet placed above at a distance x_i . The electromagnet is a type of magnet in which the magnetic field is produced by the flow of electric current. The magnetic field disappears when the current is turned off. Much stronger magnetic fields can be produced if a "core" of ferromagnetic material, such as soft iron, is placed inside the coil. The magnetic field created by the electromagnet is proportional to both the number of turns in the winding, N, and the current in the wire, *i* hence this product, N_i , in ampere-turns, is the name magnetomotive force. The complete analytical model of the energy harvester along with electromagnet is presented below.





The inductance of the coil (electromagnet) is,

$$L_c = \frac{\mu N^2 A}{L_e} \tag{1}$$

Where ' μ ' is the permeability of the iron core, 'N' is the number of turns in the coil, 'A' is the cross sectional area of core and ' L_e ' is the length of the electromagnet. The power given by the electromagnet is,

$$P_i = \frac{1}{2} L_c i^2 \tag{2}$$

where, 'i' is alternating current through the electromagnet.



Fig. 2 Magnet flux density at point x1

The magnetic flux density at a distance x_1 from the cylindrical permanent magnet, from Fig. 2 is,

$$B_{x_{1}} = \frac{B_{r}}{2} \left(\frac{L_{r} + x_{1}}{\sqrt{R^{2} + (L_{r} + x_{1})^{2}}} - \frac{x_{1}}{\sqrt{R^{2} + x_{1}^{2}}} \right)$$
(3)

where, L_r , R, and B_r , are the length, radius and flux density of the permanent magnet respectively. The alternating force between the permanent magnet and the electromagnet is, $F_{current} = NiAB_{x_1}/L_e$ (4)

From, Eqs. (3) and (4),
$$F_{current} = \frac{NiAB_r}{2L_e} \left(\frac{L_r + x_1}{\sqrt{R^2 + (L_r + x_1)^2}} - \frac{x_1}{\sqrt{R^2 + \chi_1^2}} \right)$$
 (5)

The Eq. (5) is only an approximation which does not consider hysteresis between the magnetic force of attraction and repulsion. The Eq. (1) - (5) are used to estimate force applied to the free end of the beam. The approximate stress and deflection at specific point 'x' as a function of ratio of distance from the fixed end to the specific location on beam is obtained from Hooke's law.

Stress is given by, $\sigma = \frac{F_{current}}{z}(L-x)$

Where 'L' is the Length of the cantilever beam, 'z' is the section modulus, $z = \frac{BT^2}{6}$ (7)

Where ' B ' is the width of the beam, ' T ' is the thickness of the beam.

Deflection at specified point x, is given by,
$$y = \frac{F_{current}x^2}{6EI}(3L - x)$$
 (8)

where, '*E*' is the modulus of elasticity of beam material, '*I*' is the moment of inertia, $I = \frac{BT^3}{12}$ (9) The reconcert forevenue is found by combining Number's forevent to be a blue by the

The resonant frequency is found by combining Newton's Second Law and Hooke's Law.

Resonance frequency
$$\omega = \sqrt{\frac{EBT^3}{4(M+m)L^3}}$$
 (10)

where, M is the mass of the structure, m is the mass of the permanent magnet(tip mass).

If piezoelectric patches are bonded at certain section of the beam surface, the output voltage from PZT can be estimated by multiplying the stress at that location with the piezoelectric voltage constant, g_{31} . Assuming that the material is linear, elastic, and isotropic with an average stress applied along the 1–1 direction, the output voltage can be determined as follows:

$$V = g_{31} * \sigma * t$$
(11)
where 't' is the thickness of the nizzedestric metarial Combining Eqs. (5) (6) and (7) we get

where, 't' is the thickness of the piezoelectric material. Combining Eqs. (5), (6) and (7), we get,

$$\sigma = \frac{6(L-x)}{BT^2} \frac{NiAB_r}{2L_e} \left(\frac{L_r + x_1}{\sqrt{R^2 + (L_r + x_1)^2}} - \frac{x_1}{\sqrt{R^2 + x_1^2}} \right)$$
(12)

Combining Eqs. (11) and (12), we get,

$$V = 3g_{31}t \frac{(L-x)}{BT^2} \frac{NiAB_r}{L_e} \left(\frac{L_r + x_1}{\sqrt{R^2 + (L_r + x_1)^2}} - \frac{x_1}{\sqrt{R^2 + \chi_1^2}} \right)$$
(13)

Resistive load for which the output power reaches its maximum valve is given by (Lu et al).

Resistive Load,
$$R_L = \frac{t}{BL\varepsilon_{33}w}$$
 (14)

where, ' \mathcal{E}_{33} ' is the relative permittivity of piezoelectric material, 'w' is the width of the PZT.

From Eqs. (13) and (14), the output power of the piezoelectric energy harvester connected to a resistive load can V^2

be expressed as:
$$P_o = \frac{V}{R_L}$$
 (15)

From the Eqs. (2) and (15), the efficiency of the piezoelectric energy harvester is calculated.

III. Optimization of structure using Genetic Algorithm

In their most basic form, Genetic Algorithm's are function optimizers, i.e., methods for seeking extreme of a given objective function. Here the design objective is to get maximum power from minimum structure and PZT dimension. Using the analytical design presented in section 2 the energy harvesting structure is optimized by Genetic Algorithm. The four design constraints used are as follows. The Maximum volume of the Beam and PZT are 12000 mm³ and 1000 mm³ respectively, the minimum power to be 5 mW and maximum stress is 5 MNm⁻². The properties of the piezoelectric energy harvester are shown in Table 1.

(6)

Property	Value		
Residual flux density of the permanent $magnet(B_r)$, Tesla	1.1		
Length of the permanent magnet(L_r), mm	6.5		
Radius of the permanent $magnet(R)$, mm	4.5		
Length of the $coil(L_e)$, mm	16		
Number of turns in coil(<i>N</i>)	2000		
Young's modulus of the $beam(E)$, Gpa	71		
Piezoelectric strain constant(d_{3l}), mV ⁻¹	-247×10^{-12}		
Piezoelectric stress constant(g_{3l}),VmN ⁻¹	-9x10 ⁻³		
Dielectric constant(K_3^T)	3100		
Modulus of elasticity of PZT(E), Gpa	47.62		
Density of PZT(ρ_{PZT}), Kgm ⁻³	7500		
Density of Al beam(p _{Al}), Kgm ⁻³	2700		
Relative permittivity of piezoelectric material(ϵ_{33}), Fm ⁻¹	3500x8.85x10 ⁻¹²		
Distance between magnets(x1), mm	6		
Radius of the core of the electromagnet(R), mm	6.5		
permeability of iron $core(\mu)$, Hm^{-1}	8.5x10 ⁻³		
permanent electromagnet(tip) mass(m), Kg	7.8x10 ⁻³		
Mass of the structure (M), Kg	29x10 ⁻³		

The Genetic Algorithm is an iterative procedure which maintains a constant-size population of candidate solutions. During each iteration step, called a generation, the structures in the current population are evaluated, and, on the basis of those evaluations, a new population of candidate solutions is formed. The parameters defined in Genetic Algorithm are as follows: the number of strings, number of generations/iterations and string populations are taken as 100 and number of parents is assumed to be 10. The analysis for optimum dimensions of the piezoelectric energy harvester for the given constraints is carryout. The Results of the Genetic Algorithm Optimization Method are shown in Table 2.

Table 2: Optimum dimensions of Energy harvester from Genetic algorithm							
Parameter	Lower limit	Upper limit	Optimum value from GA				
Length of the Beam(L),mm	70	200	120				
Width of the beam(B),mm	10	30	25				
Thickness of the beam(T),mm	0.5	2	1.2				
Length of PZT(1),mm	70	80	76				
Width of PZT(b),mm	20	30	25				
Thickness of PZT(t),mm	0.1	1	0.5				

.



Fig. 3 Output power as function of both external resistance and stress from GA The optimum beam volume, PZT volume and power obtained are 3600 mm³, 950 mm³ and 17 mW respectively for the stress of 2 Mpa. Output power as function of both external resistance and stress for the design parameters

obtained from the genetic algorithm is shown in Fig. 3. From the results it is observed that the power increases when stress increases and resistance decreases.

IV. Numerical design and analysis for optimum PZT position on cantilever beam

For the optimum dimension of Energy harvester obtained from Genetic Algorithm, the maximum output power varies with respect to the PZT position on the beam. Since the bending moment along the beam as well as generated voltage from PZT varies with respect to the composite element position on the beam from the fixed end, numerical design is carried out using FEM design and simulation software COVENTORWARE. The process steps required to design Energy harvester are shown in Fig. 4. Fig. 5 shows the layout for building the solid model of the Energy harvester. For mapping the model, non-linear Tetrahydron element of element size 0.1 mm is used. One end of the beam is taken as fixed for the fixed end of the beam. The other end of the beam a mass is attached as a tip mass to load the beam for vibration. The PZT 5H (Piezoceramic) is attached to the beam and the generated voltage measured from electrodes. The developed solid 3-dimension model of the Energy harvester with the required mesh for the finite element analysis is shown in Fig. 6.

P	Process Editor - [C:/Coventor/Design_Files_2008/ARREDDY/Devices/arr.proc]												
) F	File Edit View Tools Windows Help												
∐ [D 🚰 🕞 🚜 🐂 🗙 🔊 🥙 🗟 🗃 😹 🕼 🧭												
Nur	nber	Step Name	Layer Name	Material Name	Thickness	Mask Name	Photoresist	Depth	Mask Offset	Sidewall Angle	Comments		
	0	Substrate	Substrate	SILICON	50	SubstrateMask							
	1	Stack Material	BEAM	ALUMINUM	1200								
	2	Straight Cut				BEAM	+		0	0			
	3	Stack Material	PIEZO	PZT	500								
	4	Straight Cut				PIEZO	+		0	0			
	5	Planar Fill	mass	ALUMINUM	3000								
l	6	Straight Cut				MASS	+		0	0			

Fig. 4 Process steps of the Energy harvester



Fig. 5 Layout of the solid 3D model

Fig. 6 The solid 3D model of the Energy harvester

The optimum dimensions of PZT and Aluminum cantilever beam obtained from Genetic Algorithm are used in numerical analysis. The PZT position on the cantilever beam from the fixed end for maximum output is analyzed through MemMech piezoelectric analysis. Using the modal analysis the first mode resonance frequency is analyzed for each PZT position on the Al cantilever beam from the fixed end which is shown in Fig. 7. From the results it is found that the resonance frequency decreases when the piezoeromic composite element moved from the fixed end.



Fig. 7 First mode resonance frequencies corresponding to each PZT position on the Al cantilever beam from the fixed end

Simulation is carried out using direct harmonic analysis at first mode resonance frequency with a load of 0.001N for different PZT positions which is shown in Fig. 8. The variable 'x' represents the PZT position on the Al cantilever beam from the fixed end. From the results it is seen that the maximum voltage of 1.2V is produced by the piezoelectric element when it is placed at a distance of 2cm from the fixed end, which is three times greater than that with piezo element at fixed end.



Fig. 8 The voltage output for different PZT positions from the fixed end of the cantilever beam at first mode resonance frequencies.

Similar kind of analysis (VARY analysis) is also carried out using Architect to get the frequency response for different PZT positions directly. The schematic created in Architect is shown in Fig. 9. The Al cantilever beam is fixed at one end. A load of 0.001N is applied at the free end. The PZT position on the Al cantilever beam is varied from the fixed end using VARY analysis. At each PZT position resonance frequency and the corresponding voltage output is found using small signal ac analysis. Fig. 10 shows frequency versus voltage output at different PZT positions on the Al cantilever beam from the fixed end. From the above numerical analyses the optimum PZT location from the fixed end of the cantilever beam for maximum voltage output is found to be 2cm.



Fig. 9 The schematic created in Architect



Fig. 10 Frequencies versus voltage output for different PZT position on the Al cantilever beam

V. Experimental evaluation with practical model

A flexible Aluminum beam with a clamped end as shown in Fig. 11 is considered in this paper. Two piezoceramic patches are surface bonded on top and bottom of the aluminum beam. Permanent magnet is located at the free end of the beam. The excitation to the system is by means of an electromagnet which is located over the fixed magnet. The dimensions and properties of the beam and piezoceramic patches are given in Table 1 and Table 2.



Fig. 11 The image of the experimental setup

The piezoceramic of type SP-5H, which is equivalent to NAVY TYPE VI. The piezoceramics are electroded with fixed-on adherent silver of solderable quality. Electrical contacts to the electrodes are made by soldering. This makes fragile piezoceramics much easier to work with and easier to integrate into the structure. The input to the electromagnetic coil has the frequency which is equal to the natural frequency of the energy harvesting

structure. Proposed within is the methodology to tune the resonant frequency of the vibration structure via the application of magnetic stiffness/forces using permanent magnets. When a magnetic force is applied to the cantilever, it is forced in to periodic motion at the drive frequency. The strain induced in the cantilever is dependent on the magnitude and frequency of the applied force. The distance between the magnets is limited by the geometrical stiffness in the beam and the magnetic flux density of the magnets. Magnetic contact can be avoided by optimizing the stiffness of the beam and the maximum distance between the magnets. Analytical simulation and experimentation is carried out by changing the input force applied to the Aluminum cantilever beam which is shown in Fig. 12, the results are in close agreement.



Fig. 12 Force versus voltage of Energy harvester

To manage the energy harvesting and the energy release to the system, the LTC3588-1 piezoelectric energy harvesting power supply integrates a low loss internal bridge rectifier with nanopower high efficiency synchronous buck converter. It uses an efficient optimized energy harvesting algorithm to collect and store energy from high impedance piezoelectric elements, which can have short-circuited currents on the order of tens of micro amps. An ultra quiescent current under voltage lockout (UVLO) mode with a wide hysteresis window allows charge to accumulate on an input capacitor until the buck converter can efficient transfer a portion of the stored charge to the output. In regulation, the LTC 3588-1 enters a sleep state in which both input and output quiescent currents are minimal. The buck converter turns on and off as needed to maintain voltage regulation and bleeds off any excess power via an internal shunt regulator. The LTC 3588-1 has a feature of selecting of 4 different output voltages ranging from 1.8V to 3.6V and up to 100mA of continuous output current and LTC 3588-2 has range of 3.45V to 5V. The output voltage is selected depending upon the application requirements. The output response of the energy harvesting IC LTC3588-1 for $5V_{PP}$ selection is shown in Fig. 13(a) which shows average DC output voltage of 4.96V. To observe the load characteristics both the piezoelectrics are connected to LTC 3588 at 3.6V range selection. Output of one piezoelectric is used to drive the load (LED) and the other output without load are shown in Fig. 13(b). When load is connected the voltage drops down to 1.23V from 3.52V. The load current of 52µA is measured by Agilent 3458A 8¹/₂ digital multimeter and the power consumption is around 64 µW.







Fig. 14 The input and output characteristics of piezoelectric energy harvester

The input and output power characteristics of the piezoelectric energy harvester are shown in Fig. 14. From the experimental results it is found that the output power remains almost constant after a certain point depending upon the PZT dimension.

VI. Conclusions

Genetic Algorithm based optimization approach for the design of Energy harvester which shows great potential and the possibility for enhanced energy recovery from ambient vibrations. Numerical analysis is also carried out using FEM design and simulation software COVENTORWARE to decide the optimum PZT position on the Aluminum cantilever beam for maximum output power. For the designed structure the optimum position for PZT on beam is found to be 2cm from the fixed end. Experiments were carried out to support numerical analysis. The harvested AC power is converted into usable DC power by Piezoelectric Energy Harvesting Power Supply device LTC3588. The output current of 52μ A is measured with 10 ohm resistance LED as a load and the power produced is around 64μ W from single piezoelectric. MEMS sensors, hearing aids and other low power wireless nodes can be powered by combining the output power from both the piezoelectric.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to acknowledge the support from the Department of Science and Technology from Indian government, under the grant No. 100/IFD/6520/2010-11.

References

- Marco Ferrari, Vittorio Ferrari, Michele Guizzetti and Daniele Marioli, "An autonomous battery less sensor module powered by piezoelectric energy harvesting with RF transmission of multiple measurement signals", Smart materials and Structures.18(2009), pp.1-9.
- [2] Vinod R Challa, M G Prasad and Frank T Fisher, "Towards an autonomous self-tuning vibration energy harvesting device for wireless sensor network applications", Smart materials and Structures. 20 (2011), pp.1-11.
- [3] Ramadass Y.K. and A. P. Chandrakasan, "An efficient piezoelectric energy harvesting interface circuit using a bias-flip rectifier and shared inductor", IEEE J. Solid State Circuits, vol. 45 (2010), no. 1, pp. 189–204.
- [4] Anton S.R. and H.A. Sodano, "A review of power harvesting using piezoelectric materials (2003–2006)", Smart materials and Structures. 16 (2007), pp. R1–R21.
- [5] N.G. Stephen, "On energy harvesting from ambient vibration", Journal of Sound and Vibration 293 (2006) 409–425.
- Thiago Seuaciuc-Osorio, Mohammed F. Daqaq, "Energy harvesting under excitations of time-varying frequency", Journal of Sound and Vibration 329(2010)2497–2515.
- [7] Khaligh A., P. Zeng, and C. Zheng, "Kinetic energy harvesting using piezoelectric and electromagnetic technologies-State of the art", IEEE Transactions, Industrial Electronics, vol. 57 (2010), no. 3, pp. 850–860.
- [8] Lei Wang and F G Yuan, "Vibration energy harvesting by magnetostrictive material", Smart materials and Structures. 17 (2008), pp.1-14.
- [9] Steven R Anton1 and Henry A Sodano, "A review of power harvesting using piezoelectric materials", Smart materials and Structures.16 (2007), pp. R1–R21.
- [10] Y.K.Tan Y.K. and S. Panda, "Optimized wind energy harvesting system using resistance emulator and active rectifier for wireless sensor nodes", IEEE Transactions, Power Electronics, vol. 26 (2011), no. 1, pp. 38–50.
- [11] Jamil M.Renno, Mohammed F.Daqaq, Daniel J.Inman, "On the optimal energy harvesting from a vibration source", Journal of Sound and Vibration 320(2009)386–405.
- [12] B.P. Mann, B.A.Owens, "Investigations of a nonlinear energy harvester with a bistable potential well", Journal of Sound and Vibration329 (2010)1215–1226.
- [13] Timothy G S Overly, Gyuhae Park, Kevin M Farinholtand Charles R Farrar, "Development of an extremely compact impedancebased wireless sensing device", Smart materials and Structures.17 (2008), pp.1-9.
- [14] Hui Shen, Jinhao Qiu, Hongli Ji, Kongjun Zhu and Marco Balsi, "Enhanced synchronized switch harvesting: a new energy harvesting scheme for efficient energy extraction", Smart materials and Structures.19 (2010), pp.1-14.
- [15] Yabin Liao and Henry A Sodano, "Optimal parameters and power characteristics of piezoelectric energy harvesters with an RC circuit", Smart materials and Structures.18 (2009), pp.1-11.
- [16] Ji-Tzuoh Lin, Barclay Lee and Bruce Alphenaar, "The magnetic coupling of a piezoelectric cantilever for enhanced energy harvesting efficiency", Smart materials and Structures.19 (2010), pp.1-7.

- [17] Alex Phipps and Toshikazu Nishida, "System modeling of piezoelectric energy harvesters", IEEE Transactions, Power Electronics, vol. 27 (2012), no. 2, pp. 790–802.
- [18] Kuok H. Mak, Stewart McWilliam, Atanas A. Popov, Colin H.J. Fox, "Performance of a cantilever piezoelectric energy harvester impacting a bump stop", Journal of Sound and Vibration 330(2011)6184–6202.
- [19] C.R. McInnesa, D.G. Gorman, M.P. Cartmell, "Enhanced vibrational energy harvesting using nonlinear stochastic resonance", Journal of Sound and Vibration 318 (2008) 655–662.
- [20] SaberMohammadi and Akram Khodayari, "Damping Analyses of Structural Vibrations and Shunted Piezoelectric Transducers", Smart Materials Research, Hindawi Publishing Corporation, Volume 2012, Article ID 431790.
- [21] Rohan Dayal, Suman Dwari, Leila Parsa, "A new design for vibration-based coil inductance of micro generator", IEEE Transactions on industry applications, vol.47 (2011), no.2, pp. 820–830.
- [22] Soobum Lee and Byeng D. Youn, "A new piezoelectric energy harvesting design concept: Multimodal energy harvesting skin", IEEE Transactions on Ultrasonics, Ferroelectrics, and Frequency Control, vol. 58 (2011), no. 3, pp. 629–645.
- [23] Xiaotian Li, Mingsen Guo, and Shuxiang Dong, "A flex-compressive-mode piezoelectric transducer for mechanical vibration/strain energy harvesting", IEEE Transactions on Ultrasonics, Ferroelectrics, and Frequency Control, vol. 58 (2011), no. 4, pp. 698–703.
- [24] Amin M. Karami, Onur Bilgen, Daniel J. Inman, and Michael I. Friswell, "Experimental and analytical parametric study of singlecrystal unimorph beams for vibration energy harvesting", IEEE Transactions on Ultrasonics, Ferroelectrics, and Frequency Control, vol. 58 (2011), no. 7, pp. 1508–1520.
- [25] Cheng Luo, Heath F. Hofmann, "Wideband energy harvesting for piezoelectric devices with linear resonant behavior", IEEE Transactions on Ultrasonics, Ferroelectrics, and Frequency Control, vol. 58(2011), no. 7, pp. 1294–1301.
- [26] Carlos De Marqui Junior, Alper Erturk, Daniel J. Inman, "An electromechanical finite element model for piezoelectric energy harvester plates", Journal of Sound and Vibration 327(2009)9–25.
- [27] John M. Youngsman, Tim Luedeman, Dylan J. Morris, Michael J. Anderson, David F. Bahr, "A model for an extensional mode resonator used as a frequency-adjustable vibration energy harvester", Journal of Sound and Vibration 329(2010)277–288.
- [28] A. Erturk, D. J. Inman, "Broadband piezoelectric power generation on high-energy orbits of the bistable Duffing oscillator with electromechanical coupling", Journal of Sound and Vibration 330(2011)2339–2353.
- [29] Shashank Priya, Daniel J. Inman (2009), "Energy Harvesting Technologies", New York, NY: Springer.