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Abstract: This paper presents application of bat algorithm (BA) for solving economic dispatch (ED) problem considering valve-point effect and transmission losses. The practical ED problems have non-smooth cost function with equality and inequality constraints, which make the problem of finding the global optimum difficult when using any mathematical approaches. Bat algorithm is an optimization technique motivated by the echolocation behavior of natural bats in finding their foods. To demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed method, the numerical studies have been performed for 6-units system. The results of the proposed method are compared with other techniques reported in recent literature. The results clearly show that the proposed technique outperforms other state-of-the-art algorithms in solving ED problem with the valve-point effect.
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I. Introduction

Modern power systems have been growing in size and complexity with increasing interconnection between systems. Economic dispatch (ED) is an important optimization task in power system operation for allocating generation among the committed units. The objective of the ED problem is to determine the amount of real power contributed by online thermal generators satisfying load demand at any time subject to all unit and system equality and inequality constraints so as the total generation cost is minimized. Therefore, it is very important to solve the problem as quickly and precisely as possible. Several classical optimization techniques such as gradient method, lambda iteration method, Newton’s method, linear programming, interior point method and dynamic programming have been used to solve the basic economic dispatch problem [1]. These mathematical methods require incremental or marginal fuel cost curves which should be monotonically increasing to find global optimal solution. In reality, however, the input-output characteristics of generating units are non-convex due to valve-point loadings and multi-fuel effects, etc. Also there are various practical limitations in operation and control such as ramp rate limits and prohibited operating zones, etc. Therefore, the practical ED problem is represented as a non-convex optimization problem with equality and inequality constraints, which cannot be solved by the traditional mathematical methods. Dynamic programming (DP) method [2] can solve such types of problems, but it suffers from so-called the curse of dimensionality. Over the past few decades, as an alternative to the conventional mathematical approaches, many salient methods have been developed for ED problem such as genetic algorithm (GA) [3-5], improved tabu search (TS) [6], simulated annealing (SA) [7], neural network (NN) [8-10], evolutionary programming (EP) [11-13], biogeography-based optimization (BBO) [14], particle swarm optimization (PSO) [15-17], and differential evolution (DE) [18, 19]. Theses algorithms are highly efficient and cannot easily trap in to local minima. In addition they are comfortable with all types of objective functions. Researchers across the world are constantly working to develop still efficient algorithms by copying the behavior of nature/species. Bat algorithm is one such algorithm for optimizing engineering tasks.

In this paper, bat algorithm is proposed for achieving improved results in the non-convex ED problem. This algorithm is with less number of operators and hence can be easily coded in any programming language. To prove the strength of this algorithm its performance is compared with other algorithms.

II. Problem Formulation

2.1. Economic Dispatch (ED) Problem

The objective of an ED problem is to find the optimal combination of power generations that minimizes the total generation cost while satisfying equality and inequality constraints. The fuel cost curve for any unit is assumed to be approximated by segments of quadratic functions of the active power output of the generator. For a given power system network, the problem may be described as optimization (minimization) of total fuel cost as defined by (1) under a set of operating constraints.
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\[ F_T = \sum_{i=1}^{n} F_i(P_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( a_i P_i^2 + b_i P_i + c_i \right) \]  

where \( F_T \) is total fuel cost of generation in the system ($/hr), a_i, b_i, and c_i are the cost coefficient of the \( i \) th generator, \( P_i \) is the power generated by the \( i \)th unit and \( n \) is the number of generators.

The cost is minimized subjected to the following constraints:

Generation capacity constraint,
\[ P_{i,\text{min}} \leq P_i \leq P_{i,\text{max}} \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, 2, \cdots, n \]  

Power balance constraint,
\[ P_D = \sum_{i=1}^{n} P_i - P_{\text{Loss}} \]  

where \( P_{i,\text{min}} \) and \( P_{i,\text{max}} \) are the minimum and maximum power output of the \( i \)th unit, respectively. \( P_D \) is the total load demand and \( P_{\text{Loss}} \) is total transmission loss. The transmission loss \( P_{\text{Loss}} \) can be calculated by using \( B \) matrix technique and is defined by (4) as,
\[ P_{\text{Loss}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} B_{ij} P_j + \sum_{i=1}^{n} B_{i0} P_i + B_{00} \]  

where \( B_{ij}, B_{i0} \) and \( B_{00} \) are transmission loss coefficients.

2.2. The ED Problem Considering Valve Point Effect

For more rational and precise modeling of fuel cost function, the above expression of cost function is to be modified suitably. The generating units with multi-valve steam turbines exhibit a greater variation in the fuel-cost functions [16]. The value opening process of multi-valve steam turbines produces a ripple-like effect in the heat rate curve of the generators.

The significance of this effect is that the actual cost curve function of a large steam plant is not continuous but more important it is non-linear. The valve-point effects are taken into consideration in the ED problem by superimposing the basic quadratic fuel-cost characteristics with the rectified sinusoid component as follows:
\[ F_T = \sum_{i=1}^{n} F_i(P_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( a_i P_i^2 + b_i P_i + c_i + e_i \times \sin(f_i \times (P_{i,\text{min}} - P_i)) \right) \]  

where \( F_T \) is total fuel cost of generation in ($/hr) including valve point loading, \( e_i, f_i \) are fuel cost coefficients of the \( i \)th generating unit reflecting valve-point effects.

III. Bat Algorithm (Ba)

Bat Algorithm is a metaheuristic approach that is based echolocation behavior of bats. The bat has the capability to find its prey in complete darkness. It was developed by Xin-She Yang in 2010 [20]. The algorithm mimics the echolocation behavior most prominent in bats. Bats send out streams of high-pitched sounds usually short and loud. These signals then bounce off nearby objects and send back echoes. The time delay between the emission and echo helps a bat navigate and hunt. This delay is used to interpret how far away an object is. Bats use frequencies ranging from 200 to 500 kHz. In the algorithm pulse rate ranges from 0 to 1 where 0 means no emissions and 1 means maximum emissions.

Natural bats are using the echolocation behavior in locating their foods. This echolocation characteristic is copied in the virtual Bat algorithm with the following assumptions:
1. All the bats are following the echolocation mechanism and they could distinguish between prey and obstacle.
2. Each bat randomly with velocity \( v_i \) at position \( x_i \) with a fixed frequency \( f_{\text{min}} \), varying wavelength \( \lambda \) and loudness \( A_0 \) while searching for prey. They adjust the wavelength (or frequency) of their emitted pulses and adjust the rate of pulse emission \( r \in [0, 1] \), depending on the distance of the prey.
3. Although the loudness can vary in many ways, we assume that the loudness varies from a large (positive) \( A_0 \) to a minimum constant value \( A_{\text{min}} \).

3.1. Initialization of Bat Algorithm

Initial population is generated randomly for \( n \) number of bats. Each individual of the population consists of real valued vectors with \( d \) dimensions. The following equation is used to generate the initial population:
\[ x_{ij} = x_{\text{min}j} + \text{rand}(0, 1)(x_{\text{max}j} - x_{\text{min}j}) \]  

where \( i = 1, 2, \cdots, n; j = 1, 2, \cdots, d; x_{\text{min}j} \) and \( x_{\text{max}j} \) are lower and upper boundaries for dimension \( j \) respectively.
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3.2. Movement of Virtual Bats

Defined rules are necessary for updating the position \( x_t \) and velocity \( v_t \). The new bat at the time step ‘\( t \)’ is found by the following equations.

\[
\begin{align*}
    f_t' &= f_{\min} + (f_{\max} - f_{\min})\beta \\
    v_{t}' &= v_{t}^{-1} + (x_{t}' - x_{best})f_{t} \\
    x_{t}' &= x_{t}^{-1} + v_{t}'
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \beta \in [0, 1] \) indicates randomly generated number, \( x_{best} \) represents current global best solutions.

For most of the applications, \( f_{\min} = 0 \) and \( f_{\max} = 100 \), depending the domain size of the problem of interest. Initially, each bat is randomly assigned a frequency which is drawn uniformly from \([f_{\min}, f_{\max}]\). For the local search part, once a solution is selected among the current best solutions, a new solution for each bat is generated locally using random walk.

\[
x_{new} = x_{old} + \alpha A^\gamma
\]

where \( \epsilon \in [-1, 1] \) is a random number, while \( A = < A' > \) is the average loudness of all the bats at this time step.

The update of the velocities and positions of bats have some similarity to the procedure in the standard particle swarm optimization as \( f_t \) essentially controls the pace and range of the movement of the swarming particles. To a degree, BA can be considered as a balanced combination of the standard particle swarm optimization and the intensive local search controlled by the loudness and pulse rate.

3.3. Loudness and Pulse Emission

Furthermore, the loudness \( A_t \) and the rate \( r_t \) of pulse emission have to be updated accordingly as the iterations proceed. As the loudness usually decreases once a bat has found its prey, while the rate of pulse emission increases, the loudness can be chosen as any value of convenience. Usually, \( A_0 = 100 \) and \( A_{\min} = 1 \). For simplicity, we can also use \( A_0 = 1 \) and \( A_{\min} = 0 \), assuming \( A_{\min} = 0 \) means that a bat has just found the prey and temporarily stop emitting any sound. Now we have

\[
A'^{\gamma} = \alpha A_t', \quad r'^{\gamma} = r_t'^{\gamma}[1 - \exp(-\gamma t)]
\]

where \( \alpha \) and \( \gamma \) are constants. In fact, \( \alpha \) is similar to the cooling factor of a cooling schedule in the simulated annealing. For any \( 0 < \alpha < 1 \) and \( \gamma > 0 \), we have

\[
A_t' \rightarrow 0, \quad r_t' \rightarrow r_0 \quad \text{as} \quad t \rightarrow \infty
\]

In the simplicity case, we can use \( \alpha = \gamma \), and we have used \( \alpha = \gamma = 0.9 \) in our simulations. The choice of parameters requires some experimenting. Initially, each bat should have different values of loudness and pulse emission rate, and this can be achieved by randomization.

**Pseudo Code of Bat Algorithm:**

**Objective function** \( f(x) \), \( x = (x_1, \cdots, x_d)^T \)

**Initialize** the bat population \( x_i \) \((i=1, 2, ..., n)\) and \( v_i \)

**Define** pulse frequency \( f_i \) at \( x_i \)

**Initialize** pulse rates \( r_i \) and the loudness \( A_i \)

**while** \((t < \text{Max number of iterations})\)

**Generate** new solutions by adjusting frequency, and updating velocities and locations/solutions (equations (7) to (10))

**if** \((\text{rand} > r_i)\)

**Select** a solution among the best solutions

**Generate** a local solution around the selected best solution

**end if**

**Generate** a new solution by flying randomly

**if** \((\text{rand} < A_i \& f(x_i) < f(x_{best}))\)

**Accept** the new solutions

**Increase** \( r_i \) and reduce \( A_i \)

**end if**

**Rank** the bats and find the current best \( x_{best} \)

**end while**

**Postprocess results and visualization**
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IV. Simulation Results

In order to demonstrate the performance of the proposed method, it is tested with 6 thermal units for solving ED problem with valve-point effect considering transmission losses. The total load demand on the system is 1263 MW. The parameters of all thermal units are presented in Table I [15], followed by B-loss coefficient.

The obtained results for the 6-unit system using the proposed method are given in Table II and the results are compared with other methods reported in literature, including GA, PSO and IDP [21], NPSO and NPSO-LRS [17]. It can be observed that Bat algorithm can get total generation cost of 15,447 ($/hr) and power losses of 12.7663 (MW), which is the best solution among all the methods. Note that the outputs of the generators are all within the generator’s permissible output limit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>$P_{i}^{\text{min}}$ (MW)</th>
<th>$P_{i}^{\text{max}}$ (MW)</th>
<th>a</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>c</th>
<th>e</th>
<th>f</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>0.0070</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.0095</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>0.0090</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>0.0090</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>0.063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.0080</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>0.063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>0.0075</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>0.063</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The obtained unit system using the proposed method are given in Table II and the results are compared with other methods reported in literature, including GA, PSO and IDP [21], NPSO and NPSO-LRS [17]. It can be observed that Bat algorithm can get total generation cost of 15,447 ($/hr) and power losses of 12.7663 (MW), which is the best solution among all the methods. Note that the outputs of the generators are all within the generator’s permissible output limit.

$B_{y} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0017 & 0.0012 & 0.0007 & -0.0001 & -0.0005 & -0.0002 \\ 0.0012 & 0.0014 & 0.0009 & 0.0001 & -0.0006 & -0.0001 \\ 0.0007 & 0.0009 & 0.0031 & 0.0000 & -0.0010 & -0.0006 \\ -0.0001 & 0.0001 & 0.0000 & 0.0024 & -0.0006 & -0.0008 \\ -0.0005 & -0.0006 & -0.0010 & -0.0006 & 0.0129 & -0.0002 \\ -0.0002 & -0.0001 & -0.0006 & -0.0008 & -0.0002 & 0.0150 \end{bmatrix}$

$B_{0} = 1.0e^{-3} \cdot \left[ -0.3908 -0.1297 0.7047 0.0591 0.2161 -0.6635 \right]$

$B_{00} = 0.0056$

Table II Comparison of the best results of each methods ($P_{0} = 1263$ MW)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit Output</th>
<th>GA</th>
<th>PSO</th>
<th>IDP</th>
<th>NPSO</th>
<th>NPSO-LRS</th>
<th>BA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P1 (MW)</td>
<td>474.8066</td>
<td>447.4970</td>
<td>450.9555</td>
<td>447.4734</td>
<td>446.9600</td>
<td>448.0319</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2 (MW)</td>
<td>178.6363</td>
<td>173.3221</td>
<td>173.0184</td>
<td>173.1012</td>
<td>173.3944</td>
<td>173.7350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P3 (MW)</td>
<td>262.2089</td>
<td>263.0594</td>
<td>263.6370</td>
<td>262.6804</td>
<td>262.3436</td>
<td>262.7634</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P4 (MW)</td>
<td>134.2826</td>
<td>139.0594</td>
<td>138.9555</td>
<td>139.4956</td>
<td>139.5120</td>
<td>139.5012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P5 (MW)</td>
<td>151.9039</td>
<td>165.4761</td>
<td>164.9937</td>
<td>165.3002</td>
<td>164.7089</td>
<td>164.1860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P6 (MW)</td>
<td>74.1812</td>
<td>87.1280</td>
<td>85.3094</td>
<td>87.9761</td>
<td>89.0162</td>
<td>87.5488</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total power output (MW)</td>
<td>1276.03</td>
<td>1276.01</td>
<td>1275.98</td>
<td>1275.95</td>
<td>1275.94</td>
<td>1274.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total generation cost ($/hr)</td>
<td>15.459</td>
<td>15.450</td>
<td>15.450</td>
<td>15.450</td>
<td>15.450</td>
<td>15.447</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V. Conclusion

In this paper, a simple and an efficient optimization technique based on BA is addressed for solving economic dispatch problem considering valve-point effect and transmission losses. The effectiveness of the proposed method is illustrated by using a 6-unit test system and compared with the results obtained from other method. It is evident from the comparison that the proposed technique provides better results than other methods in terms of minimum production cost and power loss.
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