# A Review on Energy Efficient Protocols Implementing DR Schemes and SEECH in Wireless Sensor Networks

Shaveta Gupta<sup>1</sup>, Vinay Bhatia<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1,2</sup>(ECE Deptt. Baddi University of Emerging Sciences and Technology, HP)

**ABSTRACT**: Nowadays Wireless Sensor Network (WSN) plays a very important role for transferring the data from source to destination but energy is one of the major challenges in these networks. WSN consists of thousands of nodes which consume energy while transmitting the information and the node having high data transmission and reception or high load lost their energy earlier and network life time gets reduced. Clustering and Cluster head selection are important parameters used to enhance the lifetime of the WSN. These are used to be good approaches to overcome energy problem. In this paper, we discuss various protocols, the Divide and Rule (DDR), Density Controlled Divide and Rule (DDR), Improved Density Controlled Divide and Rule (IDDR) and Scalable Energy Efficient Clustering Hierarchy (SEECH) protocols for energy efficient routing protocol in WSN.

Keywords: - Clustering, Energy efficiency, WSN, DDR, SEECH.

## I. INTRODUCTION

WSN consists of hundreds to thousands of sensing nodes that sense data from the environment, capture it, process it and transmits to the Base Station (BS). In recent time, WSN become most popular because of its low cost, small size, adoption to critical environments and so on. So they are used in variety of applications like Multimedia Surveillance, Traffic Avoidance Systems, Advance Health Care, Industries, Dense forests, Critical Environment etc. These applications include sensors/nodes that collect data and send it to the BS. The nodes are distributed randomly and engage themselves to collect and transmit the data, this makes more energy to be consumed. The sensors/nodes having heavy load of data transmission and reception requires much more energy and become dead node. These dead nodes lost their energy much earlier and prematurely get dead.

So clustering technique like is used to overcome this problem. Two types of clustering are used: Static clustering

and Dynamic clustering. In static clustering, the size of clusters is fixed and the nodes send their data to a fixed Cluster Head (CH) until their whole energy get consumed while in dynamic clustering the size of cluster varies in every cycle i.e. the nodes send their data to the CH which is close to that particular node. So clustering and CH techniques are used to minimize the consumption of the energy of each node.

A comparative study is done between static clustering and dynamic clustering and CH selections in DR, DDR, IDDR and SEECH.

## II. RELATED WORK

The research methodology we studied, use different protocols for getting energy efficient WSN.

## 1. Clustering

While transmitting data from node to sink maximum energy get lost depending upon the distance between node and sink. So clustering technique is used, The transmission is either single hop or multi-hop, in single-hop CH collects data and sends to BS while in multi-hop the CH far away from BS collects data and sends it to the next nearest CH and then again next nearest CH and finally to BS.

#### Advantage of clustering:

Collected data transmitted to BS from CH, so number of nodes involved in data transmission to destination is reduced. The direct communication nodes to BS get reduced by single-hop and multi-hop communication.

The clustering used is of two types: Static Clustering and Dynamic Clustering.

1.1 Static clustering:

In static clustering, the region formed and numbers of nodes both are fixed. The nodes of that particular region send their data to the CH of their own region till their energy get destroyed and replaced by new one. DR and DDR both use static clustering.

#### 1.2 Dynamic Clustering:

In dynamic clustering, the regions are formed but nodes are not fixed. The nodes send their data to the CH which is at minimum distance from that node so in this case energy consumed is less. IDDR and SEECH use dynamic clustering.

### 2. Cluster Formation

For cluster formation the first step is to take BS as centre so its coordinates are taken as reference point to form concentric squares. Whole of the region gets divided into n concentric squares, say (n = 3) having three squares with  $I_s$  (internal square),  $M_s$  (middle square),  $O_s$  (outer square) [1].

The equations used for making concentric squares are:

Coordinates of top right corner of  $I_s$ ,  $T_r(I_s)$ 

$$T_{r}(I_{s}) = (C_{p}(x) + d, C_{p}(y) + d)$$
(1)

Coordinates of bottom right corner of  $I_s$ ,  $B_r(I_s)$ 

$$B_{r}(I_{s}) = (C_{p}(x) + d, C_{p}(y) - d)$$
(2)

Coordinates of top left corner of  $I_s$ ,  $T_l(I_s)$ 

$$T_{l}(I_{s}) = (C_{p}(x) - d, C_{p}(y) + d)$$
(3)

Coordinates of bottom left corner of Is, Bl(Is)

$$B_{l}(I_{s}) = (C_{p}(x) - d, C_{p}(y) - d)$$
(4)

Where d is the distance from reference point and d is multiplied by a factor  $\alpha$  which is 1 for I<sub>s</sub>, 2 for M<sub>s</sub>, 3 for O<sub>s</sub> and so on.

Divide each square into CR (Corner Region) and NCR (Non Corner Region). For dividing area between  $I_s$  and  $M_s$  take top right and bottom right of  $I_s$  as reference point then

 $\begin{array}{ll} T_r(I_s(x+d,y)) \mbox{ and } B_r(I_s(x+d,y) \mbox{ forms NCR2} & (5) \\ T_l(I_s(x,y+d)) \mbox{ and } T_r(I_s(x,y+d)) \mbox{ forms NCR3} & (6) \\ T_l(I_s(x-d,y)) \mbox{ and } B_l(I_s(x-d,y)) \mbox{ forms NCR4} & (7) \\ B_r(I_s(x,y-d)) \mbox{ and } B_l(I_s(x,y-d)) \mbox{ forms NCR5} & (8) \\ \end{array}$ 

The areas left in between  $I_s$  and  $M_s$  forms CR i.e. CR2, CR3, CR4, CR5. Similarly for division between  $M_s$  and  $O_s$  take  $T_r$  and  $B_r$  of  $M_s$  as reference for forming NCR6,  $T_r$  and  $T_1$  to form NCR7,  $T_1$  and  $B_1$  forms NCR8,  $B_r$  and  $B_1$  forms NCR9. The areas left in between  $M_s$  and  $O_s$  forms CRs. Fig. 1 shows formation of clustering in DR[1].

CR7		CR6		
NCR8	CR3	NCR3	CR2	
3d	NCR4	∆ <mark>≞s</mark> a	NCR2	NCR6
	CR4	NCR5 2d	CR5	
CR8		CR9		

Fig.1.Cluster Formation in DR.

Figure 1 shows how the clusters, their NCRs and CRs are formed with BS as the reference coordinate.



Fig.2.Cluster Formation in DDR and IDDR.

Figure 2 shows cluster formation in DDR & IDDR [2-3]. In DDR & IDDR, CRs and NCRs are not formed Figure 3 shows how cluster formation is done in SEECH. Before each round a start phase is established in which nodes collect its information like its distance from the BS, number of neighbors in a specific radius etc. then share data with other nodes and then derive its degree. After the round starts, in each round node has two phases: setup phase and steady state phase. In setup phase cluster, CH relays and paths are determined by the nodes and in steady state phase, data are collected and transmitted to the BS [4].





## 3. Cluster Head Selection

In WSN, the data transmission from source (node) to destination (BS) is via CH to eliminate the problem of power/energy consumption. There are different strategies used for CH selection.

In DR & DDR, CH selection is based on the distance between CH and BS [1-2]. The internal concentric square (close to the BS) need not to form CH, the nodes of that region directly communicate with the BS. While outer concentric squares form centre of there region as reference point and the node closer to it become CH and in next round next closest node becomes CH and so on.

In IDDR, CH selection is based on the residual energy of the node in each round. Node having highest residual energy selected as CH in every round [3].

In SEECH, numbers of nodes having high degree of neighboring nodes introduce themselves to the network called CH candidates. Then their residual energy is calculated but for selecting CH priority, it is given to the node having high degree. In SEECH one more candidate is selected called relay used to transmit data from CH to the BS [4].

## 4. Energy Model

The energy model used in all the techniques is same. This model used to calculate the amount of energy consumed for transferring the data from simple node to CH, from CH to intermediate CH, from CH to BS and also from node to BS. The radio dissipation energy model consists of transmitter having transmit electronics ( $E_{elec}$ ) which depends upon factors like coding, modulation, filtering and transmit the signal and amplifier depends on the distance to the receiver and the tolerable bit-error rate



Fig. 4. Radio Energy Dissipation Model

If the distance between transmitter and receiver is less than threshold distance (say  $d_o$ ) then free space ( $d^2$  power loss) channel model used and if distance between transmitter and receiver is greater than threshold distance (say  $d_o$ ) then multi path fading ( $d^4$  power loss) channel model used [5].

The energy consumed by the specific nodes/CH for transmitting k bits of data is:

Energy consumed by transmitter (for  $d < d_0$ )

$$E_{tx}(L, d) = E_{elec} * L + L * (E_{fs} * d^2)$$
(9)

Transmission energy for intermediate node

$$E_{tx}(L, d) = ((E_{elec} + E_{DA}) * L) + (E_{fs} * L * d^{2}).$$
(10)

Energy consumed by transmitter (for  $d \ge d_o$ )

$$E_{tx}(L, d) = E_{elec} * L + L * (E_{mp} * d^{4})$$
(11)

Transmission energy for intermediate node

$$E_{tx}(L, d) = ((E_{elec} + E_{DA}) * L) + (E_{mp} * L * d^{4})$$
(12)

#### Energy consumed by Receiver

$$E_{\rm rx}(L) = E_{\rm elec} * L \tag{13}$$

Table I. Radio Parameters

Parameters	Operation	Values			
Transmitter / Receiver Electronics	E <sub>elec</sub>	50 nJ/bit			
Transmit amplifier (if d to BS <do)< td=""><td>E<sub>fs</sub></td><td>10 pJ/bit/4m<sup>2</sup></td></do)<>	E <sub>fs</sub>	10 pJ/bit/4m <sup>2</sup>			
Transmit amplifier (if d to BS>do)	$\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{mp}}$	0.0013 pJ/bit/m <sup>4</sup>			
Data aggregation energy	E <sub>DA</sub>	5 nJ/bit/signal			

Table I contains first order radio model parameter used to calculate the energy consumed by each node in a cluster at various distances.

## III. **RESULTS**

Table II. Comparative Results

Protocol	DR	DDR	IDDR	SEECH
Lifetime	High	Low	Very High	Low
No. of CH	Dist. from centre	Dist. from centre	Residual energy	Degree of node and
	point of Cluster	point of Cluster		Residual energy
Load Balancing	Medium	Good	Very Good	Good
Clustering	Static	Static	Dynamic	Dynamic
Algorithm Complexity	Low	Low	Medium	High
Energy Efficiency	Medium	High	Very High	High

Table II consists of the comparative results of different protocols used to preserve the enery consumes in the nodes for data transmission. These comparative results are obtained from the papers of respected protocols.

#### IV. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

From last so many years, energy efficiency in WSN become an important parameter in research field so that the lifetime (time span between start of the network and the time when first node) of the nodes can be increased. In our paper we present the ideas of some recently developed protocols based on clustering and CH selection and compared them. All of them have the aim to enhance the lifetime of the nodes. These protocols especially IDDR show improvement as compared to the other protocols. To further enhance the parameters for high energy efficiency, we can combine or hybrid the best part of these protocols or develops new technique for energy enhancement in WSN.

#### REFERENCES

- Latif, K, Ahmad, A, Javaid, N, Khan,Z.A and Alrajeh, N, "Divide and-Rule Scheme for Energy Efficient Routing in Wireless Sensor Networks."Proceedia Computer Science 19 Computer Science 19 (2013): 340-347.
- [2]. Ahmad, A, Latif, K, Javaid, N, Khan, A and Qasim, U, "Density controlled divide-and-rule scheme for energy efficient routing in Wireless Sensor Networks." Electrical and Computer Engineering (CCECE), 2013 26th Annual IEEE Canadian Conference on. IEEE, 2013.
- [3]. F. Saleema, Y. Moeena, M. Behzada, M. A. Hasnata, Z. A. Khanb, U. Qasimc, N. Javaid," IDDR: "Improved Density Controlled Divide-and-Rule Scheme for Energy Efficient Routing in Wireless Sensor Networks." Proceedia Computer Science 34 (2014) 212 – 219.
- [4]. F. Saleema, Y. Moeena, M. Behzada, M. A. Hasnata, Z. A. Khanb, U. Qasime, N. Javaid," IDDR: "Improved Density Controlled Divide-and-Rule Scheme for Energy Efficient Routing in Wireless Sensor Networks." Proceedia Computer Science 34 (2014) 212 – 219.
- [5]. T. Mehdi, Y. S. Kavian, and S. Saman."SEECH: Scalable Energy Efficient Clustering Hierarchy Protocol in Wireless Sensor Networks." IEEE SENSORS JOURNAL, VOL. 14, NO. 11, Nov. 2014.

www.iosrjournals.org

- W. B. Heinzelman, A. P. Chandrkasan, and H. Balakrisnan, "An application-specific protocol architecture for wireless microsensor [6]. networks," IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun., vol. 1, no. 12, pp. 660–670, Oct. 2002. W.R. Heinzelman, A. Chandrakasan, and H. Balakrishnan,"Energy-efficient communication protocol for wireless microsensor
- [7]. networks." System Sciences, 2000. Proceedings of the 33rd Annual Hawaii International Conference on. IEEE, 2000.
- W. Dargie and C. Poellabaur, Fundamentals of Wireless Sensor Networks: Theory and Practice. New York, NY, USA: Wiley, 2010.L [8]. Q. Qing, Zhu, M. Wang, "Design of a distributed energy-efficient clustering algorithm for heterogeneous wireless sensor networks," [9]. In ELSEVIER, Computer Communications, 2006.
- [10]. A.O Murugunathan, S.D. Ma, D.C.F. Bhasin, R.I. Fapajuwo, "A Centralized Energy-Efficient Routing Protocol for Wireless Sensor Networks," IEEE Radio Communication, S8-S13, 2005.
- [11]. W. Heinzelman, A. Chandrakasan, H. Balakrishnan, Energy-efficient communication protocol for wireless microsensor networks, in: System Sciences, 2000. Proceedings of the 33rd Annual Hawaii International Conference on, IEEE, 2000, pp. 10pp.