Comparative study of effects of buprenorphine or clonidine as adjuvants to local anesthetics (bupivacaine 0.25%) for supraclavicular brachial plexus block
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ABSTRACT: Adjuvant to local anesthetics have been tried to enhance analgesic profile. Opioids prolong analgesia but have unfavorable side effect profile. So search for non-opioid with comparable analgesic duration and minimal side effect continues. Hence our study aims to compare Buprenorphine; an opioid similar in structure to morphine but approx. 33 times more potent, to a novel drug Clonidine; an imidazoline derivative with α2-adrenergic agonistic activity. No study comparing the two drugs has been found.

Settings and Design: A total of 60 patients belonging to ASA status I & II posted for orthopedic upper limb surgery were enrolled and randomly divided into three Groups of 20 each. All patients received 20 ml Bupivacaine (0.5%) in supraclavicular brachial plexus block. Group corresponding adjuvant and normal saline were added to make total solution to 40ml. VAS score and other block characteristics were observed at fixed intervals for 24 hours post operatively. “GraphPad Prism version 5.03” used for descriptive, analytic and comparative statistics.

Results: Onset of sensory block was significantly delayed in clonidine group (Group BC). Analgesia lasted significantly longer in buprenorphine group (Group BB). VAS scores were found to be better in Group BB and BC and these score was lower than Group B (bupivacaine alone)except at 24 hr. Time to first rescue analgesia and total number of doses were significantly lower in Group BB and Group BC. Incidence of nausea, vomiting and sedation were more in Group BB.

Conclusions: Buprenorphine and clonidine are acceptable adjuvants.
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Patients not willing to be a part of the study, having known allergy or addiction to study drugs, in which regional block was contraindicated in general (local infection, coagulation abnormality and personality disorders or mental illness etc.) or with specific contraindications (pneumothorax or phrenic nerve block) were excluded from the study. Also barred from the study were pregnant or lactating women, those with significant systemic diseases, taking medications with psychotropic or adrenergic activities and chronic analgesic therapy other than simple analgesics (e.g. non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs).

In operating theatre standard monitoring including NIBP (non invasive blood pressure), pulse-oximeter and ECG (Electrocardiogram) leads were attached to the patient. Baseline systolic BP (blood pressure), diastolic BP and heart rate along with SpO2 on air and respiratory rate were recorded. An 18G i.v. cannula secured.

Supraclavicular block (Kulenkampff /Classic approach) was given utilizing parasthesia technique without administering any analgesic or sedative drugs to the subjects as premedication. The drug to be used for block was supplied by a concerned person and injected by the researcher totally blinded to the medication being used. After injection of drug and having being assured of complete analgesia surgical procedure was allowed to start. Sensory and motor block of the musculocutaneous, radial, ulnar, and median nerve were determined at frequent intervals after completion of the injection.

The following characteristics of the block were observed:

2.1 Onset time of Sensory block: Pinprick test (time of injection of test drug to reduction of pain at the site of surgery).
2.2 Motor block: Time of onset (times of injection of test drug to development of motor weakness)

2.3 Duration of analgesia: interval between onset of analgesia to the time patient first complains of pain.
2.4 Pain: VAS score (0-10scale)
2.5 Rescue analgesic (inj.diclofenac 75mg im) : whenever VAS score ≥ 4
2.6 Sedation score
2.7 Side effects: nausea, vomiting, itching, urinary retention etc
2.8 Haemodynamic changes: Bradycardia(pulse < 60 beats/minute), hypotension (reduction of MAP ≥ 30% of baseline), respiratory depression (respiratory rate ≤ 8 breaths/minute or arterial oxygen saturation < 90%).

SEDATION SCORE
0 – Alert, 1 – Awake but sedated, 2 – Asleep but easily aroused, 3 – Asleep and not arousable by verbal contact

III. Statistical analysis:
The statistical analysis was performed with “GraphPad Prism version 5.03”. Arithmetic mean, median (range), Standard Deviation (SD), Standard error of mean (SEM), carried out as descriptive statistics. ANOVA (with Tukey’s, Dunn’s, or Bonferroni’s test comparison post test) and Chi -square test for qualitative values adopted for analytic and comparative statistics. Post ANOVA multiple comparison test was also performed to compare the groups. A p < 0.05 was considered to be statically significant.

IV. Results:
There was no statistically significant difference between the demographic profile (age, sex ratio and body weight), ASA physical status, baseline heart rate and mean arterial pressure of the three groups. The groups were also similar with regard to duration and type of surgery (p >0.05).
Sensory block onset was delayed in clonidine group compared to plain bupivacaine group and the difference is significant, Table-1. This is in contrast to earlier study which shows no effect [12] or faster onset [13]. This needs further evaluation. No difference in onset of sensor block was noted in buprenorphine group as earlier studies [1, 14]. However significant difference exists when BC compared to BB.

Table - 1 Block characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group B</th>
<th>Group BC</th>
<th>Group BB</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sensory block onset (min)</td>
<td>Range</td>
<td>5-10</td>
<td>4-22</td>
<td>5-20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>8.45±2.259</td>
<td>12.05±6.386</td>
<td>8.25±3.932</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Motor block onset

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>8-26</th>
<th>10-25</th>
<th>(S)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>16.75±4.865</td>
<td>17.05±6.219</td>
<td>16.25±4.327</td>
<td>(NS) B vs BC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Quality of motor block

| Grade 3(no. of patients) | 7(35%) | 4(20%) | 6(30%) | 0.5684 (NS) |
| Grade 2(no. of patients) | 13(65%) | 16(80%) | 14(70%) | (NS) B vs BC, BC vs BB |

Duration of analgesia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Range</th>
<th>180-630</th>
<th>250-990</th>
<th>390-1830</th>
<th>&lt;0.001 (S)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>369.3±111.5</td>
<td>578.5±201.3</td>
<td>822.8±417.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.05 (S)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Motor block onset and quality of motor block showed no difference among the three groups, Table-1. However one study does show lower score post-operatively (after 240 min) with clonidine compared to plain bupivacaine [13].

Duration of analgesia as evaluated by onset of pain was prolonged in clonidine group to as long as 990 min and buprenorphine group to 1830 min compared to plain bupivacaine, Table-1, Fig.-1. However the difference was statistically significant with buprenorphine group and when group BC and BB are compared. A wide variation may be responsible for the same.

VAS score was 0 till 4 hours in all groups however it is significantly lower in clonidine and bupivacaine group at 5 hours onward indicating prolongation of analgesia, Table-2, Fig.-2.

**Table - 2 Distribution of Patients according to VAS score**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (in Hrs)</th>
<th>VAS score</th>
<th>P value , (B vs BC)</th>
<th>P value , (B vs BB)</th>
<th>P value , (BC vs BB)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group B</td>
<td>Group BC</td>
<td>Group BB</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2,3,4 hr</td>
<td>0(0-0)</td>
<td>0(0-0)</td>
<td>0(0-0)</td>
<td>Ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 hr</td>
<td>0(0-3)</td>
<td>0(0-2)</td>
<td>0(0-0)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 hr</td>
<td>0.5(0-5)</td>
<td>0(0-4)</td>
<td>0(0-0)</td>
<td>Ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 hr</td>
<td>2(0-6)</td>
<td>0(0-5)</td>
<td>0(0-3)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1 - Distribution of Patients according to Duration of Analgesia

Motor block onset and quality of motor block showed no difference among the three groups, Table-1. However one study does show lower score post-operatively (after 240 min) with clonidine compared to plain bupivacaine [13].

Duration of analgesia as evaluated by onset of pain was prolonged in clonidine group to as long as 990 min and buprenorphine group to 1830 min compared to plain bupivacaine, Table-1, Fig.-1. However the difference was statistically significant with buprenorphine group and when group BC and BB are compared. A wide variation may be responsible for the same.

VAS score was 0 till 4 hours in all groups however it is significantly lower in clonidine and bupivacaine group at 5 hours onward indicating prolongation of analgesia, Table-2, Fig.-2.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (hr)</th>
<th>Group B</th>
<th>Group BC</th>
<th>Group BB</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10 hr</td>
<td>4(0-5)</td>
<td>0.5(0-6)</td>
<td>0(0-5)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 hr</td>
<td>3.5(2-6)</td>
<td>2(0-4)</td>
<td>2(0-5)</td>
<td>0.001-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 hr</td>
<td>3(1-6)</td>
<td>3.5(0-6)</td>
<td>3.5(0-5)</td>
<td>Ns Ns ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 hr</td>
<td>5(2-6)</td>
<td>3.5(2-6)</td>
<td>3(0-5)</td>
<td>Ns Ns ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 hr</td>
<td>3(3-6)</td>
<td>3(2-6)</td>
<td>3(0-5)</td>
<td>Ns Ns ns</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Pain score (Visual Analogue Scale) – values in median (range)

Fig. 2 - Distribution of Patients according to VAS Score during 24 hours

Time to first rescue analgesic is also delayed up to 6 hour in clonidine group and 10 hours in buprenorphine group due to lower VAS score, Table-3, Fig.-3.

**Table - 3 Distribution of Patients according to Time for First Rescue Analgesic (Diclofenac)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (in Hrs)</th>
<th>Group B (no. of patients)</th>
<th>Group BC (no. of patients)</th>
<th>Group BB (no. of patients)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 6</td>
<td>1 (5%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-12</td>
<td>19 (95%)</td>
<td>6 (30%)</td>
<td>5 (25%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13-18</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>7 (35%)</td>
<td>8 (40%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-24</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>7 (35%)</td>
<td>5 (25%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;24</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>2 (10%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>4-10</td>
<td>6-20</td>
<td>10-34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>9 ± 2.791</td>
<td>15.3 ± 4.78</td>
<td>18 ± 6.391</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEM</td>
<td>5.538</td>
<td>1.069</td>
<td>1.429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P value</td>
<td>B vs BC – &lt; 0.001 (<em><strong>), B vs BB – &lt; 0.001 (</strong></em>), BC vs BB – &gt; 0.05 (ns)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Fig.3 - Distribution of Patients according to First Rescue Analgesic
Up to 40% of patient in group B required 3 rescue analgesic in 24 hour period, Table - 4, Fig.- 4. However in 10% in group BC and 5% in group BB required so.

Table 4 Distribution of Patients according to Total Doses of Analgesic (Diclofenac) required in 24 hr

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Doses of Analgesic</th>
<th>Group B (no. of patients)</th>
<th>Group BC (no. of patients)</th>
<th>Group BB (no. of patients)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2(10%)</td>
<td>14(70%)</td>
<td>11(55%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>9(45%)</td>
<td>3(15%)</td>
<td>6(30%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>8(40%)</td>
<td>2(10%)</td>
<td>1(5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1(5%)</td>
<td>1(5%)</td>
<td>0(0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>1-4</td>
<td>1-4</td>
<td>0-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>2.4 ±0.7539</td>
<td>1.5 ±0.8885</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.7327</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEM</td>
<td>0.1686</td>
<td>0.1987</td>
<td>0.1638</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P value</td>
<td>B vs BC – 0.001 - 0.01 (<strong>), B vs BB – &lt; 0.001 (</strong>), BC vs BB – &gt; 0.05 (ns)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig.4- Distribution of patients according to total Doses of analgesic in 24hrs
Sedation as side effect was encountered in 40% in group BB compared to 5% showed in BC group. Also higher sedation score was achieved in buprenorphine group compared to clonidine group, Fig.-5.
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**Fig. 5- Distribution of Patients according to Complications**
Two patients’ also encountered pruritis in buprenorphine group, Fig.-6. Nausea and vomiting were encountered in all 3 groups but its incidence was higher in buprenorphine group though difference was statistically insignificant. None showed signs of hemodynamic instability, local anesthetic toxicity or respiratory depression.

**Fig. 6- Distribution of Patients according to Complications**

V. Discussion:
We observed that clonidine 150 µg added to a 0.25% bupivacaine in brachial block as an adjuvant leads to no significant prolongation of duration of analgesia. It however does decrease analgesic consumption, prolonged the time for first rescue analgesic and lower VAS scores indicating that clonidine modified pain mechanics to some extent. No significant side effects were observed.

On the other hand addition of Buprenorphine(0.3mg) to bupivacaine(0.25% buprenorphine,40ml) in brachial plexus block produced effective analgesia which lasted longer than the two other groups (more than twice as long as that produced by local anesthetic alone) with no difference in sensory or motor onset time, reduced pain scores (VAS) and less supplemental analgesics. Some usual side effects of opioids are observed, but are mild.

5.1 Duration of analgesia In studies with clonidine, difference in opinion exists with regard to prolongation of postoperative analgesia when clonidine was added to local anesthetic for brachial plexus block [5-8, 10,11]. Some trials have showed benefit from the use of clonidine [10,15,16] with [6] or without [5,7,8] control group. Also includes brachial plexus block studies with 0.25% bupivacaine [10,17] and 0.5% bupivacaine [18,19]. Others observed [19-21] no significant difference in duration with the use of clonidine both in studies with [22] or without [10,11] control group. Our results correlate with later studies.
The following may explain the reason for the observed differences.

5.1.1. The type and the concentration of the local anesthetics: Those who observed significant effects of clonidine investigated local anesthetics of short or intermediate duration of action. Clonidine, because of a long elimination half-life [16], could have improved the analgesic efficacy of these solutions. Those who did not observe analgesic most were with large dose of a long-lasting local anesthetic, which could have masked the effects of clonidine on the nerve block. In our study we used long acting LA but reduced the concentration to 0.25%.

5.1.2. Type of surgery: The previous studies in which clonidine prolonged the pain-free period investigated mainly outpatients undergoing minor hand and forearm surgery associated with mild to moderate postoperative pain. In contrast, our patients underwent all type of surgeries, some of which induces severe postoperative pain and requires intensive analgesic medication.

5.1.3. Individual’s threshold for bearing pain.

With regard to buprenorphine group, in a similar study conducted by Viel EJ.et.al. [1] with 40ml of 0.5% bupivacaine the duration of analgesia is 35h(35.05 ± 1.95). Boogaerts J et.al. [14] reported duration of analgesia to be 24 hours with buprenorphine 0.15mg. With 0.25% bupivacaine as local anesthetic and 0.3mg buprenorphine in our study, the mean duration with buprenorphine was 822.8 min (13.71hr). In our study 6 of the 20 patients' concerned experienced relief from pain for more than 14hr and 2 were pain free for more than 24 hr. When groups BC and BB are compared for duration of analgesia, difference is significant.

5.2. Variation in duration of analgesia: As far as duration of analgesia is concerned in our study we observed a wide range (clonidine 250-990 min, buprenorphine 390-1830 min). In previous peripheral block studies that reported a significant effect with clonidine, a similar tendency to variability of duration of block in the groups containing clonidine exists [5, 7, 10, 19].

Several explanations are possible for the scattered distribution of duration of block in the groups.

5.2.1. Inter-patient variations in the anatomy of the plexus sheath or plexus nerves [31]: There was no correlation of patient characteristics (i.e. body weight, sex) with duration of block in the groups, suggesting that differences in these characteristics are not responsible for the broad scattering.

5.2.2. Block technique. Local anaesthetics spread differently in the plexus sheath depending on the block technique, resulting in different concentrations of drug at the nerve [23]. We in our study used single injection technique but among other studies using a multiple injection technique [22, 24], only one reported a consistently prolonging effect with clonidine [24].

5.2.3. The unclear mechanism of action of clonidine and buprenorphine in peripheral nerve blocks. Many hypotheses have been proposed for clonidine such as local vasoconstriction [6, 10, 11], a direct action on the nerve [25-27], or a systemic effect [6, 28, 29]. Also mechanism of action of opioids at the peripheral nerve level is still uncertain.

5.2.3.1. Traditional belief - opioids act exclusively within central nervous system.

5.2.3.2. Isolation of opioid receptor from the brachial plexus fibers of rats [30] has favoured role of opioid at peripheral level [31].

5.2.3.3. The electrophysiological studies have suggested that opioids may exert a nonspecific action on the nerves by impairing sodium and potassium conduction.

5.2.3.4. Central migration of opioids to the neurons of the dorsal horn is possible.

5.2.3.5. Local action at site of injection is also favored by the studies which report plasma concentration of opioid to be below the threshold for adequate analgesia [32-34].

Onset of Sensory Block - Our results showed delayed onset of sensory block in clonidine group (12.05 min) compared to plain bupivacaine group (8.45 min) and difference is significant. These findings are in not in accord with those of previous trials most of which showed no significant difference in sensory onset time between the groups [7, 8, 10-11, 18, 20-22, 24]. In one study conducted by Bernard et al. [5] reduced sensory block onset time was observed with small doses of clonidine 30–90 µg in combination with lidocaine administered with axillary block. The differences may be attributed to local anesthetic differences in type, concentration and total drug amount compared to our study.

In our study duration of onset of sensory block showed no statistically significant difference between buprenorphine group and plain LA group. When clonidine and buprenorphine group are compared onset of sensory block significant difference exist.

Our study showed no significant difference in onset of motor block when plain local anaesthetic was compared with clonidine group in accord with those of previous trials [5, 8, 10-11, 18, 20-22, 24]. Motor block onset and quality of motor block in buprenorphine group were no different from the other two groups in our study. We could not find any study documenting any changes in these variables with addition of opioids. Also when clonidine and buprenorphine group are compared difference is insignificant.

The study of changes in VAS scores show that in clonidine group, pain scores (VAS 0-10) were higher 10 hr after the block (median 0.5; range 0-6) than with plain bupivacaine (at 6 hr, Median 0.5, range 0-5). At all point
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