*IOSR Journal of Dental and Medical Sciences (IOSR-JDMS)*
*e-ISSN: 2279-0853, p-ISSN: 2279-0861.Volume 24, Issue 9 Ser. 4 (September. 2025), PP 61-63*
*www.iosrjournals.org*

# Clinical Aspects Of Lasers In Implant Therapy.

Dr. Swapnil Suresh Jadhav
*(BDS, MDS)*
*Senior Lecturer, Department Of Periodontology And Oral Implantology,*
*YCMM And RDF's Dental College, Ahmednagar 414003, Maharashtra, India.*

Dr. Nilima Shripad Rajhans
*(BDS, MDS, Phd)*
*Professor And Head Of Department, Department Of Periodontology And Oral Implantology,*
*YCMM And RDF's Dental College, Ahmednagar 414003, Maharashtra, India.*

**Abstract**
*Lasers have become an increasingly important adjunct in implant dentistry for soft-tissue management, implant site preparation, implant surface decontamination, and peri-implant disease therapy. Different laser families (Er:YAG, Er,Cr:YSGG, Nd:YAG, diode, $CO_2$, and low-level/ photobiomodulation lasers) interact with tissues and implant materials by distinct mechanisms, giving each device a specific set of clinical benefits and limitations. The evidence suggests erbium lasers (Er:YAG, Er,Cr:YSGG) can effectively decontaminate titanium surfaces and assist surgical therapy for peri-implantitis; diode and Nd:YAG lasers show antimicrobial and soft-tissue hemostatic benefits when used adjunctively; photobiomodulation (LLLT) offers improved wound healing and reduced postoperative pain. However, outcomes depend strongly on laser type, energy/settings, delivery technique, and operator experience; long-term randomized trials are limited. This review outlines the mechanisms, clinical applications, advantages, limitations, practical protocols, safety considerations, and current evidence, and provides clinical recommendations and directions for future research.*
***Keywords:*** *lasers, implant dentistry, Er: YAG, diode laser, peri-implantitis, decontamination, photobiomodulation.*

---

Date of Submission: 09-09-2025 Date of Acceptance: 19-09-2025

---

## I. Introduction

Dental implant therapy relies on predictable osseointegration and maintenance of peri-implant health. Lasers entered dentistry with promises of precise cutting, bactericidal effects, hemostasis, and biostimulation. In implant dentistry, lasers are used across the treatment timeline: implant site preparation and soft-tissue management at placement, peri-implant soft-tissue contouring, surface decontamination in peri-implantitis, and photobiomodulation to enhance healing.[1]

Clinical benefits and risks vary by wavelength and protocol; therefore clinicians must understand mechanisms, evidence, and safety. Several systematic reviews and clinical trials have evaluated lasers as adjuncts in peri-implant therapy and implant surface decontamination.[2]

## II. Laser Types, Mechanisms Of Action, And Typical Clinical Roles

**Erbium family (Er:YAG — 2940 nm; Er,Cr:YSGG — 2780 nm)**

- Strongly absorbed by water and hydroxyapatite → efficient hard-tissue ablation with minimal thermal diffusion; useful for bone ablation and implant surface debridement (with water spray).
- Evidence: Er:YAG has been shown in vitro and in clinical protocols to reduce biofilm and aid surgical management of peri-implantitis when used with correct parameters.[2]

**Nd:YAG (1064 nm)**

- Strong absorption by pigmented tissues and hemoglobin; effective for soft-tissue hemostasis and bactericidal effects but has deeper tissue penetration (risk of thermal damage if mishandled). Used in some protocols for peri-implantitis adjunctive therapy. [3]

**Diode lasers (800–980 nm range commonly)**

- Compact and economical; effective for soft-tissue coagulation and bacterial reduction. Often used adjunctively for peri-implant mucositis/peri-implantitis and for photobiomodulation at low settings. Systematic analyses report variable clinical benefit depending on protocol. [4]

**$CO_2$ lasers (9–10.6 µm)**

- Highly absorbed in water; precise soft-tissue cutting with good hemostasis. Limited ability to decontaminate titanium surfaces and not ideal for implant surface debridement in many studies. [4]

**Low-level laser therapy / Photobiomodulation (LLLT)**

- Low energy densities → cellular biostimulation: increased mitochondrial activity, angiogenesis, reduced inflammation and pain, which can support osseointegration and soft-tissue healing. Recent reviews highlight beneficial effects on postoperative pain and healing, though protocols vary. [3,4.]

**Clinical applications**

**1. Implant placement and soft-tissue management**

Lasers provide precise mucosal incisions, excellent hemostasis, and often faster soft-tissue healing. Erbium lasers allow bone troughing and crestal osteotomy in selected cases; $CO_2$ and diode lasers help with soft-tissue shaping and vestibuloplasty.

**2. Implant surface modification and texturing**

Laser texturing has been explored for surface roughening and nanotopography creation on zirconia and titanium to enhance cell attachment; experimental studies show laser texturing can create defined micro/nano-features. Clinical adoption remains investigational for many protocols. [4]

**3. Peri-implant mucositis and peri-implantitis (nonsurgical & surgical)**

- **Nonsurgical adjunctive therapy:** Diode and Nd:YAG lasers are used adjunctively with mechanical debridement to reduce microbial load and inflammation. Systematic reviews report modest improvements in bleeding on probing and probing depth in some studies but heterogeneity in outcomes. [4]
- **Surgical therapy / decontamination:** Er:YAG and Er,Cr:YSGG lasers can be used to decontaminate implant surfaces during surgical access procedures with demonstrable removal of organic residues in vitro and some positive clinical results in surgical protocols. Combined laser approaches (e.g., Nd:YAG + Er:YAG) have been trialed with encouraging short-term results.

**4. Photobiomodulation to enhance osseointegration and reduce postoperative discomfort**

LLLT applied peri-operatively or during healing phases may accelerate bone healing and reduce pain/swelling. Evidence is promising but variable due to differing wavelengths, dosimetries, and timing. [5]

**Evidence summary and practical interpretation**

- Multiple systematic reviews and RCTs suggest lasers *can* be beneficial as adjunctive therapy for peri-implant diseases, particularly in surgical protocols using erbium lasers for decontamination; however, the magnitude of benefit and durability vary. [6]
- Diode lasers may reduce inflammation in peri-implant mucositis when used adjunctively, but data are heterogeneous. [4,5]
- $CO_2$ and some diode protocols have limited efficacy in mechanical biofilm removal from titanium surfaces in laboratory settings and therefore should be used with caution if surface decontamination is the primary goal. [3]
- Operator training, standardized settings, and multimodal therapy (mechanical debridement + laser + regenerative techniques where indicated) yield the best outcomes.

**Safety considerations and clinical protocols.**

1. **Energy/settings matter:** Always follow device-specific manufacturer recommendations for implant work; excessive energy can alter implant surfaces or cause bone necrosis. [7]
2. **Cooling & water spray:** Erbium lasers require water cooling to prevent thermal damage and to maximize ablation efficiency. [8]
3. **Protective eyewear:** Wavelength-specific eye protection for patient and staff is mandatory.
4. **Avoid untested combinations:** Don't assume one laser protocol transfers safely to another device/wavelength.
5. **Document settings and outcomes:** For audit and future comparison, record wavelength, power, pulse duration, tip type, water/air settings, and clinical response.

**Limitations of current literature and research gaps**

- Heterogeneity in study designs, laser parameters, follow-up durations, and outcome measures limits direct comparisons. High-quality, multicenter RCTs with standardized laser dosimetry and longer follow up are needed. [9,10.]

## III. Conclusion

Lasers are valuable adjuncts in implant dentistry when chosen and used appropriately. Erbium lasers have the strongest in-vitro and clinical support for implant surface decontamination and for assisting surgical management of peri-implantitis; diode and Nd:YAG lasers offer soft-tissue and adjunctive antimicrobial benefits; LLLT can enhance healing. Clinicians should select lasers according to the clinical goal, strictly adhere to safe settings and device protocols, combine lasers with mechanical and regenerative techniques when indicated, and contribute to standardized clinical research to strengthen the evidence base.

## References

[1]. Ting, M., ET AL. (2022). Laser TREATMENT OF PERI-IMPLANTITIS: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW. International Journal OF Implant Dentistry.

[2]. Wakim, R. N., ET AL. (2018). Decontamination OF DENTAL IMPLANT SURFACES BY THE Er:Yag LASER: An IN-VITRO STUDY. Journal OF Periodontology.

[3]. Al Moharib, H. S., ET AL. (2021). Efficacy OF AN Er:Yag LASER IN THE DECONTAMINATION OF DENTAL IMPLANT-LIKE TITANIUM SURFACES. Journal OF Periodontology.

[4]. Atieh, M. A., ET AL. (2022). Diode LASER AS AN ADJUNCTIVE TREATMENT FOR PERI-IMPLANT MUCOSITIS/PERI-IMPLANTITIS: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW AND META-ANALYSIS. Clinical Oral Investigations.

[5]. Fragkioudakis, I., ET AL. (2023). Surgical TREATMENT OF PERI-IMPLANTITIS USING A COMBINED Nd:Yag AND Er:Yag LASER: A RANDOMIZED CLINICAL TRIAL. Clinical Oral Implants Research.

[6]. Sachelarie, L., ET AL. (2024). Laser TECHNOLOGY IN DENTISTRY: From CLINICAL APPLICATIONS TO BIOLOGICAL EFFECTS. Journal OF Clinical Dentistry & Research.

[7]. Sarraf, A. Ç., ET AL. (2024). Explanted IMPLANTS: Surface DECONTAMINATION USING Er:Yag LASER — Sem EVALUATION. Journal OF Dentistry.

[8]. Francis, L. (2017). Lasers IN IMPLANT DENTISTRY. Journal OF Oral AND Maxillofacial Implants.

[9]. Malcangi, G., ET AL. (2023). Therapeutic AND ADVERSE EFFECTS OF LASERS IN DENTISTRY: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW. Photonics.

[10]. 10 Romanos, G. E. (2025). Laser APPLICATIONS IN PERI-IMPLANTITIS THERAPY: A NARRATIVE REVIEW. Journal OF Periodontal Research / Implant Practice.