# Efficacy and Safety of Pregabalin Compare to Duloxetine in Neuropathic Pain among Patients with Prolapsed Inter Vertebral Disc: A comparative study

Parendra Debbarma<sup>1</sup>, Kaushik Chakraborty<sup>2</sup>, Satyabrata Nath<sup>3</sup>, Debasis Ray<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>(Post Graduate Trainee, Pharmacology Department, Agartala Govt Medical College, India)

<sup>2</sup>(Asstt. Professor, Pharmacology Department, Agartala Govt Medical College, India)

<sup>3</sup>(Asstt. Professor, PMR Department, Agartala Govt Medical College, India)

<sup>4</sup>(Professor, Pharmacology Department, Agartala Govt Medical College, India)

### Abstract:

Background: Prolapsed Inter Vertebral Disc (PIVD)Is Commonly Encounter Clinical Entity Which 5-20 Cases Per 1000 Adults Annually And Is Most Common In People In 3<sup>rd</sup> To 5<sup>th</sup> Decade Of Life. Pregabalin And Duloxetine Are The Commonly Prescribed Medicine For Controlling Neuropathic Pain In Many Circumstances. But There Is A Limited Number Of Studies Comparing The Efficacy And Safety Of Pregabalin And Duloxetine. Materials & Methods: An Comparative Study Conducted In The Department Of PMR At AGMC And GBP Hospital Between July, 2021 To April, 2022 Among Those Patients Attending The OPD Among 100 Participants Each Treatment Group Of Pregabalin And Duloxetine In PIVD With Neuropathic Pain Due To PIVD. The Efficacy Was Assessed By VAS, DN4 And LANSS Assessment. Both Descriptive And Inferential Statistics Were Used To Analyze The Data.

**Result:** The Results Have Shown A Significant Improvement In The Mean Difference Of VAS Score, DN4 Score And LANSS Score Of Both Pregabalin (P = < 0.001) And Duloxetine (P = < 0.001) Before And After The Therapy, The Pregabalin Dominates Over Duloxetine In Both. The Proportion Of Pain Reduction Is Higher In Pregabalin (67%) Compare To Duloxetine (56%). Adverse Events Were Developed More In Duloxetine Therapy (25%) Compare To Pregabalin Treatment (16%).

**Conclusion:** Both Medications Was Found To Be Highly Effective Where Pregabalin Is Comparatively More. The Safety Assessment Also Better In Pregabalin Therapy In Neuropathic Pain Due To PIVD. However, More Clinical Study Is Required Controlling Confounding Factors.

**Keywords:** PIVD, Neuropathic Pain, Pregabalin, Duloxetine, Efficacy, Adverse Effects.

Date of Submission: 19-06-2023 Date of Acceptance: 29-06-2023

## I. Introduction

According to The International Association for the Study of Pain (IASP) the revised definition of Pain as follows: "An unpleasant sensory and emotional experience associated with, or resembling that associated with, actual or potential tissue damage". Prolapsed inter vertebral disc (PIVD) or Spinal disc herniation or sciatica, slipped disc, nerve cramps disc protrusion, herniated nucleus pulposus or extruded intervertebral disc is a commonly encounter clinical entity.<sup>2</sup> It may be in lumbar, lumbosacral or cervical. Due to compression of nerve patients obtained complained of neuropathic pain like numbness, tingling, loss of motor power, difficulty in bending, loss of bladder and bowel sensation.<sup>2,3</sup> The most common symptom is low back pain and the pain radiates down the back of leg called sciatica or sciatic pain in case of Lumbar PIVD and pain in upper limbs and neck in case of cervical PIVD. It is a spinal condition that occasionally produces symptoms such as back or neck pain, as well as pain, numbness, tingling and weakness in the muscle in the extremities.<sup>2-4</sup> Every 5-20 cases per 1000 adults annually and is most common in people in 3<sup>rd</sup> to 5<sup>th</sup> decade of life. More in males than female ratio of 2:1.3,5-11 Neuropathic pain is frequently encountered in clinical practice because it affects 6.9-10% of the population with PIVD accounting for 40% cases. Due to its severity, chronicity, co-morbidities, and impact on the individual and society, neuropathic pain is particularly challenging. 11-15 Furthermore, patients with neuropathic pain often receive suboptimal treatment (i.e., Inappropriate drug therapy and/or use of sub therapeutic doses), which increases the disease burden. 12-21 Two agents Pregabalin and Dulexetine have been approved by the U.S food and drugs administration (FDA) for a first-line treatment for certain types of NeP e.g. painful diabetic peripheral neuropathy (pDPN), postherpetic neuralgia (PHN), neuropathic pain due to spinal cord injury (SCI-NeP), fibromyalgia, and as adjunct therapy for partial onset seizures.<sup>21,22</sup> Pregabalin is an analogue of inhibitory neurotransmitter gamma- aminobutyric acid (GABA), though it does not bind directly to

DOI: 10.9790/0853-2206142531 www.iosrjournal.org 25 | Page

either G<sub>A</sub>BA<sub>A</sub> or GABA<sub>B</sub> receptors Pregabalin does, however, bind to the alpha-2-delta (α2δ) subunit of voltagegated presynaptic Ca++ channels and reduce the Ca++ ion influx.<sup>23</sup> Through this mechanism, pregabalin suppresses ectopic neuronal impulses and could, as a result, be efficacious in patients with lumbar and cervical NeP. 22-26 Duloxetine inhibits the reuptake of serotonin and norepinephrine (NE) in the central nervous system. Duloxetine increases dopamine (DA) specifically in the prefrontal cortex, where there are few DA reuptake pumps, via the inhibition of NE reuptake pumps (NET), which is believed to mediate reuptake of DA and NE. Duloxetine has no significant affinity for dopaminergic, cholinergic, histaminergic, opioid, glutamate, and GABA reuptake transporters, however, and can therefore be considered to be a selective reuptake inhibitor at the 5-HT and NE transporters. <sup>23-25</sup> Duloxetine actually works by helping serotonin and noradrenaline to continue to circulate within the brain and not be reabsorbed into the nerve cells that are in the brain. In short, this helps patients to feel better for longer. There are no major side effects of taking duloxetine, however, there are a number of side effects that many patients experience, to varying degrees: these include feeling nauseous, constipation, light-headedness or being too drowsy or even too wide awake and being unable to sleep.<sup>24,25</sup> Although there are several treatments available for the management of neuropathic pain, in this study, we will focus on Pregabalin an anticonvulsant and calcium channel α2-δ ligands and SNRIs Duloxetine. The efficacy and safety of Pregabalin and Duloxetine have been proved individually in neuropathic pain due to PIVD. However, there is a limited number of studies comparing the efficacy and safety of Pregabalin and Duloxetine in this condition in Indian population specially in Northeastern state, it is thought that it is worthy to do this study to determine the efficacy as well as safety of Pregabalin in comparison with Duloxetine in out-patients department of PMR of a tertiary care Hospital in Agartala.

## **II. Material And Methods**

A comparative cross-sectional study was conducted in the Department of Pharmacology, AGMC and GBP Hospital for a period of 1 (one) year from July, 2021 July to June, 2022 among the patients with neuropathic pain due to PIVD and attending the OPD of PMR Department of AGMC & GBP Hospital, Agartala, West Tripura. Total 200 sample size (100 in each arm) size was calculated with 80% power at 95% significance level two sided α with 10% drop out rate. 27,28 All clinically confirmed cases of neuropathic pain due to PIVD who were prescribed either Pregabalin or Duloxetine during study period were taken up for the study till the sample size was achieved. Study participants were advised to take one month treatment course in orally on daily basis and requested to complete the course. 18 years and above, all clinically diagnosed cases of neuropathic pain due to PIVD and who were prescribed either Pregabalin or Duloxetine and fulfilled the criteria such patients whose visual analogue scale (VAS) score >4, patients having DN4 questionnaires score >4 and Leeds assessment of neuropathic symptoms and sign (LANSS) score >12. Neuropathic pain due to other comorbidities e.g. (Peripheral Neuropathy, Alcoholic, Cancer, Pregnancy and lactation, Mental disorder) were excluded. Those who are receiving herbal medicine and homeopathy or any other medicine having pain modulator properties except NSAIDS and those who taken Pregabalin or Duloxetine prior to the study were also excluded. After obtaining permission from dept. of PMR and by Institutional ethical committee, AGMC, those who were diagnosed by consultant physician as having neuropathic pain due to PIVD and who were prescribed either Pregabalin (75mg) or Duloxetine (20mg) at bed time for pain relief. The patients included in the study were explained regarding the study technique and who were willing to participants and follow up was enrolled according to inclusion and exclusion criteria. Efficacy was assessed by using VAS for severity of pain and DN4 questionaries' LANSS for neuropathic pain. Co- medication like NSAID, PPI/ H2 blocker, muscle relaxant and other was recorded accordingly. They were followed up for 1 month on three occasions after 1 weeks and 2 weeks and 4 weeks interval. Assessment of LANSS, VAS, and DN4 questionaries was done in every visit i.e., Baseline at 1-, 2- and 4-weeks interval. On the revisit patients was evaluated and as per response if required dose of test drug was increased or NSAIDS were prescribed as rescue medication. Safety of the drug was assessed by the incidence of treatment emergent adverse events as they report. Details of ADRs like their appearance, temporal relationship with the drug, Causality and severity was also recorded by using WHO UMC scale and Modified Hartwig and Siegel severity assessment scale. Data was recorded in a case record form and entered and analyzed by using computer SPSS version 21.0 software. Descriptive statistics like mean, SD, frequency and percentage were used. To assess the significant difference between the groups, for continuous variable student's t-test and for categorical variable chi-square test were used. A p value of <0.05 was taken as statistically significant.

# III. Result

Total 200 patients with diagnosed as a case of PIVD having neuropathic pain participated in the study. 100 each treatment group were included in the study. Participants were followed up to 4 weeks and retained 90% and 80% in

pregabalin and duloxetine treatment group respectively. The mean age of the participants was  $58.33 \pm 6.98$  years ranges from 28 to 60 years. The mean age of the participants  $59.01 \pm 7.21$  years and  $57.21 \pm 4.67$  years in pregabalin and duloxetine treatment group respectively. It is comparable in both group (p value 0.14). In duloxetine group male were 64% and female were 36% and in pregabalin group male were 68% and 32% were female. It is comparable in both group (p value 0.89) (table 1).

Table 1: Demographic characteristics of the study participants.

Damagraphia abargataristics	Study	D 1	
Demographic characteristics	Pregabalin (N=100)	Duloxetine (N=100)	P value
Educational qualification (%)			
Illiterate	12	10	
Primary	23	32	0.61
Secondary	36	29	0.01
Graduate	21	12	
Above graduate	8	17	
Occupation			
Self employed	71	63	0.07
Employed	29	37	

The distribution of the educational status also comparable in both groups. In pregabalin group secondary education is higher and duloxetine group primary educated people were higher. In pregabalin group self-employed were more (71%) and in Duloxetine group employed person were more (37%). However, this distribution is comparable in both group (table 1).

Table 2: Changes and comparison of different efficacy assessment scale

Different	scale	Baseline	1st Week	2 <sup>nd</sup> Week	4 <sup>th</sup> Week	Mean Difference (at 4 <sup>th</sup> week from baseline)
Visual	Treatment A	7.84 ± 1.04	4.78 ± 1.98	$3.23 \pm 1.9$	$2.23 \pm 0.1$	4.23 ± 2.1 (p - 0.001)***
Analogue scale (VAS) score B	Treatment B	8.28 ± 2.64	$5.01 \pm 2.45$	3.98 ± 0.04	$3.23 \pm 1.6$	5. 06 ± 1.08 (p - 0.001)***
	p value	0.23	0.07	0.01*	0.02*	0.04*
DN4	Treatment A	6.86 ± 1.98	$5.78 \pm 2.12$	3.72 ± 1.39	$2.56 \pm 1.01$	$4.03 \pm 1.50$ (p- 0.04)
scale score B	Treatment B	5.92 ± 3.28	4.02 ± 1.34	4.02 ± 1.34	$3.01 \pm 0.71$	2.67 ± 1.01 (p- 0.007)**
	p value	0.58	0.08	0.04*	0.001**	0.001**
	Treatment A	16.24 ± 0.46	$13.56 \pm 4.2$	$9.93 \pm 1.2$	6.41 ± 1.76	9.03 ± 2.75 (p- 0.001)**
LANSS score	Treatment B	13.86 ± 0.12	$10.41 \pm 4.0$	9.12 ± 0.51	$7.01 \pm 2.04$	$5.85 \pm 3.29$ (p- 0.01)
	p value	0.67	0.06	0.02*	0.003**	0.000**
Treatment A: Pregabalin, Treatment B: Duloxetine, * significant, ** highly significant						

There is significance difference of VAS score either group 4.23 and 5.06 in pregabalin and duloxetine group after 4 weeks of followed up. From Baseline to 4 weeks period, the VAS score of both groups reduced significantly from  $7.84 \pm 1.04$  to  $2.23 \pm 0.1$  in pregabalin group and from  $8.28 \pm 2.64$  to  $3.23 \pm 1.60$  in duloxetine group (table 2). There is no significant difference in pain score between base line and after 1st week by both the therapy but at the end of 2<sup>nd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> week of treatment there is significant difference between two intervention group with a p value of 0.01 and 0.01 respectively (table 2). DN4 questionnaire scale score was better in the treatment group by pregabalin  $4.03 \pm 1.50$  than duloxetine  $2.67 \pm 1.01$  and their mean difference were found significant after 2<sup>nd</sup> week and 4<sup>th</sup> week therapy with a p value of 0.04 and 0.001 respectively. LANSS score was found significance change from baseline upto 4th week's therapy in both group (p value 0.001 and 0.01). significance difference also observed in 2<sup>nd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> week in between the group with a p value of 0.02 and 0.003 in group A and group B respectively (table 2). Figure 3 shows therapy by pregabalin medication was found significant reduction rate in pain intensity, at baseline 100% having pain but subsequent visit percentage having pain reduces gradually like in week 1 - 70%, week 2- 25% and at 4<sup>th</sup> week only 2.8% having pain which are comparatively higher in duloxetine group. Group A (pregabalin) is found better – 16% efficacy to developed side effects compare to group B (Duloxetine) – 25% out of total enrolled participants.

The duration of illness was ranges from 15 days to 5 months or more. However, the distribution of duration of illness was comparable in both group as it is showing almost equal distribution irrespective of the duration in both group (figure 1).

Fig 1: Comparison of duration of illness (PIVD-Neuropathic pain)

The study finding also shows that there is drastically reduction of PIVD pain by both medication in follow up at week1, week 2 and after 4 week but the rate of reduction of pain more incase of pregabalin medication (40%) than doluxetine (25%) of the participants at the end of week 2.

It was found that dryness was present in 5% of the pregabalin group and somnolence was present in 12% of the doluxetine group. Drowsiness was seen in pregabalin group (4 cases) and nausea was seen in duloxetine group (5 cases) (table 3).

Table 3: Different side effects comparison in between the study group (N=100 each)

Pregabalin Group (N=90)		Duloxetine Group (N=80)		
Side effects	Frequency	Side effects	Frequency	
Dryness of mouth	5	Somnolence	12	
Drowsy	4	Nausea	5	
Dizziness	2	Giddiness	6	
Somnolence	2	Insomnia	2	
Numbness at legs	2			
Insomnia	1			

Table 4: Drug prescribing pattern as co-therapy in neuropathic pain due to PIVD

	5 P	P P P	
Drugs / Medications	Pregabalin group N(%)	Duloxetine N(%)	Total N(%)
Etodolac	30	50	40
Etoroxib	18	12	15
Tramadol	12	18	15
Aceclofenac	5	15	10
Paracetamol	8	12	10
Trypsin / chymotrypsin	60	100	80
Methylcobalamin	75	95	85
PPIs	96	98	97

As anti-inflammatory and /or analgesics, etodolac (40%), etoroxib (15%), Tramadol (15%), aceclofenac (10%), paracetamol (10%) and combination medicine (10%) were used. As adjuvant or supplement, trypsin and chymotrypsin (80%), methyl cobalamin (85%) and PPIs (97%) (shown in table 4).

## **IV. Discussion**

The present study was carried out for a period of 1 month for each patient with a follow up at 2nd and 4th week to compare the efficacy and tolerability of pregabalin and duloxetine in chronic low backache with neuropathic pain. In the current study more than 50% of the patients belonged to more than 50 years of age and this is similar to an observation in a previous study done in India by Kalita et al<sup>40,44</sup> whereas 40.8% of the participants belonged to the same age range. This observation indicates that chronic low backache with neuropathic pain is more common among near elderly age groups. Both the study groups showed similar percentage of patients having age comparable. It was also observed in the present study that 75% of patients in pregabalin group reported reduction from severe to mild pain at the end of 2 weeks, 97.2% at the end of 4 weeks. These findings are incorporated with a few of previous literature. 44-46 The mean age of the participants was  $58.33 \pm 6.98$  years ranges from 28 to 60 years. The mean age of the participants  $59.01 \pm 7.21$  years and 57.21 ± 4.67 years in pregabalin and duloxetine treatment group respectively. It was more or less than that found in the studies done by Toelle et al<sup>48</sup> (61 years), Banerjee et al<sup>49</sup> (53.5 years), Padmini et al<sup>50</sup> (57 years), Tanenberg et al<sup>51</sup> (61.9 years) etc. There is significance difference of VAS score either group 4.23 and 5.06 in pregabalin and duloxetine group after 4 weeks of followed up. From Baseline to 4 weeks period, the VAS score of both groups reduced significantly from  $7.84 \pm 1.04$  to  $2.23 \pm 0.1$  in pregabalin group and from  $8.28 \pm 2.64$  to  $3.23 \pm 1.60$ . The mean difference in baseline and  $1^{st}$  week after therapy shows insignificant in both group but  $2^{nd}$ week and 4th weeks after therapy shows significance difference with a p value of 0.01 and 0.01 respectively.

Many previous literatures are similar to this study and one of the studies conducted by Kiran MD et al<sup>29</sup> found that pregabalin has better efficacy than placebo group where the mean of VAS score was 7.87 at baseline, 5.6 at day 7 and 3.42 at day 21. 46.4% at day 7 and 84.6% at 21 had a reduction of pain; however, Vijayalakshmi A et al<sup>30</sup> found that in duloxetine, there was a reduction in the VAS pain score in the patients from 6.46 (baseline) to 2.96 (Review 2) respectively. Similar findings were observed by Digambar P. Nawani et al<sup>31</sup>. Similar observation was seen by Toshihiko Taguchi et al<sup>32</sup> favouring pregabalin than duloxetine showing least-squares mean change in PRSIS from baseline to week 8 favoured Pregabalin (-1.167 vs -0.269; treatment difference -0.898 [95% CI -1.262, -0.535], P<0.001). One of the studies<sup>56</sup> which is reported that, at the end of the single blind pregabalin treatment phase with a flexible-dose of 150-600 mg/day, the pain score had decreased from mean baseline pain of 6.4 to a mean of 2.3. 57.9% patients experienced >30% reduction in pain and 34% of patients reported >50% of less pain which is similar to the present study findings. DN4 questionnaire scale score was better in the treatment group by pregabalin 4.03±1.50 than duloxetine 2.67±1.01 and their mean difference were found significant after 2<sup>nd</sup> week and 4<sup>th</sup> week therapy with a p value of 0.04 and 0.001 respectively. LANSS score was found significance change from baseline upto 4th weeks therapy in both group (p value 0.001 and 0.01) significance difference also observes in 2<sup>nd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> week in between the group with a p value of 0.02 and 0.003 in group A and group B respectively. In present study, only 16% of the participants treated with pregabalin developed minor to mild form side effects but duloxetine group developed 25% side effects. All adverse events are due to possible cause as WHO UMC system of causality assessment. Previous literatures have shown that both pregabalin and duloxetine is known to be associated with undesirable side effects, such as sedation, dizziness, visual disturbance.<sup>28,29,30,56</sup> A fewer studies only conducted in the past comparing duloxetine and pregabalin. The findings are varied. The present study findings are incorporated with the study findings conducted by Hiroaki Nakashima et al<sup>28</sup>, Kiran MD et al<sup>29</sup>, Vijayalakshmi A et al<sup>30</sup> where pregabalin efficacy shows higher with less proportion of side effects where Kiran MD et al reported that only 4% has dizziness 15% has sedation and 3% has dry mouth and constipation.<sup>29</sup>

The present study is a unicentric study. When comparing to multicentric trial, the benefits multicentric include a larger number of participants, different geographic locations, the possibility of a wider range of population groups, the ability to compare results among center, all of which increase the generalizability of the study. In many cases, efficacy will vary significantly between population groups with different genetic, environmental, and ethnic or cultural background; normally only geographically dispersed trials can properly evaluate this. Sample size reflects the population. The present study was conducted only on 200 sample (100 in each group). There are some key factors including confidence levels and margins of error, power, and effect sizes. All of these are affected by how large a sample is. The present study was conducted only 1 year 2 month and 10 days periods, where each patient is followed up only for 28 days. As the duration of study was short thus this study is inefficient for rare outcomes with long induction or latency periods. Cost effectiveness of the present study was not analyzed. Blinding was not done hence a chance of selection biases were there.

## V. CONCLUSION:

Each medication is effective against neuropathic pain but it was observed that proportion of reduction was more on treatment group by pregabalin. Comparatively minor side effects were more in duloxetine group than pregabalin group. All side effects are of possible cause and all are of mild form of severity. The present study demonstrated that pregabalin has higher safety and efficacy than duloxetine group to control or reducing the neuropathic pain due to Prolapsed Inter Vertebral Disc (PIVD). However, randomized control trails is recommended involving higher number of participants controlling the confounding factors.

#### References

- [1]. The International Association for the Study of Pain (IASP) revised the definition of Pain available at: https://www.britishpainsociety.org/about/what-is-pain/. Assessed on 23 Nov, 2022.
- [2]. Prolapse Intervertebral Disc. Available at: https://www.medindia.net/ patients/patientinfo/ prolapse-of-intervertebral-disc.htm. Assessed on 23 Nov, 2022.
- [3]. Prolapse Intervertebral Disc. Available at: https://orthopedicclinic.com.sg/prolapsed-intervertebral-disc. Assessed on 23 Nov, 2022.
- [4]. Fjeld OR, Grovle L, Heeland j, Smastuen MC, Solberg TK Zwart JA, Grotle M. Complications, reoperations, readmissions and lenth of Hospital stay in 34639 surgical cases of lumbar disc herniation. Bone Joint J.2019;101-B(4):470-77.
- [5]. Treede RD, Jensen TS, Campbell JN, Cruccu G, Dostrovsky JO, Griffin JW. Neuropathic pain: redefinition and a grading system for clinical and research purposes. Neurology. 2008;70(18):1630-35.
- [6]. Moulin DE, Boulanger A, Clark AJ, Clarke H, Dao T, Finley GA. Pharmacological management of chronic neuropathic pain: Revised consensus statement from the Canadian Pain Society. Pain Res Manag. 2014;19(6):328–35.
- [7]. Siddall PJ, McClelland JM, Rutkowski SB, Cousins MJ. A longitudinal study of the prevalence and characteristics of pain in the first 5 years following spinal cord injury. Pain. 2003;103(3):249-257. Siddall PJ, McClelland JM, Rutkowski SB, Cousins MJ. A longitudinal study of the prevalence and characteristics of pain in the first 5 years following spinal cord injury. Pain. 2003;103(3):249-57.
- [8]. van Hecke O, Austin SK, Khan RA, Smith BH, Torrance N. Neuropathic pain in the general population: a systematic review of epidemiological studies. Pain. 2014;155(4):654-662. doi:10.1016/j.pain.2013.11.013.

- [9]. Berger A, Dukes EM, Oster G. Clinical characteristics and economic costs of patients with painful neuropathic disorders. J Pain. 2004;5:143–9.
- [10]. Schmader KE. Epidemiology and impact on quality of life of postherpetic neuralgia and painful diabetic neuropathy. Clin J Pain. 2002;18(6):350-4.
- [11]. Doth AH, Hansson PT, Jensen MP, Taylor RS. The burden of neuropathic pain: a systematic review and meta-analysis of health utilities. Pain. may2010;149(2):338–44.
- [12]. Rodríguez MJ, García AJ, for the Investigators of Collaborative Study REC. A registry of the aetiology and costs of neuropathic pain in pain clinics: Results of the registry of and costs (REC) in neuropathic pain disorders study. Clin Drug Investig. 2007:27:771–82
- [13]. Gore M, Brandenburg NA, Dukes E, Hoffman DL, Tai KS, Stacey B. Pain severity in diabetic peripheral neuropathy is associated with patient functioning, symptom levels of anxiety and depression, and sleep. J Pain Symptom Manage. 2005;30(4):374–85.
- [14]. Argoff CE. The coexistence of neuropathic pain, sleep, and psychiatric disorders: a novel treatment approach. Clin J Pain. Jan 2007;23(1):15–22.
- [15]. Cornwall A, Donderi DC. The effect of experimentally induced anxiety on the experience of pressure pain. Pain. 1988;35(1):105–13
- [16]. Smith MT, Haythornthwaite JA. How do sleep disturbance and chronic pain inter-relate? Insights from the longitudinal and cognitive-behavioral clinical trials literature. Sleep Med Rev. Apr 2004;8(2):119–32.
- [17]. Wilson KG, Eriksson MY, D'Eon JL, Mikail SF, Emery PC. Major depression and insomnia in chronic pain. Clin J Pain. Mar–Apr 2002;18(2):77–83.
- [18]. Dworkin RH, O'Connor AB, Audette J, et al. Recommendations for the pharmacological management of neuropathic pain: an overview and literature update. Mayo Clin Proc. Mar 2010;85(3 Suppl):S3–14.
- [19]. Harden N, Cohen M. Unmet needs in the management of neuropathic pain. J Pain Symptom Manage. 2003;25(5 Suppl):S12-7.
- [20]. Pérez C, Saldaña MT, Navarro A, Vilardaga I, Rejas J. Prevalence and characterization of neuropathic pain in a primary-care setting in Spain: a cross-sectional, multicentre, observational study. Clin Drug Investig. 2009;29(7):441–50.
- [21]. Tolle T, Xu X, Sadosky AB. Painful diabetic neuropathy: a cross-sectional survey of health state impairment and treatment patterns. J Diabetes Complications. 2006;20(1):26–33.
- [22]. O'Connor AB, Dworkin RH. Treatment of neuropathic pain: an overview of recent guidelines. Am J Med. Oct 2009;122(10 Suppl):S22-32.
- [23]. Deyo RA, Mirza SK. Herniated Lumbar Intervertebral Disk. N Engl J Med. 2016;374(18):1763-72.
- [24]. Weinstein JN, Tosteson TD, Lurie JD. Surgical vs non operative treatment for lumbar disk herniation: the Spine Patient Outcomes Research Trial (SPORT):a randomized trial. JAMA. 2006;296(20):2441-50.
- [25]. Ramaswami R, Ghogawala Z, Weinstein JN. Management of Sciatica. N Engl J Med. 2017;376(12):1175-7.
- [26]. Peul WC, Van Houwelingen HC, van den Hout WB. Surgery versus prolonged conservative treatment for sciatica. N Engl J Med. 2007;356(22):2245-56.
- [27]. Toshihiko Taguchi, Kazutaka Nozawa, Bruce Parsons, Tamotsu Yoshiyama. Effectiveness of pregabalin for treatment of chronic cervical radiculopathy with upper limb radiating pain: Journal of Pain Research 2019:12 1411-24.
- [28] Schukro, Matthias J. Oehmke, Geroldinger, Heinze, Kress, Pramhas, Efficacy of Duloxetine in Chronic Low Back Pain with a Neuropathic Component: Anesthesiology 2016; 124:150-8.
- [29]. Hiroaki Nakashima, Tokumi Kanemura, Kei Ando, Kazuyoshi Kobayashi, Minoru Yoneda, Naoki Ishiguro and Shiro Imagama et al. Is Pregabalin Effective Against Acute Lumbar Radicular Pain? Spine Surg Relat Res 2019; 3(1): 61-66
- [30]. Mayuresh Dilip Kiran, Lalit Pawaskar, Shaheen Naseem Sheikh. Safety and efficacy of the combination of amitriptyline and pregabalin SR in severe neuropathic pain:International journal of innovative research in medical science vol.01Jan 2019,ISSN:2455-8737.
- [31]. Vijayalakshmi And Chaitanya. Efficacy and Safety of Duloxetine in Patients with Neuropathic Pain: International Journal of PharmTech Research, 2016, 9(4),pp 48-53.
- [32]. Digambar P. Nawani, Sanjay Agrawal, Veena Asthana. Role of Duloxetine in management of cervical or lumbosacral neuralgia of unknown etiology: 182 Indian Journal of Pain | September-December 2013;27(3).
- [33]. Abida Shaheen, Syed Mahboob Alam, Arsalan Ahmad, Moosa Khan. Clinical efficacy and tolerability of Gabapentinoids with current prescription patterns in patients with Neuropathic pain. Pak J Med Sci. 2019;35(6):1505-10.
- [34]. Robertson K, Marshman LA, Hennessy M, Harriss L, Plummer D. Pregabalin versus gabapentin in the treatment of sciatica: study protocol for a randomised, double-blind, cross-over trial (PAGPROS). Trials. 2018 Dec;19(1):1-0.
- [35]. Malik KM, Nelson AM, Avram MJ, Robak SL, Benzon HT. Efficacy of pregabalin in the treatment of radicular pain: results of a controlled trial. Anesthesiology and Pain Medicine. 2015 Aug;5(4).
- [36]. McCormack HM, Horne DJ, Sheather S. Clinical applications visual analogue scales: a critical review. Psychol med 1988;18:1007-
- [37]. Bouhassira D, Attal N, Alchaar H, et al. "Comparison of pain syndromes associated with nervous or somatic lesions and development of a new neuropathic pain diagnostic questionnaire (DN4)." Pain 114.1-2 (2005): 29-36.
- [38]. Bennett M, The LANSS Pain Scale: The Leeds assessment of neuropathic symptoms and sign. Pain 2001;92:147-57.
- [39]. Tantikul C, Dhana N, Jongjarearnprasert K, Visitsunthorn N, Vichyanond P, Jirapongsananuruk O. The utility of the World Health Organization-The Uppsala Monitoring Centre (WHO-UMC) system for the assessment of adverse drug reactions in hospitalized children. Asian Pacific Journal of Allergy and Immunology. 2008 Jun 1;26(2-3):77.Hartwig SC, Siegel J, Schneider PJ. Preventability and severity assessment in reporting adverse drug reactions. AM J Heal Pharm 1992;49(9):2229-32.
- [40]. Annapurna V. T, Nivedita Maity, Ravikumar T. V. Efficacy of pregabalin versus nortryptyline in the treatment of chronic low backache with radiculopathy: an open level observational study. International journal of Basic & clinical Pharmacology/August 2019/vol 8/Issue 8.
- [41]. Altiparmak B, Güzel Ç, Gümüş Demirbilek S. Comparison of preoperative administration of pregabalin and duloxetine on cognitive functions and pain management after spinal surgery. The Clinical journal of pain. 2018 Dec 1;34(12):1114-20.
- [42]. Kalita J, Kohat AK, Misra UK, Bhoi SK. An open labeled randomized controlled trial of pregabalin versus amitriptyline in chronic low backache. J Neurol Scie. 2014 Jul 15;342(1-2):127-32.
- [43]. Orbai AM, Meyerhoff JO. The effectiveness of tricyclic antidepressants on lumbar spinal stenosis. Bull NYU Hosp Jt Dis. 2010 Jan 1:68(1):22-4.
- [44]. Atkinson JH, Slater MA, Williams RA, Zisook S, Patterson TL, Grant I et al. A placebo controlled randomized clinical trial of nortriptyline for chronic low back pain. Pain. 1998;76(3):287-96.

- [45]. Max MB, Culnane M, Schafer SC, Gracely RH, Walther DJ, Smoller B, et al. Amitriptyline relieves diabetic neuropathy pain in patients with normal or depressed mood. Neurology. 1987;37(4):589-96.
   [46]. Annapurna V. T, Nivedita Maity, Ravikumar T. V. Efficacy of pregabalin versus nortryptyline in the treatment of chronic low
- [46]. Annapurna V. T, Nivedita Maity, Ravikumar T. V. Efficacy of pregabalin versus nortryptyline in the treatment of chronic low backache with radiculopathy: an open level observational study. International journal of Basic & clinical Pharmacology/August 2019/vol 8/Issue 8.
- [47]. Schukro, Matthias J. Oehmke, Geroldinger, Heinze, Kress, Pramhas,. Efficacy of Duloxetine in Chronic Low Back Pain with a Neuropathic Component: Anesthesiology 2016; 124:150-8.
- [48]. Toelle TR, Varvara R, Nimour M, Emir B, Brasser M. Pregabalin in neuropathic pain related to DPN, cancer and back pain: Analysis of a 6-week observational study. Open Pain J 2012;44:1-11.
- [49]. Banerjee M, Pal S, Bhattacharya B, Ghosh B, Mondal S, Basu J, et al. A comparative study of efficacy and safety of gabapentin versus amitriptyline as co-analgesics in patients receiving opioid analgesics for neuropathic pain in malignancy. Indian J Pharmacol 2013;45:334-8.
- [50]. Devi P, Madhu K, Ganapathy B, Sarma G, John L, Kulkarni C, et al. Evaluation of efficacy and safety of gabapentin, duloxetine, and pregabalin in patients with painful diabetic peripheral neuropathy. Indian J Pharmacol 2012;44:51-6.
- [51]. Tanenberg RJ, Irving GA, Risser RC, AhlJ, Robinson MJ, Skljarevski V, et al. Duloxetine, pregabalin, and duloxetine plus gabapentin for diabetic peripheral neuropathic pain management in patients with inadequate pain response to gabapentin: An open-label, randomized, noninferiority comparison. Mayo Clin Proc 2011;86:615-26.
- [52]. Ogawa S, Suzuki M, Arakawa A, Yoshiyama T. [Long-term efficacy and safety of pregabalin in patients with postherpetic neuralgia: results of a 52-week, open-label, flexible-dose study]. Masui. 2010;59(8):961–970.
- [53]. Onouchi K, Koga H, Yokoyama K, Yoshiyama T. An open-label, long-term study examining the safety and tolerability of pregabalin in Japanese patients with central neuropathic pain. J Pain Res. 2014;7:439–447. doi:10.2147/JPR.S63028.
- [54]. Mishriky BM, Waldron NH, Habib AS. Impact of pregabalin on acute and persistent postoperative pain: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Br J Anaesth. 2015;114:10–31.
- [55] Fassoulaki A, Melemeni A, Tsaroucha A, et al. Perioperative pregabalin for acute and chronic pain after abdominal hysterectomy or myomectomy: a randomised controlled trial. Eur J Anaesthesiol. 2012;29:531–536.
- [56]. Freynhagen R, Baron R. The evaluation of neuropathic components in low back pain. Curr Pain Headache Rep. 2009;13(3):185-90.