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Abstract

**Background and Aims:** Total intravenous anaesthesia (TIVA) and Balanced Anaesthesia are used widely in most of the operations. The aim of our study was to compare the recovery characteristics, haemodynamic changes and any untoward effects between two groups i.e., TIVA using Propofol plus fentanyl and Balanced anaesthesia using propofol and isoflurane in day care surgeries.

**Material and methods:** This randomized study was undertaken on sixty ASA grade I and II patients of 20-60 years age, of either sex, weighing 40-70 kg, divided into two groups of thirty each.

Group I: Induction was done with propofol 2.5 mg/kg plus fentanyl 3 µgm/kg. The airway was maintained with 100% oxygen by facemask with continuous propofol infusion.

Group II: Induction was done with propofol 2.5 mg/kg and fentanyl 3 µgm/kg. Intubation was done with Suxamethonium 1.5 mg/kg. I.V. Anaesthesia was maintained on Isoflurane 1% and nitrous oxide: oxygen::70:30. Injection Vecuronium 0.05 mg/kg was used for muscle relaxation.

Recovery was assessed in terms of eye opening, Aldrete score and PADSS score.

**Results:** Modified PADSS score ≥9 was achieved in both groups at 1 hour (P=.129). The patients in Group I had smooth recovery with no history of cough or postoperative nausea vomiting. Therefore, TIVA is better choice of anaesthesia in Day care surgeries. The patients in Group I were haemodynamically more stable at 0 min, 5 min, 40 min, and 50 min after induction (P<0.05).

**Conclusion:** TIVA is a better choice as compared to balanced anaesthesia with Isoflurane in day care surgeries.

**Key Words:** Propofol, Balanced anaesthesia, TIVA, Isoflurane, Day care surgery.

---

I. Introduction

Since the mid-1980s, propofol has been used as most suitable agent for total intravenous anaesthesia due to superior quality of recovery. In 1977, Vuyk et al. analysed the use of propofol and opioids in combination. In the early 1900s, Ralph Waters opened modern ambulatory unit. Over the past few decades, outpatient surgery has grown at exponential rate. Day stay surgery offers many benefits like reduction in hospital cost, reduced inconvenience for patients, increased patient satisfaction and decreased post-operative complications, early return to work. TIVA offers benefit in patients with history of malignant hyperpyrexia, in bronchoscopy and thoracic surgery as hypoxic pulmonary vasoconstriction is avoided in TIVA. Nitrous oxide is a significant "Greenhouse Gas". There is significant environmental damage by nitrous oxide and halogenated volatile anaesthetics e.g., Depletion of ozone layer. Nitrous oxide reacts with oxygen to produce nitric oxide, which affects the ozone layer, and has an atmospheric lifetime of 150 years. Isoflurane can undergo photolysis in upper atmosphere, with the release of free chlorine atoms. Free chlorine atoms act as catalysts for ozone destruction.

II. Material And Methods

After taking approval from the institutional ethics committee, sixty ASA grade I and II patients of either sex, between 20 to 60 years, weighing between 40-70 kg, undergoing elective surgeries of duration less than 1 hour were included in our study. We divided them into two groups:

Group I - TIVA with propofol.
Group II - Standard Balanced Anaesthesia.
Patients having history of obstructive lung disease, asthma, recent myocardial infarction, hepatic or renal disease, psychiatric disease, uncontrolled hypertension and patients on chronic use of opioids or benzodiazepines were excluded.

All patients were pre-medicated with tablet alprazolam 0.5 mg orally at bedtime and were kept fasting thereafter. After shifting the patient into operating room, non-invasive blood pressure (NIBP), ECG, pulse oximeter monitors were connected. Peripheral intravenous access was started using 18 Gauge I.V. cannula. The patients were then randomly divided into two groups using random allocation software.

**Group I (TIVA with Propofol):** After recording the pulse, NIBP and SpO₂, continuous I.V. infusion of normal saline was given. Through the second I.V. line, injection Fentanyl 3µg/kg, two minutes prior to induction was given over 30-60 seconds and was repeated 25µg every fifteen minutes. Anaesthesia was induced with propofol 2.5mg/kg (40mg every ten seconds). The airway was maintained by a facemask, while the patient breathed 100% oxygen. Anaesthesia was maintained by continuous infusion of propofol 10mg/kg per hour for ten minutes, followed by 8mg /kg per hour for next ten minutes and continued at 6mg/kg per hour thereafter. At the end of the procedure, intramuscular injection of diclofenac sodium 75mg was given.

**Group II (Standard Balanced Anaesthesia):** after recording the pulse, NIBP and SpO₂, continuous I.V. infusion of normal saline was given. Injection Fentanyl 3 µg/kg two minutes prior to induction was given over 30-60 seconds and repeated 25µg every fifteen minutes. Anaesthesia was induced with Propofol 2.5mg/kg (40mg every ten seconds) until loss of response to verbal command. Injection Suxamethonium 1.5mg/kg I.V was given, followed by laryngoscopy and intubation. The patient was connected to anaesthesia machine with a mixture of Nitrous Oxide:Oxygen::70:30 along with 1% Isoflurane for ten minutes and 0.6 % thereafter (using Bain’s circuit). Injection Vecuronium 0.05mg/kg was used for muscle relaxation. At the end of the procedure, injection of diclofenac sodium 75mg was given.

Intraoperative stresses i.e. Systolic BP>15mmHg above preoperative baseline for at least one minute or Tachycardia (heart rate>90 beats per minute for at least one minute) was treated with an incremental fentanyl 50 µg I.V. When the patient showed movement during surgery, we supplemented 20-40 mg propofol as I.V. bolus. Hypotension (less than 30% of base line) was treated by I.V. fluids or by reduction in propofol infusion. Bradycardia (heart rate <40beats per minute for at least one minute) was treated with an anticholinergic agent. At the end of the procedure, Propofol or Isoflurane was stopped. Neuromuscular block was reversed with neostigmine 0.04mg/kg and Atropine 0.02mg/kg in Group II patients. The patients were shifted to recovery room after adequate reversal. In the recovery room, the time at which the patients scored an Aldrete score of nine was noted, and this was taken as a time which was used as an index of fitness to leave a primary recovery area. Once the patient achieved Modified PADSS Score of ≥9 points, patient was considered fit for discharge. Patients were asked if they remembered anything during surgery. Serious adverse events were also recorded. Patients’ characteristics were compared using t-tests and chi squared tests.

**III. Observations and Results**

The demographic data(Table 1) was comparable in both the groups. Recovery occurred earlier in Group II (Isoflurane) with eye opening on command, after cessation of the anaesthetic, at a mean duration of 4.26 minutes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>TIVA Group</th>
<th>Balanced Anaesthesia</th>
<th>t value</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Time to eye opening (minutes)</td>
<td>6.66 ± 2.79</td>
<td>4.26 ± 2.55</td>
<td>3.469</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time to achieve Aldrete score≥9</td>
<td>8.13 ±3.03</td>
<td>5.10 ± 3.13</td>
<td>3.8080.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modified PADSS score achieved at 1 hour</td>
<td>9.70± 0.46</td>
<td>9.87 ± 0.33</td>
<td>-1.542 0.129</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table 3: Side Effects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Side Effects</th>
<th>TIVA Group n=30</th>
<th>Balanced Anaesthesia Group n=30</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intra-operative</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apnoea</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bradycardia HR &lt;50</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Post-operative</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cough</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nausea &amp; Vomiting</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Haemodynamic Characteristics
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Eye opening and Aldrete score more than 9 was earlier in Group II [Isoflurane group] and was 4.2 minutes and 5.10 minutes versus 6.6 minutes and 8.13 minutes in TIVA group (p<.001). Home readiness in terms of PADSS score ≥9 achieved in both groups at 1 hour (P=.129). Cough and nausea vomiting were less in TIVA group. The patients in group I were haemodynamically more stable at 0 min, 5 min, 40 min, and 50 min after induction (P<0.05).

IV. Discussion

Day care surgery offers many advantages to patients, staff and community. Rapid recovery to a state of post anaesthetic street fitness with high degree of patient satisfaction may be achieved with both the techniques, inhalational and total intravenous anaesthesia. The aim of our study was to compare the recovery characteristics and haemodynamic changes between TIVA group and Balanced Anaesthesia group in day care surgery.

Our study was in accordance to Rowbotham et al. Time to eye opening was 6.6 minutes in group I and 4.2 minutes in group II (p=0.001). Time to achieve Aldrete score ≥9 was 8.13 minutes in TIVA comparable (p=0.129). Nightingale and Lewis observed early recovery in 7.9 minutes in TIVA and 9.6 minutes in Isoflurane. Dragan et al. observed eye opening on command at 9 min in Isoflurane group and 11.5 min in TIVA group. Duration of surgery was longer i.e. 65 -69 min. Spontaneous breathing occurred at 6.2 min in Iso group and 8.5 min in propofol group.

Todd et al. had spontaneous eye opening at 10 min in both Isoflurane group and TIVA group. This was higher than in our study as they had used higher doses of fentanyl (10 µg/kg) and propofol, and had taken patients undergoing craniotomy.

Our study was similar to Fish et al. who used Sevoflurane and TIVA with propofol and 6 minutes in TIVA group. Aldrete score ≥9 was achieved at 10 minutes in Sevoflurane group and 8 minutes in TIVA group. PADSS score ≥9 was achieved at 26 minutes in Sevoflurane group and 28 minutes in TIVA; whereas our PADSS score recorded at 1 hour was ≥9.

Russel et al. in their study observed 5-7 minutes apnoea in 37% of his patients requiring IPPR in manual group. We also observed apnoea in 15 patients (50%) and they had to be ventilated just after induction. The difference in apnoea could be explained as they had used less dose of Fentanyl (1.5 µg/kg) and Propofol (6 mg/kg/hour infusion).

Smith et al (1999) observed apnoea in 84% patients on induction in TIVA group. Djaiani et al studied propofol auto – controlled infusion for ambulatory surgery. All patients received 10 µg/kg Alfentanil followed 2 min later by Propofol 0.4 mg/kg. Propofol infusion at the rate of 50 mg/kg/hr was initiated and Laryngeal mask airway was inserted. Maximum heart rate reduction was 15% from baseline. In our study, maximum reduction in mean heart rate was 12.98.

Russel et al. compared manual with target-controlled infusion of propofol and noted 3.8% increase in mean heart rate in manual group at 0 min. Thereafter 12.98% fall in mean heart rate at 5 min and 11.68% fall at 10 min. In our study, mean heart rate decreased by 10.38% from baseline at 0 min and 11.68% at 5 min and 12.98% at 10 min. The decrease in mean heart rate is comparable in both studies, while 3.8% increase in heart rate was due to LMA insertion in the study done by Russel. In our study TIVA group was on spontaneous ventilation.

In the study done by Rowbotham, fall in mean systolic B.P. was seen 6.7% at 0 min and 25.3% at 5 min. In our study, mean systolic B.P decreased by 15.3% from baseline at 0 min and 19.35% from baseline at 5 min. Less fall in Rowbotham study at 0 min was due to intubation response.

V. Conclusion

We concluded that TIVA group although had slightly delayed achievement of Aldrete score ≥9 in 8.13 minutes, versus 5.10 minutes in Isoflurane group, but the patients had better clear head recovery with no recall, cough, nausea-vomiting. PADSS score was same in both the groups. Hence, we strongly recommend the use of TIVA in day care surgeries.

Limitation of study: number of patients was small, we did not examine the environmental exhaust/pollution, intraoperative BIS or entropy was not used. This could have resulted in slightly more incremental drug used. During induction there was apnoea in 50% patients of group I and they had to be manually ventilated by mask for few minutes. Supraglottic devices were not used.
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