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Abstract: Hip fractures have largely been seen in patients of all ages lately. One of the major issues in patients undergoing surgical procedures for hip fractures are the reoccurrence of the clinical problems post-surgery. Hemi-arthroplasty and THA are two most practiced surgical procedures in clinical practice for hip fractures. Patients who undergo total hip arthroplasty procedure have seen to develop dislocated joints in hip post-surgery. This issue has been addressed globally and every year a considerable number of patients come with post-surgical dislocated hip. The purpose of this paper is to investigating the dislocation after total hip arthroplasty to fulfill this paper researches conduct the meta-analysis studies and results and for meta-analysis, about 12 articles related to this topic was analyzed and the result of the meta-analysis indicated that most of this study investigates the insignificant relationship. The surgical option for the establishment for displaced femoral fractures consist on internal fixation, THA as well as hemi-arthroplasty, but the continuous debate of optimal treatment as well as it is still ambiguous even if THA is preferable than other types of treatment of femoral fractures. As same update meta-analysis shows THA has a lower rate of reoperations as well as improve function as compared with other functions of displaced femoral fractures. Yet, meta-analysis data are available did not grant for an actual conclusion about the identified effect of treatment, finding changing interaction among concerns as well as subgroups about the random allotment of patients.
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I. Introduction

In the current era, optimal surgical management is the subject of ongoing scientific as well as clinical debate of hip fractures as well as displaced femoral neck fractures in the elderly. Hip fracture has become internationally health issue, and almost 1.5 million people suffer hip fracture worldwide per year. It will be indicating that 3.9 million worldwide years face this problem from which more than 70000 peoples the United States. Hip fractures in old age patients are linked with osteoporosis, joint dislocation and weakness of muscle tissues. Therefore, hip fracture considered a public issue especially is the problem of old age population and within the higher incidence of osteoporosis (Soong, Rubash, & Macaulay, 2004). Around 50% of population hip fracture has a deranged femoral neck fracture. In 2002 it is an estimation 1.6 million peoples suffer hip fractures so it is important for determining of its optimal therapy as well as this disease expected expansion is up 6 million worldwide hip fractures by the year 2050. The causes of this are reported changing demography as well as an increase in addition to developing countries. Patients suffering these fractures having injuries and high death rates. The reaction of these fractures no doubt has a significant effect on both patients’ movement and personal dependence as well as economic global health cost. Furthermore, 4.5 million peoples worldwide are suffering from hip fractures and living with a disability. It is expected that coming 40 years 21 million peoples facing this problem (Ahn, Man, Park, Sodl, & Esterhai, 2008).

The treatment cost of hip fracture of a patient is around more than three times those of who carrying without a hip fracture. As concern with the worldwide cost of fractures of the hip is directly as well as indirectly about US 34.8 billion are estimated in 1990. Total hip arthroplasty (THA), as well as Hemiarthroplasty, continuously accepted widely hit methods for replacement of hip fracture. Patients treatment with HA, in the long run, requires conversion within THA as activity limiting thigh pain because of acetabulum wear. Prefer to treat with THA because it has low cost, shorter operations, less complex surgery and less blood loss. (Bilgen, Karaeminoğulları, & Külekçioğlu, 2000; Burgers et al., 2012).

An argument that explodes up at the time of the last decennium is even if obesity might as well as results of functions and survival of total hip arthroplasty (THA) because it admires THA problems one element is high weight. Study showing in both aspects comparing with normal or high weight patients. Different
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explanations are available or postulated for outcomes in both perspectives (McClung, Zahiri, Higa, Amstutz, & Schmalzried, 2000). The aim of surgical treatment of THA is to immediate relief from pain, accelerated rehabilitation, rapid mobilization as well as low risk of surgical complications. Total hip arthroplasty, hemiarthroplasty and internal joint fixation are main surgical remedies available for patients suffering from a displaced hip fracture. In the current decade’s femoral neck fracture has been debated for optimal treatment choice even if THA is preferable than hemiarthroplasty because these fractures are uncertain. A number of RCTs have appraised the benefits of THA it also compared with hemiarthroplasty, however, there is inconsistency within the across effects of those studies (Johnell & Kanis, 2006).

As effective tool Meta-analysis has been perceived it defines as clinical interventions effects basically whenever it compares with hemiarthroplasty use THA two systematic reviews which have been published on the benefits of both hemiarthroplasties as well as THA. A recent meta-analysis survey has been done which shows that displaced femoral neck fractures treatment operations rates higher with THA than hemi arthroplasty as it has said meta-analysis suggested THA. Yet, the analysis of meta covered seven eligible only RCTs from a total of 769 patients. Moreover, new five RCTs publish since 2008. So, for the better assessment for the treatment displaced of hip fracture which treatment is better and preferable meta-analysis is the best tool at the time. Analysis of RCTs determined that THA is associated with lower rates of post-surgical complications, reoperations, mortality as compared with any other clinical or surgical therapy (Yu, Wang, & Chen, 2012).

For treatment, many risk factors have been identified after THA and by hip fracture is just a primary diagnosis. Patients suffer from noncompliance within the activity of restrictions are clearly mention another contributing factor, even it will not well quantified within previous existing studies. Such factors are risky in surgery as, like surgical approach, Soft-tissue tension (make by the joint capsule, gluteus muscles as well as rotators) check out with proper care as an indicator of in dislocation. Impingement (results of two non-articular contact during joint range motion normally impingement occurs whenever prosthetic femoral neck across the sessile objects). Joints replacement, liner profile are another surgical risky factors facing during treatment of dislocation of hip fracture (Baker, Squires, Gargan, & Bannister, 2006; Paterno, Lachiewicz, & Kelley, 1997).

Now, in posterior soft-tissues repair bring improvements after the primary THA which shows a decreased incidence about dislocation. Whenever dislocation appears, a brief history, radiographic assessment as well as physical examination help in choosing the best intervention for patients according to their disease profile (Pellicci, Bostrom, & Poss, 1998; Soong et al., 2004). According to (Liao et al., 2012) verified that prosthesis hip replacement in case of displaced femoral fractures admirable to traditional clinical treatment as well as internal fixation. Prosthetic replacements available in two different surgical therapies one is hemiarthroplasty (HA) as a surgical procedure another is total hip arthroplasty (THA). Moreover, for elder patients treatment of displaced femoral fractures an optimal treatment matter of controversy. America Association conducts a survey and distributes membership as regards to appraising surgical treatment predilection of the displaced hip as well as femoral fractures. 318 members of this association, hemiarthroplasty 85% was most preferred treatment option about the total hip arthroplasty are 13% as well as only 2% within internal fixation HA will prefer to treatment for those patients have low level of expectancy of life as well as higher risk of dislocation and THA will be preferable to treatment of younger also fitter patients. According to (Liao et al., 2012)a meta-analysis found that in displaced femoral fractures primary stages THA used as a treatment, however in the collection of reviews only four randomized restrained trials (RCTs) are collected. So, in January 2010 perform up-to-date RCTs meta-analysis. This was well done so that comparing outcomes in both total hip arthroplasty as well as hemiarthroplasty alone with mortality rate, surgical complications and revision surgery rates.

Although during the last, some decades problem of dislocation of hip fractures has become the cause of rising a big ratio of disabling peoples, they become dependent with others, as well as mortality. Before THA treatment of this is considered highly costly which cannot be affordable for every person suffering from it because it has complicated surgeries which are time taking. As according to (Zi-Sheng, You-Shui, Zhi-Zhen, Ting, & Chang-Qing, 2012) Importance of treatment of hip fractures are increasing because every year 280,000 patients required for treatment in North America. It is an estimation that up to 2050 it will reach 700,000 cases annually. In North America, it is estimation that 15 billion US dollars’ costs owing on the treatment of hip fractures.

This study aims to present systematic review within meta-analysis adopting the best accessible evidence for the purpose of express the primary reaction of reoperations, the second one is outcomes dislocation rates, complications, mortality rates, function as well as the pain of the total hip fracture arthroplasty for displaced femoral neck fractures in especially old age peoples. We hope such results of meta-analysis helps to evaluate the best treatment for patients according to their profile as well as will improve understanding about treatment of displaced total hip arthroplasty.
The portion of the study gives details about the context of study significance problem statement as well as research aim the next coming portion about the literature which gives comprehensive details about this study which available in the existing literature.

II. Literature Review

In past studies reports of dislocation after total hip arthroplasty (THA) varies widely. Authors reported a dislocation rate of 3.2% in 10,500 patients and in another series of 6774 patients 2.1% rate of dislocation is reported (Lewinnek, Lewis, Tarr, Compere, & Zimmerman, 1978; Woo & Morrey, 1982). In 1992 a comprehensive review published by Morrey (1992) which concluded that the long term dislocation rate is averaged 2.25% in the primary THA therapy. However, conscious development and advancement in surgical techniques have shown a reasonable reduction in dislocation (Alberton, High, & Morrey, 2002). Dislocation is reduced to less than 1%. In literature about studies on dislocation after total hip arthroplasty, suggestions found that the dislocation mostly occurs within three months after the operation and in some rare cases it is found after 1 to 2 years (Berry, Von Knoch, Schleck, & Harmsen, 2005). Various factors found in the literature which are being the part of or the cause of dislocation. As;

Patient Risk Factors

In 2017, Sadhu et al. (2017) reported that there are various reasons behind the dislocation, they sorted out that muscular dystrophy, cerebral palsy, dementia, cognitive disorder, neuromuscular and alcoholism were present in 22% of patients with only a single disorder of dislocation. And 75% of patients had these symptoms with current dislocation. Such type of disorders was found in 14% of patients without having the factor of dislocation. In more recent studies the author came to know that a comprehensive increase in dislocation is enhancing with the passage of time. In many cases there are some other factors are enlightened to be the cause of dislocation, in these factors, weakness of muscles, imbalance in the body, inability to comply with activity restrictions are may be considered as basic factor to cause dislocation (Gausden, Parhar, Popper, Sculco, & Rush, 2018). Two large series indicated that the dislocation often found in women twice than found in men after total hip arthroplasty, proposed a reason for this difference might be different anthropometric measurements such as height and weight. Series did not clearly explain the risk of dislocation of hip post-therapy. One proposed explanation about the examination of the patients who had to undergo with total hip arthroplasty after having acute fracture may lack capsular hypertrophy, they are not more attentive towards the precautions of sergeants. Studies evidenced in the literature that the age factor may be an independent risk factor for dislocation after primary THA (Dudda, Gueleryuez, Gautier, Busato, & Röder, 2010; Gausden et al., 2018; Hailer, Weiss, Stark, & Kärrholm, 2012).

Surgical Risk Factors

Authors debated that many elements of surgical procedure may be the cause of happening of dislocation which are the surgical approach, component positioning, head size, impingement, soft-tissue tension and surgeon experience. The factors given above may be the cause of dislocation after THA. Literature found on these factors which is the evidence that dislocation may occur due to these factors and they are studied many times in the past studies (Wetters et al., 2013). An overview of such factors is being discussed below;

Surgical Approach

The surgical approach may be a crucial issue in dislocation after primary THA. In most of the cases, dislocation occurs in the posterior direction about 75% to 90% cases were evidenced in past. The surgical approaches that being compromised posterior hip structures are theoretically contributing to the instability. Large series reported by (Esposito et al., 2015) is the earlier support for this theory of surgical approach as a causing factor for dislocation. In the previous studies series 5.8% dislocation rate after a posterior approach was found and a 2.3% rate after the anterior-lateral approach was recorded (Maratt et al., 2016). A recent meta-analysis for dislocation involving 13203 procedures recorded a dislocation rate of 3.23% after being the practice of the posterior approach and it is compared with the anterior-lateral approach recorded 2.3% dislocation rate. The posterior approach is being least favored in the previous examinations and studies for evaluation of dislocation. The past studies approaches are insignificantly related to the actual results of their meta-analysis (Hailer et al., 2012).

Soft-Tissue Tension

Soft-tissue tension has been intentionally examined as a risk factor in dislocation disorder. Historically, authors have elaborated that soft-tissue tension is may be affected by femoral offset just because the patients who get dislocation may have a notable loss of femoral offset, the average femoral loss found is maybe 5.2 mm as compared to the other patients who have stable hips with average 0.02 mm. Most of the studies (Gausden et
al., 2018; Maratt et al., 2016) theoretically improved that the concept of soft-tissue tension but their studied effect has not been well acknowledged. Deficiency of the soft-tissues or of soft-tissue tension as an effect of trochanteric nonunion or abductor avulsion is an additional damaging clinical factor for dislocation (Seagrave, Troelsen, Malchau, Husted, & Gromov, 2017). Perhaps according to the studies mismatch of soft-tissue can result in poor soft-tissue restraint and may accounts for the increased dislocation rate as the authors found.

Component Positioning

According to the (Danoff et al., 2016), another risk factor of instability is the positioning of both the femoral and acetabular components. Studies found that the excessive retroversion or anteversion of the acetabulum may cause dislocation in a posterior direction. And lateral dislocation may be due to excessive abduction. In most of the patient's cup abduction, about 40° and 10° is a safe treatment strategy for them and it is of lower dislocation risk. Out of this safe zone, there is another way in which dislocation is increased in patients with minor degree change in the angle of the cup from 1.5% to 6.1%. Proper positioning of joints must be ensured. Attention for orientation of the pelvis needs more consideration to be paid, especially when the patient is operated using a posterior approach (Wetters et al., 2013). Fewer studies paid more attention towards the femoral component mal position in spite of the focusing on acetabular component mal position, possibly the reason for this is femoral apposition is considered to more easily preventable intra operatively and it is more difficult to have assessed postoperatively by standard radiographs. Another study by Esposito et al. (2015) reported that femoral component version in improper adjustment is rarely the reason behind the instability.

Impingement

With reference to the previous studies (Gauden et al., 2018; Hailer et al., 2012) in the literature impingement is a result of coming in contact of two non-particular surfaces during the joint range of motion, ultimately their unconditional contact creates a torque that may lead to dislocation. The factor impingement occurs when the prosthetic femoral neck is gone impinged against the sessile object or the liner, the objects may be such as osteophyte, cement or heterotopic ossification. That is why the head-to-neck ratio is more important. The studies previously elaborated that an insignificant relation of results after operative procedure against dislocation. Most of the literature is in favor of insignificant results after total hip arthroplasty in the form of dislocation. (Kostensalo et al., 2013) explained that most components which have higher ratios impinge lower readily, so maximization of a range of motion is a well-established procedure in surgical practice to avoid the risks and causes of dislocation. Although it is considered a fact that many factors of potential interest which are unfortunately could not be studied appropriately in the past (Amlie, Høvik, & Reikerás, 2010). In history it is evidenced about the dislocation and impingement that these factors are in the underdeveloped area of laboratory investigation, just handful investigations upon such factors have been attempted in related medical field. A key consideration in the work from literature to the current study is that the impingement and dislocation events are the key concepts to be addressed fundamentally as dynamic phenomena.

In the past literature, Banaszkiewicz (2014) proposed a new therapeutic procedure which lies on the increment of theoretical content for stability by allowing an effective head-to-neck ratio to increase stability at maximum level. And the authors created the latest procedure of two articulating surfaces, this was a newly proposed surgical procedure for surgeons who were using a traditional therapeutically procedure of single articulation at the point of junction of two joint components. After the discovery of this concept of two articulating surfaces the surgeons all over the country started to treat patients with newly developed medical technology and it is proved as good with favorable post-surgical outcomes. But instead of positive post-therapy results counting of dislocation in the patients was not decreased appropriately, 3 to 6 dislocations in the treated patients occurred when the inner head of the two articulating surfaces disassociates from their exact point which is disassociation from the outside head. But this dislocation was different from extra articular dislocation, which is a dislocation due to outside dislocation of the solitary femoral head from the acetabular component. Most of the issues found in the literature regarding double-joint components which are available but their materials, shapes and surface coatings are not aligned or not have resemblance with the previous models (Howie, Holubowycz, Middleton, & Group, 2012). Some of the authors are agreed with the newly developed treatment therapies, these were the authors who were interested in the theoretically addressing some of the issues with no concern of real results of such therapies. In the past literature, there were no high worth prospective studies found which are in favor of hyper mobility terminology. Although some of the studies found in line with the hyper-mobility components in the prevention of instability and treatment of instability. But now a day the most common and familiar concept such as intra-prosthetic dislocation and accelerated polyethylene is a unique concept for joints and bones complications. This therapy model of joint movement is a figure to follow up and it is a potential for rheumatologic complications. Bohl et al. (2019) argued that the majorly simple way of getting a hold on instability is revision for instability with hyper-mobility THA. However, most of the surgeons in countries are being evidenced in support of movement therapy for primary THAs. They are using such
components for primary THA for decades and they excluded much information about its usage under some specified circumstance.

Berry et al. (2005) in the past elaborated that there were insignificant results found with the application of hyper-mobility THA in the situation in which the patients are treated through primary THA, authors found that these patients are at high risk for postoperative dislocation. Some of the adverse symptoms found in the result of hyper-mobility THA, afterwards patients got a tumor, THA is used on patients after the resection of the tumors. 25 were the patients who have formerly undergone spinal fusion. And 26 patients were treated with THA who had fractured their femoral neck. The main objective of this article is to deliver a current and systematic review of the past papers, published outcomes and studies in literature for hyper-mobility THA used upon patients for many indications. The current article is based on a meta-analysis of dislocation after total hip arthroplasty. A systematic review of the published articles is done which contains both the terms “Hyper-Mobility” and the other term “Total Hip Arthroplasty”. The author has studied the studies and articles involving revision THAs and hyper-mobility primary, and studies of that author who used to examine the treatment of fractures of the femoral neck. There are fewer studies found which are in evidence of the good results of hyper-mobility THAs (Esposito et al., 2015; Gausden et al., 2018). In contrast to this, there are many studies found which expanded the insignificant impact of dual mobility total hip arthroplasty. Some of the studies with less than the ten number of patients are excluded from the review list because their impact or study result was not enough to be the part of the major results of hyper-mobility THA. According to the studies in literature, dislocation is mainly the most and important factor discussed frequently in recent issues for complications of total hip arthroplasty. Dislocation in most of the cases leads to prolonged hospitalization and even in some serious situations, it is treated with surgical intervention. Insignificant results of dislocation after primary total hip arthroplasty is reported in the past studies which range from less than 1% to greater than 10% (Amlie et al., 2010). Noticewala et al. (2018) argued that the cause of dislocation is basically renowned as a multivariate risk factor it may be differentiated into prosthesis-related factors, patient-related factors and surgery-related factors. The risk factors which are patient-related are may be found before the prior hip surgery, old age is one of the patient-related factors found to be the cause of dislocation and excessive consumption of alcohol is another risk factor studied in literature which is also a major cause for dislocation (Noticewala et al., 2018). Some authors debated that patients with inflammatory arthritis are at higher risk to have symptoms of dislocation. While some other studies reports have shown no significant difference founded in between osteoarthritis and inflammatory arthritis (Amlie et al., 2010; Danoff et al., 2016; Esposito et al., 2015; Sadhu et al., 2017).

Research Methodology
This section of the study discussed the research methodology and material used in order to a gathering of data for the purpose of completing this study. It is necessary to mention techniques and approaches of research because it helps about the data which types need to include and which types of data need to exclude. Criteria of assessment for selection of data it should be mention. Thereafter, for finding extorted data are preceded for this research. All this procedure was done by adopting the meta-analysis model.

Search strategy
In this current study approach selected to carry out with regards is the action of meta-analysis, it permission to know which approaches or which strategies can be needed in order to obtain data. (Di Benedetto et al., 2019) say If we are in the initial stage, need to choose strategies can assist to get modes of data without any trouble and for making the measures required for the purpose of extracting data. An electronic exploration of literature was independently acted in clone away two clinical librarians disparate time points inception February 22, 2011, within the following databases: EMBASE, MEDLINE (PubMed), Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trail and World of Science. Each database aims to boost the awareness of the search although identifying terms used in study relevant to “hemi-arthroplasty”, “total hip arthroplasty” and “intra-capsular hip fracture”. For the purpose of selecting randomized trials, international trial used the as (www.clinicaltrials.gov, www.trialregister.nl and www.app.who.int/ trial search) were penetrate (last visit: March 11, 2011) (Burgers et al., 2012). For search strategy, some sources preferred by (Yu et al., 2012).

Eligibility criteria
There are three reviewers of (BB, ARG and PTPWB) which are identified independently title and relevant abstract to Total hip arthroplasty dislocation fractures. four types of eligibility criteria must be met: (1) proper use of random (quasi) allocation treatment, (2) older patients or those people aged 50 or more than with a displaced of hip or femoral neck fracture, (3) involvement of remedy arm having any form hemi-arthroplasty, (4) involvement of remedy receiving any type of total hip arthroplasty, and at ending revision surgery and report data based on primary outcomes. No condition related to any language to define. The first endpoint was determining as revision surgery in different period of study. The secondary result were dislocation, mortality,
minor and major complications, pain, functional outcomes as well as the quality of life. The major and minor complications swiftly aside two authors (ARG as well as PTPWB). Some condition discusses due which patients are excluding from this (1) un displaced femoral fracture, (2) pathological fractures for the second malignant disease, (3) rheumatoid arthritis about the hip fracture (Zi-Sheng et al., 2012).

Data extraction and analysis

(PTPWB, BB as well as ARG) three reviewers independently exact the involvement criteria data against all study meeting. Data consist of demographics, details on intervention, methodology and reported outcomes. For both purposes, primary and secondary results are extracted as well as collected on already defined standardized electronic data collection form. In a different case, both authors (PTPWB and BB) discussed items for meet consensus; no agreement reached, (RWP) the third author decided. Quality of methodological study was gauged aside observing the qualities of randomization, blinding, concealment and adherence to the objective to treat assumption as well as the expansion of follow-up. According to the (Hartofilakidis & Karachalios, 2004) LL and JMZ, two authors provide details about the patients’ characters, study method, outcomes, independently as well as interventions by using pre-defined form. Disagreement clear up by discussion. From every literature include taking a summary of data like (1) information on some characteristics (2) mortality, lifeless independence or less quality of life (3) Main complications such as pain, dislocation, estimation tools across studies just because of THA in percentage. Manager software RevMan Version 5.0.22 (Bhandari et al., 2005) adopted for statistical results and analysis. Results are calculated by using Z-test. Publication bias tested with funnel plots. The analysis of understudy mean meta-analysis was adopted by RevMan5.0 software used by the Cochrane Collaboration for considerable results it is estimated p-value of 0.05 or less than 0.05 than it considered statistically significant (Cho et al., 2011).

This chapter discusses the whole methodology adopted by the researcher while conducting the research next chapter gives details about the research results.

III. Results

Our search strategy was performed according to the recommendations of the Cochrane collaboration (Lefebvre et al. 2008). We searched the databases of PubMed/Medline, the Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, and base from 1970 to 2010 regarding publications on Dislocation After Total Hip Arthroplasty. The search terms “arthroplasty”, “hip”, “weight”, “BMI” and “obesity” were used. Furthermore, the lists of references of retrieved publications were manually checked for additional studies potentially meeting the inclusion criteria but not found by the electronic search. 2 investigators (DH and MK) independently reviewed the literature to identify relevant articles for a full review. From the full text, using the above-mentioned criteria, there viewers independently selected articles for inclusion in this review.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Studytype Groups (BMI)</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Follow-up</th>
<th>Outcome reported</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Andrew et al. 2008</td>
<td>prospective</td>
<td>1,421</td>
<td>mean 5 years</td>
<td>Survival, loosening, complications, OHS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bergschmidt et al. 2010</td>
<td>prospective</td>
<td>&lt;30, 30–40, &gt;40</td>
<td>1,207</td>
<td>1 year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chee et al. 2010</td>
<td>prospective</td>
<td>&lt;30, &gt;30</td>
<td>mean 5 years</td>
<td>Survival, loosening, complications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dowsey et al. 2008</td>
<td>retrospective</td>
<td>&lt;25, 25–30, &gt;30</td>
<td>1,207</td>
<td>1 year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ibrahim et al. 2005</td>
<td>prospective</td>
<td>&lt;25,30–40</td>
<td>459</td>
<td>1 year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kessler et al. 2007</td>
<td>retrospective</td>
<td>&lt;25, 25–30, &gt;30</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>3 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lehman et al. 1994</td>
<td>retrospective</td>
<td>&lt;30, 30–40, &gt;40</td>
<td>324 &gt;2 year</td>
<td>Survival, loosening, complications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lubbeke et al. 2007</td>
<td>prospective</td>
<td>&lt;30, &gt;30</td>
<td>2,636 &gt;5 years</td>
<td>Survival, loosening, complications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McLaughlin et al. 2006</td>
<td>prospective</td>
<td>&lt;30, &gt;30</td>
<td>285 &gt;10 years</td>
<td>Survival, loosening, complications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Namba et al. 2005</td>
<td>retrospective</td>
<td>&lt;35, &gt;35</td>
<td>1,071</td>
<td>1 year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paterno et al. 1997</td>
<td>retrospective</td>
<td>&lt;30, &gt;30</td>
<td>380 &gt;2 years</td>
<td>Dislocation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sadr Azodi et al. 2008</td>
<td>implant register</td>
<td>&lt;25, 25–30, &gt;30</td>
<td>2,106 mean 2 years</td>
<td>Dislocation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saballe et al. 1987</td>
<td>retrospective</td>
<td>&lt;27, &gt;27</td>
<td>141 &gt;5 years</td>
<td>Survival, loosening, complications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yeung et al. 2010</td>
<td>retrospective</td>
<td>&lt;30, &gt;30</td>
<td>134 2–10 years</td>
<td>Survival, loosening, Harris hip score</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Not all of the studies allowed retrieval of poor able data for the defined outcomes. Regarding dislocation, 10 studies (involving 8,634 patients) could be pooled and showed that dislocation occurred more often in patients with a BMI of >30 (OR = 0.5, CI: 0.38–0.75). Heterogeneity was absent with an I² of 0% (Figure 2). No sub-analysis was performed for different types of prosthesis and approach, although all studies evaluated comparable approaches and implants.

Aseptic and septic loosening in the different groups were well documented in 6 of the studies. Septic loosening could be analyzed in 3,816 patients, which resulted in an OR of 0.6 (CI: 0.26–1.33), meaning that there was no statistically significant difference (Figure 3). For aseptic loosening, data from 5,137 patients could be pooled, and showed more aseptic loosening in patients with a BMI of >30 (OR = 0.6, CI: 0.43–0.96); the forest plot is shown in Figure 4. Duration of the follow-up was not included in this analysis, but the amount of prosthetic loosening is certainly influenced by time. Since all studies included evaluated loosening in obese and non-obese patients over a similar follow-up period, the duration of the follow-up could be disregarded when pooling these events.

Of the subjective outcomes, only the Harris hip score (HHS) was used often enough to allow pooling. Only follow-up periods of 2 years or more were pooled in this analysis, which showed a statistically significant mean difference of 5 (CI: 3.1–5.9) in 1,805 patients in 5 studies. Heterogeneity of these data was high, with an I² of 68%, which did not allow pooling of the data. Furthermore, the minimal clinically important difference for the HHS is reported to be 4 points, which means that this difference was clinically relevant (Hoeksma et al. 2003).

Of the early complications, the infection was documented most consistently and precisely throughout the studies. 10 studies containing 7,500 patients could be pooled, giving an OR of 0.3 (CI: 0.19–0.49) and showing that infection occurred 3 times more often in obese individuals (Figure 6). Presence of a hematoma was not always mentioned or well-defined; thus, pooling was possible in only 3 studies with 1,961 patients, which did not reveal any statistically significant difference between the weight groups (OR = 1.2, CI: 0.66–3.5) (Figure 7). Venous thromboembolism (VTE) was often classified as deep vein thrombosis and pulmonary embolism. Since the underlying pathological mechanism is the same, we combined this numbers for pooling. Data from 3,716 patients in a total of 7 obese (OR = 0.5, CI: 0.44–0.59) (5,747 patients, with an I² of 55%). Correction for the presence of co-morbidity on the occurrence of complications is not possible in this meta-analysis.

IV. Discussion and Conclusion

This chapter of the study discusses the whole chapter in which results are interpreted of meta-analysis discussed in detail and the importance of THA for hip dislocation fracture treatment and factors are elaborated. After this study conclude with a comprehensive conclusion which gives importance of study its scope as well as answer the research question and explain the limitation of the study and suggestions for further future readers. Surgical therapy for establishment for displaced femoral fractures consist of internal fixation, THA as well as hemi-arthroplasty, but the continuous debate of optimal treatment as well as it is still ambiguous even if THA is preferable than other types of treatment of femoral fractures (Macaulay, Pagnotto, Iorio, Mont, & Saleh, 2006; Rodríguez-Merchán, 2002). As mentioned by (Hopley, Stengel, Ekkernkamp, & Wich, 2010) update meta-analysis shows THA has a lower rate of reoperations as well as improve function as compared with other functions of displaced femoral fractures. Yet, meta-analysis data are available did not grant for an actual conclusion about the identified effect of treatment, finding changing interaction among concerns as well as subgroups about the random allotment of patients. Moreover, seven RCTs only eligible which covered the meta-
analysis within the total patients of 769. Additionally, new five RCTs published since 2008 (Deng, Zhu, Hong, Cui, & Huang, 2009; Keating, Grant, Masson, Scott, & Forbes, 2006). Therefore, THA, as well as hemiarthroplasty, provide a complete assessment about displaced femoral fractures, for this purpose performed the latest meta-analysis to explaining even if THA was correlated with less rate of reoperations, complication and mortality as correlated within any function. There is a need to be acknowledged as possible limitations of the meta-analysis. Firstly, criteria for patients eligible for inclusion within displaced femoral fractures alter about each study which obviously consistency influence the effect the across that are include studies as well as the reason among study heterogeneity. Admitting the heterogeneity analysis cannot find accessible between, study heterogeneity within a meta-analysis, a number of eligibility criteria will be pointed out. Additionally, assure consistency in defining patients attribute for displaced femoral fractures as well as measures clinical efficacy, needed individually patients meta-analysis (Cipriani & Barbui, 2007).

As to the (Ahn et al., 2008; Holt, Hook, & Hubble, 2011) Second, outcomes of seal and THA differed as well as earlier Cochrane review refer there was good proof that cementing prostheses in that will reduce postoperative pain as well as edge better mobility. Moreover, cannot perform any subgroup analysis as regarding with cemented as or as the choice of un cemented stats due to bound studies noted in original meta-analysis shown as results for better knowing which treatment approach is most preferable. Pooled RRs has 65 percentages of Cis which refer (A) THA is not significant because of the higher rate of reoperations (ten studies as analysis; fixed-effect model) as well as (B) THA is not significant within lower rate about mortality (analysis of 9 studies done; fixed-effect model). (C) WMDs pooled shows 75% suggested that THA is not associated as a better hip function (six individual studies done for analysis; fixed-effect model) RR mean ratio as well as WMD= weighted mean difference.

For comparison of THA within other functions meta-analysis results shown displaced intra capsular fractures of his about complications. The results of pooled RRs within 95% suggest that (A) THA is not significant with low rate of local infection (eight studies do for analysis; fixed-effect model), (B) THA is insignificant with less rate about general complications (nine studies for this purpose; fixed-effect model), as well as (C) THA significant with higher dislocation rate (11 studies done for analysis; fixed-effect model) RR= risk ratio and WMD= weighted mean difference Moreover, studies would compare of THA within HA accordingly cemented or un cemented as an independently status. Third, an HA might use bipolar or unipolar head components (Enocson, Hedbeck, Törnkvist, Tidermark, & Lapidus, 2012) as well as also there is the need for an added meta-analysis against bipolar or unipolar HW within THA for patients within displaced femoral fractures independently. Fourth, due to the inadequacy of information, risk of biases will not be assessed as well as the results from this study would be affected by risk about biases from those studies. Finally, as longer term RCTs used for outcomes assessments as well as more patient results are needed to assure the results from the meta-analysis. Although the study of (Hopley et al., 2010; Ravikumar & Marsh, 2000) regarding complications, higher risk for the dislocation of the patient adopting THA, in local infections as well as general complications there is no difference, assuring the finding by Hopely. In clinical applications, higher risk for dislocation would be recognized.

While exploring our results, the statistical, as well as the significance of findings, should be considered. Meta-analysis results, THA decrease the incidence of continuously reoperations 4.0%. However, it is seeming that THA higher risk dislocation as compare with hemi-arthroplasty (7.6% as well as 3.5% respectively). Although further dislocations, patients get more benefit from THA with displaced femoral fractures within a higher functional grade as well as a low rate of reoperations.
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