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I. Introduction:

Spinal anesthesia is used very commonly to produce analgesia for surgery below umbilicus .The advantages of spinal analgesia are well known and are widely accepted in the developing countries .Various local anaesthetics have been tried and few of them established a good reputation . First spinal anaesthesia was given by corning in 1885, and was first used deliberately by Bier in 1898 . Bier injected 3ml of 0.5% cocaine . Hyperbaric lignocaine hydrochloride 5% is extensively used for subarachnoid block . But it is not useful for prolonged surgery as its effect were off within one to one and half hour. Search for the agent with longer duration of action was carried out . Vasoconstriction like adrenaline added to the local anaesthetic Solution found to prolong the action and also reduce systemic toxicity of local anesthetic.

AIMS: The aim of the present study was to find out 1.duration of analgesia 2.level of analgesia and its spread.3.degree of motor blockade 4.effect of cardiovascular system.

0.5% hyperbaric bupivacaine was given intrathecally in abdominal hysterectomies 3.5 ml and lower limb surgeries 3ml.

II. Material and Methods:

The present study was taken on 50 cases undergoing abdominal hysterectomy and lower limb surgery at govt medical college ,Nagpur , during feb 1990 to may 1991. Age of the patient varied between 21 to 60 yrs.

All the patients were examined on the day prior to operation . The patient with any systemic disease or skin disease etc were excluded from the study . Routine investigation i.e haemoglobin percentage and urine examination was done . In the patients with age more than 40 yrs , Xray chest and ECG was done . The nutritional status of the patients was average and most of the patient s had mild to moderate anaemia as the patients coming to the hospital were from poor socio economic strata.

No premedication was given in the study . On operation table the patients were again examined and pulse and blood pressure were recorded . i.v infusion started with ringers lactate solution . Patients were placed in right or left lateral position without any tilt to the table and lumber puncture was performed with all aseptic precautions.

In this study drug used for spinal analgesia was hyperbaric 0.5% bupivacaine with 5% glucose which was supplied in single dose ampoule and marketed as "sensorcaine spinal 0.5% heavy “ by ASTRA-IDL Limited . The specific gravity of the solution quoted by ASTRA-IDL limited was found to be 1.026 at 20c.

Each patient for abdominal surgery received 3.5 ml of 0.5% bupivacaine hyperbaric without barbotage. While those for lower limb surgery received 3ml of drug . Speed of injection was 0.3 to 0.35 ml/sec . The pt were kept in left lateral position with table horizontal. The time was noted immediately after the injection of the drug and pt was turned to supine position .A pillow was kept under head.

The onset of analgesia was noted as the loss of sensation to pin prick on the dorsum of foot .The time was noted .The time between the intrathecal injection of drug and the analgesia at the dorsum of foot was taken as the time for onset of analgesia.

In the same way maximum height of analgesia was also noted as loss of anaesthesia to the pin prick on corresponding dermatome and the time in minute were noted . The time interval between the intrathecal injection of the drug and the time for maximum height of analgesia was taken as the time for complete analgesia.

At the same time patient was asked to lift the leg without bending knee joint against gravity and thee degree of motor blockade was determined as follows
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A/c to Bromage’s scale 0-3
0-ability to raise the extended legs against the gravity.
1-inability to raise the extended legs
2-inability of flex the knee
3-inability to flex ankle (complete motor block)

Blood pressure and pulse rate were recorded each minute for first 10 min after intrathecal injection of the drug and then at 5 mins interval till the operation is completed.
The patients were sedated with i.v diazepam 10 mg and Pentazocine 30 mg. If needed after maximal level of analgesia was reached. The pt where analgesia was inadequate or where the effect started wearing off were supplemented with general anaesthesia. Induction with i.v thiopentone ,suxamethonium for intubation and maintenance on O2 , halothane and pavulon in semiclose circuit with circle absorber on controlled ventilation. Intervenous fluids were given as per need. Operative procedures required 1-3 hrs.

After complete regression of sensory and motor blockade patients were shifted to the wards and were followed for 24 hrs and watched for any of the complications:

III. Results

The present work include 50 patients undergoing abdominal surgery and lowe limb surgery at GMC Hospital, Nagpur during Feb 1990 to May 1991. All the pt were operated under general anaesthesia with 0.5% bupivacaine.

Demographic details are given in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21–30</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31–40</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41–50</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51–60</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Shows age of patients varied from 21 to 60 yrs and also 37 female included in study and 13 male pt include.

Patients above 60 yrs of age were excluded because of increased chances of the higher level of analgesia and marked cardiovascular changes with 0.5% hyperbaric bupivacaine when given intrathecally.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.NO</th>
<th>TYPES OF OPERATION</th>
<th>NO OF CASES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>LOWER LIMB SURGERY</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>VAGINAL Hysterectomy</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>TUBOPLASTY</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>ABDOMINAL Hysterectomy</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Showing type of operation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dose in ml</th>
<th>Types of operation</th>
<th>No of pt</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3ml</td>
<td>Lower limb surgery</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5 ml</td>
<td>Abdominal, vaginal and surgery</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: showing onset of analgesia at the dorsum of the foot
Table 3 shows that none of the patient in either of the group had onset of analgesia within 1.5 mins. In group 1 mean onset of analgesia is 2.7 min and in group 2 pt total 4 had analgesia at 2.0min and 16 pt had analgesia at 3 min.

Table 4 : Showing duration for onset of motor block and degree of motor block and degree of motor lock

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Degree of motor block</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Group 1 No of cases</th>
<th>Group 1 %</th>
<th>Group 2 No of cases</th>
<th>Group 2 %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade 3</td>
<td>0-2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 3</td>
<td>3-5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.67</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>54.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 3</td>
<td>6-8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>37.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 3</td>
<td>9-11</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>53.33</td>
<td>03</td>
<td>8.57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5 shows that there was no intraoperative complications like hypotension, bradycardia, shivering, level t4, vomiting and respiratory insufficiency in group 1. In group 2 12 patients 34.29% had hypotension and required treatment with i.v atropine.

Table 6 shows that in group 1 duration for 2 segment sensory regression found to range between 61-120 min . 4 pt had 2 sensory regression within 61-70 min.

IV. Discussion

Spinal anaesthesia is used most commonly for surgeries below umbilicus. Advantages of spinal anaesthesia are:

1. it gives good muscle relaxation of abdomen together with small contracted bowel which is advantageous to surgeons.
2. Wound bleeding is less.
3. Intestinal function returns early.
4. it is cheap as compared to general anaesthesia.

The time for maximum height of analgesia in the present study was found to be between 5 to 20 min, with mean 15.66 in group 1pt.

From our study it can be concluded that both groups duration for complete regression of motor blockade was found to be range between 150-220 min . indicating that increase in the dose of the drug from 3-3.5 ml has no significant effect on the duration of blockade. Wide range of duration of regression was observed.

V. Conclusion:

No definite correlation between the age and the sex and level of analgesia observed. Drug was injected in lateral horizontal position without any tilt in the table.

3.5 ml of 0.5% of hyperbaric bupivacaine intrathecally can be used for abdominal and vaginal hysterectomies lasting upto 2 hrs with cardiovascular changes.
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