Assessment of Diagnostic Value of Three Interleukin (1, 6 And 8) In Cerebrospinal Fluid of Children and Adolescent with **Meningitis**

Mohammad Reza Shokrollahi¹, Zahra Movahedi¹, Shima Javadinia², Azardokht Tabatabaei^{3,*}

¹Department of Pediatric Infectious Diseases, Qom University of Medical Sciences and Health Services, Qom, Iran.

²ShimaJavadinia, Department of pulmonary diseases, Emamkhomeini Hospital, Tehran University of Medical Sciences. Tehran. Iran.

³ Department of Pediatric Infectious Disease, Research Center of Pediatric Infectious Diseases, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.

Corresponding author: Azardokht Tabatabaei

Abstract:

Background: Meningitis is a serious infectious disease of the central nervous system (CNS) with severe complication and numerous mortality. Distinction between aseptic and bacterial meningitis by cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) culture can be difficult and long-term, and other diagnostic methods are under studying for prevention of bacterial meningitis complications. This study aimed to assess the diagnostic value of three CSF biomarkers including interleukin (1, 6 and 8) in the children and adolescent with meningitis.

Methods: 51 children and adolescent (1month-18 years) with definite meningitis were included in a crosssectional analytic study. In addition to analysis and culture of CSF, interleukin-1,6,8 levels were determined by ELIZA method in CSF samples obtained from all cases.

Results: Frequency of bacterial and aseptic meningitis was equal (49%). Gender had not significant different between two groups (P = 0.7). Bacterial group had a higher mean age than aseptic group (P = 0.047). The level of IL-1 was not different between two groups (P = 0.168). The level of IL-6,8 were significant different (P = 0.030&.047) respectively. Diagnostic values of IL-1,6 were 80.77% § 53.85% in bacterial group and 68% & 64% of aseptic group respectively that had not different (P=0.349&0.572). Diagnostic value of IL-8 was noted in the 80.77% of bacterial group and 28.00% of aseptic group that had significant different (P < 0.001).

Conclusion: Although determining the levels of IL-8 and IL-6 may be useful in the differential diagnosis of meningitis, only IL-8 has suitable diagnostic value for distinction between bacterial and aseptic meningitis. Further studies have recommended.

Keywords: Interleukin, Bacterial meningitis, Aseptic meningitis, Children, Adolescent

_____ Date of Submission: 22-06-2018

Date Of Acceptance: 09-07-2018

I. Introduction

Meningitis is an acute andserious infectious disease of the central nervous systemthat associated with severe complication and numerousmortality (1-3). According to causes of disease, meningitisclassified in two group, septic that caused by bacterial agents and aseptic that caused byother pathogens (4). Bacterial meningitis is a serious disease and can be fatal in 2-5% of cases. Early diagnosis and appropriate antibiotic therapy is necessary to prevent morbidity and mortality in patients with bacterial meningitis (5-7). Appropriate diagnosis of meningitis can lead to decreasing complications and preventing unnecessaryantibiotic therapy and hospitalization (4). It is difficult to distinction between the two types of meningitis because of clinical symptoms and laboratory findings are similar in two types, particularly when the use of antibiotics are administered prior to analyzing and culture of cerebral spinal fluid (3). Cerebrospinal fluid culture as definitive diagnostic method formeningitisrequires access to facilities and spends a long time (8-6). Therefore antibiotic therapy performed at beginning of clinical diagnosis of meningitis for prevention of serious complications, whichlead to side effects of antibiotics, patient's discomfort, and increased financial burden of disease (8). Due to these reasons, new diagnostic methods for meningitis have been studied. Assessment of the cytokinesas immune responses mediators is one of such methods. Detection of Cytokinesatan inflammation site is a better indicator of the severity of clinical disease than the serum levels of the cytokines (10). There are someinflammatory changes of CSF in presence of meningitis; therefore the levels of cytokines have been varied in patients with meningitis (11).

Thepossible role of IL-1, 6 and 8 in the CSF of patients with meningitis has been demonstrated in several studies(10, 11).IL-1 activates the inflammatory cells and stimulates the production of other cytokines in the early stages of inflammation(12, 10). In a meta-analysis by Panato (2014), IL-1β has 86.0% sensitivity and 92.3% specificity for distinguishing between bacterial and aseptic meningitis and introduced as a useful prognostic marker for bacterial meningitis (3). Increased CSF concentration of IL-6 is detected inall of meningeal inflammatory diseases (9). There is an important role for IL-6 plus IL-1 ingrowth of lymphocyte-B and induction of fever and acute phase reactions (15-12). In the researches were done inVazquez in 2012 and Pinto Junior in 2005-7, IL-6 levels were higher in the CSF of patients with bacterial meningitis in comparison to aseptic meningitis (9, 15). IL-8 is produced in monocytes and vascular endothelial cells in response to stimulation with bacteria or lipopolysaccharides, and is released from these cells into blood stream or tissue fluids (16) which stimulate the migration of neutrophils to site of inflammation (12-14). IL-8 increases in a variety of the meningeal inflammation particularly acute bacterial meningitis, and has important role to differentiate between types of meningitis (4,9,10,16,17). The functional values of IL-8 for differentiatingseptic from aseptic meningitis has been shownin various studies. In the Abdelmoez survey in 2014, cutoff point for IL-8 level was 3.6 ng / ml, sensitivity was 82.5% and specificity was 85.0% (4). In a research by Ostergaard et al in 1996, cut off point was 3.00 ng / ml, sensitivity was 81%, specificity was 92.0% and positive predictive value was 96%(17). In another study in 2005-2007 Junior Pinto found, cut off point 1.685 ng / ml, sensitivity 100% and specificity 94.0 %(9). In the present study, the CSF concentration of three interleukin (1, 6 and 8) measured in the children and adolescent with meningitis and compared between two bacterial and aseptic meningitisgroups.

II. Methods

In this cross-sectional analytic study, 51 childrenand adolescentwho wereadmitted to Rasul- Akram and Aliasghar hospital (Tehran) in 2014, selected via non-probability sampling and enrolled to the research after obtaining informed consent from their parents or themselves. Inclusion criteria included diagnosis of definite meningitis, age range between one month to 18 years, absence any history of congenital cerebrospinal disease, absence any contraindications for aspiration of CSF(raising the, and absence history of antibioticreceptoin in the last week. Existence of defects of history and impossibility on the access to patient's information, excluded during of the study. A questionnaire that its validity was confirmed by a number of expertswas used for Data collection. Demographic information and data about disease include the types of meningitis were collected from patient history files. Then, all of patients underwent CSFaspiration. There was obtained 2 cm3 of CSF from each patient and samples were sent to laboratory foranalyzing the biochemical tests and microbial cultures. Also the concentration of IL-1, IL-6 and IL-8 were measured by immunoassay and ELISA method. The diagnostic kit for immunoassay and ELIZA were made by Germany. All of information and test results were recorded in the questionnaire and statistically analyzed by SPSS-20 software. The statisticalanalysis was performed viaROC curves tomeasure the diagnostic value of interleukins for differentiating bacterial from aseptic meningitis. Also other values were calculated include sensitivity, specificity, positive/negative predictive value and positive/negative likelihood ratiofor differentiating bacterial from aseptic meningitis, and confidence Interval were determined using the Wilson score method.

III. Results

A total of 51 patients were carried out in this study in two equal groups: 25 with bacterial meningitis and25 withaseptic meningitis (one case has unknown disease type). 33(64.7%)of samples were male ,also the number of males were significantly higher in both bacterial and aseptic groups(17 and 16 patients with bacterial and aseptic meningitis respectively. But gender of patients has not difference between the two groups (P-value = 0.7). Age of the total sample (Mean \pm Sd) was 858,40 \pm 358.46days, bacterial group was 814.25 \pm 493.6days and aseptic group was 131.02 ± 147.3 days. The mean age was higher in the bacterial group than aseptic group (Pvalue = 0.047). The concentration of IL-1 was 5/ $81\pm 26/73$ ngr/L in total, $10/87 \pm 37/04$ ngr/L in bacterial and $00/55 \pm 1/64$ ngr/L in aseptic group and the difference between the two groups was not significant(P-value = 0.168). The concentration of IL-6was $56/61 \pm 114/97$ ngr/L in total, $90/51 \pm 139/93$ ngr/L in bacterial and 21/36 \pm 67/84 ngr/Lin aseptic group with significant statistically difference (P-value = 0.030). The concentration of IL-8 was $211/11 \pm 565/64$ ngr/L in total, $365/40 \pm 765/52$ ngr/L in bacterial and $50/66 \pm 59/34$ ngr/L in aseptic group with significant statistically difference (P-value = 0.047). Table-1 shows the frequency of variables in both aseptic and bacterial meningitis group. The color score of CSF samples in bacterial group was $2/11 \pm 0/60$ and in aseptic group was $2/00 \pm 0/00$, without significant statistically difference between two groups (P-value = 0.763). The WBC score of CSF samples in bacterial group was $547/58 \pm 1940/32$ number/mm³ and in aseptic group was $87/93 \pm 183/04$ number/mm³, without significant statistically difference between two groups (P-value = 0.385). The RBC score of CSF samples in bacterial group was $677/57 \pm 2071/06$ number/mm³ and in aseptic group was $1358/92 \pm 3671/53$ number/mm³ that difference between the two groups was not significant (P-value = 0.498). The Glucose concentration of CSF samples in bacterial group was $45/43 \pm 24/12$ mg/dl and in aseptic group was $53/50 \pm 21/69$ mg/dl that difference between the two groups was not significant (P-value = 0.313). The protein concentration of CSF samples in bacterial group was $94/30 \pm 38/12$ mg/dl and in aseptic group was $69/43 \pm 73/16$ mg/dl without significant statistically difference between two groups (P-value = 0.421). The cut-off point of each IL for differentiates the type of meningitis specified from ROC curve. The sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value, positive likelihood ratio, negative likelihood ratio and cut-off pointof IL-1, IL-6 and IL-8 for differentiates between bacterial and aseptic meningitis were showed in Table 2. These variables were significantly higher in IL-8. Table-3 shows the frequencies of diagnostic levels of each IL. Diagnostic levels of IL-1 were found in the 80.77% of bacterialgroup and 68.00% of aseptic group, afnd there was no significant difference between two group (P-value = 0.349). Diagnostic levels of IL-6 were detected in the 53.85% of bacterial group and 64.00% of aseptic group, and there was no significant difference between two groups was significant (P-value< 0.001).

Table 1: Frequency of age, sex and IL levels in bacterial and aseptic meningitis groups

variables		total	bacterial group	Aseptic group	p- value	
sex	male	33	17	16	0.7	
	female	17	8	9		
Age(days)		358.46± 858.40	493.6±814.25	147.3±131.02	0.047	
IL-1 (ngr/L)		5.81±26.73	10.86±37.042	0.54±1.63	0.16	
IL-6 (ngr/L)		56.61±114.97	90.5±132.92	21.36±67.84	0.03	
IL-8 (ngr/L)		211.11±565.64	365.39±765.52	50.66±59.34	.04	

Table 2: Frequency of statistical values for differentiates between bacterial and aseptic meningitis groups

Variable	IL-1	IL-6	IL-8
Cut off level(ngr/L)	0.058	1.179	36.82
Sensitivity (%)	55%	46%	75%
Specificity (%)	61%	42%	78%
Positive predictive value (%)	80%	53%	80%
Negative predictive value (%)	32%	36%	72%
Positive likelihood Ratio	1.42	0.79	3.4
Negative likelihood Ratio	1.36	0.72	3.12
Area under the ROC Curve	0.667	0.50	0.73

 Table 3: Frequency of IL diagnostic levels for differentiates between bacterial and aseptic meningitis groups

IL	diagnostic levels(upper& lower of cut off point)	total	bacterial group	Aseptic group	p-value
IL-1	Upper 0.58ngr/L	38	21	17	0.349
	Lower 0.58ngr/L	13	5	8	
	Upper 1.179ngr/L	30	14	16	0.572
IL-6	Lower 1.179ngr/L	21	2	9	
IL-8	Upper 36.82ngr/L	28	21	7	0.000
	Lower 36.82ngr/L	23	5	18	

IV. Discussion

The present study was conducted to determine the diagnostic value of three CSF biomarkers including interleukin (1, 6, 8) for differentiates between bacterial and aseptic groups in children and adolescents with meningitis, and concluded that although the levels of interleukin-6 and interleukin-8 is higher in bacterial meningitis than aseptic, but only IL-8 levels has obvious diagnostic difference between the bacterial and aseptic meningitis. In this study, gender was notdiffering between bacterial and aseptic groups, andmorecases of bothgroups were male. The mean age was higher in bacterial group. TheLevels of IL-6 and IL-8 washigher in thebacterial meningitis, but IL-1 level was not differing between the two groups, that this finding is consistent with similar results. Several studies demonstrated that theincreased CSF levels of IL-6 and IL-8 increases in all of themeningeal inflammatory diseases (9). In twostudiesby Pinto Junior and Abdelmoez, IL-8 level was higher in bacterial and tuberculosis meningitis groups than aseptic meningitis and control groups(16). In the research was conducted byOstergaard, IL-8 level in the infectious meningitis (known and unknown cause) was significantly higher than aseptic meningitis, and in aseptic meningitis was higher than patients without meningitis(17). However, the comparison between some results of researches and currentstudy was not possible due to the absence of control (without meningitis) group in the present study. For example, the study by Sulik resulteda

significant increase in the level of IL-8 and IL-1 in patients with aseptic meningitis caused by mumps and Echo virus 30 compared to patients without meningitis (11). Also increased levels of IL-1 beta in the experimental meningitis of rabbit than control animal resulted in the Study by Handa(10). In our study results include color index, WBC, RBC, sugar and protein of CSF had not a significant difference between the two bacterial and aseptic meningitis, butmentioned resultswere shortly lower in the bacterial group inOstergaard study (17). In this study, the cut-off levelof IL-8 is significant higher than other interleukins as well asother values includeSe, Sp, PPV, NPV, PPV, NPV and area under the curve. In other words, IL-8 level is higher than the concentration of IL-6 and IL-1 in bacterial meningitis. Diagnostic value of IL-8 fordifferentiate septic from aseptic meningitis, is different in several studies, that some of the values mentioned in the introduction. The cutoff level for IL-8 in the present study is similar to the results of Abdelmoezand Ostergaard studies (4.17) and somewhat higher than the cut-off pointwas found in Pinto Junior study(9). Butvalues of sensitivity and specificity in present study are less than these results. Sensitivity and specificity of IL-1 in present study is less than the resulted values in recent meta-analysis (3). These resultscould be due to some limitations of present study, such as small sample size, leading to the loss of expected rates. Proper study was not found for diagnostic value of IL-6. In the present study, while more than of bacterial meningitis cases had diagnostic levels of interleukin-1, but this difference was not significant. Also despite high levels of IL-6 in bacterial group, this cytokine has no diagnostic value in distinguishing betweenbacterial from aseptic meningitis. But IL-8 had obvious difference in the diagnostic levels. This result corresponded to other research's findings that confirmed the important role of IL-8 in differentiate of the meningitis types (4, 9, 16, 17).

V. Conclusion

Overall, this study concluded that although the levels of IL-8 and IL-6 is higher in the bacterial than aseptic meningitis, but only IL-8 has suitable diagnostic value for distinction between the two type of disease and suitable for differential diagnosis of meningitis. Because of the important role of cytokines in infectious diseases, conducting similar studies in the future has recommended to find a reliable and accurate diagnostic criterion to differentiate between high-risk types of meningitis from other types. Alsowe suggest a greater number of samples to ensure mneutrophil counts and ore accurate results. Also because in this study the relationship between cytokine levels and neutrophil counts and also neurologic sequela were not considered, these items should be considered in future researches.

References

- [1]. Tsai L M, Chen W C, Wang Y C, Hung K L. Cerebrospinal fluid interleukin-6, interleukin-8, and tumor necrosis factor in children with central nervous system infections, ActaPeadiatr. 1996; 37:16–21.
- [2]. Van Deuren M, Van der Ven-Jongekrijg J, Bartelink A K M, VanDalen R, Sauerwein R W, Van der Meer J W M, Correlation between proinflammatory cytokines and antiinflammatory mediators and the severity of disease in meningococcal infections. J Infect Dis.1995; 172: 433–439.
- [3]. Panato AP, Tomasi LT, Simon CS, Madeira K, Simoes LR, Medeiros LR. Meta-analysis Identifies Tumor Necrosis Factor-alpha and Interleukin-1 beta as diagnostic biomarkers for bacterial and aseptic meningitis.CurrNeurovasc Res. 2014; 11(4):340-348.
- [4]. Abdelmoez AT, Zaky DZ, Maher AM. Role of cerebrospinal fluid IL-8 as a marker for differentiation between acute bacterial and aseptic meningitis. J Egypt SocParasitol.2014; 44(1):205-210.
- [5]. Thompson E J, Keir G. Laboratory investigation of cerebrospinal fluid proteins. Ann ClinBiochem. 1990; 27: 425–435.
- [6]. Klein J O, Feigin R D. Mc crackeng H J. Report of the task force on diagnosis and management of meningitis. Pedeatr. 1986; 78: 959-982.
- [7]. Magmoudm M, Mc crackeng H J. Correlation of interleukin-1,9 and cachectin concentrations in cerebrospinal fluid and outcome from bacterial meningitis. J Pediatr. 1989; 115:208-213.
- [8]. Kleine TO, Zwerenz P, Zöfel P, Shiratori K. New and old diagnostic markers of meningitis in cerebrospinal fluid (CSF). Brain Res Bull. 2003; 61(3):287-297.
- [9]. Pinto Junior V L, Rebelo M C, Gomes R N, Assis E F, Castro-Faria-Neto H C, Bóia M N.IL-6 and IL-8 in cerebrospinal fluid from patients with aseptic meningitis and bacterial meningitis: their potential role as a marker for differential diagnosis. Braz J Infect Dis. 2011; 15(2):156-158.
- [10]. Handa S. Concentrations of Interleukin-1β, Interleukin-6, Interleukin-8 and TNF-a in Cerebrospinal Fluid from Children with Septic or Aseptic Meningitis. Kurume Med J. 1992; 39(4): 257-265.
- [11]. Sulik A, Kroten A, Wojtkowska M, Oldak E. Increased levels of cytokines in cerebrospinal fluid of children with aseptic meningitis caused by mumps virus and echovirus 30. Scand J Immunol.2014; 79(1):68-72.
- [12]. Oppenheimj J, Kovacse J, Matsushima K, Durum M S K. There is more thanone interleukin 1. Immunology Today. 1986; 7: 45-56.
- [13]. Detmersp A, Lo S K, Olsen E E, Wals A, Baggiolinmi et al. Neutrophil-activating peptide-1/interleukin 8 stimulates the binding activity of the leukocyte adhesion receptor CD11b/CD18 on human neutrophils. J Exp Med. 1990; 171:1155-1162.
 [14]. Dinarelloc A. Biology of interleukin- 1. Faseb J. 1988; 2: 108-115.
- [15] Vázquez JA, Adduccimdel C, Coll C, Monzón DG, Iserson KV. Acute meningitis prognosis using cerebrospinal fluid interleukin-6 levels. J Emerg Med. 2012; 43(2): 322-327.
- [16]. Yilmaz E, Gurgoze M K, Ilhan N, Dogan Y, Aydinoglu H. Interleukin-8 levels in children with bacterial, tuberculous and aseptic meningitis. Indian J Pediatr.2002; 69(3):219-221.
- [17]. Ostergaard C, Benfield T L, Sellebjerg F, Kronborg G, Lohse N, Lundgren J D. Interleukin-8 in cerebrospinal fluid from patients with septic and aseptic meningitis. Eur J ClinMicrobiol Infect Dis. 1996; 15(2):166-169.