Role of MRCP in the diagnosis of Acute Gallstone Pancreatitis
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Abstract

Aim: To study the patients having gall stone pancreatitis and observe the pattern of study and role of various diagnostic modalities with special emphasis on MRCP in diagnosing the disease.

Materials and Methods: The study was a prospective observational study conducted in the Department of General Surgery, RIMS, Ranchi of Jharkhand state from June 2017 to May 2019 on 50 patients who fulfilled the inclusion criteria. The qualitative variables are described in the form of proportions and quantitative variables are described in the terms of mean, median, range and standard deviation. Data was checked for normality before applying appropriate tests of significance. Significance of difference in proportions (qualitative variables) was calculated using chi square test. Significance of p value was taken as p < 0.05. Significance difference in means was calculated using independent t test. Liver function tests mean values were compared with MRCP findings for common bile duct stones. ROC curve analysis was done for liver function tests and ultrasound findings of common bile duct stones with the gold standard MRCP.

Results: In the present study, the clinical features at the time of presentation - epigastric pain, nausea & vomiting, fever and retching & hiccoughs. 68% study participants had fever, 88% had nausea & vomiting, 38% had retching & hiccoughs at the time of presentation. All the study participants (50, 100%) presented with the complaints of abdominal pain.

In the present study the ROC curve showed the area (95% CI) under the curve value is 0.845 (0.734-0.956) with a standard error value of 0.056 for elevated liver function tests with positive MRCP finding. LFT was found to be a better predictor as it predicted 84% who had common bile duct stones. Also, it was found that alkaline phosphatase and elevated transaminases were found to be higher in the participants with common bile duct stones. Alkaline phosphatase value has been associated with positive MRCP finding of common bile stone. This was found to be statistically significant (p value - 0.011). Our study reported MRCP to be gold standard in diagnosis of common bile duct stones in a study which compared MRCP with ERCP.

Conclusion: MRCP is found to be the gold standard test for diagnosing common bile duct stones as all MRCP positive cases had stones and were removed by ERCP. MRCP would prevent unnecessary intervention (ERCP) thereby reducing patient morbidity.
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I. Introduction

Gallstone pancreatitis is thought to be triggered by the passage of gallstone down the common bile duct. Biliary calculi accounts for 50 to 70% of patients with pancreatitis. Wider the cystic duct, higher the risk of small stones passing through. For detecting CBD dilatation ultrasound (US) demonstrated a sensitivity of 25% and a specificity of 70%.1

The sensitivity of US for predicting CBD dilatation was 55% when the IOC-derived diameter was >10 mm and 100% when it was >15 mm. Thus the overall sensitivity in detection of stone by US was 10%. This further improves to 17% if patients have a dilated CBD on US.2,3

Further using an unenhanced helical CT specificity is 84-100%. And the sensitivity is 65-88% and specificity is 84-100%. With the use of contrast IV cholangiography along with helical CT, the sensitivity is 85% and specificity is much higher 88%.4,5

The role of ERCP is crucial because in detection of gall stones the sensitivity of ERCP was 96% and specificity of ERCP was 92%.6,9 For the detection of CBD stone EUS gives a sensitivity of 88-97% and specificity of 93-100%.10,14

MRCP resulted in 100% sensitivity and 96% specificity in detecting CBD stones. Also it does not expose the patient to radiation like CT SCAN, does not require administration of exogenous contrast materials.
and avoids the complications of invasive procedure like ERCP. Magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography may have a role in those situations where initial evaluation suggests a benign cause of biliary pathology requiring further cholangiographic confirmation but not necessarily intervention. It may also be useful in cases of failed ERCP before transhepatic cholangiography, especially in cases where minimal intrahepatic dilatation is suggested by ultrasound or CT, making percutaneous transhepatic cholangiography more difficult. With complex problems of the biliary tree, MRCP may allow a definitive diagnosis, which may help plan a directed intervention (endoscopic or transhepatic) that would have an increased likelihood of success, with decreased risk. The aim of this study is to identify whether magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography (MRCP) can be used selectively in patients with acute gallstone pancreatitis to detect choledocholithiasis. The objective of the study was to assess the role of MRCP in diagnosis of choledocholithiasis among patients with gall stone pancreatitis.

II. Material And Methods

The study was a prospective observational study conducted in the Department of General Surgery, RIMS, Ranchi of Jharkhand state from June 2017 to May 2019 on 50 patients who fulfilled the inclusion criteria.

The study population comprised of patients with and probable diagnosis of acute gallstone pancreatitis presenting at emergency and out patients department of surgery.

All patients clinically suspected to have acute gallstone pancreatitis and finding of gallstones on USG abdomen, patients having serum amylase >300 u/L, cases of all age groups irrespective of sex and on USG CBD was dilated and more than 8 mm.

All patients having an implanted pacemaker, defibrillator, or heart valve, an implanted pump device (such as an insulin or pain medication pump), an inner ear implant, an aneurysm clip within the brain, an intracutaneous device (IUD), metal in the eyes (at any time), or have ever been a metal worker of any kind, permanent tattoo eyeliner, currently pregnant, artificial joints or metallic plates, patient having history of claustrophobia, patient who require sedation or ventilation were excluded from the study.

The sampling method was consecutive sampling method done in Department of Surgery in the hospital. The study participants who presented with and probable diagnosis of gallstone pancreatitis whosoever fulfilled the inclusion criteria and willing to participate in the study were included successively.

The qualitative variables are described in the form of proportions and quantitative variables are described in the terms of mean, median, range and standard deviation. Data was checked for normality before applying appropriate tests of significance. Significance of difference in proportions (qualitative variables) was calculated using chi square test. Significance of p value was taken as p< 0.05. Significance difference in means was calculated using independent t test. Liver function tests mean values were compared with MRCP findings for common bile duct stones. ROC curve analysis was done for liver function tests and ultrasound findings of common bile duct stones with the gold standard MRCP.

III. Results

Profile of study participants

Among the 50 study participants, 33 (66%) were males and 17 (34%) were females. The mean (±SD) age of study participants was 41.02 (±12) years with a range of 24 – 62 years. 12 (24%) study participants had history of alcohol consumption among which 10 (20%) had quit alcohol consumption at the time of study (past history). The etiology of acute pancreatitis is varied- Alcohol in 17 (34%), Gall stone in 21 (42%), both gall stone and alcohol in 1 (2%) and idiopathic in 9 (18%) study participants. (Table 1) The study participants presented with various symptoms – epigastric pain, nausea & vomiting, fever and retching & hiccoughs among which epigastric pain was reported by all the study participants 50 (100%)

Table 1 Profile of the study participants presented with acute pancreatitis. (N =50)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No.</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>N (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>33 (66)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>17 (34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Occupation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk/Shop keeper</td>
<td>4 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Skilled worker</td>
<td>5 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unskilled worker</td>
<td>26 (51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>House wife</td>
<td>12 (24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Student</td>
<td>2 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unemployed</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Past history of alcohol consumption</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>10 (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>40 (80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Etiology</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table 2 Distribution of study participants according to liver function tests. (N =50)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No.</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Mean(±SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Bilirubin (Total)</td>
<td>2.7(0.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Bilirubin (Direct)</td>
<td>1.5(0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Amylase</td>
<td>750(46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>PT INR</td>
<td>1.4(0.49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Alkaline phosphatase</td>
<td>587(93)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>SGOT</td>
<td>457(23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>SGPT</td>
<td>345(67)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 Distribution of study participants according to the lipase and amylase levels. (N =50)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>N(%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Elevated lipase levels</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>41(82)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>9(18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Elevated amylase levels</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>46(92)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>4(8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Among the study participants 20(40%) had elevated levels of transaminases. Elevated levels of amylase was seen in 46 (92%) of study participants and elevated lipase levels were seen in 41(82%) of study participants. Ultrasound feature suggesting common bile duct stone (>8mm diameter) was seen in 20(40%) of the participants.

Figure 1 Receiver Operating Characteric (ROC) curve analysis for Liver function tests with MRCP
The area (95% CI) under the curve value is 0.845 (0.734-0.956) with a standard error value of 0.056. LFT was found to be a better predictor as it predicted 84% who had common bile duct stones.

**Figure 2** Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curve analysis for Ultrasound finding with MRCP
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Diagonal segments are produced by ties.

The area (95% CI) under the curve value is 0.756 (0.619-0.894) with a standard error value of 0.070. Ultrasound finding was found to be a fair predictor as it predicted 76% who had common bile duct stones. All the patients who were found to be positive for common bile duct stones in MRCP 21(42%) underwent ERCP and the stones were removed. MRCP is found to be the gold standard test for diagnosing common bile duct stones as all MRCP positive cases had stones and were removed by ERCP. All the patients who were found to be positive for common bile duct stones in MRCP 21(42%) underwent ERCP and the stones were removed. MRCP is found to be the gold standard test for diagnosing common bile duct stones as all MRCP positive cases had stones and were removed by ERCP.

**IV. Discussion**

In the present study, the clinical features at the time of presentation - epigastric pain, nausea & vomiting, fever and retching & hiccoughs. 68% study participants had fever, 88% had nausea & vomiting, 38% had retching & hiccoughs at the time of presentation. All the study participants -50(100%) presented with the complaints of abdominal pain.

In the present study the ROC curve showed the area (95% CI) under the curve value is 0.845 (0.734-0.956) with a standard error value of 0.056 for elevated liver function tests with positive MRCP finding. LFT was found to be a better predictor as it predicted 84% who had common bile duct stones. Also, it was found that alkaline phosphatase and elevated transaminases were found to be higher in the participants with common bile duct stones. Alkaline phosphatase value has been associated with positive MRCP finding of common bile stone. This was found to be statistically significant.\(p\) value- 0.011) These results are similar to the previous studies which also have reported that elevated liver function tests are associated with common bile duct stone findings in MRCP.\(^{15-19}\) In our study, ultrasound finding was found to be a fair predictor as it predicted 76% who had common bile duct stones as shown by ROC curve analysis. This findings are also similar to previous studies.\(^{19,20}\)
In the present study, all the patients who were found to be positive for common bile duct stones in MRCP 21 (42%) underwent ERCP and the stones were removed. MRCP is found to be the gold standard test for diagnosing common bile duct stones as all MRCP positive cases had stones and were removed by ERCP. This finding was supported by many previous studies. Similar to our study MRCP was reported to be gold standard in diagnosis of common bile duct stones in a study which compared MRCP with ERCP.

V. Conclusion

LFT was found to be a better predictor as it predicted 84% who had common bile duct stones. Ultrasound finding was found to be a fair predictor as it predicted 76% who had common bile duct stones. MRCP is found to be the gold standard test for diagnosing common bile duct stones as all MRCP positive cases had stones and were removed by ERCP. MRCP would prevent unnecessary intervention (ERCP) thereby reducing patient morbidity. 

VI. Recommendation

It is recommended that liver function tests could be simple tests to predict the presence of common bile duct stones and this could avoid unnecessary intervention (ERCP). It would avoid unnecessary patient morbidity. MRCP is found to be the gold standard test for diagnosing common bile duct stones as all MRCP positive cases had stones and were removed by ERCP.
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