# Detection of Intermediate Filament in Testicular Seminoma Through Immunohistochemical Expressions of Keratins

\*Dr.Debashis Roy Burman , \*\*Dr.Debkumar Ray, \*\*\* Dr.Debashis Bhattacharya

\* Associate Professor, Department of Laboratory Oncology (Oncopathology), Calcutta Medical College, Kolkata \*\* Associate Professor, Department of Biochemistry, Burdwan Medical College, Burdwan \*\*\* Assistant Professor, Department of Pathology, Burdwan Medical College, Burdwan

**Abstract:** Intermediate filament was detected in human testicular seminoma using immunohistochemical expression of keratin. Commercially available Cytokeratin of varying molecular weight were applied to 32 seminomas along with 22 embryonal carcinomas (pure and mixed form).Detection of intermediate filaments was correlated with age, tumor size, and stage. Seminomas showed Intermediate filaments in number of cases.Cytokeratin of varying molecular weight showed positivity.Of 32 seminomas, 37.5 % show presence of intermediate filaments through positive expression with AEI/AEIII, 37.5 % with 34bE12, 43.7% with Cytokeratin 7. There were no differences in patient age,stage, tumor size, between CK-positive andCK-negative seminomas. Of 22 Embryonalcarcinomas86% shows positivity with AEI/AEIII, whereas34bE12 and Cytokeratin 7 was positive in 86% and 100% of cases. Epithelial membrane antigen was positive in 9% cases of Embryonal carcinomas.

Keyword: Intermediate filament, Seminoma, Keratin

## I. Introduction

Intermediate filaments (IFs), comprising of Cytokeratins, desmin, vimentin. Glial and meurofilaments(1)a class of cytoskeletal proteins, have been considered a powerful tool forobjective identification of tumor histogenesis and have gained wide application in differentiation of tumor elements(2-9,15,23,37,38,). Each IF class has generally been supposed a unique histogenetic marker of cell origin (3), therefore, Ifs are especially helpful in demonstrating heterogeneity of cell population in tumors. Testicular germ cell tumors having the propensity of heterogeneity. Classification and histogenesis of testicular germ celltumors have been of great interest in the pathologic field (40, 41), not only for treatment of these tumors, but also for understanding of tumorigenesis. It is believed that identifying individual components of the tumor is a very important step in considering the behavior of these tumors. Tissue demonstration of IFs in testicular germ cell tumors might provide a good approach to revealing different tumoral components and in making classification of these tumors.

Seminoma arises from undifferentiated germ cells. There are conflicting reports about intermediate filament expression in seminoma, particularly cytokeratin expression. Early studies suggested that seminoma lacks cytokeratin, a finding that supported the hypothesis that seminoma cells were incapable of somatic differentiation(10).Subsequently, chromosomal and molecular studies have shown that seminoma is capable of differentiation into embryonic (embryonal carcinoma, teratoma) and extraembryonic (yolk sac tumor, choriocarcinoma) tissues and that some seminomas show epithelialdifferentiation with the formation of desmosomes and expression of cytokeratin filaments(11-21).The finding of epithelial differentiation in seminoma has suggested to some that there are seminomas with epithelial features that are transitional tumors between typical seminoma and embryonal carcinoma(2,18,20).Whether these seminomas with cytokeratin positive intermediate filaments behave more aggressively remains unknown.

### II. Objective

The objective of the present study was to detect Intermediate Filamentsthrough cytokeratin expression in a large series of seminomas using a wide panel of commercially available antikeratin antibody stains. Immunohistochemical cytokeratin expression was tested for an association with patient age, tumor size, tumor stage. In addition, a group of embryonal carcinomas in pure and mixed germ cell tumors also was studied.

### III. Materials And Method

We retrieved 32 pure seminomas, 8 pure embryonal carcinomas, 9 mixed germ cell tumors composed of embryonal carcinoma and seminoma, and 5 mixed germ cell tumors composed of embryonal carcinoma and various combinations of teratoma and yolk sac tumor obtained between 2010 and2014 In Medical college, Kolkata. immunohistochemical stains CAM 5.2, AEI/AEIII, high-molecular-weight keratin (HMWK), `Keratin Cocktail`, cytokeratin 7 (CK7), cytokeratin 20 (CK20),placental alkaline phosphatase (PLAP), epithelial membrane antigen (EMA), and CD30 were performed on a representativeblock of formalin-fixed paraffinembedded tissue using the Avidin-biotin complex technique. The sections were deparaffinized in xylene, and rehydrated in a graded ethanol series. Endogenous peroxidase activity was blocked with 0.3% hydrogen peroxide. The slides were subsequently incubated at room temperature with reagents. The antibodies, and clones Molecular weight and Moll No. of Cytokeratin are given inTable 1.

Details of Immunohistochemical stains applied							
Primary Antiserum	Clone	Molecular weight (of Keratin)	Moll number(of Keratin)				
AEI/AEIII	AEI and AEIII	56.5,50,48,40,65,67,64,59,58,56,,52	10,14,16,19,2,3,4,5,6,8				
`Keratin Cocktail`	Polyclonal	60,58,56,52,51,48	5,6,8,4,14,16				
High Molecular Weight	34bE12	68,58,56,5,50	1,5,10,14				
Keratin							
Cytokeratin 7	OV-TL 12/30	54	7				
Cytokeratin 20	Ks 20.8	46	20				
Epithelial Membrane Antigen	E 29						
Placental Alkaline	8A9						
Phosphatase							
CD 30	Ki-1						
CAM 5.2	CAM 5.2	52,46	8,18				

Table 1

AEI/AEIII, CK7, CK20, CAM 5.2, and `Keratin Cocktail`stains were pretreated with protease: PLAP. CD30, and EMA stains were steam pretreated in citrate buffer (pH 6) for 30 minutes; and the HMWK stain was steam pretreated in EDTA buffer (pH 8) for 30 minutes. Slides were stained with labeled streptavidin-biotin antibody incubation. The detection chemistry after primary chromogen was 3-amino-9ethylcarbazole.Immunostaining was evaluated by determining the percentage of positively staining cells in of the entire section of tumor. Clinical histories were reviewed for age at diagnosis, stage at diagnosis (including radiographic studies consisting of abdominal computed tomography). Slides and pathology reports were reviewed for diagnosis and tumor stage. Tumors were staged using the stage grouping of the World Health Organization TNM system(22). Stage I tumors were confined to the testicle, rete testis, epididymis, spermatic cord, or scrotum; stage II tumors involved ipsilateral, contralateral, or bilateral abdominal or groin lymph nodes without distant metastases; and stage III tumors consisted of all tumors with distant metastases. Staging was based on pathologic and clinical and radiographic findings.

Associations between the extent of cytokeratin expression with patient age, tumor size, and stage were evaluated using the Wilcoxon rank sum test, chi-square test, and Spearman rank correlation. Cytokeratin expression was defined and Immunostaining was evaluated by two pathologists by determining the percentage of positively staining cells as follows (0) no staining;,(+) 1-10% of staining cells;, (++)11-50(% of staining cells;,(+++) more than50% of staining cells. All significance tests were 2-sided, and a type I error level of 0.05 was used. Comparisons with respect to cytokeratin, CD30, PLAP, and EMA expression between seminoma and embryonal carcinoma were made using the Wilcoxon rank sum test

#### **IV.** Results

Pure seminoma (n = 32) occurred in men 26 to 74 years of age (mean, 39.4 years; median, 36.6 years). 26 patients (81.25%) had stage I tumors, 6 (18.75%) stage II, and 6 (18.5%) stage III. 9 patients (28.1%) were treated by radical orchiectomy,8 (25%) by radical orchiectomy followed by regional lymph node radiation, 3(9.4%) by radical orchiectomy and systemic chemotherapy, and 2(6.25%) by radical orchiectomy, regional lymph node radiation, and systemic chemotherapy. Tumors ranged in size from 0.3 to 13 cm (mean, 4.4 cm; median, 3.6 cm).

Pure embryonal carcinoma (n = 8) occurred in men 20 to 41 years of age (mean, 34.1 years; median, 36.2 years). 2patients (25%) had stage I tumors, and 3 (75%) had stage II. Two (25%) patients were treated by radical orchiectomy alone, and 1 (12.5%) underwent radical orchiectomy followed bysystemic chemotherapy. Tumors ranged in size from 0.4 to 3.7cm (mean, 2.5cm; median, 2.5 cm). Mixed germ cell tumors (n = 14) occurred in men 24 to 46 years of age (mean, 30.1 years; median, 28 years). 6 patients (42.8%) had stage I tumors, 3 (21.4%) had stage II, and 5 (35.7%) had stage III tumors. 4 patients (21.4%) underwent radical

orchiectomy alone, 6 (42.8%) underwent radical orchiectomy followed by systemic chemotherapy, 1 (7%) underwent radical orchiectomy followed by retroperitoneallymph node dissection, and 3 (21.4%) underwent radical orchiectomy and received systemic chemotherapy followed by resection of residual masses. Tumors ranged in size from2 to 11 cm (mean, 5.5 cm; median, 5 cm). The majority of seminomas did not express cytokeratin intermediate filaments; the number of negatively staining cases ranged from 18 (56.2%) to 32 (100%), depending on the primary antikeratin antibody(**Table 2**).

10010 =									
Immunohistochemical staining of pure Seminoma(n=32)									
Positivel	AEI/AEI	`Kerati	High	Cytokeratin	Cytokeratin	Epithelia	Placental	CD 30	CAM
y staining	П	n	Molecular	7	20	1	Alkaline		5.2
Cells(%)		Cockta	Weight			Membra	Phosphata		
		il`	Keratin			ne	se		
						Antigen			
0	20(62.5)	20(62.	32 (100)	18(56.2)	32(100)	30 (93.7)	0	30(93.	22(68.
		5)						7)	75)
<1	5(15.6)	5(15.6	0	4(12.5)	0	2(8.6)	1(4.3)	2(8.6)	5(15.6
		)							)
1-10	4(12.5)	4(12.5	0	5(15.6)	0	0	3(9.3)	0	3(9.3)
		)							
11-50	2(8.6)	2(8.6)	0	2(8.6)	0	0	8(25)	0	1(4.3)
>50	1(4.3)	1(4.3)	0	1(4.3)	0	0	20(62.5)	0	1(4.3)

Table 2

A minority of cases stained positively; 5 cases (15.6%) contained 5% or more cytokeratin-positive cells. Immunohistochemical staining most often was focal with a discontinuous membranous and/or cytoplasmic globular staining pattern (**Image 1**).CK20 and HMWK did not stain any of the seminomas. EMA was identified in only 2 tumors, and the positivity was very focal. CD30 was identified in 2 (6%) of the cases, and staining was very focal. Monoclonal PLAP was identified in 32 tumors (100%); the majority of seminomas expressed more than 50% positively staining cells. Tumors that were not organ- confined did not differ in their cytokeratin expression from confined tumors. There also were no differences in cytokeratin-negative and cytokeratin-positive tumors in regard to patient age, tumor size. The majority of embryonal carcinomas expressed cytokeratins (except CK20 and HMWK); the percentage of positively staining cases ranged from 19 (86.3%) to 22(100%)(**Table 3**).

Immunohistochemical staining of Embryonal Carcinoma(n=22)									
Positively	AEI/AEI	`Keratin	High	Cytokeratin	Cytokeratin	Epithelial	Placental	CD 30	CAM
staining	II	Cocktail`	Molecula	7	20	Membran	Alkaline		5.2
Cells(%)			r Weight			e Antigen	Phosphatase		
			Keratin						
0	3(13.6)	3(13.6)	22(100)	0	22(100)	20(90.9)	0	1	3(13.6)
<1	0	0	0	3(13.6)	0	1(4.5)	0	1(4.5)	1
1-10	6(27.2)	5(22.7)	0	6(27.2)	0	1(4.5)	0	6(27.2)	2
11-50	2(9)	4(18.8)	0	3(13.6)	0	0	5(22.7)	6(27.2)	6(27.2)
>50	11(50)	10(45.4)	0	10(45.4)	0	0	17(77.2)	8(36.3)	10(45.4)

Table 3

Immunohistochemical staining intensity typically was intense with a membranous staining pattern. CD30 was positive in all cases; most tumors showed more than 10% positively staining cells. EMA rarely was positive but was focal in distribution in positively staining cases. Staining for CK7, CAM 5.2,(Image 2)AEI/AEIII, `Keratin Cocktail 'and CD30 was significantly different between seminoma and embryonal carcinoma (P < .001; Wilcoxon rank sum test).

### V. Discussion

Irnmunohistochemically IFs have not been found in germ cells, such as spermatocytes and spermatids (3), whereas when cell differentiation begins, IFs gradually appeared. IFs have been proposed to possess functions in the maintenance of cell integrity, shape and organelle positioning and in the regulation of the cellular and intracellular movements, but some authors believed that IF expression might be the result of a series of interactions between the microenvironment and synthetic mechanisms rather than as a function of histogenesis(35,39).

Some studies have shown that seminoma has the potential to differentiate into nonseminomatous germ cell tumors, and cytokeratin positive Intermediate filaments are present in some seminomas(11-21).Fogel et al,(20)using immunohistochemical techniques, identified cytokeratin positive Intermediate filaments in 19 of 26seminomas. In their study, cytokeratins 8 and 18 were identified in all cases. Denk et al (18)identified

cytokeratins 8 and 18 in seminoma using gel electrophoresis of cytoskeleton proteins. Cytokeratins 8 and 18 are the cytokeratins of simple epithelia, and these are the first 2 keratins expressed in mouse embryogenesis(24,25).Battifora et al(4)studied 18 seminomas with specific antikeratin antibodies to keratin classes of 40, 50, and 56.5 kd (Moll keratin classification numbers 19, 14, and 10, respectively) and found no cytokeratin positivity. This finding supported the hypothesis that seminoma was unable to exhibit embryonic or extraembryonic differentiation(11). However, the consistent expression of cytokeratins 8 and 18 in seminoma recapitulates early epithelial differentiation and these keratins are the first 2 keratins in mouse embryogenesis(24,25). We identified cytokeratin filaments in seminoma of the human testis. Approximately 9.4% of the seminomas contained more than 10% cells that stained positively for 1 of the following antikeratin antibodies: CK7, CAM 5.2, AEI/AEIII, or 'Keratin Cocktail'. There were no associations between cytokeratin staining in seminoma and stage, tumor size, patient age, stage at presentation. CK20 and HMWK were consistently negative in seminoma. Embryonal carcinoma was positive for cytokeratin positive Intermediate filaments, and, like seminoma, embryonal carcinoma lacked staining for CK20 and HMWK. Unlike seminoma, CD30 was positive in all cases of embryonal carcinoma. Diffuse cytokeratin and CD30 staining was indicative of embryonal carcinoma, and this staining pattern was not seen in seminoma. In regard to our study, CAM 5.2, AEI/AEIII, and `Keratin Cocktail`contain cytokeratin 8, 18, or both. Seminoma also iscapable of expressing more complex cytokeratins (the cytokeratins of stratified epithelia), such as cytokeratins 4,17, 19, and, as demonstrated in our study, CK7(18,20). Similar to seminoma, embryonal carcinoma contains cytokeratins 8 and 18, and more complex cytokeratins are seen less commonly (26). This finding supports the close relationship of seminoma and embryonal carcinoma. Our studyshows that cytokeratin filaments in seminoma are not associated with more aggressive behavior, as determined by stage at presentation.

Our study showed that use of CD30 in combination with cytokeratin may be especially useful. CD30 staining was identified very focally in 2 seminomas, whereas in embryonal carcinoma, staining usually is diffuse. Such finding is helpful in needle biopsy specimens. In needle biopsy specimens, on many occasions it is difficult to distinguish seminoma from embryonal carcinoma or poorly differentiated Adenocarinoma. In this setting, positive cytokeratin staining should not be considered diagnostic of embryonal carcinoma or Adenocarcinoma. In difficult cases, the use of PLAP, cytokeratin, CD30, and EMA may be useful for separating seminoma, embryonal carcinoma, and Adenocarcinoma (27-34). The present study showed that seminoma can contain cytokeratin positive Intermediate filaments, and these can be identified with anti–CK7 antibodies or "cocktails" that contain anticytokeratin 8, anti–cytokeratin 18, or both. There were no differences in patient age, stage at presentation or tumor size between patients whose seminomas contained cytokeratin positive Intermediate filaments and Adenocariconoma in difficult cases. Immunostaining for IFs helps to discover cell changes in the tumors, which are not apparent by light microscopical observation of H&E stained sections, and two patterns of mixed tumors are distinct, which may assist us in understanding the complicated combination of the germ cell tumors and in making an accurate diagnosis.



Cytokeratin AEI/AEIII showing positive staining(x400)

Image 2:



Pure Embryonal carcinoma showing Cytokeratin CAM 5.2 showing intense diffuse positivity (x400)

#### Reference

- [1]. Lazarides E. Intermediate filaments as mechanical integrators of cell space. Nature. 1980;283:249-283.
- [2]. Moll R, Franke WW, Schiller DL. The catalog of human cytokeratins: patterns of expression in normal epithelia, tumors and cultured cells. Cell. 1982;31:11-24.
- [3]. Franke WW, Schmid E, Osborn M, et al. Different intermediate-sized filaments distinguished byimmunofluorescence microscopy. Proc Nat AcadSci U S A. 1978;75:5034-5038.
- [4]. Battifora H, Sun T-T, Bahu RM, et al. The use of antikeratin antiserum as a diagnostic tool: thymoma versus lymphoma. Hum Pathol. 1980;11:635-641.
- [5]. Schlegel R, Banks-Schlegel S, McLeod JA, et al. Immunoperoxidase localization of keratin in humanneoplasms. Am J Pathol. 1980;110:41-49.
- [6]. Altmannsberger M, Osborn M, Holscher A, et al. The distribution of keratin type intermediate filaments in human breast cancer: an immunohistochemical study. Virchows Arch B CellPatholInclMolPathol. 1981;37:277-284.
- [7]. Cooper D, Schermer A, Sun T-T. Classification of human epithelia and their neoplasms using monoclonal antibodies to keratins: strategies, applications and limitations. Lab Invest. 1985;52:243-256.
- [8]. Debus E, Moll R, Franke WW, et al. Immunohistochemical distinction of human carcinomas by cytokeratin typing with monoclonal antibodies. Am J Pathol. 1984;114:121-130.
- [9]. Wang NP, Zee S, Zarbo RJ, et al. Coordinate expression of cytokeratins 7 and 20 defines unique subsets of carcinomas. Appl Immunohistochemistry. 1995;3:99-107.
- [10]. Battifora H, Sheibani K, Tubbs RR, et al. Antikeratin antibodies in tumor diagnosis: distinction between seminoma and embryonal carcinoma. Cancer. 1984;54:843-848.
- [11]. Srigley JR, Mackay B, Toth P, et al. The ultrastructure and histogenesis of male germ cell neoplasia with emphasis on seminoma with early carcinomatous features.UltrastructPathol. 1988;12:67-86.
- [12]. Oosterhuis JW, Castedo SM, de Jong B, et al. Ploidy of primary germ cell tumors of the testis: pathogenetic and clinical relevance. Lab Invest. 1989;60:14-21.
- [13]. 13.De Jong B, Oosterhuis JW, Castedo SM, et al. Pathogenesis of adult testicular germ cell tumors: a cytogenetic model.Cancer Genet Cytogenet. 1990;48:143-167.
- [14]. El-Naggar ÅK, Ro Jy, McLemore D, et al. DNA ploidy in testicular germ cell neoplasms: histogenetic and clinical implications. Am J SurgPathol. 1992;16:611-618.
- [15]. Ramaekers F, Feitz W, Moesker O, et al. Antibodies to cytokeratin and vimentin in testicular tumor diagnosis. Virchows Arch A PatholAnatHistopathol. 1985;408:127-142.
- [16]. Miettinen M, Virtanen I, Talerman A. Intermediate filament proteins in human testis and testicular germ-cell tumors. Am J Pathol. 1985;120:402-410.
- [17]. Eglen DE, Ulbright TM. The differential diagnosis of yolk sac tumor and seminoma: usefulness of cytokeratin, alphafetoprotein, and alpha-1-antitrypsin immunoperoxidase reactions. Am J ClinPathol. 1987;88:328-332.
- [18]. Denk H, Moll R, Weybora W, et al. Intermediate filaments and desmosomal plaque proteins in testicular seminomas and nonseminomatous germ cell tumours as revealed by immunohistochemistry. Virchows Arch A PatholAnatHistopathol. 1987;410:295-307.
- [19]. Niehans GA, Manivel JC, Copland GT, et al. Immunohistochemistry of germ cell and trophoblasticneoplasms. Cancer. 1988;62:1113-1123.
- [20]. Fogel M, Lifschitz-Mercer B, Moll R, et al. Heterogeneity of intermediate filament expression in human testicular seminomas. Differentiation. 1990;45:242-249.
- [21]. Suster S, Moran CA, Dominguez-Malagon H, et al. Germ cell tumors of the mediastinum and testis: a comparative immunohistochemical study of 120 cases. Hum Pathol. 1998;29:737-742.
- [22]. World Health Organization classification of tumour, Pathology and genetics of tumors of Urinary and male genital organs, IARC, Lyon, 2004: 219.
- [23]. Osborn M. and Weber K. (1983). Tumor diagnosis by intermediate filament typing: a novel tool for surgical pathology. Lab. Invest. 48,372-394.
- [24]. Jackson BW, Grund C, Schmid E, et al. Formation of cytoskeletal elements during mouse embryogenesis, I: intermediate filaments of the cytokeratin type and desmosomes in preimplantation embryos. Differentiation. 1980;17:161-179.
- [25]. Jackson BW, Grund L, Franke WW, et al. Formation of cytoskeletal elements during mouse embryogenesis, II: epithelial differentiation and intermediate filaments in postimplantationembryos of days 5-9. Differentiation. 1981;20:203-216.
- [26]. Lifschitz-Mercer B, Fogel M, Moll R, et al. Intermediate filament protein profiles of human testicular nonseminomatous germ cell tumors: correlation of cytokeratin synthesis to cell differentiation. Differentiation. 1991;48:191-198.
- [27]. Hittmair A, Rogatsch H, Hobisch A, et al. CD30 expression in seminoma. Hum Pathol. 1996;27:1166-1171.
- [28]. Ferreiro JA. Ber-H2 expression in testicular germ cell tumors. Hum Pathol. 1994;25:522-524.
- [29]. Pallesen G, Hamilton-Dutoit SJ. Ki-1 (CD30) antigen is regularly expressed by tumor cells of embryonal carcinoma. Am J Pathol.

1988;133:446-450.

- [30]. Latza U, Foss HD, Durkop H, et al. CD30 antigen in embryonal carcinoma and embryogenesis and release of soluble molecule. Am J Pathol. 1995;146:463-471.
- [31]. Burke AP, Mostofi FK. Placental alkaline phosphatase immunohistochemistry of intratubular malignant germ cells and associated testicular germ cell tumors. Hum Pathol. 1988;19:663-670.
- [32]. Bailey D, Marks A, Stratis M, et al. Immunohistochemical staining of germ cell tumors and intratubular malignant germ cells of the testis using antibody to placental alkaline phosphatase and monoclonal anti-seminoma antibody. Mod Pathol. 1991;4:167-171.
- [33]. Wick MR, Swanson PE, Manivel JC. Placental-like alkaline phosphatase reactivity in human tumors: an immunohistochemical study of 520 cases. Hum Pathol. 1987;18:946-954.
- [34]. Manivel JC, Jessurun J, Wick MR, et al. Placental alkaline phosphatase immunoreactivity in testicular germ-cell neoplasms. Am J SurgPathol. 1987;11:21-29.588
- [35]. Coggi G, Dell'Orto P, Braidotti P, Coggi A. and Viale G (1989).Coexpression of intermediate filaments in normal and neoplastic human tissues: a reappraisal. Ultrastruct.Pathol.13,501-514.
- [36]. Gabbiani G, Kapanci Y, Barazzone P. and Franke W.W. (1981). Immunochemical identification of intermediate-sized filaments in human neoplastic cell: a diagnostic aid for the surgical pathologist.Am. J. Pathol. 104,206-216.
- [37]. Lofton S.A., Gown A.M., Vogel A.M. and Ktieger J.H. (1989). Differential diagnosis of genitounnary tumors using monoclonal antibodies to intermediate filament protein. Urology 33,433-439.
- [38]. Miettinen M., WahlstrGrn T., Virtanen I., Talerrnan A. and Astengo- Osuna C. (1985a). Cellular differentiation in ovarian sexcordstromal and germ cell tumors studied with antibodies to intermediate-filament proteins. Am. J. Surg. Pathol. 9,640-651.
- [39]. Mostofi F.K., Sesterhenn I.A. and Davis C.J. (1988). Developments in histopathology of testicular gerrn cell tumors. Semin-Urol. 6, 171-181.
- [40]. Nistal M. and Paniagua R. (1984). Testicular tumors. In: testicular and epididymal pathology. 1st. edn.Nistal M. and Panlagua R. (eds). Thierne-Stratton Inc. Georg ThiemeVeriag. Stuttgatt. New York. pp298-337.