Comparative Study on Effect of Breathing and Aerobic Exercise on General Health in Asymptomatic Population
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Abstract:
Background: Breathing and aerobic exercises produce different types of physiological responses in healthy individuals. Aim of the study is to find the effect of breathing exercises against aerobic exercises in improving the general health of asymptomatic population.

Materials and Methods: The selected subjects were randomly assigned into two groups (Breathing and Aerobic) with 16 subjects each. Breathing group performed breathing exercise in supine, sitting, crook lying and standing with inspiration : expiration ratio 1:1,1:2,1:2:2 for a periods of 6 weeks. Aerobic group performed cycling, stair climbing, walking on ramp. Total duration of exercise was 5 days per week for 6 weeks.

Results: Results of the study showed significant reduction in pulse rate, blood pressure and skin fold thickness from pre to post intervention in both breathing and aerobic group. It also found that, there was significant improvement in vital capacity, MVV, VO₂ Max and quality of life from pre to post intervention in both breathing and aerobic group. However there was no statistically significant difference between both groups with regard to the above variables except VO₂ Max, which was significantly improved more in aerobic group and skin fold thickness, which was significantly reduced in aerobic group.

Conclusion: The study demonstrate that breathing and aerobic exercises are effective in improving cardio respiratory parameters and quality of life in asymptomatic population however aerobic exercises has better effect in reduction of skin fold thickness and improvement in VO₂ Max.
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I. Introduction

Physical activities are considered to be the very important part of a human’s life. Physical activity keeps our mind fresh and our body active. For maintenance of a good life style and healthy status, we require adequate regular meals, proper sleep, physical activities, which should be of appropriate intensity, frequency and duration. With advancement of time people have modified their life style with very less physical activities and more mental stress [1].

The meaning of the word “aerobics” is to obtain free oxygen. Aerobic exercise is a type of physical exercise which can vary from low intensity to high intensity and mediated through aerobic metabolism i.e. the use of oxygen to meet the energy requirement during exercise [2]. For the first time, Dr. Kenneth H Cooper conducted research on aerobic exercise in 1960s over U.S. Air force personnel. He considered running, jogging, swimming, cycling, and walking as aerobic exercise [3].

Talking about the effects of aerobic exercise, these mostly constitute the improvement of pumping effect of the heart; reduction of blood pressure and resting heart rate of an individual [4-6], strengthening of the respiratory muscles and improving its endurance [7]. Improvement of mental health, cognitive capacity and alertness also occur [8]. If aerobic exercises are done consistently on a regular basis it helps in fat loss [9].

Breathing is very important for maintenance of life. It is derived from Sanskrit word pranayama which means development of breath [10]. Regular practice of breathing exercise induces different types of physiological changes in healthy individual [11]. Many researchers also claimed for improvement of pulmonary function through breathing exercise, during their studies [10, 12]. It is also helpful in reducing blood pressure and heart rate [11-13]. Different breathing and patterns of breathing is being practiced on people for stress management, for treatment of anxiety disorder and in order to improve the psychological health [14-15].

There are many comparative studies between yoga and aerobic exercise [16], yoga and swimming [17], aerobic and anaerobic exercise [18], slow breathing and fast breathing exercise [15], breathing exercise and aerobic exercise in asthma [19,20]. But there is lack of comparative study between aerobic and breathing exercises on general health of asymptomatic population. The aim of the present study is to evaluate the effectiveness of breathing exercises against aerobic exercises in improving the general health of asymptomatic population.
II. Methodology

The population of this prospective, pre- test and post-test experimental study consisted of 36 healthy asymptomatic subjects of both sex between the age group of 20-45 years. The subjects who meet the exercise readiness questionnaire were included in the study. The person having diabetes mellitus, uncontrolled hypertension, pregnancy, activity restriction due to disease, unstable cardiac or pulmonary disease, and significant arthritis were excluded from the study. The selected subjects were randomly assigned to breathing and aerobic exercise group. The following tests were performed before and after the exercise programme: The subjects were asked to sit comfortably and relax for 30 minutes then pulse rate was measured by palpating the radial artery and blood pressure was measured by using Mercurial Sphygmomanometer and stethoscope. Vital capacity and MVV was measured by computerised spirometer (Winspiro PRO: Spirometer). Skin fold thickness was measured by skin fold calliper at subscapular region, supra-iliac region and abdomen, after that sum of the skin folds was calculated which reflects absolute or percentage changes in body fat [21]. VO₂ max (ml/kg/min) was measured using ‘Cooper 12 Minute Walk test’, total distance was recorded in meters they had travelled in 12 minutes then it converted in kilometre and put it in the formula: VO2 max = (22.351 x kilometres) -11.288 [22,23]. In order to determine health related quality of life RAND 36 -Item health survey 1.0 scales was used. It includes 36 questions that cover eight dimensions of HRQL. The 8 dimension are physical functioning, bodily pain, role limitations due to physical health problems, and role limitations due to personal or emotional problems, emotional well-being, social functioning, energy/fatigue, and general health perceptions [24, 25].

Procedure

Subjects who fulfilled the inclusion and exclusion criteria were recruited. The procedure of the study was explained to the subjects in detail. Those who were willing to participate in the study, were asked to sign the inform consent form. The subjects were then randomly assigned into 2 groups- Breathing group (gr-1) and Aerobic group (gr-2). All participants underwent an initial baseline assessment. The resting pulse rate, blood pressure, PFT, skin fold thickness, VO₂Max, HRQOL were tested, by investigator. The exercise was started the day after the measurement was taken. Subjects in group-1 received breathing exercise and group- 2 received aerobic exercise. Both breathing exercise and aerobic exercise were performed in three phases, each phase consists of two weeks, and both groups performed warm up before exercise and cool down after exercise. In the first phase, breathing exercise was performed in supine, sitting and standing position with inspiration to expiration ratio of 1:1 and total duration of exercise was 15 minute. In the second and third phase, breathing exercise was performed in supine, sitting, standing and crook lying position but inspiration to expiration ratio in second phase was 1:2 and total duration of exercise was 20 minutes, in the third phase there was a breath hold time, so inspiration: breath hold: expiration ratio was 1:2:2 and total duration of exercise was 30 minutes. Aerobic exercise group performed cycling, stair climbing and walking on the ramp, and intensity of exercise was moderate- 60% of MHR (Age predicted) of the subjects. Duration of exercise was 15 minutes, 20 minutes and 30 minutes in phase 1, phase 2 and phase 3 respectively. Both the groups received exercise 5 days in a week for 6 weeks. It was confirmed that none of the subjects in the study received any other form of exercise. The data were collected after 6 weeks.

III. Data Analysis

The data was analysed with SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Sciences) 23 version. Cardiorespiratory parameters and skin fold thickness were analysed using 2×2 ANOVA, with repeated measurement as a second factor. There was one between factor (Group 1- Breathing group & Group 2- Aerobic group) and one within factor (time) with 2 levels (pre & post treatment measures). Post- hoc comparison was done using a 0.05 level of significance. Mann Whitney U test was used to find the significance between the 2 groups for RAND 36-Item Health Survey 1.0 Questionnaire (HRQOL).

IV. Results

Pulse rate

For both breathing group and aerobic group, the pulse rate was reduced after the intervention then at base line however, there was no significant difference between the groups. Graph 1 illustrates the reduction from pre to post of both the groups (breathing exercise and aerobic exercise) in pulse rate with no significant difference between the groups.
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**Systolic Blood pressure**
For both breathing group and aerobic group, the systolic blood pressure was reduced after the intervention then at base line; however there was no significant difference between the groups. Graph 2 illustrates the reduction from pre to post of both the groups (breathing exercise and aerobic exercise) in systolic blood pressure with no significant difference between the groups.

**Diastolic Blood pressure**
For both breathing group and aerobic group, the diastolic blood pressure was reduced after the intervention then at base line however, there was no significant difference between the groups. Graph 3 illustrates the reduction from pre to post of both the groups (breathing exercise and aerobic exercise) in diastolic blood pressure with no significant difference between the groups.
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Vital Capacity
For both breathing group and aerobic group, vital capacity was improved after the intervention then at base line however, there was no significant difference between the groups. Graph 4 illustrates the improvement from pre to post of both the groups (breathing exercise and aerobic exercise) in vital capacity with no significant difference between the groups.

MVV
For both breathing group and aerobic group, MVV was improved after the intervention then at base line however, there was no significant difference between the groups. Graph 5 illustrates the improvement from pre to post of both the groups (breathing exercise and aerobic exercise) in MVV with no significant difference between the groups.

VO₂Max
For both breathing group and aerobic group, VO₂ Max was improved after the intervention then at base line however, the improvement was significantly more in aerobic exercise group as compared to breathing exercise group (p<0.05). Graph-6 summarizes improvement in VO₂ Max from pre to post in both groups.
Skin fold thickness
Both groups showed reduction in skin fold thickness from pre to post after the intervention however, the reduction was significantly more in aerobic exercise group as compared to breathing exercise group (p<0.05). Graph-7 summarizes reduction in skin fold thickness from pre to post in both groups.

![Graph-7: Skin fold thickness](image)

Health related quality of life
Health related quality of life improved with both breathing and aerobic exercises from pre to post after intervention, however emotional wellbeing (p=.006) and social functioning (p=.001) components, were significantly improved in aerobic group compared to breathing group. Graph-8 illustrates that both the groups breathing and aerobic similarly improved in quality of life, (p=.163). However aerobic group improved more (emotional wellbeing and social functioning components, was significantly improved in aerobic group) compared to breathing group.

![Graph-8: Health related quality of life](image)

V. Discussion
The overall results of the study (comparative study on effect of breathing and aerobic exercise on general health in asymptomatic population) after intervention of 6 weeks, both the groups (breathing and aerobic) improved significantly from pre to post intervention in VC, MVV, VO₂ max and health related quality of life. It also found that, there was significant reduction in pulse rate, blood pressure and skin fold thickness from pre to post intervention in both the groups. However there was no statistically significant difference between both groups with regard to the above variables except VO₂ Max, which was significantly improve more in aerobic group and skin fold thickness which was significantly reduced more in aerobic group.

Cardiorespiratory Parameters
The findings of the study showed significant reduction in pulse rate (BE group-5.8%, AE Group-7.2%), Systolic blood pressure (BE group-3.8%,AE Group-4.2%), Diastolic blood pressure (BE group-5.8%,AE Group-6.8%) and improvement in vital capacity(BE group-11%,AE Group-12%), MVV(BE group-13.5%,AE Group-17%) and VO₂ Max in both breathing and aerobic group. But there was no significant difference between breathing and aerobic exercise group with regard to the above variables except VO₂ Max on which the aerobic group (30%) improved significantly more than the breathing group (11%).

In the present study, the reduction in pulse rate and blood pressure in breathing group may be due to tonic and phasic changes in cardiovascular system [11] and parasympathetic activity also increases with regular
practice of breathing exercise [11,26]. Previously it has been shown in various studies that breathing exercise can results in reduction in blood pressure and heart rate. DP Deepak 2013 found reduction in blood pressure and heart rate with four weeks of anulom vilom pranayama [27]. K U Dhungle et al. 2008 also found reduction in pulse rate, systole and diastolic blood pressure with four weeks of alternate nostril breathing exercise [11]. AV Turnakar et al. 2013 also found reduction in pulse rate after breathing exercise, 20 minute per day for 7 days [26]. In the present study, the reduction in pulse rate in aerobic exercise group may be due to increase parasympathetic activity [6, 28]. This result is consistent with the study done by M K Mishra 2015 showed reduction in heart rate with 6 weeks of aerobic exercise in male students [29]. In the present study, the reduction in blood pressure in aerobic group may be due to decrease peripheral resistance to blood flow and reduced activity of sympathetic nervous system [30]. Seamus PW et al. 2002 in a meta-analysis study found blood pressure reduces in both hypertensive and normotensive patient with aerobic exercise [31]. MA Mughal 2001 found decrease in systolic and diastolic blood pressure (P<0.05) with 30 minute of walking, 3-5 times per week for 12 weeks [32]. Anderson 1999 also found reduction in blood pressure with structure aerobic exercise [33].

In the present study, the improvement in vital capacity and MVV in both groups may be due to strengthening of respiratory muscles [34, 35]. With regular breathing exercise there was deep inspiration and expiration occur for prolong period, which helps for strengthening of respiratory muscles and lung compliance also increases [34]. Sheetal Panwar et al. 2012 found improvement in MVV (0.001) and vital capacity (<0.0001) with 3 months of pranayam [36]. Baljinder S Bal 2010 also found improvement in vital capacity and MVV with 8 weeks of anulom vilom and bhashrika pranayama [37]. With aerobic exercise forceful inhalation and exhalation occur for prolong period which improve the strength and endurance of respiratory muscles [35]. Anju M Gupta et al 2015 found improvement in MVV, FVC with moderate aerobic exercise training [38]. M Muralikrishna and Shevlam 2014 also found improvement in vital capacity and other cardio respiratory parameters with aerobic training, 5 days per week for 16 weeks [39].

The findings of the study showed improvement in VO2 max in both groups. However there was significant improvement in VO2 max in aerobic group compared to breathing group because, exercise in aerobic group was above the minimal intensity or threshold. It has been shown in previous studies that, exercises above a minimum intensity or threshold is required to improve VO2 max [40, 41]. Minimal improvement of VO2 max in this study may be due to decrease peripheral resistance and increase tone of ventilator muscles [42]. Gormley SE 2008 found improvement in vo2 max with moderate, vigorous and maximal intensity aerobic exercise after six weeks of training but they conclude that higher intensities exercise are more effective in improving VO2 Max [43]. P Nandhini 2013 found improvement in VO2 max with aerobic dance training for five days per week for eight weeks [44].

### Skinfold Thickness

This study demonstrated significant reduction in skin fold thickness in both groups, but there was significantly more reduction in aerobic group (7.9%) compared to breathing group (6%). The reduction in skin fold thickness in breathing group may be due to fooding habits and personal habits. Similar result was shown by U B Kundu 2014 found reduction in skin fold thickness in 8-10 year male after 90 days of pranayama [45].The reduction in skin fold thickness in aerobic exercise group may be due to increase resting metabolism in the body which helps in mobilisation and oxidation of lipid [42]. In this study reduction in skin fold thickness is more in aerobic group compared to breathing group which may be due to increase in estimated daily energy expenditure in aerobic group compare to breathing group, causing caloric expenditure resulting in weight loss. The reduced body fat in aerobic group which intern decreases the skin fold thickness. Similar studies was done by Slientz 2005 found significant decrease in visceral (6.9%), Subcutaneous (7%) and abdominal fat with modest exercise programme [46]. Leslie H Willis et al. 2012 found aerobic training was more effective in reducing body fat in sedentary non diabetic obese adults [9]. Robert Ross and Janssen 2004 found reduction in total fat and abdominal fat after 14 weeks of exercise programme [47].

### Health Related Quality Of Life (Hrqol)

This study demonstrated significant improvement in health related quality of life in both the groups breathing exercise group (19.7%) and aerobic exercise group (21%). However emotional wellbeing and social functioning components, (components of mental health summary score) was significantly more improved in aerobic group compared to breathing group.

Recently it has been investigated by many researchers that, higher level of cardiorespiratory function was associated with higher level of HRQOL [48, 49]. In the present study, there was improvement in all cardiorespiratory parameters in both breathing and aerobic group except VO2 max, which was improved more in aerobic group. This may be one of the reasons for improvement in HRQOL in both groups (breathing and aerobic).
In the present study improvement in health related quality of life in breathing group may be due to suppression of sympathetic system [11]. Which leads to reduction in stress and anxiety. This result is consistent with the study done by Sahala N D et al. 2013 showed improvement in pain and quality of life in multiple sclerosis patient with pranayama and yoga for one month [50].

In the present study there was significant improvement in emotional well-being and social functioning components in aerobic group which may be due to deviation from boring and unpleasant daily activity and they also interact with each other during group exercise and enjoy social contact [51]. Similar result was shown by Corby K Martin et al. 2009 found significant improvement in all dimension of quality of life with exercise in sedentary postmenopausal women and improvements in quality of life were dose dependent [52]. Margareeta k Eriksson et al. 2010 also found improvement in physical functioning and social functioning and reduced body pain with exercise and dietary counselling in CVD patient [53].

In the present study, aerobic exercise programme is sufficient to address fear avoidance belief (avoidance of behaviour based on pain related fear) and improve physical functioning and quality of life [54]. This study supported by Paul Marshall et al. found improvement in general health and pain reduces with eight weeks of stationary cycling and specific trunk exercise programme in LBA [54]. Aerobic exercise reduced fatigue, improve endurance, aerobic capacity and physical activity and it also reverses the cycle of deconditioning [55, 56]. Similar result was shown by Giannini M and Protas EJ et al. found improvement in aerobic capacity (energy and activity level) with aerobic exercise in juvenile rheumatoid arthritis patient [57]. Iversen Maura D et al. 2003 also found improvement in physical functioning, energy level (improvement in SF-36 score) with cycling programme in older population [58].

**Limitations**

Small sample size
Carry over effect of the exercise group was not observed.
Skin fold, one of variables – diet chart should maintain throughout study period for uniform results.

**Suggestions**

A long term follow up study may be done.
Flexibility, weight should also be check to see its effect.
The study should be done on either male or female to control the effect of sexual variance.

**VI. Conclusion**

Breathing exercise and Aerobic exercise improved cardio respiratory parameter and quality of life similarly. However, aerobic exercises has better effect in reduction of skin fold thickness and improvement in VO₂ Max with 6 weeks intervention in healthy subjects.

**Abbreviations:**

PR-Pulse rate
SBP-Systolic blood pressure
DBP-Diastolic blood pressure
VC-Vital capacity
MVV-Maximal voluntary ventilation
AE-Aerobic exercise
BE-Breathing exercise
HRQOL-Health related quality of life
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