Ovarian Volume And Antral Follicle Count Versus Serum FSH measurement in assessment of Ovarian Reserve
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Abstract:

Background: The presence of a wide range of tests of ovarian reserve suggests that no single test provides a sufficiently accurate result. Many tests are used without reference to an evidence base. So far, individual studies conducted on these tests are too small to give precise estimates of prognostic accuracy.

Objective: The current study was designed to compare ovarian volume & antral follicle count (A.F.C) & day 3 FSH level, with respect to their ability to predict ovarian response of infertile women to ovulation induction agents.

Material and Methods: This is a prospective observational study in which 52 infertile women who were attending the Obst & Gynae Dept, PMCH UDAIPUR, were recruited. Blood samples were collected on day 2/day 3 for assessment of Se. FSH and TVS were done for antral follicle count and ovarian volume. Induction of ovulation was done for three successive cycles. Clomiphene citrate 100 mg 1OD was given from day 2 to 6, and patients were followed up with serial USG measurements for the dominant follicles (> or = 18 mm). Patients with no dominant follicle in the 1st cycle were subjected to the 2nd and 3rd cycle of clomiphene 100 mg 1OD from day 2 to day 6 with Inj HMG 150 IU given i.m. starting from day 8 and every alternate day until at least one leading follicle attained ≥18 mm.

Results: In this study the results showed that AFC has a higher predictability for successful induction i.e. when AFC < 10; the success rate was 17.7%, while when AFC > 10; the success rate was 37.142% which shows high significant difference. The next predicting factor was ovarian volume, when < 3 ml; the chance of success was very poor (zero), while when volume was 3-9 ml; the success rate was 44.11% & when volume >9 ml; the success rate was 62.5%. While day 3 FSH had the lowest predictability, when S.FSH < 10 m IU/ml; the success rate was 41.26%, while when FSH > 10 m IU/ml; the success rate was 33.33% which does not make much significant difference.

Conclusion: We concluded from our study that antral follicle count and ovarian volume are effective predictors of ovarian response being superior to complex and time consuming endocrine tests.
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I. Introduction

Infertility has emerged as a serious health problem in India. This has led to an increasing demand for assisted reproduction technologies. This involves ovulation induction with various stimulation protocols. Not all the patients who are subjected to ovulation induction show favorable response—some may result in poor ovarian response (OR). Research on infertility has evolved with constant studies and technological advances due to the increase of infertile couples who seek assisted reproduction (AR) services.[1,2] The recruitment and development of multiple ovarian follicles are key to treatment[3,4] The correct assessment of the ovarian reserve is a central issue in the management of patients with infertility.[1,3,5] The goal is to predict the chances of response to the induction and select the “optimal” dose for the ovarian hyperstimulation.[3,6] Female reproductive aging is a process that follows the generally accepted theory that over time, oocytes decrease in quantity and quality and do not regenerate.[7] The number of human oocytes in a female peaks at 6–7 million during fetal life (around mid gestation), followed by profound atresia; approximately 1–2 million oocytes are present at birth, 300,000–500,000 at the start of puberty, and 1,000 at 51 years of age, which is the average age of menopause[8]. Factors such as genetics, lifestyle, environment, and medical issues, including endometriosis, ovarian surgery, chemotherapy, and radiation, can influence the quantity and quality of a woman’s oocytes.[9] Although this reproductive decline occurs with age, there is significant variation in fertility among women of similar age, which highlights the unpredictability and individuality of the reproductive aging process.[10] Many hormones and ultrasound measurements have been assessed as a marker of ovarian reserve.[11]
markers identified are FSH, inhibin B, AMH, and estradiol. Biophysical markers include AFC and ovarian volume [12].

Many researchers have used single as well as multiple markers to assess the ovarian reserve. So we planned this study to assess the predictive values of biophysical (antral follicle count and ovarian volume) and biochemical markers (Se. FSH) in identifying poor ovarian reserve in Indian population.

II. Materials and Methods

This is a prospective cross-sectional study including 52 women diagnosed with infertility and seeking management in the form of induction of ovulation and timed intercourse/IUI or IVF at PMCH UDAIPUR

Inclusion criteria: Apparently healthy infertile women less than 40 years of age having ovulatory factor infertility willing to participate in the study with written consent.

Exclusion criteria: Patients excluded those who were having an ovarian cyst or follicle measuring more than 10 mm on the day of measuring the AFC, in order not to bias the basal AFC or the number of leading follicles counted to detect response. history of ovarian surgery, endometrioma excision or ovarian drilling to exclude the effect of the surgery on the ovarian reserve.

All the patients prior to the start of induction of ovulation program were subjected to full history taking and systemic clinical examination to assess the general condition and local pelvic examination. All preliminary investigations including the thyroid profile and tubal patency test were done.

Sample Collection

A basal cycle day 2-3 FSH was measured from blood in all patients. AFC and ovarian volume were determined on day 2 of the cycle using transvaginal ultrasound (TVS). AFC was determined during transvaginal scanning using a 6.5 MHZ vaginal probe. The follicles visualized and counted by TVS are 2-10mm in diameter. To determine the diameter of the follicle, the mean of measurements in two perpendicular directions was taken. The volume of each ovary was calculated by measuring in three perpendicular directions and applying the formula for an ellipsoid: D1 x D2 x D3 x π /6. Serum FSH concentration was measured using commercially available kits by a two – site sandwich immune-assay. FSH assay is standardized against the World Health Organization 2nd International Standard reference material

Ovulation induction

All the 52 patients were subjected to ovulation induction

First cycle - Ovulation induction was done with standard stimulation protocol of Clomiphene Citrate 100 mg 1OD from day 2 to 6, and patients were followed up with serial USG measurements until at least one leading follicle attained >18 mm.

Second cycle and third cycle - Ovulation induction was done with Clomiphene100 mg 1OD from day 2 to 6 with Inj HMG150 IU given i.m. starting from day 8 and every alternate day until at least one leading follicle attained >18 mm.

Follow up All patients were followed up by follicular monitoring with vaginal ultrasonography starting on the 8th day of the cycle and then every other day until Inj HCG 10,000 IU was administered as a single I.M injection to trigger ovulation when at least one leading follicle attained >18 mm. Number of dominant follicles (= 14 mm) at the time of HCG administration was counted to analyze the result of ovulation induction. Patients with three or more follicles in 1st cycle were taken in group 1.

Patients with less than three follicles at end of third cycle were considered as poor response.

Patients developing less than three follicles at end of third cycle were considered as poor response
III. Results

Table 1 shows Study group characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>&lt;35yrs</th>
<th>&gt;35 Yrs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>36</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type Of Infertility</th>
<th>Primary</th>
<th>Secondary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>46</td>
<td>06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Duration Of Infertility</th>
<th>&lt;5yrs</th>
<th>&gt;5yrs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>22</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 shows Relationship between AFC and success rate of induction of ovulation per cycle

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AFC</th>
<th>Number Of Subjects</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Success Rate Of Induction Of Ovulation No. Of Cycles</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>Zero/16(Cycles)</td>
<td>0% To Clomiphene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-10</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>28.84</td>
<td>8/45(Cycles)</td>
<td>17.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>67.30</td>
<td>60/105(Cycles)</td>
<td>57.142%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For each subject 3 treatment cycles are tried  p <0.001 (highly significant)

Table 3 shows Relationship between ovarian volume and success rate of induction of ovulation per cycle

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ovarian Volume</th>
<th>No. Of Subjects</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Success Rate Of Induction Of Ovulation No. Of Cycles</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>Zero/6(Cycles)</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 -9</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>65.38</td>
<td>45/102(Cycles)</td>
<td>44.11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;9</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>30.76%</td>
<td>30/48(Cycles)</td>
<td>62.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

p<0.01%(significant)

Table 4 shows Relationship between day 2-4 serum FSH level & success rate of induction of ovulation per cycle

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FSH</th>
<th>NO. OF SUBJECTS</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>SUCCESS RATE OF INDUCTION OF OVULATION PER CYCLE NO. OF CYCLE</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>80.7</td>
<td>52/120(CYCLE)</td>
<td>41.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>10/30</td>
<td>33.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P>0.05 (no significant difference)

IV. Discussion

Reproductive ageing is thought to be dictated by a gradual decrease in both the quantity and the quality of the oocytes and follicles held within the ovaries [13, 14]. A gradual decrease with advancing age in the number of sonographically detectable antral follicles has been shown in many studies [15]. In recent years several papers have been published concerning the relation between the antral follicle count (AFC, defined as the total number of antral follicles, sized 2–5 or 2–10 mm, present in both ovaries) and the ovarian response in IVF [16], as well as the occurrence of the menopausal transition, indicating that this parameter relates strongly to the quantitative aspects of ovarian reserve. Recently Hendriks et al. [17], published a meta-analysis on the AFC as a predictor for poor ovarian response and concluded that AFC is an adequate test for the prediction of poor ovarian response, compared to FSH. The high intercycle stability of AFC and its potentially likely attractive cost features are likely to make this test rather attractive for routine practice. The present study showed that the AFC is a valuable test that can be used in infertile women to assess their ovarian reserve and
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thus chances of their response to ovulation induction. Studies conducted by Klinkert et al. on antral follicle count in 2005 demonstrated that AFC>5 follicles was a better predictor of ongoing pregnancy [18] which is almost similar to our study.

Ovarian volume has been considered as a test of ovarian reserve by various authors. Syrop et al. in their study on infertile women undergoing the first cycle of IVF concluded that total ovarian volume was a significant predictor of cycle cancelation [19]. Our study also show that ovarian volume can also be used as predictive marker for successful ovulation induction. Day 3 serum FSH concentrations were not significant in predicting poor ovarian reserve/response which is similar to Syrop et al. in their study on infertile women undergoing the first cycle of IVF concluded that basal FSH was not significant predictor of cycle cancelation [19] but study done by Jaiswar S. P. et al concluded Day 3 serum FSH concentrations were significant in predicting poor ovarian reserve/response. Based on their study, it could be predicted that infertile women with serum FSH values>7.10 IU/L are at high risk of developing poor response to ovarian stimulation [20] which is in contrast to our study. This could be explained by the fact that limitations of measurement of basal FSH include the lack of a clear cut-off point, monthly variations and disparities between different laboratory assays.[21]

V. Conclusion

Screening for the ovarian reserve is fundamental component of the initial infertility evaluation. An improved ascertainment of the ovarian reserve status may help one optimize the planned therapeutic intervention, and thus minimize the emotional and financial strains imposed upon couples seeking fertility treatment.
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