Comparative study of intravenous ondansetron and intrathecal fentanyl for prevention of perioperative nausea and vomiting during caesarean delivery under spinal anaesthesia with hyperbaric bupivacaine.
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Abstract:

Objectives: Some earlier studies have highlighted the analgesic potentiating effects of intrathecal fentanyl hydrochloride while some recent studies have focused on the effects of decreasing postoperative nausea and vomiting. Hence, the current study was planned on comparing the effects of intrathecal fentanyl and intravenous ondansetron in reducing the perioperative nausea and vomiting.

Materials and methods: In this randomized prospective study, 140 patients (ASA I&II, aged 18-35 years) undergoing elective caesarean section were divided into two equal groups (n=70) to receive either intrathecal 20 microgram (0.4ml) with 2.2 ml bupivacaine 0.5% heavy plus 2 ml normal saline intravenously (Group F) or 0.4 ml of normal saline with equal amount of bupivacaine 0.5 % heavy plus 4 mg (2 ml) of ondansetron intravenously (Group O) to compare their effects on perioperative nausea and vomiting.

Results: The incidences of intraoperative nausea (12.8% vs 7.15%), vomiting (8.58% vs 4.28%) and retching (8.58% vs 4.28%) were higher in the ondansetron group compared with the fentanyl group and statistically not significant (nausea, P=0.26; vomiting, P=0.30 and retching, P=0.30). However, the incidence of postoperative nausea, vomiting and retching were higher in the fentanyl group (7.15% vs 2.86%, P=0.25; 4.28% vs 2.86%, P=0.65 and 4.28% vs 2.86%, P=0.65) respectively. Intraoperative and postoperative hemodynamic parameters were comparable in the two groups (P>0.05).

Conclusion: Although not statistically significant, the incidences of nausea, vomiting and retching were higher in the ondansetron group intraoperatively and the same being higher in the fentanyl group postoperatively.
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I. Introduction

Spinal anaesthesia is the most popular and common technique used for caesarean section at present because it is easier to perform, and has rapid and predictable onset of action. Nausea and vomiting is one of the most common unpleasant complication occurring both intra and postoperatively with an incidence of 50-80% when no prophylaxis was given in both regional and general anaesthesia[1]. Several techniques like pharmacological and non-pharmacological have proved to diminish this problem but no single intervention is known so far to eliminate it completely. Even though anti-emetic drugs reduced the incidences of nausea and vomiting, it has got its own undesirable side effects; metoclopramide has rare association like dystonia reaction, droperidol may cause extra pyramidal symptom and dysphoria[2] and may induce...
tachycardia.\textsuperscript{[3]} 5HT3 antagonist like ondansetron, granisetron, palonosetron are all effective in reducing post-operative nausea and vomiting,\textsuperscript{[4,5]} with low incidences of side-effects but high acquisition cost.

Some earlier studies have highlighted the well known analgesic properties of fentanyl but some recent studies have focus on the effect of decreasing the post-operative nausea and vomiting. Recently intrathecal administration of lipophilic opioid such as fentanyl \textsuperscript{[6,7,8,9]} and benzodiazepine like midazolam\textsuperscript{[10,11]} has been reported to minimize the incidence of intra-operative and early post-operative nausea and vomiting in caesarean section under spinal anaesthesia. Neither of the two pharmacological agents have been known to possess anti-emetic properties in their own rights, they probably reduce it by improving the quality of analgesia and duration of pain relief along with 0.5% of hyperbaric bupivacaine.\textsuperscript{[6]}

As a continuation of the ever going search for better and more effective drug among the anti-emetic agents commonly used in practice, a comparative study of intravenous ondansetron(4 mg) and intrathecal fentanyl(20µg) was carried out to ameliorate perioperative nausea and vomiting.

II. Methods

The study was a prospective, randomized, double-blinded one, conducted in the department of Anaesthesiology, in a tertiary care centre, Imphal, Manipur, after getting approval from the Institutional ethical committee and written informed consent of 140 term parturient (ASA Grade I and II) who opted for elective caesarean section under spinal anaesthesia. They were divided into two equal group (group- F and group- O) of 70 patients each after computer generated randomization. Group-F were to received intrathecal (IT) 12 mg of 0.5% hyperbaric bupivacaine with fentanyl-20µg (0.4 ml) and 2 ml of intravenous normal saline (IV NS) and group-O were to received intrathecal 12 mg of 0.5 % hyperbaric bupivacaine with 0.4 ml of normal saline and intravenous (IV) ondansetron 4 mg(2 ml) after spinal anaesthesia.

Patients with the history of motion sickness, hyperemesis gravidarum, allergy to certain drugs and contraindication to spinal anaesthesia were excluded from the study. After proper pre-operative assessment, the patient was preloaded with 1000ml of Ringer’s lactate over 30 minutes and no other premedication were given. Multi parameter Monitors were connected and baseline reading of pulse rate, blood pressure, arterial oxygen saturation (SPO2), respiratory rate and foetal heart rate were noted.

Under strict aseptic and antiseptic precaution, using 25 gauze quincke spinal needle, the study drug with hyperbaric bupivacaine was injected intrathecally in left lateral position, then the patient was turned on the back immediately, in supine position.

All the patients were assessed for the level of block, nausea, vomiting, duration of effective analgesia, respiratory depression and other side effect like shivering and pruritus intraoperatively. Nausea, vomiting and retching were recorded with subjective complain of ‘Yes’ or ‘No’ variable. Also after the block, patient were monitored for pulse rate and blood pressure every 2 min for first 10 min, every 5 min up to 40 min and every 10 min thereafter till the sensory block regresses to L1. Vomiting and retching in either study group were treated with 4mg IV rescue ondansetron and inj. fentanyl 50µg iv was given as rescue analgesic.

APGAR score at 1 and 5 min was noted for the baby. Bradycardia i.e < 60 beats per minute was treated with injection atropine 0.6 mg intravenously. Hypotension i.e < 20% below the baseline systolic blood pressure was corrected with rapid infusion of IV fluids and rescue injection mephentermine 6 mg IV.

At the end of the procedure, all the patients were to receive 75 mg Diclofenac Sodium IM, which were repeated every 12 hourly for 24 hr post-operatively. The patients were monitored in the post-anesthesia care unit and rescue inj. fentanyl 50µg iv was demanded when the patient's need.

Sample size was calculated based on the study conducted by Manullang TR et al\textsuperscript{[6]} on the comparison of IT fentanyl and IV ondansetron for prevention of PONV during LSCS and with the assumption of $ \alpha = 0.05 $ & $ \beta = 0.8 $. Continuous variable were analysed with student ‘t’ test, categorical data with Chi-square test, etc whichever were appropriate. The datas collected were entered on a computer and analysed with Statistical Package of Social Sciences (SPSS Inc. version 20, Chicago, IL, USA).

III. Results

There was no significant difference in patients’ demographic mean variables of age and weight in the two groups(Gp F Vs Gp O) as 27.6±4.45 years vs 26.7±4.45 years and 59.3±7.84 kg vs 57.5±6.05 kg. (P>0.05). No significant differences in the heart rate and blood pressure were also observed between the groups at different time intervals. (As shown in Fig 1&2) The incidences of intraoperative nausea (12.8% vs 7.15%, P=0.26), vomiting 8.58% vs 4.28%, P=0.30) and retching (8.58% vs 4.28%, P=0.30) were higher in the ondansetron group compared with the fentanyl group. However, the incidence of postoperative nausea, vomiting and retching were higher in the Fentanyl group (7.15% vs 2.86%,P=0.25; 4.28% vs 2.86,P=0.65 and 4.28%vs2.86,P=0.65) respectively and statistically not significant. (TABLE 1)
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Figure 1 showing the distribution mean of mean arterial blood pressure (in mm Hg) of the two groups at different time points.

Figure 2, showing the distribution of mean heart rate (per second) of the two groups at different time points.

Table 1 showing the distribution and comparison of intraoperative and postoperative side effects in the two groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time points</th>
<th>Side effects</th>
<th>Group fentanyl (F) n=70 (Mean±SD)</th>
<th>Group ondansetron (O) n=70 (Mean±SD)</th>
<th>Statistical test value/Chi-square(χ^2) test</th>
<th>P value &amp; inference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INTRA-OPERATIVE</td>
<td>Vomiting</td>
<td>Yes=3(4.8%) No=67(95.2%)</td>
<td>Yes=6(8.58%) No=64(91.42%)</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.30 (NS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nausea</td>
<td>Yes=5(7.15%) No=65(92.85%)</td>
<td>Yes=9(12.87%) No=61(87.13%)</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>0.26 (NS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Retching</td>
<td>Yes=3(4.28%) No=67(95.72%)</td>
<td>Yes=6(8.58%) No=64(91.42%)</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.30 (NS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vomiting</td>
<td>Yes=3(4.28%) No=67(95.2%)</td>
<td>Yes=2(2.86%) No=63(97.14%)</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.65 (NS)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The IT fentanyl group did not require any rescue analgesic intraoperatively as compared with the Group O and the difference is not significant statistically; this might account for the possibility of intraoperative nausea being correlated with perioperative treatment with IV fentanyl. The rescue analgesic consumption was more in the fentanyl group during the 24 hour postoperative period, eventhough the result was not significant as compared with the ondansetron group. No patients required treatment for pruritus, and all patients maintained adequate oxygenation (SpO2 @> 97%) with face mask oxygenation.

IV. Discussion

Nausea and vomiting commonly occurs during caesarean delivery performed with regional anaesthesia and is frequently related to peritoneal traction and exteriorization of the uterus. Stimuli from several areas within the central nervous system can affect the emetic centre. Various prophylactic antiemetics have been used. Metoclopramide, droperidol, and the 5-HT3 antagonists (ondansetron granisetron) are all effective in decreasing intraoperative nausea and vomiting during cesarean delivery. Prophylactic droperidol and ondansetron during caesarean delivery were compared and ondansetron was recommended on the basis of equivalent efficacy and decreased side effects. However, ondansetron, may cause transient headaches and mild elevation of liver enzymes.

Intrathecal lipophilic opioids have been studied extensively as adjuvants to spinal anaesthesia for caesarean delivery and may provide improved intra and postoperative analgesia with additional benefit of decreasing intraoperative nausea and vomiting. Dahlgren et al[25] studied women undergoing caesarean delivery with either 10 μg fentanyl, 2.5 μg sufentanil, 5 μg sufentanil, or saline placebo added to IT bupivacaine. All of the groups receiving IT lipophilic opioids had significantly less intraoperative nausea and vomiting, with an incidence of 40%. Palmer CM et al[26] reported 25% intraoperative nausea and vomiting in patients who received 15 μg fentanyl added to lidocaine spinal anaesthesia. Randalls B et al[27] and DW Cooper et al[28] also found a low incidence of nausea and vomiting with IT fentanyl with bupivacaine for caesarean delivery. Ben-David BM et al[29] found lower incidence of nausea and vomiting in bupivacaine-fentanyl group than the bupivacaine group alone (31% vs 69%). Moreover, lower incidences of intraoperative nausea and vomiting were recorded on the studies of Pallab Rudra et al[30] (15%) and Kuusniemi KS et al[31] (5%) using 12.5 μgm and 25μgm of IT fentanyl with bupivacaine respectively. Our study which used 25μgm of IT fentanyl was in agreement with the above studies. The incidence of nausea in our study during the intraoperative period was 5(7.15%) in fentanyl group and 9(12.87%) in the ondansetron group, vomiting 3(4.28%) in group fentanyl as compared to 6(8.58%) in ondansetron and retching 3(4.28%) in fentanyl group as compared to 6(8.58%) in ondansetron group, but no significance was seen statistically.

In the postoperative period, the incidence of nausea was 5(7.15%) in the fentanyl group and 2(2.86%) in ondansetron group, vomiting in fentanyl group was 3(4.28%) where as 2(2.86%) in ondansetron group and incidence of retching was 3(4.28%) in fentanyl group and 2(2.86%) in ondansetron group during post operative period. Statistical analysis showed no significant difference. This insignificant increased incidence of postoperative nausea and vomiting in the fentanyl group was also recorded in the study of Manullang et al[8].

Maternal hypotension occurs commonly with spinal anaesthesia and it is related with the intra operative nausea and vomiting. Hypotension may trigger the vomiting centre and induce nausea and vomiting. In this study, there was fall of systolic pressure at 4th min in both the group. It was aggressively treated with IV Mephetermine 0.005mg/kg and additional 250ml of Ringer’s lactate or colloid. We observed a significant decrease in Mephetermine requirements for the IT fentanyl group compared with the IV ondansetron group. Our findings were similar with that of Ben-David BM et al[30]. Bradycardia (<60 beats per min) was not observed in both the groups. The mean values of pulse rate changes and mean arterial blood pressure (MAP) recorded in both Groups were comparable (P>0.05). The mean values of mean arterial blood pressure changes in mmHg between both groups were almost similar. These results were also obtained in the study of Biswas BN et al[22] and Singh H et al[23].

There was no incidence of any respiratory depression in our study which was in contrast with Singh H et al[23] and Lu JK et al[24]. In all the cases of both the groups, O2 supplement is given and SpO2 was maintained above 97% during both intraoperative and post operative period which was concurrent with the study done by Manullang TR et al[6]. These might be explained due to intense monitoring, verbal stimulation, and routine supplemental oxygen that minimized the clinical significance of any mild respiratory depression which occurred.

Pruritus is a known side effect of IT opioids, surprisingly in our study there was no incidence of pruritus in any of the patient in the fentanyl group, and this might probably be due to lower doses of intrathecal fentanyl. Although APGAR scores were similar between the two groups, we acknowledged that this was not a highly sensitive means of neonatal assessment. Umbilical cord blood gas analysis and neonatal neurobehavioral scores might have revealed more subtle effects.

There was no requirement of rescue analgesia in the fentanyl group intraoperatively as also in the study by Manullang TR et al. [8]. The 24 hour postoperative period rescue analgesic requirement was more in the fentanyl group as compared with the ondansetron group eventhough not significant. This might be due to the acute spinal tolerance induced by IT fentanyl which demanded increased rescue analgesic.

Our study was not without any limitation. Patients undergoing caesarean delivery under spinal anaesthesia are inherently at high risk for intraoperative nausea and vomiting. Although Intrathecal fentanyl appears superior to IV ondansetron as a single drug to prevent perioperative nausea, it is possible that treatment with multiple drugs with different

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>POST-O 录 OPERATIVE</th>
<th>Nausea</th>
<th>Retching</th>
<th>NS= Not significant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes=5(7.15%)</td>
<td>Yes=3(4.28%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No=65(92.85%)</td>
<td>No=67(95.72%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes=2(2.86%)</td>
<td>Yes=2(2.86%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No=68(97.14%)</td>
<td>No=68(97.14%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.23(NS)</td>
<td>0.65(NS)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
sites of action may benefit certain patient groups. So, combination therapy protocol should be investigated and advocated for the prevention of nausea and vomiting.

V. Conclusion

Hence, we concluded that, IT fentanyl 20µgm combined with hyperbaric 0.5% bupivacaine 12mg is better option as compared to IV ondansetron 4mg for spinal anaesthesia in caesarean section deliveries as it would markedly improve intraoperative anaesthesia with good maternal satisfaction and foetal well being.
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