Impact of Pulmonary Rehabilitation Program on Respiratory Function and Exercise Tolerance in Subjects with Down Syndrome
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Abstract:
Background: Down syndrome subjects complain of many pulmonary dysfunctions that adversely affect the general health and quality of life.
Objective: The aim of this study was to detect the effect of pulmonary rehabilitation program on respiratory functions and exercise tolerance in Down syndrome subjects.
Subjects and Methods: Forty Down syndrome subjects (22 males and 18 females) with age ranged from 18-25 years and IQ level ranged from 55-65% were enrolled in the present study and were assigned into two equal groups, group (A) received pulmonary rehabilitation program included breathing exercises via resisted diaphragmatic breathing by abdominal weights in addition to aerobic exercise on treadmill 3 times per week for successive 2 months, while group (B) received no intervention and was considered as a control group. Measurements of vital capacity (VC), maximum voluntary ventilation (MVV), arterial oxygen saturation (SaO₂), maximum oxygen consumption (VO₂max,) and respiratory rate (RR) were taken before the study and after the end of the study.
Results: The mean values of VC, MVV, SaO₂ and VO₂ max were significantly improved and RR significantly decreased in group (A), while changes were not significant in group (B). In addition, there were significant differences between mean levels of the investigated parameters of group (A) and group (B) at the end of the study.
Conclusion: Pulmonary rehabilitation program improves respiratory function and exercise tolerance in Down syndrome subjects.
Keywords: Pulmonary Rehabilitation, Pulmonary Function, Exercise Tolerance, Down syndrome.

I. Introduction

Down syndrome (DS) is a chromosomal disorder resulting in various abnormalities such as mental retardation, immunodeficiency and physical abnormalities [1]. Trisomy 21 is the other term used to refer for this disorder which occurs in 1:800 live birth, as the faulty cell division affecting the twenty first pair of chromosomes[1,2]. The extra chromosomal 21 after almost every organ resulting in a multi system disorder such as, neuromotor, musculoskeletal, cardiopulmonary and a wide spectrum of life threatening complications [3].

Subjects with DS who are free from pulmonary disorder, congenital heart disorders, or endocrine diseases are usually suffer from limitation in work performance even during simple tasks than subjects without DS at all life stages [4-6]. This is usually detected via lower value of VO₂max, which ultimately shows reduction in exercise capacity may adversely affect their quality of life and induces rapid and early feeling of fatigue [7-15]. Down syndrome subjects have an excess of respiratory problems due to many contributing factors such as immune dysfunction, hypotonia, neuromuscular weakness of respiratory muscles that lead to low vital capacity with decreased ventilatory pump. Along with this, immune dysfunction also might account for the high incidence of respiratory problems commonly seen in subjects with Down syndrome [16]. Respiratory therapy helps to restore or maintain the cardio-respiratory functions in DS subjects who have respiratory problem due to neurological, musculoskeletal and impaired ventilatory pump [17]. However, upper and lower limb exercise trainings are the main components of any pulmonary rehabilitation program. The effects of combined inspiratory muscle training and upper limb exercise in the form of cycle ergometer training for 8 weeks increased the maximal power output and oxygen intake [18]. So, this study was aimed to determine the effect of pulmonary rehabilitation program on respiratory function and exercise tolerance in subjects with Down syndrome.
II. Materials And Methods

2.1. Subjects: Forty Down syndrome subjects (22 males and 18 females) with age ranged from 18-25 years and IQ level ranged from 55-65% were enrolled in the present study and they were assigned into two equal groups. Group (A) received pulmonary rehabilitation program which included breathing exercises via resisted diaphragmatic breathing by abdominal weights in addition to aerobic exercise on treadmill 3 times per week for successive two months, while group (B) received no intervention and was considered as the control group. Patients with musculoskeletal, neurological, cardiac or respiratory disorders were excluded from the study.

2.2. Methods:

Equipments Used:
1. Spirometer (Spirovit, Sp-10, Switzerland): It was a computerized apparatus used for recording forced vital capacity (VC), maximum voluntary ventilation (MVV) and arterial oxygen saturation (SaO_2). SaO_2 was measured with a special sensor which is attached to the same equipment [19, 20].
2. Cardiopulmonary exercise test unit (Zan 800, Germany): The continuous progressive exercise tolerance test was applied following the Bruce standard protocol, that consists of warming up phase and five active phases and recovery phase to detect maximal oxygen consumption (VO_2 max).
3. Abdominal weights: It was used for resistive breathing exercise training. It is a bag contains sand at different weights which are comes with velcro straps to be applied firmly. Their weights were graduated according to patient’s ability.
4. Treadmill (Enraf Nonius, Model display panel Standard, Nr 1475.801, Holland) was used in performance of walking exercises.

Procedures:
1. The Experimental Group (Group A): Participants of group (A) received pulmonary rehabilitation program in the form of:
   i) Resisted Breathing Exercises: Resisted diaphragmatic breathing was applied using weighted sand bags that placed on a folded Turkish towel to prevent friction between weights and skin of the subjects and the weights were placed on the epigastric region. The subjects were made to lie in supine and directed to do the breathing exercises. Inspiratory muscle training was done for 8 weeks with progressively increasing weights with 2 Kgs during 1st & 2nd week, 3 Kgs during 3rd & 4th week, 4 Kgs during 5th & 6th week and 5 Kgs during 7th & 8th weeks. Each session lasted for 30 minutes per day for six days per week for a period of 8 weeks [21, 22].
   ii) Treadmill Exercises: Participants of group (A) used treadmill for exercising and strengthening the muscles of lower limbs as well as for walking test. Training program divided into 10 minutes of warm up, 30 minutes of walking training and 10 minutes to cool down. Patients were made to stand in front of a mirror, the speed at zero inclination was 400m/second and intensity of the exercise training was 60%-80% of maximum heart rate, which was given for three days per week until the end of the study.

Measurements of VC, MVV, SaO_2, VO_2 max and RR were taken before the study and repeated at the end of the study ie, after two months.

2. The Control Group (Group B): Participants received no intervention.

III. Statistical Analysis and Results

The mean values of the investigated parameters were detected at the beginning and at the end of two months of study for both groups and they were compared by using student paired “t” test. And, the unpaired “t” test was used to do the comparisons between the two groups (P<0.05).

The baseline and anthropometric characteristics of the subjects are shown in Table 1. There was no significant difference in the mean value of the age, height, body weight, body mass index (BMI), waist circumference, fat mass, systolic blood pressure (SBP) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) between both groups.
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Table 1: Comparison of Baseline and Anthropometric variables between the group (A) and (B).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Group (A)</th>
<th>Group (B)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>21.27 ± 3.43</td>
<td>20.96 ± 3.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cms)</td>
<td>163.22 ± 6.81</td>
<td>165.14 ± 7.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body Weight (kg)</td>
<td>66.15 ± 5.17</td>
<td>65.26 ± 5.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>24.23 ± 2.11</td>
<td>22.87 ± 2.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist Circumference (cms)</td>
<td>77.13 ± 4.63</td>
<td>75.05 ± 4.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat Mass (Kgs)</td>
<td>15.16 ± 2.51</td>
<td>14.38 ± 2.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>123.14 ± 10.53</td>
<td>121.22 ± 11.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>78.25 ± 7.12</td>
<td>77.15 ± 6.96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


The mean values of VC, MVV, SaO₂ and VO₂max were significantly improved and RR significantly decreased in group (A) (Table 2), while changes were not significant in group (B) (Table 3). In addition, there were significant differences between mean levels of the investigated parameters of group (A) and group (B) at the end of the study (Table 4).

Table 2: Mean value and significance of VC, MVV, SaO₂, VO₂max and RR of group (A) before and at the end of the study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Before</th>
<th>After</th>
<th>Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VC (%)</td>
<td>75.16 ± 6.25*</td>
<td>87.34 ± 7.54</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MVV (L/min)</td>
<td>81.24 ± 4.88*</td>
<td>98.15 ± 8.69</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SaO₂ (%)</td>
<td>90.57 ± 4.12*</td>
<td>95.86 ± 4.53</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VO₂max</td>
<td>31.18 ± 2.41*</td>
<td>37.27 ± 2.14</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RR (breath/min.)</td>
<td>25.23 ± 2.67*</td>
<td>20.13 ± 2.19</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VC: Vital Capacity; MVV: Maximum Voluntary Ventilation; SaO₂: Arterial Oxygen Saturation; VO₂max: Maximum Oxygen Consumption; RR: Respiratory Rate; (*) indicates a significant difference, P < 0.05.

Table 3: Mean value and significance of VC, MVV, SaO₂, VO₂max and RR of group (B) before and at the end of the study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Before</th>
<th>After</th>
<th>Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VC (%)</td>
<td>74.38 ± 7.21</td>
<td>73.25 ± 7.22</td>
<td>P &gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MVV (L/min)</td>
<td>80.26 ± 8.13</td>
<td>79.94 ± 8.11</td>
<td>P &gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SaO₂ (%)</td>
<td>91.23 ± 4.96</td>
<td>91.12 ± 4.98</td>
<td>P &gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VO₂max</td>
<td>30.85 ± 2.46</td>
<td>30.57 ± 2.46</td>
<td>P &gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RR (breath/min.)</td>
<td>25.41 ± 2.52</td>
<td>26.18 ± 2.54</td>
<td>P &gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VC: Vital Capacity; MVV: Maximum Voluntary Ventilation; SaO₂: Arterial Oxygen Saturation; VO₂max: Maximum Oxygen Consumption; RR: Respiratory Rate; P < 0.05.

Table 4: Mean value and significance of VC, MVV, SaO₂, VO₂max and RR of group (A) & (B) at the end of the study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Group (A)</th>
<th>Group (B)</th>
<th>Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VC (%)</td>
<td>87.34 ± 7.54*</td>
<td>73.25 ± 7.22</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MVV (L/min)</td>
<td>98.15 ± 8.69*</td>
<td>79.94 ± 8.11</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SaO₂ (%)</td>
<td>95.86 ± 4.53*</td>
<td>91.12 ± 3.98</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VO₂max</td>
<td>37.27 ± 2.14*</td>
<td>30.57 ± 2.46</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RR (breath/min.)</td>
<td>20.13 ± 2.19*</td>
<td>26.18 ± 2.54</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VC: Vital Capacity; MVV: Maximum Voluntary Ventilation; SaO₂: Arterial Oxygen Saturation; VO₂max: Maximum Oxygen Consumption; RR: Respiratory Rate; (*) indicates a significant difference between the two groups, P < 0.05.

IV. Discussion

This study was designed to determine the effect of pulmonary rehabilitation program on pulmonary function and exercise tolerance in subjects with Down syndrome. The same therapist and technique before the study and after 2 months of the study measured all the parameters of evaluation. It was reported that, subjects with DS have reduced peak and sub-maximal exercise capacity [23]. Our results proved that VC, MVV, SaO₂ and RR significantly improved at the end of the study because of the pulmonary rehabilitation program and these results are in agreement with some previous studies as well. While, Weiner et al. concluded in their study on comparative study between the effects of inspiratory muscle training combined with bicycle ergometer training with bicycle ergometer training alone on inspiratory muscle performance and general exercise capacity,
both training regimens increased maximal power output and oxygen intake, but the improvement was greater in the patients with inspiratory muscle training [24]. However, Normandin et al. proved that exercise training on treadmill, 3 sessions every week for 2 months improved twelve-minute walking test, MVV and VC in their population of study [25]. The explanation for the pulmonary function improvement after the rehabilitation program was based on mechanical bases as the improvement in VC, MVV, SaO₂ and VO₂max, mainly due to increase in thoracic cage diameters; vertical, lateral and anterior-posterior diameters of the chest[26]. Meanwhile, the improvement in VC mainly indicated an increase in the respiratory muscle mechanical efficiency to generate power and to inspire more deeply. However, improved efficiency and strength of the respiratory muscles are the underlying mechanism for the improvement in MVV value [27].

Regarding VO₂max, our results revealed that exercise tolerance among DS subjects improved significantly following the pulmonary rehabilitation program which is in agreement with Strasseles et al. They mentioned that application of lower limb exercise training and respiratory muscles training led to improvement in quality of life as a result of control of dyspnöea [28]. In addition, Mahler mentioned that lower extremity exercise training was shown to control of dyspnöea and improved exercise tolerance. Improved lactate threshold and oxidative activity of the skeletal muscles along with dyspnöea control are the possible mechanisms for reduced severity of breathlessness and improved exercise capacity that enable patients to perform higher levels of work [27]. However Belman stated that, evaluation of exercise training for one hour, three times a week for eight weeks showed that limb training was limb specific. Thus, it was only in the group that trained with the upper extremity, in which the upper extremity endurance increased, while walk distance improved in the lower limb trained group. The walk distance improved in the lower limb trained group and the combination trained group showed improvements in both upper and lower limbs endurance [29]. Moreover, Tomohiro concluded that, moderate intensity exercise had a significant increase in VO₂ max [30]. While, Tsimaras and colleagues were the first researchers who documented the significant improvement in the VO₂max among adults with DS as a result of aerobic exercise training of three/sessions every week for 3 months [31]. In addition, Rimmer et al. reported that 3 months of a combined exercise program similarly improved VO₂max among adults with DS [32]. Moreover, significant increase in VO₂max is related to improvement in respiratory function as vital capacity, inspiratory reserve volume and expiratory reserve volume and also stroke volume of the heart increased by regular exercises. This respiratory adaptation facilitates of oxygen supply to the tissues adds further evidence to the improvement of respiratory fitness [33].

V. Conclusion

The results of the present study concludes that, pulmonary rehabilitation program improves the respiratory functions and exercise tolerance in subjects with Down syndrome.
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