A Prospective Study on Cholelithiasis and Its Complications

Dr. K. Srinivasa Rao ¹, Dr. K. Ravi ²

Abstract: The aim of the present study is to comprehensively study and evaluate the common etiological factors, types of clinical presentations and ultrasonography findings in Cholelithiasis, various reasons for diversion in the treatment (other than laparoscopic cholecystectomy, which is the gold standard), and to study the postoperative complications (if any) and incidence of various types of gallstones. Patients and methods: This hospital based prospective study includes 100 consecutive cases diagnosed with cholelithiasis admitted in Siddhartha Medical College and General Hospital between October 2015 to October 2017. Results: Increased incidence of cholelithiasis in the 5th and 6th decade. Female to male ratio is 3:2. Diabetes was noted as a risk factor in 44% of patients. 96 patients were reported as having chronic cholecystitis, 4 patients had acute cholecystitis and no evidence of rupture or gangrene or malignancy was noted. The operating room time for open cholecystectomy was 60-120 min withan average of 90 min and laparoscopic cholecystectomy was 40-100 min withan average of 70 min. The conversion rate from laparoscopic to open cholecystectomy was 4%. Bile duct injury was the most common complication, followed by wound infection. Conclusion: Majority of patients with cholelithiasis were females, age in the 5th decade with pain as most common presenting symptom and tenderness in right hypochondrium as most common sign. One should not hesitate to convert to open cholecystectomy if significant adhesions or inflammation are identified during laparoscopy. Subcostal incision was the most common incision used for open cholecystectomy. The pain and duration of hospital stay was less and patients can be discharged quickly when laparoscopic cholecystectomy was done.

Keywords: Cholelithiasis, Laparoscopic cholecystectomy, Open cholecystectomy, Postoperative complications

Date of Submission: 29 -07-2017 Date of acceptance: 30-09-2017

I. Introduction

The prevalence of gallbladder stone varies widely in different parts of the world. In India, it is estimated to be around 4%. An epidemiological study restricted to rail road workers showed that north Indians have 7 times higher occurrence of gall stones as compared to south Indians [1].

There has been a marked increase in the incidence of the gall stones in the west during the past century [2]. In the United States, the third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES III) has revealed an overall prevalence of gall stones of 7.9% in men and 16.6% in women [3]. It is estimated that atleast 20 million persons in the United States have gall stones and that approximately 1 million new cases of cholelithiasis develop each year. Prevalence in Europe is 18.5% from the autopsy studies with the lowest prevalence from Ireland [5%] and the highest from Sweden [38%]. In Australia, the prevalence rate varies from 15% to 25%. Highest prevalence is in Pima Indian tribe of Arizona, with total and female prevalence of 49% and 73% respectively [2, 4]. Gall stones are rare in Africa with prevalence of less than 1% and in Japan it has been increased from 2% to 5% [2].

Diagnosis of gall stone is by proper history and physical examination and combining it with appropriate investigation which varies from surgeon to surgeon and hospital to hospital and country to country. Changing incidence in India is mainly attributed to westernization and availability of investigations, mainly ultrasound, to urban as well as rural areas, and also because of increased affordability due to change in the socioeconomic structure and the cost of investigations. Because of increase in incidence of gall stones and its variable presentations in India as well as in the west, there is great need for a study which can provide the information regarding the prevalence of the disease, various clinical presentations and management, and outcomes of cholelithiasis.

II. Aims And Objectives

The aim of the present study is to comprehensively study and evaluate the common etiological factors, types of clinical presentations and ultrasonography findings in Cholelithiasis, various reasons for diversion in the treatment (other thanlaparoscopic cholecystectomy, which is the gold standard), and to study the

DOI: 10.9790/0853-1612070104 www.iosrjournals.org 1 | Page

¹ First Author M.S. [General Surgery] Associate Professor in Dept. of General Surgery, GGH, Vijayawada, A.P., India

² Corresponding Author M.S. [General Surgery] Assistant Professor in Dept. of General Surgery, GGH, Vijayawada, A.P., India

postoperative complications (if any) and incidence of various types of gallstones.

III. Materials And Methods

3.1 Source Of Data: Patients diagnosed with cholelithiasis admitted in Siddhartha Medical College and General Hospital between October 2015 to October 2017 (2 years).

3.2 Stdy Design: Hospital based prospective study.

3.3 Inclusion Criteria:

All patients diagnosed with cholelithiasis by ultrasonography and patients with stones both in the gallbladder and common bile duct.

3.4 Exclusion Criteria:

Patients with acalculous cholecystitis, age below 18 years, Primary CBD stones without stones in the gallbladder, comorbid conditions like cardiac disease and renal failure and associated congenital malformations of the biliary tree were all excluded from the study.

3.5method Of Collection Of Data:

About 100 consecutive cases were admitted, examined, investigated andoperated during the period of October 2015 to October 2017. Detailed history of all the cases was taken according to a pre-approved proforma.Information regarding the age, religion, socio economic status, nature of thesymptoms, duration of the symptoms, past history of similar complaints, dietaryhistory, history of OCP intake, alcohol ingestion, and diabetes was obtained. Allpatients underwent detailed examination. Investigations included werehaemogram, ECG, LFT, blood sugar, blood urea, serum creatinine, urineanalysis, blood grouping, chest x-ray, and ultrasound scan of the abdomen.Patients with stones in the CBD underwent pre-operative ERCP with stenting.Relevant investigations and speciality consultations were taken for patients withassociated medical illness and their control was achieved.Risk and complications of the condition as well as surgery has been explained to the patients, consent for surgery and for inclusion in the present study was taken.

3.6 Surgical Procedure:

As this is a tertiary centre, all patients were subjected tolaparoscopic cholecystectomy, unless otherwise complicated. All operationswere performed by consultant surgeons. All operations were done underGeneral Anaesthesia.Laboratory results, operative findings, requirement for conversion toopen cholecystectomy, operating time, intra-operative and post-operativecomplications and length of hospital stay were recorded. All patients were administered NSAID's and anti-emetics as required.Patients were allowed liquids once bowel sounds returned. They were discharged from the hospital once they were fully mobilized and pain relief wasadequate.Data was collected prospectively and included in patient's demographics. Patients were encouraged to resume work and normal dailyactivity as soon as possible. Evaluation of return to normal work was madeduring an OPD appointment 4 weeks after surgery.

IV. Observations And Results

The present study is a hospital based prospective study, which included a total of 100 cases that were studied over a period of 2 years, and were treated on inpatient basis at Government General Hospital, Vijayawada from October 2015 to October 2017. There is an increased incidence of cholelithiasis in the 5th and 6th decade withthe peak in the 5th decade. In the present study, the youngest patient was 15 years oldand the oldest patient was 72 years old.60 patients were female and 40 patients were male. The present study showed gallstone disease was a common problem in female population. The female to male ratio is 3:2.

Table 1: Distribution of Risk Factors among Patients in Present Study

RISK FACTORS	NO. OF CASES	%
Obesity (BMI > 32)	14	14
Diabetes Mellitus	44	44
Estrogen Supplements	2	2
Cirrhosis of Liver	2	2
Sickle cell disease	3	3
Total	65	65

Table 2: Distribution of Operative Complications among Patients in Present Study

Intra operative Complications	Open Cholecystectomy	Lap Cholecystectomy	Total
Bile Duct injury	1	2	3
Hollow Visceral injury	0	1	1
Post-operative Complications	Open Cholecystectomy	Lap Cholecystectomy	Total
Post-operative Complications Wound Infection	Open Cholecystectomy 1	Lap Cholecystectomy 1	Total 2

Retained Stone	0	0	0
Bile Leak	1	0	1
Prolonged ileus	0	0	0
Pulmonary Embolism and Death	0	1	1
Total complications	3	5	8

1 patient was suspected to have pulmonary embolism and died on the 3rdpost-operative day.On Histopathological examination, 96 patients were reported as having chronic cholecystitis, 4 patients had acute cholecystitis and no evidence of rupture or gangrene or malignancy was noted. Bile culture showed no growth in 70 patients, E-coli in 16, Klebsiella in 4,Staphylococcus aureus in 2 and Pseudomonas in 2 patients. Post-operative length of stay was 7 days for open cholecystectomy and 3days for laparoscopic cholecystectomy.

V. Discussion

In this study, cases were in between 18-79 years. There was an increased incidence in the 5th & 6th decade with maximum incidence in the 5th decade. Similar incidence was seen in the studies of Herman et al⁵which showed peak incidence in 5th decade. Pain was the predominant symptom in the present study with 98%. The commonest site of pain was in the right hypochondrium, & the next commonest site was epigastrium.

PRESENTING SYMPTOMS	PRESENT STUDY	%	ALOK SHARMA SERIES ⁶	%	GANEY SERIES ⁷	%
Pain	98	98	58	100	987	95
Nausea/ Vomiting	56	56	48	82.8	576	55.6
Jaundice	14	14	3	5.17	101	10
Dyspepsia	24	24	5	8.62	222	21
Fever	Q	Q	NΙΛ	NΛ	02	0

Table 3: Comparison of Presenting Symptoms with Various Researchers

Tenderness in the right hypochondrium was present in 96 patients, guarding was present in 30 patients. A positive Murphy's sign was present in 14patients. A mass was felt in 8 patients. The mass could be due to distention of gallbladder or adherent omentum overlaying the inflamed gallbladder. In the present study, tenderness was the commonest sign.

Table 4. Comparison of Citrasonography findings with Various researchers				
USG FINDING	PRESENT STUDY	%	ALOK SHARMA ⁶ SERIES	%
Stones in GB	100	100	57	98.3
Solitary Stone	24	24	15	26.3
2. Multiple Stones	76	76	42	73.7
Bile duct stones	14	14	3	5.2
Thickening of GB	80	80	10	17.2
Dilated Bile duct	12	12	3	5.2
Mass	8	8	1	1.7

 Table 4: Comparison of Ultrasonography findings with Various researchers

In the present study, all patients underwent laparoscopic procedureunless otherwise complicated. 96 patients underwent laparoscopiccholecystectomy successfully, of which 4 of them had additional open CBDexploration and T tube cholangiography. The conversion rate from laparoscopic to open cholecystectomywas 4%, which was similar to studies of Scott et al⁸(4.3%) and 7% in Schlumpf et al⁹, The reasons for conversion were bile duct injury in 2 cases, duodenalperforation in 1 case and dense adhesions resulting from severe inflammation in 1 case.

The most common incision used in open cholecystectomy was rightsub-costal incision, which was used in 6 patients, 2 patients were operated through right Para median incision and none through right transverse or uppermidline incisions. In 7 cases, duct first method was done & in 1 patient, fundusfirst method was done. The reason for fundus first method was denseadhesions. The duct first method was the method of choice. Intra operatively, in 3 cases gall bladder were distended. Among them in 1 case, omentum was present over the gall bladder.

In the present study, the common bile duct was explored in 4 patients and stones were retrieved. In all 4 patients CBD was closed with T—tubedrainage. The operating room time for open cholecystectomy was 60-120 min with an average of 90 min and laparoscopic cholecystectomy was 40-100 min with an average of 70 min, In the present study 90 % had mixed stones & 8 % had cholesterol stones, 2% had pigment stones, which was similar to the studies of S.N. Mathur et al. 10

VI. Conclusion

- 1. The highest incidence of cholelithiasis was in the 5th decade, even thoughno age group was exempt from the disease.
- 2. The incidence of cholelithiasis was more in females.
- 3. The commonest symptom was pain abdomen.
- 4. The commonest sign was tenderness in the right hypochondrium.
- 5. Ultrasonography was the imaging modality of choice.
- 6. Diabetes was the most common risk factor.
- 7. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy was the procedure of choice, unlessotherwise complicated.
- 8. The most common cause of conversion to open procedure was bile ductinjury followed by hollow viscus injury
- 9. One should not hesitate to convert to open cholecystectomy if significantadhesions or inflammation are identified during laparoscopy.
- 10. Subcostal incision was the most common incision used for opencholecystectomy.
- 11. Bile duct injury was the most common complication, followed by woundinfection.
- 12. The pain and duration of hospital stay was less and patients can be discharged quickly when laparoscopic cholecystectomy was done.
- 13. Not even a single case of gallbladder had carcinomatous changes on HPE, even though a clear relationship was described in most of the references.

References

- [1]. Rakesh Tendon., "Diseases of gallbladder and biliary tract API Text of Medicine", Dr. Siddharth N Shah, 7 th edition 2003, pp.642-644.
- [2]. Laura M. Stinton, Eldon A. Shaffer., "Epidemiology of Gallbladderdisease: Cholelithiasis and Cancer", Gut and Liver, Apl 2012 volume 6,pp. 172-187.
- [3]. Norton J. Greenberger, Gustav Paumgartner., "Harrison's Principles ofInternal Medicine", Kasper, Braunwald, Mc graw hill Pub., 19th edition2015, pp. 2075-2082.
- [4]. Gabriel E. Njeze., "Gallstones", Nigerian Journal of Surgery, Jul-Dec 2013 volume 19, pp. 49–55.
- [5]. Herman R E., "Biliary disease in the aging patients", New York Masson, 1983, pp. 227-232.
- [6]. Major Alok Sharma., "Towards a safer cholecystectomy the fundus toporta approach", Indian Journal of Surgery, June 1997, pp. 141-145.
- [7]. Ganey JB, Johnson PA Jr, Prillaman PE, McSwain GR., "Cholecystectomy: Clinical experience with a large series", The American Journal of Surgery, 1986 volume 3, pp. 352-7.
- [8]. Scott, Thomas R. M.D.; Zucker, Karl A. M.D., F.A.C.S.; Bailey, Robert W. M.D. 'Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy: A Review of 12,397 Patients', Surgical Laparoscopy Endoscopy & Percutaneous Techniques: September 1992 – Vol 2 – Issue 3 – pp 191-198
- [9]. P Klotz, H & Schlumpf, Rolf & Largiadèr, F. (1993). [Laparoscopic cholecystectomy: results and complications--remaining indications for open cholecystectomy]. Therapeutische Umschau. Revue thérapeutique. 50. 577-81.
- [10]. S N Mathur, R K Sharma, Anjali Soni., "Bile composition and culture, andstone analysis in patients of cholelithiasis", Indian Journal of Surgery, April 1990 volume 52, pp. 31-34.

Dr. K. Srinivasa Rao . "A Prospective Study on Cholelithiasis and Its Complications." IOSR Journal of Dental and Medical Sciences (IOSR-JDMS) 16.12 (2017): 01-04