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Background And Aims: The transversusabdominis plane block is a new rapidly expanding regional anesthesia technique that provide analgesia of Anterior abdominal wall surgeries. TransversusAbdominis Plane block significantly reduces pain associated with lower abdominal surgeries. It may be used as primary anesthesia or Post operative analgesia. The present study aims to “Comparative study of 0.2% Ropivacaine Versus 0.2% Ropivacaine with Dexmedetomidine in Ultrasound guided TAP block for Post operative Analgesia in Unilateral lower abdominal surgeries”.
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Methods: The present study on “comparision between 0.2% Ropivacaine versus 0.2% Ropivacaine with Dexmedetomidine in ultrasound Guided TransversusAbdominis Plane Block for post operative Analgesia in Unilateral Lower Abdominal surgeries” was conducted in the Department of Anaesthesiology & Critical Care, M.L.N. Medical college, Allahabad, U.P., India. Patient were explained the purpose of study along with the procedure and thereafter a legal valid, informed and written consent was taken from the all patient undergoing study. Our study has total 60 patient of more than 18 years of age and ASA Class I & II of both male and female sex divided into two groups of 30 patients each. Patients conducted under General Anaesthesia. After the completed unilateral lower abdominal surgery they were given Ultrasound Guided TransversusAbdominis Plane block for post operative analgesia.

Results: TAP block is very good for postoperative analgesia and also in the term of side effects complication. Addition of adjuvant as Dexmedetomidine in Ropivacaine increase the onset quality and duration of analgesia compare to Ropivacaine alone.

Conclusion: We concluded from this study that although both Ropivacaine and Ropivacaine with Dexmedetomidine in USG guided TAP block are associated with minimum haemodynamic change, haemodynamic stability are better in Ropivacaine with Dexmedetomidine. TAP block is very good for postoperative analgesia and also in the term of side effects complication. Addition of adjuvant as Dexmedetomidine in Ropivacaine increase the onset quality and duration of analgesia compare to Ropivacaine alone. As there are few literature available on TAP block as a sole analgesic, further studies are required to substantiate the above finding.

I. Introduction

The transversusabdominis plane block is a new rapidly expanding regional anesthesia technique that provide analgesia of Anterior abdominal wall surgeries. TransversusAbdominis Plane block significantly reduces pain associated with lower abdominal surgeries. It may be used as primary anesthesia or Post operative analgesia. There are various anesthesia option for inguinal hernia repair surgery like Spinal Anaesthesia, Epidural Anaesthesia or General Anaesthesia. Pain has been found to be one of the most common cause of delayed discharge after surgery. Others being drowsiness and nausea/vomiting. Despite this overwhelming rationale for effective post operative pain control, Inguinal hernia repair is commonly performed under spinal anaesthesia sedation or general anaesthesia with an ilioinguinal/iliohypogastric nerve (INH) block or surgical field infiltration with a long-acting local anaesthetic (LA) agent. General Anaesthesia may provide some benefits over Regional Anaesthesia, patient is in hemodynamic control and easy to access VAS in post operative period. The Neuraxial block Residual Analgesia may interfere with the result of study.

Epidural anesthesia is an attractive choice less hemodynamic changes are observed. But in old age patient hypotension and other hemodynamic changes are often observed as autonomic nervous system response is diminished with aging sympathetic block with epidural anesthesia cannot be controlled.
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The abdominal surgeries may be open or laparoscopic are associated with significant post operative pain. For Post operative Analgesia various method have been used. They are Paracetamol, parenteral opioids, NSAIDS, Dermal patches, patient control analgesia and epidural Analgesia. Transversus abdominis plane block is a relatively newer and novel approach of injecting local anesthetics into the plane between the internal oblique and transversus abdominis muscle for analgesia and it was first described by Kuppervelumane et al. In 1993 and was firmly documented by Rafi in 2001. Transversus abdominis plane block has been found to be safe and effective in a variety of General, Gynecological, Urological, Plastic and Pediatric lower abdominal surgeries. USG Guided TAP block is used to approach and block the abdominal wall neural afferents. The sensory supply of the skin, muscle and parietal peritoneum of the anterior abdominal wall is derived from the anterior rami of lower six thoracic nerves and first lumbar nerve. The intercostal, subcostal, iliohypogastric, ilioinguinal course through lateral abdominal wall within the TAP before they pierce the musculature to innervate the abdomen. The Transversus Abdominis plane block can be done either pre-operative or post-operative. The procedure must be done under proper aseptic condition.

Ropivacaine is a long acting local anaesthetic drugs used widely in modern anaesthetic practice. As per Manufacturer Safety Guidelines for Infiltration Anaesthesia, high doses of Ropivacaine is safe as compared to other drugs. Prolongation of the analgesic effect and duration of block can be achieved by adding adjuvant like adrenaline, ketamine, clonidine, dexmetetomidine, etc. It was observed that adjuvant can be added to local anesthetics having pharmacodynamic and pharmacokinetic interactions with local anesthetics, it increases their efficacy, thereby increasing quality and duration of block without increasing the dose of local anaesthetic drug above safe limit. It act by Variety of receptors mediated Nociception and peripheral sensory axons and the peripheral administration of appropriate drugs may have analgesic benefit and reduced systemic adverse effects. Post operative pain causes increased morbidity and hospital stay. Commonly used intravenous analgesics are opioids, Paracetamol, NSAIDS, and patient controlled Analgesia. Opioids used in moderate to severe pain, provide good pain control but produces various side effects like respiratory depression, consciousness level, confusion, nausea vomiting and constipation. They are more troublesome in elderly patients. Tramadol, a weak opioid, produces lesser side effect compared to morphine, a strong opioid. Diclofenac is most commonly used NSAID, useful in moderate pain but having risk of renal failure especially in elderly and dehydrated patient. Intravenous paracetamol is useful in mild to moderate pain, but have a potential hepatotoxic in overdose, should be avoided in patient with hepatic compromise.

The Transversus Abdominis plane (TAP) block is a relatively new Regional Anaesthesia technique that provides analgesia to the parietal peritoneum as well as the skin and muscles of the anterior abdominal wall. It has a high margin of safety and is technically simple to perform, especially under ultrasound guidance. TAP block can preserve bladder and lower limb motor function thereby allowing early mobilization after surgery. A growing body of evidence supports the use of TAP blocks for a variety of abdominal procedures, yet widespread adoption of this therapeutic adjunct has been slow. In part, this may be related to the limited sources for anaesthesiologists to develop an application. First described just a decade ago, it has undergone several modifications, which highlighted its potential utility for an increasing array of surgical procedures. Despite a relatively low risk of complication and a high success rate using modern techniques, Transversus Abdominis Plane blocks remains overwhelmingly underutilized.

There are no literature available on comparative study between 0.2% Ropivacaine versus 0.2% Ropivacaine with 0.5 mg/kg Dexmedetomidine in Transversus Abdominis Plane block. In present study, these two drugs were compared in terms of safety, efficacy, quality and duration for unilateral lower abdominal surgery of average built patients.

II. Material And Methods

The present study on “Comparision Between 0.2% Ropivacaine versus 0.2% Ropivacaine with Dexmedetomidine in ultrasound Guided Transversus Abdominis Plane Block for post operative Analgesia in Unilateral Lower Abdominal surgeries” was conducted in the Department of Anaesthesiology & Critical Care, M.L.N. Medical college, Allahabad, U.P., India. Patient were explained the purpose of study along with the procedure and thereafter a legal valid, informed and written consent was taken from the all patient undergoing study.

Our study has total 60 patient of more than 18 years of age and ASA Class I & II of both male and female sex divided into two groups of 30 patients each. Patients conducted under General Anaesthesia. After the completed unilateral lower abdominal surgery they were given Ultrasound Guided Transversus Abdominis Plane block for post operative analgesia.

The Exclusion Criteria From The Study:
1. Patient not given consent
2. Patient with known hypersensitivity to local anesthetic drugs.
3. Bleeding disorder
4. Uncontrolled co-morbidity like diabetic mellitus, hypersensitivity.
5. Sepsis at the site of injection.
7. Patient having cardio-respiratory illness.
8. Patient having metabolic disorder.

Routine instigations like complete blood count, urine examination, bleeding time, clotting time, chest x-ray PA view, electrocardiogram and other relevant investigations were done in all patients preoperatively. All patients were randomly allocated into two groups, 30 members in each. Group R: Patients undergoing Unilateral lower abdominal surgery is given 20 ml Ropivacaine 0.2% with 1 ml normal saline (total 21 ml) to that side through Ultrasound Guided Transversus Abdominis plane block for post operative analgesia. Group D: Patients undergoing Unilateral lower abdominal surgery is given 20 ml Ropivacaine 0.2% with 0.5 mcg / kg Dexmedetomidine (total 21 ml) to that side in Ultrasound Guided Transversus Abdominis Plane block for post operative analgesia.

The patients were assessed thoroughly and explained about the anaesthetic procedure in preoperative room, good I.V. access secured and Intravenous Fluid started. Thereafter patient were shifted to operation theater and all monitoring devices were attached viz device measuring noninvasive blood pressure, ECG and SpO2, appropriate function of ventilator machine were assessed & patient is prepared for General Anaesthesia. Premedication with Inj. Midazolam 0.01 mg/kg i.v. with Inj. Glycopyrrolate 0.01 mg/kg i.v. Preoxygenation for 3 minutes and then induction with Inj. Propofol 2.0 mg/kg i.v. is done. After induction, patient is given muscle relaxant Succinylcholine 2 mg/kg iv to obtain ideal intubating condition and patient is intubated with cuffed endotracheal tube, position of the tube is confirmed with auscultation & tube is fixed. Intermittent positive pressure ventilation is started & loading dose of non-depolarising muscle relaxant vecuronium (0.05 mg/kg) i.v. is given.

Anaesthesia is maintained with Oxygen and Nitrous oxide with inhalational anaesthetic agent (Isoflurane) and long acting Non-depolarising type muscle relaxant Vecuronium (0.01 mg/kg). During intraoperative period, patient received 10 mg ondansetron for postoperative nausea and vomiting. After completion of surgery, patient is reversed with appropriate dose of Neostigmine (0.05 mg/kg) with Glycopyrrolate (0.01 mg/kg) i.v. after thorough suctioning of oral cavity. Patient is extubated after complete reversal of patient. In Group R, patient is placed in lateral position, cleaning & draping is done and under all aseptic conditions, the ultrasound guided (SonoSite, micromaxx) transversusabdominis plane block is given.
The Technique: A linear ultrasound probe (Micromaxx L38e/5-10 MHZ) was placed transversely on abdomen between costal margin and iliac crest in the mid-axillary line on the side to be blocked. The probe were slid anteriorly or posteriorly and tilted as necessary in a cephalo-caudal direction until a clear optimized image of the three lateral abdominal muscle (namely external oblique, internal oblique and transversusabdominis from outside inwards) and transversusAbdominis plane were visualized. Changing the depth and gain was to achieve further optimization of the image. An 18G Tuohy needle was introduced from an anterio-medial position to a posterior and lateral direction using in-plane technique with entry point in the skin being 2cm away from the probe in order to improve needle visibility in the long axis. The needle trajectory proceeded in an anterio-posterior direction using in plane technique, with local anesthetic injection observed in real-time.
We used small dose first to confirm the transversus abdominis plane by observing the separation of fascia between internal oblique and transversus abdominis muscle. After confirming the transversus abdominis plane, in Group-R patient use 20 ml 0.2% Ropivacaine plus 1 ml normal saline (Total 21 ml) was injected in Real time.

In Group-D patient, using same technique with 20 ml 0.2% Ropivacaine with 0.5 mg/kg Dexmedetomidine (Total 21 ml) injected in plane. The above drugs will be used to compare the onset, quality and duration of postoperative analgesia in patients undergoing unilateral lower abdominal surgeries. Monitoring heart rate, ECG, blood pressure and SpO₂ continuously and recording were made preoperatively and intraoperatively at 5 minutes interval. All the patient were observed in postoperative recovery room for postoperative sedation (Ramsay sedation score from 1 to 6) all patient were assessed in post operative room for duration of analgesia up to 24 hour. The patient were assessed for pain based on VAS score. The patient were instructed how use a 10-mm visual Analogue scale for pain graded from 0 (no pain) to 10 (most severe pain).

Tramadol, 2 mg/kg i.v. was used as a rescue analgesic in patients who had VAS score >4 postoperatively. Comparability of groups was analyzed using student “t” test. For all statistical analysis, the value of P<0.05 was considered significant and value of P<0.001 was considered highly significant.

The observations were tabulated as follows:
1- Demographic data of patients (age, sex, weight, height).
2- Pulse rate.
3- Mean blood pressure.
4- Duration of surgery.
5- Onset of Analgesia (minute)
6- Ramsay sedation score
7- Visual analogue score postoperatively.
III. Results

Observation and Results

A total of 60 patients were selected in the study “comparison between 0.2% Ropivacaine versus 0.2% Ropivacaine with Dexmedetomidine in USG Guided TAP block for post operative analgesia in unilateral lower abdominal surgeries” comprising of 30 patients in each groups. Microsoft Excel 2010 and statistical software plug-ins used appropriate to test the significance of data. Data are being represented as mean± SD. A ‘p’ value of <0.05 was considered significant.

Table 1: Groupwise Distribution of Patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Drugs used in USG guided TAP block</th>
<th>Number of Patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>Ropivacaine</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Ropivacaine+Dexmedetomidine</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Sex Distribution amongst the two groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group R</th>
<th>Group D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bar Diagram -1 showing number of patients in two groups

Bar Diagram-2 showing sex distribution amongst the three groups
Table 3: Comparison of Age, Weight and Height in two groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographic Profile</th>
<th>Group R</th>
<th>Group D</th>
<th>p value (ANOVA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (yrs) (Mean±SD)</td>
<td>37.73±14.15</td>
<td>42.06±24.48</td>
<td>0.405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range (yrs)</td>
<td>18-60</td>
<td>24-60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wt. (kg) (Mean±SD)</td>
<td>61.7±8.48</td>
<td>64.5±5.87</td>
<td>0.142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range (kg)</td>
<td>48-80</td>
<td>50-74</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ht. (cm) (Mean±SD)</td>
<td>161.71±6.55</td>
<td>162.56±6.12</td>
<td>0.627</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range (cm)</td>
<td>150-172</td>
<td>150-175</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Inference:
Statistically (p>0.05) there was no difference in age of patients amongst the two groups.

Inference:
Statistically (p>0.05) there was no difference in weight of patients amongst the two groups.

Inference:
Statistically (p>0.05) there was no difference in height of patients amongst the two groups.
Inference: Statistically (p > 0.05) there was no difference in height of patients amongst the three groups.

**Table 4:** Distribution of patients according to duration of surgery in two Groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Duration of surgery (minutes) (Mean ± SD)</th>
<th>P Value (T test)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group R (n=30)</td>
<td>89.5±15.5</td>
<td>0.439</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group D (n=30)</td>
<td>88.6±13.21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As shown in Table 4, the mean duration of surgery between each group (p > 0.05) was statistically insignificant.

![Bar Diagram 4: Distribution of duration of surgery in two groups](image)

Inference: Statistically (p > 0.05) there was no difference in duration of surgery amongst the two groups.

**Table 5:** Mean Heart Rate (per minutes)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Heart rate (per minute)</th>
<th>Group R (n=30)</th>
<th>Group D (n=30)</th>
<th>P Value (ANOVA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>80.1±11.8</td>
<td>85.2±14.6</td>
<td>0.135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 minute</td>
<td>87.6±7.54</td>
<td>84.06±8.99</td>
<td>0.104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 minute</td>
<td>83.2±8.84</td>
<td>80.5±10.57</td>
<td>0.231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 minute</td>
<td>83.4±8.74</td>
<td>80.76±8.45</td>
<td>0.239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Hours</td>
<td>82.9±8.98</td>
<td>82.1±8.78</td>
<td>0.728</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Hours</td>
<td>83.7±8.88</td>
<td>80.86±9.47</td>
<td>0.236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Hours</td>
<td>87.8±9.53</td>
<td>82.26±9.22</td>
<td>0.540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Hours</td>
<td>85.6±10.2</td>
<td>81.83±9.27</td>
<td>0.140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 Hours</td>
<td>89.6±10.53</td>
<td>87.15±9.78</td>
<td>0.350</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5 shows the mean changes in heart rate of patients during intra operative period. The parameters had P > 0.05 which is statistically insignificant.

![Bar Diagram 5 - Comparison of mean heart rate between two Groups](image)

*Inference: Statistically (p > 0.05) there was no difference in mean heart rate of patients amongst the two groups.*
There is no statistically significant difference in heart rate after giving TAP block upto 12 hrs in Group R. There is increased heart rate after 12 hrs of TAP block but not significant. There is no statistically significant difference in heart rate after giving TAP block upto 24 hrs in Group D.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Arterial Pressure (mm Hg)</th>
<th>Group R (n=30)</th>
<th>Group D (n=30)</th>
<th>P Value (ANOVA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>87.5±2.78</td>
<td>88.7±3.03</td>
<td>0.115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 minute</td>
<td>92.8±7.32</td>
<td>94.0±6.18</td>
<td>0.485</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 minute</td>
<td>84.2±49.53</td>
<td>86.5±6.60</td>
<td>0.294</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 minute</td>
<td>81.4±8.64</td>
<td>81.2±3.03</td>
<td>0.905</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Hours</td>
<td>83.3±8.43</td>
<td>82.3±3.99</td>
<td>0.559</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Hours</td>
<td>80.4±9.33</td>
<td>80.4±7.78</td>
<td>0.370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Hours</td>
<td>87.9±9.08</td>
<td>85.0±7.23</td>
<td>0.181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Hours</td>
<td>88.5±8.24</td>
<td>80.4±8.37</td>
<td>0.332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 Hours</td>
<td>86.6±6.97</td>
<td>89.0±6.87</td>
<td>0.118</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MAP – Mean Arterial Pressure  
SBP – Systolic Blood Pressure  
DBP – Diastolic Blood Pressure

Table 6 shows the changes in mean arterial pressure of patients during intra operative period. The parameters had p> 0.05 which is statistically insignificant.

*Inference: Statistically (p>0.05) there was no difference in mean arterial pressure of patients amongst the two groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N = 30</th>
<th>Group R</th>
<th>Group D</th>
<th>P Value (ANOVA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD (min)</td>
<td>11.03 ± 2.45</td>
<td>8.67 ± 1.51</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range (min)</td>
<td>8–15</td>
<td>7 - 12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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*Inference: Statistically (p<0.001) there was difference in onset of analgesia of patients amongst the two groups.

In Group R onset time of analgesia is more than D is statically more significant.

**Table 8:** Comparison of duration of Analgesia in two groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group R</th>
<th>Group D</th>
<th>P Value (ANOVA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD (Hours)</td>
<td>20.8 ± 4.62</td>
<td>24.03 ± 5.02</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range (Hours)</td>
<td>12 - 26</td>
<td>12 - 32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Inference:** Statistically (p<0.001) there was HIGHLY SIGNIFICANT difference in Duration of analgesia (min) amongst the two groups.

**Table 9:** Comparison of VAS Score in two groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group R</th>
<th>Group D</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>1.2 ±0.80</td>
<td>0.90 ± 0.607</td>
<td>0.106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Hours</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Hours</td>
<td>1.90 ±0.12</td>
<td>1.43 ±0.89</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 Hours</td>
<td>3.06 ±1.31</td>
<td>2.30 ±1.235</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Diagram 9A showing VAS Scoring in the two groups at 8 hours**
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Inference: Statistically (p>0.05) there was no SIGNIFICANT difference in VAS score amongst the two groups at 8 hours.

Inference: Statistically (p>0.05) there was no SIGNIFICANT difference in VAS score amongst the two groups at 12 hours.

Inference: Statistically (p<0.05) there was SIGNIFICANT difference in VAS score amongst the two groups at 24 hours.
Table 10: Comparison of Ramsay Sedation Score

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sedation Score</th>
<th>Group R (n=30)</th>
<th>Group D (n=30)</th>
<th>P Value (ANOVA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 minutes</td>
<td>1.16 ± 0.37</td>
<td>1.96 ± 0.61</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 minutes</td>
<td>1.13 ± 0.34</td>
<td>1.93 ± 0.44</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 minutes</td>
<td>1.03 ± 0.18</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.57</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Hours</td>
<td>0.96 ± 0.18</td>
<td>1.13 ± 0.52</td>
<td>0.125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Hours</td>
<td>0.93 ± 0.253</td>
<td>0.83 ± 0.53</td>
<td>0.354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Hours</td>
<td>0.90 ± 0.30</td>
<td>0.56 ± 0.50</td>
<td>0.708</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Hours</td>
<td>0.73 ± 0.44</td>
<td>0.57 ± 0.46</td>
<td>0.174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 Hours</td>
<td>0.57 ± 0.46</td>
<td>0.67 ± 0.40</td>
<td>0.373</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There is more statically significant of duration of analgesia of two Group (p<0.05). Number of rescue analgesia more in R Group than D.

Table 11: Comparison of Total no. of Rescue Analgesics in 24 hrs in two groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N = 30</th>
<th>Group R</th>
<th>Group D</th>
<th>P Value (ANOVA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>3.13 ± 0.86</td>
<td>1.9 ± 1.14</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There is more statically significant of duration of analgesia of two Group (p<0.05). Number of rescue analgesia more in R Group than D.
IV. Discussion

Transversusabdominis plane (TAP) block is a new rapidly expanding regional anaesthetic technique that provide analgesia following abdominal surgery. TAP block significantly reduce pain associated with lower abdominal surgery, regardless of whether it is used as primary anaesthetic or for pain postoperatively. The TAP is used to approach and block the abdominal wall neural afferents. The sensory supply of the skin, muscles and parietal peritoneum of the anterior abdominal wall is derived from the anterior rami of the lower six thoracic nerves and the first lumber nerve. The intercostals, subcostal, ili hypogastric and ilioinguinal nerves course through the lateral abdominal wall within the TAP before they pierce the musculature to innervate the abdomen. There is extensive branching and between nerves within the TAP (8).

The use of ultrasound was introduced to improve the success rate and accuracy of TAP block and to prevent potential complications. The block is technically easier to perform in elderly patients on account of loss of muscle mass and tone. It may not be easy in obese patients, in whom the needle insertion point can be 2.5cm. behind the highest point of the iliac-crest, the distance from the skin to TAP can be long, and two ‘pop’ sensations may not be obvious.

In our study we compared the above two Drugs for efficacy, safety and postoperative analgesia in unilateral lower abdominal surgery in above 18-60 years of age of both sex.

The present study “comparision between 0.2% Ropivacaine versus 0.2% Ropivacaine with Dexmeditomidine in ultrasound guided Transversus Abdominis Plane block for post operative Analgesia in unilateral Lower abdominal surgeries” was conducted in the department of anaesthesiology Swaroop Rani Nehru Hospital, MLJ medical college, Allahabad, U.P., India. Our study had 60 patients of more than 18 years of age, posted for lower abdominal surgery that were randomly allocated into two groups.

**Group-R:** Consisted of 30 patients who received USG Guided TAP block with 20ml of 0.2% Ropivacaine.

**Group-D:** Consisted of 30 patients who received USG Guided TAP block with 20ml of 0.2% Ropivacaine with 0.5µgm/kg Dexmeditomidine.

Ropivacaine is long acting local anesthetic drug that is structurally related to bupivacaine, unlike bupivacaine which is racemate, Ropivacaine is a pure s enantiomer developed for the purpose of reducing the potential toxicity and improving the relative sensory and motor block profiles.

Analgesia efficacy of Ropivacaine is similar or slightly less than bupivacaine. Intrathecal or administered Ropivacaine as a part of combined spinal epidural technique produces rapid and effective in lothro pain relief with less incidence of motor block.

Shibata et al (2007) had performed transversusabdominis plane block under ultrasound guidance in patients undergoing gynecological surgeries. They assessed the extent of ultrasound guided TAP block by pinprick in 26 patients undergoing laparoscopic gynecological surgeries. The mean upper and lower level of sensory block at 30 min after local anesthetic injection were T10 (range, T9 –11) and L1 (range, T12 –L1), respectively, providing good post operative analgesia in patient of full length of midline incisions.

Our study dissimilar with above mention study, we observe the onset, quality and Duration of two drugs in group Rand D. each group has 30 patient block was given after finessed of unilateral lower abdominal surgery after general anesthesia. Time of Onset of analgesia in group D(8.67±1.51) is less than group R(11.03±2.45) where p<0.0001 is more significant.

K. O Connor et al (2010) reported that their 4 point TAP block was effective in managing pain, decreasing opioids consumption, safe alternative to neuroxial blockage in patient who are cogulopathic or patient who do not tolerate the haemodynamic after associated with sequelae of neuraxialsymapathectom.

In group-R of our study blood pressures increased but was non-significant. This rise in blood pressure could be attributed to anxiety, non usage of sedatives or inability to attained excellent quality of block. As the visceral pain is not relieved in TAP block, the quality of block is good to moderate and never excellent in surgeries involving visceral structures as in our case with inguinal hernia surgeries.

Nesek Adam et al (2011) conducted a prospective, randomized study to compare between unilateral and bilateral spinal anesthesia in hypertensive patients undergoing surgery for varicose veins, and found the mean time for peak onset of sensory block was 5.4±0.8 min in their unilateral group as compared to 5.1±0.8 min in bilateral group.

In group-R are of our study time to reach the highest time of onset of Analgesia was 11.03±2.45 min. compare to Group -D was 8.67±1.51 Thus the results of our study was comparable to the above studies. In the study of Nesek Adam et al (2011), for comparison between unilateral and bilateral spinal anesthesia, mean modified bromage scale was 2.5±0.6 min in unilateral and 2.4±0.6 min in bilateral group at 15 minute of block.
Thus result of our study different.

On statistical evaluation this difference was statistically significant (p value >0.5) at 0min, 30min, 1hrs., 2hrs., 4hrs, 8hrs, 12hrs after This rise in pulse rate may be attributed to many factors like anxiety, non usage of sedative or inability to achieve excellent grade of block with TAP block.In group-D pulse rate was lower compared to their pre-procedure value at all time interval measured. Pulse rate was gradually returned to pre-procedure values after 20 minutes. One patient (5%) showed bradycardia (HR <55/min) that was treated with 0.3mg of atropine IV bolus.

On statistical evaluation this difference was statistically not significant (p value >0.05) at 0 and at 30 minute Pulse rate compared between two groups (intergroup) showed that there was no significant difference in pulse rate (p>0.05) between two groups before procedure.In group-R Mean blood pressure were slightly higher as compared to group- D value but this difference was statistically not significant (p>0.05) at any time interval.comparison of mean blood pressure measured at 0mint, 30mint, 1hrs, 2hrs, 4hrs, 8hrs, 12hrs, 24hrs after surgery which were compared to their pre-procedure values.

Table-6 in group-R the mean blood pressure was slightly higher as compared to their pre-procedure value but not statistically significant at any time intervals. In group-D mean blood pressure decreased slightly compared to their pre-procedure value but statistically not significant at any time interval.Thus the results of our study was comparable to the above studies. time taken for first rescue analgesia is more in group-R(3.13±0.86) and D(1.90±1.14). On statistical evaluation this difference was highly significant (p value <0.001),shows Mean VAS score in first 24 hours in both groups immediately after surgery and at 0.30mint,1hrs,2hrs, 4, 8, 12, and 24 hours postoperatively. after 8hrs of surgery mean VAS score was more in group-R and maximum at 24 hours (3.06±1.31) in comparison to group-D (2.30±1.23) that was statistically significant (p<0.02). Iyad Abbas Salman et al (2012) have observed in their comparison between TAP block and parenteral analgesia post caesarean section that traditional treatment had in 1st 2 hours whereas TAP block was better thereafter.Our study different of this study. We compare the onset quality and duration of analgesia of two drugs of different group of same concentration.

FarzeenMirza et al (2013) presented a case series on “Transversusabdominis plane blocks for rescue analgesia following Caesarian delivery” and they observed that in 1st case after 20 minutes of TAP block, VAS score decreased from 9 to 2 and the patient’s next request for further analgesia was 12.3 hours after the block. In the 2nd case after 18 minutes of TAP block, VAS score decreased from 10 to 3 and the pt’s next request for further analgesia was10.3 hours. In the 3rd case, after 27 minutes of TAP block VAS score decreased from 9 to 2 and the duration of analgesia was 19.9 hour.

Our study nearly same of this study after TAP block compare the hemodynamic condition of group R and Group D .both groups patient has hemodynamically stable slightly increase in MAP and pulse rate in group R after 12 hours compare of group D .No nausea and vomiting has been reported.

SulagnaBhattacharjee et al (2014) use term Analgesic efficacy of TransversusAbdominis plane block in providing effective perioperative analgesia in patients undergoing total abdominal hysterectomy . a total 90 adult female patients ASA-1 and lnd were randomized to group B(n=45) receiving TAP block with 0.25% bupivacaine and Group-N (n=45) with normal saline followed by General Anesthesia. the hemodynamic responses surgical incision and consumption of intraoperative Fentanyl were noted.VAS were assessed at 1,2,3,4,5,6,and 24hours .fist rescue analgesia (when VAS>4cm or on demand) . Mean blood pressure was also compared between two groups (intergroup). Mean blood pressure were comparable in both groups significantly higher in Group-N. VAS at rest(3mm vs27mm) with activity (8mm vs 35mm) in group-B significantly lower compared to group N

In our study age ,sex,type of surgery and duration of surgery does not effect onset ,quality and duration of analgesia after TAP block. In Table-7 compare onset of analgesia group –R(11.03±2.45) and Group-D(8.67±1.51) Statistically p<0.001 more significant.Table -9b and 9c show VAS at 12 hr and 24 hrs at 24 hr VAS more in group R Than D.

Gildaso S. de Oliveira Jr. et al (2014) analyzed ten randomized clinical trials to evaluate the effects of TAP block compared with an inactive group (placebo or “no treatment”) on postoperative pain outcomes in laparoscopic surgery. They analyzed postoperative pain at rest and on movement and postoperative opioid consumption (up to 24 hours). These ten clinical trials included 633 patients (346 patients receiving TAP block and 287 patients on control group) who suffered laparoscopic surgeries (gastric bypass, bariatric surgery, colorectal surgery, cholecystectomy, hysterectomy and nephrectomy). The first thing analyzed was early (0−4 hours) pain at rest in 6 studies and early pain on movement in 4 studies. For the first (rest group) subgroup analysis revealed a greater effect on early pain at rest when TAP block was performed preoperatively, compared with postoperatively. The others 4 studies evaluating the effect of TAP block on early pain on movement compared with control did not show a significant benefit. For the late pain (24 hours) at movement, this study did not find a significant effect of TAP block compared with control groups. Postoperative opioid
consumption (up to 24 hours) was also significantly reduced. After their meta-analysis, TAP block has a significant effect in reduction postoperative pain (early and late pain at rest) and opioid consumption for laparoscopic surgical procedures, which suggests that this technique may be an effective strategy to improve analgesic outcomes. Another important finding of their investigations was that administration of TAP block preoperatively has more benefits than the postoperative one. They also detected a relationship between the local anesthetic dose and the effect on some outcomes – higher doses of local anesthetic did not lower early postoperative pain but it has greater opioid-sparing effects and lower pain scores at 24 hours.

Our study nearly same with some differences as we use TAP block after completion of surgery because may be Interferes result of study .Our study has better outcome in group D due to use of Dexmedetomidine with Ropivacaine than group-R (Ropivacaine ).in Table -11 Requirement rescue analgesia in group R(3.13±0.86) is more than group D(1.9±1.14) P<0.05 is statistically more significant Venkataraman et aljan 2016 (73) undergoing 60 patient inguinal hernioplasty of ASA-1 and ASA-2 of 18 to 60 years age group at the end of surgery ,they were randomly divided into two group .USG guided TAP block was performed with 20ml 0.2% ropivacaine(group A) or normal saline (group B) VAS is assessed . patient given iv paracetamol if VAS >3 and use tramadol vas >6 in dose 1.5mg/kg.

There was no statically significant difference in VAS score at 0, 2, and 24 hours. But VAS score were significantly less in group B at 4, 6, and 12 hours and given in Table 3. The time at which paracetamol was required was substantially longer in group A (439.50 minutes) than group B (233.50 minutes). The total paracetamol requirement in the first 24 hours were considerably less in group A(1.27±0.64 doses) than group B (2.53±0.68 doses). Similarly the tramadol requirement was more in group B (1.47±0.78 doses) than group A (0.6±0.49 doses).

There were no significant variations in heart rate, blood pressure, and oxygen saturation in both the groups. No complication was reported in both the groups.

Our study has inaccordance of this study in Table 3 and Table4 does not effect onset ,duration and quality of TAP block . Table 7 Onset of analgesia early in group D where p<0.001 is statistically more significant . Table 8 Duration of analgesia in Group R(20.8±4.62) than group D (24.03±5.02) where p<0.001 is statistically more significant. In Table -9 a,b,c showing VAS Scoring at 8,12 and 24 hours in group R and group D at 24 hour VAS more in group R (3.06±1.31) than group D (2.30±1.25) Where P<0.02 statistically more significant.show that requirement of rescue analgesia is more in group R.

**Dr.Prashant Rai, Dr.Devendra Singh Negi, Dr.S.K. Singh, Dr.Deepak Malviya (Feb2016)**

Atotal of 100 patients scheduled to undergo caesarean section were divided into two groups in a randomized double blinded way. In Group R (n = 50) patients will receive TAP block on each side using 22 ml of study medication, which will consist of 20 ml of ropivacaine 0.25% and 2 ml of normal saline. In Group RD (n = 50) patients will receive TAP block on each side with 22 ml, in which dexmedetomidine 0.5 mg/kg will be dissolved in 2 ml of normal saline and added to 20 ml of ropivacaine 0.25%. Time to administration of first dose of analgesic, total dose of rescue analgesia, pain scores, hemodynamic data and side-effects were recorded.

The first time for analgesic dose was longer in Group RD than Group R [280 vs. 190 min, P< 0.001] and the total dose of Tramadol used in the first 24 hours was less among patients in Group RD when compared with those in Group R [71 vs. 98 mg, P< 0.001]. VAS was significantly reduced at all post-operative points for the first 6 h in Group RD compared with Group R, [P< 0.05]. Sedation was found to be statistically significant for the first hour where patients of group RD were more sedated than group R. Changes in Systolic, Diastolic and Mean arterial Pressure and heart rate, were statistically insignificant in both groups. The incidence of Headache, nausea and vomiting and Dryness of mouth were not statistically significant in both the groups.

Our study nearly same with this study addition of dexmedetomidine In ropivacaine in TAP block helps achieve better analgesia and decreases the total dose of analgesics required post-operatively without any major side-effects.

No case reported of postoperative nausea and vomiting .In our study Dexmedetomidine used as adjuvant for increase onset , quality and duration of block. Dexmedetomidine hydrochloride , an imidazole compound is the pharmacologically active S-of medetomidine. Its specificity for the alpha-2 receptor is 8 times that of clonidine , with an alpha-2 : alpha-1 binding affinity ratio of 1620 :1 its effect are dose dependently reversed by administration of a selective alpha-2 antagonist.

### V. Conclusion

The present study comparison between 0.2% Ropivacaine versus 0.2% Ropivacaine with Dexmedetomidine in USG Guided TAP block for post operative Analgesia in unilateral lower abdominal surgeries, was conducted in the department of anaesthesiology, Swaroop Rani Nehru Hospital, MLN Medical college, Allahabad, U.P., India. Our study had 60 adult patients of more than 18 year and less than 60 years of age of ASA grade I and II of either sex divided into two groups of 30 each. They were given TAP block (USG guided) either Ropivacaine or Ropivacaine with Dexmedetomidine for unilateral...
lower abdominal surgeries Under General Anaesthesia . All patients were randomly allocated into two groups of 30 each. Group-R : USG guided TAP block with 20 ml Ropivacaine to that side . Group-D : USG guided TAP block with 20 ml Ropivacaine with 0.5µg/kg Dexmeditomidine to that side. With careful appraisal of the present study, following conclusion were drawn: 

1) Both groups was comparable to each other with respect to age, sex, height and weight.
2) Time of onset of Analgesia in group-R was significantly greater (11.03±2.45 min) as compared to group-D (8.67±1.51 min).
3) There was significant increased pulse rate in group-R after 12 Hour of TAP block. In group-D, pulse rate not significant but decreased compare to R.
4) There was no significant change in blood pressure in group-R and Group D.
5) Onset of analgesia(mints) Late in group -R (11.03±2.45) than group D (8.67±1.51) where p<0.05 is more significant.
6) Duration of analgesia is more in Group D (24.03±5.02) compare to R (20.04±6.2) where p<0.05 more significant.
7) Mean VAS score was significantly more in group-R (3.06±1.38) as compared to group-RD (2.30±1.24).
8) Quality of analgesia was better in group-D in comparison to group-R.
9) There was no side effect or complication in group-R. In group-D, bradycardia was noticed in 1 patients (5 %) After TAP block while No hypotension was noticed.
10) there was no sedation in Group -R (1.16±0.37) compare to Group-D (1.96±0.61).
11) Time taken for first rescue analgesia was significantly greater in group-R(3.13±0.86) in comparison to group-D(1.9±1.14).

We concluded from this study that although both Ropivacaine and Ropivacaine with Dexmeditomidine in USG guided TAP block are associated with minimum haemodynamic change, haemodynamic stability are better in Ropivacaine with Dexmeditomidine. TAP block is very good for postoperative analgesia and also in the term of side effects complication . Adition of adjvant as Dexmeditomidine in Ropivacaine increase the onset quality and duration of analgesia compare to Ropivacaine alone . As there are few literature available on TAP block as a sole analgesic, further studies are required to substantiate the above finding.
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