Factors Affecting Color Reproducibility of Resin-veneered Prostheses
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Abstract: The aim of this study was to evaluate the color difference between the facing of resin-veneered prostheses after fabrication and the original shade guide tab specified, as well as to investigate the factors affecting reproducibility. A total of 187 resin-veneered prostheses were fabricated and the color of the veneer facing was compared with the Vita Lumin Classical Shade Guide tab specified. Information regarding the shade guide tab, tooth type, position, dental laboratory, and resin material was recorded. The veneer facing of the completed prosthesis was colorimetrically measured in three regions (incisal, body, and cervical) by a spectrophotometer, and the color of the target shade guide tab was measured in the same way. The color difference (\(\Delta E^*\)) between the veneer facing and the shade guide tab was calculated and statistically analyzed using a mixed effect model at 5% significance level. The region (\(p < 0.0001\)), shade group (\(p = 0.0006\)), and tooth type (\(p = 0.0066\)) significantly affected the \(\Delta E^*\) values. When regions of the tooth were compared, the biggest \(\Delta E^*\) value was recorded in the incisal region. Our results suggest that the region of the veneer facing is the most influential factor on color reproducibility of composite resin-veneered prostheses.
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I. Introduction

When providing a patient with an aesthetic prosthesis, the color suitability contributes greatly to patient satisfaction. Generally, the suitability of the color is influenced by two factors: appropriate color selection and correct reproduction of the color selected. If one or both of these two processes are not adequately accomplished, the patient may not be satisfied. The use of a dental spectrophotometer helps to overcome problems with color selection [1-10]. However, many other complicated factors play a part in correct reproduction of the selected color.

In the case of indirect aesthetic prostheses, color reproduction is mainly entrusted to dental technicians. In prostheses in which metal is used as the background material, such as metal ceramic and resin-veneered restorations, it is even more important that the dental technician is able to reproduce the correct and appropriate color, because such prostheses are not affected by the color of the cement selected and used by dentists.

During the process of fabricating prostheses, there are many factors affecting color reproducibility. For example, the thickness of the tooth-colored material used for veneering or facing is one of the most important factors for reproducibility [11, 12], as is the performance of the material itself [13]. Colorimetric characteristics, including lightness, are reported to affect the replication of color shades. For example, Yilmaz et al. reported that the replication of high-value shades was observed to be reliable [9], and Lagouvardos et al. indicated that some shades produced a more reliable and valid match than others regardless of the brightness [14]. Other factors such as the region of the crown [15-17] and the type of shade guide used to select the color in the dental office and in the dental laboratory [2, 13, 14, 18-20] have also been reported to be related.

In particular, the color matching ability of the dental technician has a significant influence on reproducibility. [20, 21] This relates not only to the artistic skill of the dental technician, but also to the dental laboratory in which the technician works [15], including its equipment, the materials available, the environment, and working conditions and wages. Generally, dental technicians’ shade-matching abilities improve under light-corrective devices compared with conventional laboratory lighting conditions [22, 23]. For adequate shade matching, relevant uncovered costs are needed for dental laboratories [24].

An aesthetic prosthesis is commonly made to match neighboring teeth as well as the selected shade guide tab, because natural teeth often have individual colorimetric characteristics such as stripes and cracks that the shade guide tab does not include. Colorimetric evaluation of a prosthesis with complicated color design is therefore extremely difficult. Accordingly, in this study, we selected and assessed prostheses fabricated to match a shade guide color to more easily clarify the factors that contribute to color reproducibility. The null hypothesis tested was that the factors examined in this study of shade guide tab, tooth type, position (maxilla or mandible), dental laboratory, and resin material had no effect on color reproducibility.
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II. Materials and methods

Between 2010 and 2012, 216 resin-veneered prostheses for the central incisor, lateral incisor, canine, and first premolar were sent for fabrication to five dental laboratories in Nagasaki Prefecture, Japan by the dentists of Nagasaki University Hospital. The most suitable shade had been previously selected by dentists using the Vitapan Classical shade guide (VITA Zahnfabrik, Bad Säckingen, Germany) and was specified in the documentation. We asked the laboratories to add details of the material used for veneering to the documentation.

All the prostheses were fabricated and delivered to the hospital within approximately 10 days after ordering. Prostheses that included specialized colorimetric instructions such as stripes and cracks were excluded from the study. Also excluded were prostheses for which we could not identify the resin material type. A total of 187 resin-veneered prostheses were assessed in this study.

For color measurement, a dental spectrophotometer system (Crystaleye, Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) was used. To demonstrate the repeatability of the color measurement, the 16 shade tabs of the Vitapan Classical shade guide were used. The shade guide tabs were removed from the holder and the metal handles were detached. Each shade tab was fixed in the position of the right central incisor of the maxilla included in the system. The model was securely placed in a black box (Inspection Kit, Olympus), and the colorimetric image analyzing software (Crystaleye Application Master Ver. 1.4, Olympus) was focused on the central region of the tab surface. A standardized region (a square approximately 2.0 mm in width and 10 × 10 pixels in height; one pixel = 0.2 mm) and its position were set automatically, and the L*, a*, and b* values were recorded using the Commission Internationale de l’Eclairage (CIE) Lab color system. Measurements were taken five times for each tab. Repeatability was analyzed using the intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC). For each L*, a*, and b* value, one-way analysis of variance was performed, and the ICC was calculated. We aimed to achieve almost perfect reliability (ICC = 0.81–1.00).

The fabricated prostheses were fixed to the model in a precise position using transparent adhesive tape on the lingual/palatal side. The model was securely placed in the black box (Inspection Kit) and the color was measured in the same way as in the repeatability measurement, but in three regions (incisal, body, and cervical) of the veneer facing. Each standardized region (as in the assessment of the performance of the spectrophotometer) and its position were set automatically. The color of each region was measured five times, and the mean value was calculated as data peculiar to a prosthesis.

The target shade guide tab without metal handle was fixed in the position of the right central incisor of the maxillary model, and was colorimetrically measured in the three regions in the same way as for the prosthesis. The color difference (ΔE*) between the prosthesis and the target shade tab in the same region was calculated using the following formula:

\[
\Delta E^* = \sqrt{(\Delta L^*)^2 + (\Delta a^*)^2 + (\Delta b^*)^2}
\]

The assessed factors were (1) region (incisal / body / cervical); (2) position (maxilla / mandible); (3) tooth type (central incisor / lateral incisor / canine / first premolar); (4) shade group according to shade tab number (the [1, 2] group / the [3] group / the [3.5, 4] group); (5) dental laboratory (A / B / others); (6) resin material (Solidex / Signum Sirius / Prime Art / others). Information about the three veneering composites is shown in Table 1.

### Table 1. Details of the veneering composites used.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Filler composition</th>
<th>Resin composition</th>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Solidex</td>
<td>Splintered glass (average 3 µm), colloidal silica, prepolymerized silica composite</td>
<td>UDMA, others</td>
<td>Shofu Inc., Kyoto, Japan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signum Sirius</td>
<td>Silica, prepolymerized silica composite</td>
<td>Dodecanediol dimethacrylate, polyfunctional methacrylate</td>
<td>Heraeus Kulzer GmbH, Hanau, Germany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metacolor Prime Art</td>
<td>Prepolymerized silicone composite, hydrophobic colloidal silica, barium silica glass</td>
<td>UDMA, TEGDMA, aromatic amine, others</td>
<td>Sun Medical Co., Moriyma, Japan</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

UDMA: Urethane dimethacrylate, TEGDMA: Triethylene glycol dimethacrylate

The factors that statistically affected the ΔE* values were evaluated using a mixed effect model taking the hierarchical structure into consideration (α = 0.05). The mean and standard deviations for the ΔE*, ΔL*, Δa*, and Δb* values were calculated for each set of specimens and compared by Tukey tests (α = 0.05) to determine statistically effective factors. All analyses were carried out using statistical analyzing software (JMP Pro 11.2.0, SAS Institute Inc., Tokyo, Japan).

### III. Results

The ICC values of L*, a*, and b* were 0.997, 0.989, 0.997, respectively. These results indicated that the repeatability of the spectrophotometer used was reliable enough for color measurement.
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Among the six evaluated factors, the distributions of the factors of resin material and dental laboratory were quite similar. Therefore, the factor for resin material was removed to take multicollinearity into account. A mixed effect model of the remaining five fixed effects and one random effect (identification code) was performed. The statistical results for the fixed effects are shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Statistical analysis of fixed effects following the mixed effect model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Degree of freedom</th>
<th>F value</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Region</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>53.4255</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Position</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>0.9855</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tooth type</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.2178</td>
<td>0.0066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shade group</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7.7364</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dental laboratory</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.5239</td>
<td>0.0830</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1 Results of the ∆E*, ∆L*, ∆a*, and ∆b* values compared according to the region of the tooth (incisal / body / cervical). *p < 0.05.

Fig. 2 Results of the ∆E*, ∆L*, ∆a*, and ∆b* values compared according to shade tab number (1, 2 / 3 / 3.5, 4). *p < 0.05.
The results of the ΔE*, ΔL*, Δa*, and Δb* values for the three most effective factors and the statistical post-hoc comparison are shown in Figs 1–3. The factor of region, as seen in Fig. 1, was the most influential, and the ΔE* value of the incisal region was the highest. Specifically, the ΔL* and Δa* values of the incisal region were statistically higher in a positive direction. Regarding the factor of shade group according to shade tab number as seen in Fig. 2, the ΔE* value of the [3] group was the lowest statistically. The ΔL* values of the other two shade groups were also greater in a positive direction. For the factor of tooth type as shown in Fig. 3, the first premolar exhibited the highest ΔE* value. This could be because the ΔL* value of the premolar was the greatest in a negative direction.

**Fig. 3** Results of the ΔE*, ΔL*, Δa*, and Δb* values compared according to tooth type; 1: central incisor, 2: lateral incisor, 3: canine, 4: first premolar. *p < 0.05.

### IV. Discussion

In this study, six factors related to the reproduction of shades were assessed, and three factors were shown to be influential. The factor of the region of the prosthesis veneer facing was shown to be the most significant. Many researchers have evaluated the reproducibility of prostheses made from porcelain and/or zirconia, and reported that dental laboratories were better at matching shades in the incisal region of the crown than those in the cervical and body regions [15-17]. In this study, however, the incisal region exhibited the greatest ΔE* value. This was because the ΔL* and Δa* values were high in a positive direction. This means that the incisal region was made to be redder and lighter. The incisal region should be particularly carefully fabricated in aesthetic restorations, because it is more visible than other regions of the tooth. The reason for insufficient color reproducibility in this region could not be isolated within the limitations of this study, but a possible reason is that our study used only resin-veneered crowns but not porcelain or zirconia. Accurate shade matching and correction require significant costs [24]. It may be difficult to achieve minute color reproduction in a composite resin prosthesis within a limited budget.

The shade group according to shade tab number also affected color reproduction, and the [3] group recorded the lowest color difference. Shade tab number is one consideration related to lightness. Color matching using the Vita Classical Guide is reported to achieve good matches for natural unstained teeth [20] and high-value shades (A1 and B1) [25]. However, according to Lagouvardos et al., reproducibility is mostly unrelated to shade tab number [14]. In this study, the [1, 2] group recorded relatively higher ΔL*, Δa*, and Δb* values than the [3] group. Finch et al. reported that most metal ceramic restorations were lighter than the corresponding shade tab [12], and this is consistent with our results.

The influence of tooth type was also significant. Lasserre et al. reported that the reproducibility and reliability for canines were generally higher than those for central incisors[8]. However, we found no statistical difference between the central incisor and canine except for the Δb* value; and the color of the prosthesis became lighter and yellower toward the anterior. Taking the region and tooth type results into consideration (Figs. 1 and 3), the anterior teeth, especially the incisors, should be fabricated in a lighter shade to achieve optimal aesthetics.

In this study, the distributions of the factors of resin material and dental laboratory were so similar that the resin material factor was removed for accurate statistical analysis. As a result, the factor of dental laboratory was shown to be ineffectual, i.e., both resin material and dental laboratory factors were unimportant. Sufficient
reproducibility is often achieved as a result of the skill of the dental technician and an appropriate environment for fabrication, and the ability to reproduce the color of the target shade is likely to differ among laboratories [15, 22]. The lack of significance in this study might be a result of having ΔE* values lower than 3.7, because values below 3.7 were considered to be clinically acceptable [26]. In other words, the quality of the dental laboratory assessed was high enough to produce color-matched restorations. It should also be added that the composition of the resin materials used did not vary substantially (Table 1). In general, the amount of change within the color parameter is thought to be dependent on the material [1]

The main limitation of the present study is that only six factors were evaluated. Of course, it is obvious that other factors also contributed to the results. For example, it seems probable that an adequate thickness of the labial (buccal) side to create space for the veneer. Nevertheless, this factor was excluded from the analysis because measuring the degree of preparation or the thickness of the resin material was difficult to incorporate into the protocol of this study. Accordingly, future research could evaluate additional influential factors.

V. Conclusion

Within the limitations of this study, it was concluded that the most influential factor on color reproducibility of composite resin-veneered prostheses was the region of the veneer facing. It should be noted that the incisal region of the anterior teeth is likely to be lighter than the target shade tab.
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