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Summary: The port of a dental prosthesis removable evil perhaps conceived mechanical source of irritation, which in the absence of a rigorous hygiene and of a regular follow-up in the doctor dentist can support the appearance of stomatitis under prosthetic. A study was carried out on the level of the dental service of medicine of the teaching hospital Sidi-Beautiful-Abbots to evaluate the prevalence of this lesion. 37.1% of our population of study suffered from prosthetic stomatitis with an average age of 57.19±1.28. We also made an approach on the risk factors of appearance of this lesion.

To manage the provisional character of the removable prosthesis, the respect of the standards of the design of the latter and a regular follow-up by the doctor dentist, will make it possible to prevent the appearance of the stomatites under prosthetic.
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I. Introduction

In spite of the efforts made in the prevention of the oral affections, total or partial loss of teeth still assigns an important part of the population. For socio-economic reasons, the removable prosthesis remains the means of rehabilitation more practiced. However, it can generate an ignition of the subjacent, generally known mucous membrane under the name of stomatitis under prosthetic.

Several authors described the prosthetic stomatitis; in first in medical documentation in 1936 by Cahn which described it under the name “dental prosthesis stops painful”. [19]; then Budtz-Jorgensen wrote “the stomatitis related to the prosthesis is defined as an inflammatory process of the oral mucous membrane which is under a dental prosthesis or removable or total partial apparatus.” [20]

Gauzeran writes “the prosthetic stomatitis indicates a whole of affection concerning the oral mucous membranes compared to the under-surface of the removable prostheses out of acrylic resin and this whatever the cause: infectious, traumatic or allergic. This affection more often meets on the level of the palatine mucous membrane and seldom touches the mucous membrane mandibulaire.” [21]

For Wilson, the prosthetic stomatitis is described as an inflammatory condition frequently observed at the dental carriers of prostheses [22].

For Newton, it is an ignition or chronic erythema which is limited to the mucous membrane covered by the complete or partial prosthesis [23].

For Lambson it is the under-prosthetic ouranite [24].

The stomatitis is probably the oral lesion most often found at carriers of removable prosthesis [25] [26]. The exact frequency of this affection is variable between 06% and 65% following the authors.

Arendorf at the time of a review of literature carried out in 1987, found a prevalence varying from 11 to 67% at carriers of complete prosthesis [27]. The prosthetic stomatitis would be present at 24 at 60% of the carriers of prosthesis according to McFarlane. Webb and coll as for them found a prevalence of 37.3% for the prosthetic stomatitis at their group of patients [28]. Shulman at the time of an important study on 3450 patients noted a prevalence of prosthetic stomatitis of 27.9% [29].

The studies carried out near a Canadian population give a prevalence which varies between 21 and 77.5% [30]. The highest prevalence relates to two studies led to Quebec: 71 and 77.5%, respectively [31]. These figures remain slightly high in Algeria; according to a made investigation has Tlemcen, the prevalence of the stomatitis under prosthetic reaches the 74.4%.

II. Objectives Of The Study

To estimate the prevalence of the prosthetic stomatitis related to the port of the prosthesis associated in the area of SidiBelAbbes, Algeria. To evaluate the association of the prosthetic stomatitis with potentials risk factors.
### III. Materials And Methods

Type of study: A transverse descriptive investigation to aim analytical.

Population of study: The population of our study is made up of partial or total toothless patients, followed to the dental service of medicine of the teaching hospital of sidi beautiful abbots of the period from January to May 2015. Criteria of inclusion: The patients included in our study are the already installed made toothless patients consulting with the dental unit of prosthesis of the dental service of medicine of the teaching hospital of Sidi beautiful abbots. Criteria of exclusion: Toothless patients carrying joint prosthesis and made toothless patients not carriers of prosthesis. Sample Size: The sample consisted of 124 patients carrying total and partial removable prostheses.

#### Data Acquisition and statistical analysis:

The dependant variable was formed by the presence or not of the prosthetic stomatitis. The data were collected, treated and analyzed, by using software SPSS 20.0; The statistical test of significance $X^2$ was employed with a confidence interval of 95%.

### IV. Results

**Description of the sample**

1. Répartition according to the kind:

   Among the 124 patients taking part our study has, we find 57 men and 67 women.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Masculine</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% 52.2%</td>
<td>47.8%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Distribution according to the age bracket:

   The average age of our subjects is of 57.19 + 1.28 years, aves of the ages extreme of 20 with 85ans.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>20-39 years</th>
<th>40-59 years</th>
<th>60 years and more</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Manpower</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
<td>30.4%</td>
<td>63.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Average: 57.19 + 1.28
- Minimum: 20 years
- Maximum: 85 years

3. Distribution according to the type of the prosthesis:

   The majority of the patients, 84.8% carry total assistant prostheses.

4. Prevalence of the Stomatitis

   On the 124 subjects carrying removable prosthesis examined, 46 were reached of stomatitis prosthetic. The prevalence of this lesion was thus of 37.1%. 

![Frequency stomatitis according to type](chart.png)
5. Frequency of the Stomatitis according to the localization
The prosthetic lesion stomatitis is more localized with the upper maxilla: 72%.

6. Presence of the stomatitis according to the kind
The prosthetic stomatitis touches the two kinds without distinction, and there is no difference significant enters the lesion and the kind. \( P = 0.287 \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Masculine</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Manpower</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>52.2%</td>
<td>47.8%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7. Distribution of the stomatitis according to the age brackets
The prosthetic stomatitis touches all the age brackets, and there is no difference significant enters the lesion and the age. \( P = 0.072 \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>20-39years</th>
<th>40-59years</th>
<th>60years and more</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Manpower</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
<td>30.4%</td>
<td>63.0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8. Presence of the stomatitis and the profession:
There is no significant difference between the presence of the stomatitis and the profession. \( P = 0.6 \)

9. Presence of the stomatitis and the medical antecedents of the patient:
There is no significant difference between the presence in stomatitis and the antecedents. \( P = 0.279 \)

10. Presence of the stomatitis and the practices of life of the patient:
There is no significant difference between the presence in stomatitis and the practices (tobacco, alcohol...) patients. \( P = 0.089 \)

11. Presence of the stomatitis and the health condition of the patient:
There is no significant difference between the presence of stomatitis and the health condition of patients. \( P = 0.183 \)

12. Presence of the stomatitis and the state of hygiene of the prosthesis:
There is no significant difference between the presence of stomatitis and the state of hygiene of prostheses.
13. Presence of the stomatitis and the frequency of hygiene of the prosthesis
There is no significant difference concerning the stomatitis and hygiene of the prostheses. 26.1% only which declared that they never clean their prostheses. P=0.189

14. Presence of the stomatitis and the seniority of the prosthesis
More than 78% of the patients reached of prosthetic stomatitis are carrying prosthesis old of more than 05 years. The difference is very significant. P=0.0001

![Presence of stomatitis and the seniority of the prosthesis](image)

15. Presence of the stomatitis and erroneous occlusion
The difference is very significant. P=0.0001

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Manpower</th>
<th>Correct occlusion</th>
<th>Erroneous occlusion</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.4%</td>
<td>69.6%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

16. Presence of the stomatitis and stability of the prosthesis
More than 65% of patients reached of prosthetic stomatitis have prostheses unstable. The difference is very significant. P=0.002

![Presence of the stomatitis and stability of the prosthesis](image)

17. Presence of the stomatitis and the night port of the prosthesis
The difference is very significant. P=0.001

![Presence stomatitis according the night wearing the prosthesis](image)
18. Frequency of the stomatitis and the prosthetic visit post in the dentist

Meadows of the totality of the patients reached of prosthetic stomatitis never consulted theirs dentist after the delivery of the prosthesis.
The difference is T significant. P=0,035

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1 time/year</th>
<th>2 time/year</th>
<th>3 time/year</th>
<th>never</th>
<th>total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Manpower</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>0,0%</td>
<td>0,0%</td>
<td>2,2%</td>
<td>97,8%</td>
<td>100,0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V. Discussion

The prevalence of the prosthetic stomatitis among patients followed to the service of Dental medicine of the teaching hospital of sidi beautiful abbots was estimated at 37,1%. Our study revealed a frequency similar to that published by several authors in other country. The investigation of coll and cueto in 2012 in Iran [55], of Mandali and coll in Turkey en2011 [55], and of Kossioni in Greece in 2011.[4] The stomatitis under prosthetic key plus the upper maxilla, this prevalence of the palatine localization was described by several authors [56]. Our study shows a significant prevalence of the stomatitis at carriers of total prosthesis. Our investigation corroborated with the results of Figueiral and coll [32] which do not have found a difference significant as regards prosthetic hygiene; on the other hand several work accused the insufficient of prosthetic hygiene like source of ignition of the oral mucous membrane [61] [62] [83].

The seniority of the prosthesis could support the appearance of the stomatitisprosthetic. According to the Bars and his/her collaborators [111], the port the old onescomplete prostheses can be in the beginning various disorders of the apparatus stomatognatic. The team of Figueiral [3] found that 31,4% of the prosthesisold of less than 5 years caused prosthetic stomatitises against 48,4% for those from 5 to 15 years and 57,9% for those of more than 15 years. The erroneous occlusion was also associated with the prosthetic stomatitis.Figueiral and its collaborators [32] have advanced that 67,6% of the prostheses or carriers of total prosthesis of less than 5 years caused prosthetic stomatitis against 57,9% for those of more than 15 years.

The investigation of coll and cueto in 2012 in Iran [55], of Mandali and coll in Turkey en2011 [55], and of Kossioni in Greece in 2011. Our study revealed a frequency similar to the results of several researchers [112] [32] [61] [62] [83] on the role of the instability of the prosthetic, the near total of the stomatitis is observed among patients who did not profit from visit in the dentist.

Table Of Prevalence And Comparative With Various Studies And Our Work

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Cut sample</th>
<th>Old</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nyquist</td>
<td>1952</td>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>1090</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bergman, Carloso ed Hede</td>
<td>1964</td>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coi, Gosca and Mixon</td>
<td>1967</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>522</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Badiz-Rigersen, Stenderup and Grabowski</td>
<td>1975</td>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>463</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blasberg, MacEntee and Conklin</td>
<td>1985</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cuming, white, Blackwell and Wray</td>
<td>1990</td>
<td>Scotland</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Espinoza and coll</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>Chile</td>
<td>889</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barbel and coll</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>56,3±1,1</td>
<td>57,1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marchini and coll</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>42,4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shulman and coll</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>The United States</td>
<td>3450</td>
<td>59,2±0,5</td>
<td>27,9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mumuc and coll</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>765</td>
<td>20,5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baran and coll</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>35,8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divaris and coll</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Greece</td>
<td>873</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fereira and coll</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>15,2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jamaatttivong and coll</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>18,1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandali and coll</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>35,3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baran and coll</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kossioni</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Greece</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>67,7±9,9</td>
<td>39,6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mozafari and coll</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Iran</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>54,6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cueto and coll</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Chile</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>37,1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ouissadil Zakia</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Algeria</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>74,4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sakar and coll</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>46,3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weighing and coll</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>52,3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Our study</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Algeria</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>57,19±1,28</td>
<td>37,1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V. Conclusion

This study enabled us to make the following synthesis:
No the significant difference according to the kind and the age, the prosthetic stomatitis touches the women as much as the men, at all the ages. The profession, the medical antecedents, the practices of life, the health condition of the patient, are not retained like supporting factors the appearance of the stomatitis under prosthetic; even the state of hygiene of the prosthesis of the dental prosthesis is not statically significant. On the other hand, the seniority of the dental prosthesis, its night port, its instability, erroneous occlusion, and not followed by a doctor dentist, are factors which supported the appearance of the stomatitis under prosthetic which it is largely widespread on the level of the upper maxilla. Thus, one will be able to avoid the appearance of this disease, if the expert and the patient agree on a plan of success of the prosthetic project and who summarizes himself in the following recommendations:

VI. Recommendations
An comprehensive approach in the treatment of the prosthetic stomatitis is necessary. For prescribing a medicamentous treatment, it would be necessary to eliminate the factors responsible for the prosthetic stomatitis by adjusting some the prostheses. An old prosthesis (more than 03 years) is to be remade, it is necessary to recognize the provisional character of the acrylic resin prosthesis.

One can advance some suggestions to avoid the appearance of this disease:

☐ Pre Prevention - Prosthetic:
Before the beginning of the clothes industry properly-known as of the complete prostheses, a prosthetic pre approach is necessary such as: Prosthetic surgery, the tissue conditioning, the provisional prosthesis…; allows to improve quality of the fabrics which will be used as support with the restoration.

☐ Prevention During The Prosthetic Phase:
Once the improvement of the structures of support obtained, the prosthetic treatment can to start. The success of this treatment is obtained if the prosthetic bases answer the requirements mechanics known under the name of triad of Housset (retention, stability, lift).

☐ Prosthetic Post Prevention:
To explain to the patients the provisional character of his prosthesis from where need for changing it after a certain duration. To give all the instructions of oral hygiene and the shrinking of the prostheses during the night by using disinfectants. To insist on the importance of the regular visits in the dentist in order to prevent the stomatitis prosthetic as well as other pathological lesions.
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