A Comparative Study of Pelvic Traction and Bilateral Leg Traction in the Conservative Management of Low Backache
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Abstract:
Aims: The purpose of this study is to evaluate whether Pelvic traction or bilateral leg traction is superior in the conservative management of low backache.

Materials and Methods: We evaluated 93 patients with low backache due to various causes, who came to orthopaedic department Yenepoya Medical College. Out of these, 44 patients were put on bilateral leg traction and the other 49 patients on pelvic traction. Patients between 20 to 70 years of age were included in the study. Their foot end was elevated while they were on traction. Pain severity was assessed based on the following pain severity scales: 1) Body diagrams; 2) Visual analogue scale (VAS); 3) Wong Baker faces pain rating scale.

Results and conclusions: The analysis of the data has showed that bilateral leg traction has got significant pain relief as compared to pelvic traction, but there is no difference between bilateral leg traction and pelvic traction in regards to time duration taken for the pain relief.
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I. Introduction

Acute low back pain is a common reason for patient calls or visits to a primary care clinician. Nearly 80% of the population suffer from low backache at one or the other stage in their lifetime. LBA treatment varies from conservative to operative modalities with varied results. Conservative treatment includes rest, analgesics, traction and sometimes spinal manipulation. Those not responding may require surgical treatment.

Dillane, Fry and Kalton reported that nearly 79% of men and 89% of women suffered from low backache at some point of time in their life. The exact cause of which was unknown. This amounts to significant loss of income for the nation because the productivity is lost due to absenteeism. The estimated yearly prevalence of Low Backache is 5-20% in US and 25-45% in Europe. According to the Bureau of Labor and Statistics, metal workers generate 76% of all claims of back strain and sprain injuries to back were highest among truck drivers, operators of heavy instruments, and construction workers. The incidence of Low backache is on a rise. A few of the causes are: 1) Faulty posture adopted by the younger generation while sitting or studying; 2) Working on computers for hours together by sitting in a defective posture; 3) Driving vehicles especially two wheelers on faulty roads which are uneven, especially in our country; 4) Increase in geriatric population due to increased life span of an individual. This is secondary to improved quality of health care in our country. Hence degenerative disorders of spine, leading to low backache has increased. The management of these patients include Bed rest, Analgesics, Back exercises, Traction and at times even Surgery.

II. Method

Patients included in this study suffered from low backache due to either one of the causes: 1) Acute Lumbosacral strain; 2) Acute on Chronic Lumbosacral strain; 3) Intervertebral Disc Prolapse without significant Neurological deficits; 4) Pyriformis Syndrome; 5) Grade I to Grade II Spondylolisthesis; 6) Lumbar Spondylosis; 7) Low backache due to Degenerative Disc Prolapse.

The number of patients were categorised based on the age group affected as follows (Table 1)

Exclusion Criteria:
The following categories of patients were excluded from the study: 1) Extremely obese patients; 2) Patients with low backache who had associated co-morbid conditions like Hypertension or Past history of Ischaemic Heart Disease, in whom foot-end elevation could not be given along with traction; 3) Patients with Prolapsed Intervertebral Disc with SLRT less than 45° with associated Neurological Deficits, in whom surgery was indicated. 4) Grade III to Grade IV Spondylolisthesis in whom Surgery was indicated; 5) Any illness...
leading to Low backache where in there was a primary pathology in the lower lumbar spine which required other modalities of treatment. For eg: unstable lumbosacral spine, tuberculous spondylitis.

III. Results

All patients with bilateral leg traction were put on a traction weight of 3kg on each leg, which was gradually increased to 4 ½ kg weights in each leg. All patients on pelvic traction were initially put on a traction weight of 5kgs, which was gradually increased to 1/4th of the body weight. If the patient could tolerate the traction well, the traction weight was gradually increased daily to even 1/3rd of the body weight. Patients were on analgesics, muscle relaxants and either sedatives, anxiolytics or anti-depressants. Physiotherapy was also given.

Statistics below shows the results of treatment with bilateral leg traction and Pelvic traction: (Table 2).

Visual analogue score pain scale. (Table 3)
Wong baker faces scale. (Table 4)
So by Visual analogue score Pain scale and Wong baker faces scale it is evident that bilateral leg traction has got better pain relief than pelvic traction.

IV. Discussion

Although the results have shown that bilateral leg traction is superior to Pelvic traction, Pelvic traction has certain advantages over bilateral leg traction, those being:

- More traction weight could be applied through pelvic traction in contrast to bilateral leg traction.
- Pelvic traction is in close proximity to the site of lesion in contradistinction to bilateral leg traction. Hence, traction acts almost directly over the site of lesion, Hip joint being the only joint coming in the way of traction.
  In contrast to this leg traction has to surpass 2 joints before reaching the site of lesion, that is the Hip joint and the Knee joint. Hence effect of traction could possibly be reduced.
- The complications of Bilateral Leg traction like peeling of skin, allergy to the adhesive plaster used for traction, Lateral Popliteal Nerve palsy can be avoided with Pelvic traction.

V. Conclusion

The analysis of the data has showed that bilateral leg traction has got significant pain relief as compared to pelvic traction, but there is no difference between bilateral leg traction and pelvic traction in regards to time duration taken for the pain relief.
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TABLES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11-20 years (n=5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-30 years (n=14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-40 years (n=20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-50 years (n=33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51-60 years (n=11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61-70 years (n=7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71-80 years (n=3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bilateral leg traction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pelvic traction</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CHI SQUARE TEST = 8.546
A comparative study of pelvic traction and bilateral leg traction in the conservative management of..

P = 0.073

Table 3 Visual analogue score pain scale.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bilateral leg traction</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>1.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pelvic traction</td>
<td>6.08</td>
<td>1.39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P < 0.005

Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Median</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bilateral leg traction</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pelvic traction</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P = 0.046