

Transoral Endoscope Assisted Excision of Parapharyngeal Mass

Dr.V.Rajarajan.,MS(ENT),,DNB¹, Dr.Shanmuga Ashok MS(ENT),,DCH²,

¹associate Professor Thiruvavur Medical College Chennai Amilnadu India

²assistant Professor Uiorl Madras Medical College
Chennai Tamilnadu India

Keywords: Parapharyngeal mass, benign, transoral surgery

I. Introduction

Parapharyngeal space tumors are rare tumors comprising less than 1% of all head and neck neoplasms. Majority of these tumors (70–80%) are benign¹ while 20–30% of these tumors are malignant. They can arise from any structure contained within the parapharyngeal space. The parapharyngeal space is a space lateral to the upper pharynx shaped like an inverted pyramid, extending from the skull base superiorly to the greater cornu of the hyoid bone inferiorly. The parapharyngeal space consists of two compartments. The anteromedial or prestyloid compartment containing the retromandibular portion of the deep lobe of the parotid gland, adipose tissue, and lymph nodes associated with the parotid gland, and a posterolateral or poststyloid compartment containing the internal carotid artery, the internal jugular vein, IX–XII cranial nerves, the sympathetic chain, and lymph nodes. Most parapharyngeal space tumors are of salivary¹ (prestyloid compartment) or neurogenic origin (poststyloid compartment), although metastatic lesions, lymphoreticular lesions, and a variety of uncommon, unusual lesions may develop in this location. Surgery¹ is the main therapy option for tumors of the parapharyngeal space and is often challenging due to limited surgical exposure and associated morbidity. The choice of surgical approach depends on the size of the tumor, its location, its relationship to the great vessels, and the suspicion of malignancy. Various approaches are; transoral and external approaches (i.e. transcervical, Transparotid, transmandibular and combined approach). First time transoral approach was described by Ehrlich² in 1950 and it was indicated for small, non-vascular tumors, as it offers poor exposure and does not give adequate control in the event of haemorrhage. But with advent of endoscope assistance to this transoral approach, has provides direct and magnified visualization, less amount of bleeding, reduced tissue damage, improved cosmetic appearance, and fewer wound related complications and less post op morbidity.

II. Case Report

A 40 year old female was referred from a hospital with a swelling in oropharynx after a health checkup. She had no other complaints. Examination revealed a smooth bulge in the posterior pharyngeal wall on right side of size 5x3cm from level of soft palate to the level of pharyngoepiglottic fold and medially upto the midline which is firm, not warm, non-tender, non-pulsatile, irreducible and not compressible. Posterior pillar was pushed anteromedially. Both vocal cords mobile on indirect laryngoscopy. Bilateral tympanic membrane retracted and mobile. Nasopharynx free on diagnostic nasal endoscopy. All cranial nerves were normal. CT neck with carotid angiogram revealed well enhancing soft tissue density mass lesion in the right parapharyngeal space with feeding vessels from right ascending pharyngeal artery and right maxillary artery (Fig 1,2). Endoscopic transoral excision was planned. Patient was put in tonsillectomy position with mouth gag applied. Mass in the parapharyngeal space visualised. Mucosa over the mass incised and longus colli muscle retracted. Assisting surgeon holding 0 degree endoscope and surgeon with his hands delineating mass in the parapharyngeal space and cauterising the vasculature and mobilising mass from its bed with proper identification of the internal carotid artery and the assistant carefully moving endoscope to the areas operated by the surgeon. After removing the mass intoto, haemostasis achieved & mucosa sutured with 3.0 vicryl. Oral feeds started from 1st post.op day without any difficulty in swallowing. The 2nd case was also operated in the same manner. The histopathology for the cases came as paraganglioma & schwannoma for the next one.

III. Discussion

Majority of parapharyngeal space tumors are either salivary glands tumors originating from the deep lobe of the parotid gland or neurogenic tumors originating from the cranial nerves, the cervical sympathetic chain or the glomus bodies (chemoreceptors). Metastatic tumors are mainly thyroid carcinoma, osteogenic sarcoma, and squamous cell carcinoma. Tumors may also extend from surrounding structures and spread to the parapharyngeal space (i.e. mandible, maxilla, nasopharynx, neck, oral cavity, oropharynx, and temporal bone). Clinical presentation is very variable. Tumors can present as an oropharyngeal or neck mass¹ or with other symptoms such as dysphagia, dyspnea, unilateral conductive hearing loss, hoarseness, true vocal cord palsy, Horner's syndrome an

d symptoms of catecholamine excess like hypertension and flushing. Treatment has to be individualized³. Different surgical approaches exist (transoral, transcervical, transcervical-transparotid, transcervical-transmandibular and infratemporal-fossa approach). Most commonly used is transcervical⁴ approach especially for larger lesions extending into skullbase. Nonoperative management of parapharyngeal space lesions is an option for elderly patients, those who have a comorbid disease, unresectable lesions and for those who have benign, slow-growing tumors. Transoral approach was reported to be best suitable for small, isolated pleomorphic adenomas that originate from a small salivary gland in the soft palate or lateral pharyngeal wall tumors. The main disadvantage of this approach is limited exposure, increased risk of tumor spillage, and possibility of neurovascular injury. However, this approach gives the best possible postoperative recovery⁵ for the patient (lack of postoperative scar, no damage to the cranial nerves, preservation of the superficial lobe of the parotid gland and facial nerve, absence of Frey's syndrome, no need for mandibular osteotomy)⁶ But with advent of video assisted endoscopic assistance, transoral route has provided direct and magnified visualization. Thus transoral approach allows safe⁷ excision of sizable benign tumors near to critical anatomical structures.

IV. Conclusion

Traditionally surgeons were considering,

transoral route to the parapharyngeal space as poor and unsafe. Because of the advent of endoscope, endoscopic assisted transoral approach should be one of the primary surgical options for benign tumor not involving the critical structures.

Acknowledgment

No financial support received.

Reference

- [1]. Faruque Riffat, Raghav C. Dwivedi, Carsten Palme, Brian Fish, Piyush Jani ., A systematic review of 1143 parapharyngeal space tumors reported over 20 years. *Oral oncol.* 2014 ;50:421-30.
- [2]. Ehrlich H. Mixed tumors of the pterygomaxillary space; operative removal; oral approach. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol.* 1950 ;3:1366-71
- [3]. DW Eisele, JD Richmon. Contemporary evaluation and management of parapharyngeal space neoplasms. *J Laryngol Otol.* 2013;127:550-5
- [4]. Roof of the parapharyngeal space: defining its boundaries and clinical implications. *Ann Maheshwar AA, Kim E-Y, Pensak ML, Keller JT Otol Rhinol Laryngol.* 2004 ;113:283-8.
- [5]. Yadranko Ducic, Lance Oxford, Allison T. Ponticus. Transoral approach to the superomedial parapharyngeal space. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.* 2006 ;134:466-70.
- [6]. Bradely PJ, Bradely PT, Olsen KD., Update on the management of parapharyngeal tumours. *Curr Opin Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.* 2011 ;19:92-8.
- [7]. Iacopo Dallan, Veronica Seccia, Luca Muscatello, Riccardo Lenzi, Paolo Castelnovo, Maurizio Bignami et al; Transoral endoscopic anatomy of the parapharyngeal space: a step-by-step logical approach with surgical considerations. *Head Neck.* 2011 ;33:557-61.
- [8]. FIGURE CAPTION: FIGURE 1 & 2 Shows Well enhancing soft tissue density mass lesion in the right parapharyngeal space with feeding vessels from Rt ascending pharyngeal A and Rt maxillary A.



