Results of Proximal Femoral Nail in Intertrochanteric Fracture of Femur
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Abstract

Introduction: Intertrochanteric Femur fractures comprise approximately half of all hip fractures caused by low energy mechanism. These hip fractures occur in characteristic population with risk factors including increasing age, female gender, osteoporosis, a history of fall and gait abnormality. In spite of great advances made in the field of trauma in last 50 years management of this fracture has always remained subject of debate. There are several internal fixation options for managing these fractures that generally fall into two categories: some form of intramedullary fixation or some form of plating. Proximal Femoral Nailing is load bearing device with rotational stability and also short lever arm in addition to indirect fracture reduction.

Materials & Methods: We have done a prospective study in 70 patients of intertrochanteric femur fractures of femur operated with proximal femoral nailing at our institute with follow up of 5 – 24 months. All patients between above 31 years of age with proximal femur fractures of femur admitted in tertiary center in government setup - meeting the inclusion and the exclusion criteria during the study period were the subjects for the study. Patient were regularly followed up radiologically. Final outcome is measured with Harris Hip Score.

Results: In the present study, 70 cases of intertrochanteric fractures treated operatively with proximal femoral nail (PFN), and the results were analyzed. In this series, low velocity injury (Domestic fall) was the cause of fracture in the majority (70%), especially in the elderly female patients. Boyd & Griffin type 2 was the commonest type (42%) following fall while walking, etc. The operations were completed within 2 hours in 98% of the patients. For PFN minimum duration was 40 minutes and maximum duration was 150 minutes and mean duration was 80 minutes. On final follow up one patient had iatrogenic basi-cervical fracture, one patient had outward migration of screw, two patient had backout of derotation screw, 10 patient had varus collapse, five patient had abductor weakness on follow up. On 6 month follow up thirty patient had separated lesser trochanter with union of fracture but there was no limitation of movement & any residual deformity. The functional result according to Harris Hip Score was found to be excellent in 51.42%, good in 31.42%, fair in 10% and poor in 7.14% of patients.

Conclusion: Intertrochanteric fractures commonly occur in elderly persons, usually following minor trauma whereas in young patients a major trauma is needed to cause this fracture. Proximal femoral nail offer less invasive option for fixation of intertrochanteric hip fractures. Unstable fractures can be fixed faster and with lesser soft tissue dissection. On basis of our study we have concluded that PFN should always be considered for management of intertrochanteric fractures in young as well as elderly patients who have multiple pre-existing illness.
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I. Introduction

Intertrochanteric Femur fractures comprise approximately half of all hip fractures caused by low energy mechanism. These hip fractures occur in characteristic population with risk factors including increasing age, female gender, osteoporosis, a history of fall and gait abnormality. In spite of great advances made in the field of trauma in last 50 years management of this fracture has always remained subject of debate. There are several internal fixation options for managing these fractures that generally fall into two categories: some form of intramedullary fixation or some form of plating. Proximal Femoral Nailing is load bearing device with rotational stability and also short lever arm in addition to indirect fracture reduction.

Due to largest tertiary care hospital of country large number of patient having intertrochanteric fracture are treated at our institute therefore, in present series, I have studied cases of Intertrochanteric Femur fractures and their management with Proximal Femoral Nailing in 70 cases.
II. Material & Methods

We have done a prospective study proximal IT femur fractures of femur operated with proximal femoral nailing at our institute with follow up of 5 – 24 months. We have excluded patient with Boyd and Griffin type 4 (reverse oblique) fractures.

Methods Of Collection Of Data:

- By History
- By follow up at interval of 1, 2, 4 and 6 months
- By clinical examination
- By analyzing case papers

On admission, patient was first examined thoroughly in primary survey for vital data and other major associated injuries in head, thorax, abdomen or spine along with local injuries.

Proximal Femoral Nailing:

Surgical Steps:

Patient were given spinal or epidural anesthesia and shifted to a spica fracture table in a supine position with perineal post. Operative leg was slightly adducted and put on traction. Opposite limb was put in a full abduction as to give space for the C-arm in between the legs. Reduction was achieved by traction and internal rotation primarily mid adduction or abduction as required. Reduction was checked in a C-arm with anterior - posterior and lateral view.

Methods to achieve reduction by closed means:

- If indirect reduction was not satisfactory the following methods were used
- Insertion of Steinmann pin in the proximal fragment and manipulation so as to correct the deformity.
- Manipulate the proximal fragment with nail insertion
- Maintaining relative adduction in operative limb by;
- Pulling the chest and abdomen part of the patient towards the normal unaffected side by servant or chest straps.
- Keeping the jig close to the body and inserting the nail in this position.

Limb was scrubbed, then painted and draped under sterile condition, A 5cm incision was taken above the tip of the greater trochanter and deepened to the gluteus medius muscle. Tip of the greater trochanter palpated and minimal muscle attachment was cleared off.

After this PFN was fixed in a following manner:

Entry Point

Entry point taken with awl/guide pin over a protector sleeve, It should be on the tip of the greater trochanter AP and lateral position

Guide wire insertion

Guide wire: 2.8mm guide wire is inserted into the femoral shaft and across the fracture site in 60° of valgus: Its position is checked in the C-arm and the entry is widened with the awl.

Reaming of the proximal femur

Reaming: Reaming of the proximal femur is done up to the proximal part of the nail to be introduced.

Nail insertion

Nail insertion: Nail is fixed on the jig and the alignment is checked. Then the nail is inserted into the femur. The position of the holes for the proximal screws is checked in the C-arm for the depth of the nail.

Placing the guide wire pins

Guide pins for the screws: Guide wires for the screws are inserted via the jig and the drill sleeve. The ideal position of the guide wires is parallel and in the lower half of the neck in AP views, in a single line in the center of the neck in the lateral views. The guide pins are inserted up to 5mm from the articular surface of the femoral head and size of the lag screw determined. Reaming and tapping for lag screw done.

Insertion of the screw:

First the 8mm hip screw is inserted after reaming over the distal one and then the 6mm neck screw. The hip screw should be 5mm away from the subchondral bone.

Distal screws: One or two static or dynamic 4.9mm interlocking bolts are inserted in to the distal pan of the nail. Out of which one is a static and another is dynamic hole. It should be done after removing the traction along with the tightening of the proximal screws. It is done free hand with the help of Image Intensifying
Television and the jig is removed. The final position of the nail is checked in the C-arm in both views and the wound was closed in layers. Patient was given the IV broad spectrum cephalosporin one dose pre-operatively and followed BID dose till 72 hrs depending on the condition of the wound and patient. Following parameters were noted intra-operatively:
1. Total time of the surgery.
2. Blood loss: It was counted approximately by counting 50ml per mop used.

Post Operative Protocol
- Antibiotics: inj. Ceftriaxone 1gm i.v. 12 hrly was continued for first 7 days and then it was shifted to oral.
- Suction drainage was removed after 48 hours in case of open reduction.
- I.V. analgesics were given for 1 day followed by oral analgesics when necessary.
- Quadriceps physiotherapy: Strengthening exercises, Static quadriceps exercise and calf pumping are started as soon as the patient is out of anesthesia, followed by KNEE and ANKLE mobilization on post op day 1.
- Sutures were removed on 12th post Operative day.
- Patients were advised to walk non weight bearing walking (NWBW) as soon as tolerable usually after suture removal.
- Partial weight bearing walking (PWBW) was started once further collapse is not expected radiologically around 8 weeks
- Full weight bearing walking was allowed after assessing for radiological and clinical union.
- Hospital stay: Patient is discharged as soon as the wound and general condition of the patient is satisfactory, around 5th post operative day.
- Follow up: Patient was asked to come for follow up 1,2,3 and 6 months from the date of surgery. At each follow up patient was assessed clinically as per Harris Hip score and x ray AP/LAT view of hip with femur is taken.

Post operative assessment done by using the Harris Hip Score (HHS) Grading.

Successful Result:
< 70 = Poor
70 – 79 = Fair
80 – 89 = Good
90 – 99 = Excellent

Study Hip: Left Right
IR Number:
Interval:

Harris Hip Score Assessment By Harris Hip Score

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pain (Check One)</th>
<th>Limp</th>
<th>Support</th>
<th>Stairs</th>
<th>Distance Walked</th>
<th>Put Shoes And Socks</th>
<th>Sitting</th>
<th>Range Of Motion Scale</th>
<th>Range Of Motion (*Indicates Normal)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None Or Ignores It (4)</td>
<td>None (11)</td>
<td>None (11)</td>
<td>Normally Without Using A Railing (4)</td>
<td>Unlimited (1)</td>
<td>With Ease (4)</td>
<td>Comfortably In Ordinary Chair For One Hour (5)</td>
<td>Flexion (*140°)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slight, Occasional, No Compromise In Activities (40)</td>
<td>Slight (8)</td>
<td>Can For Long Walks (7)</td>
<td>Normally Using A Railing (2)</td>
<td>Six Blocks (8)</td>
<td>With Difficulty (2)</td>
<td>On A High Chair For 30 Minutes (3)</td>
<td>Abduction (*40°)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild Pain, No Effect On Average Activities, Rarely Moderate Pain With Unusual Activity; May Take Aspirin (30)</td>
<td>Moderate (5)</td>
<td>Cane Most Of Time (5)</td>
<td>In Any Manner (1)</td>
<td>Two Or Three Blocks (5)</td>
<td>Unable (0)</td>
<td>Unable To Sit Comfortably In Any Chair (0)</td>
<td>Adduction (*40°)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate Pain, Tolerate But Makes Concession To Pain. Some Limitation Of Ordinary Activity Or Work. May Require Occasional Pain Medication Stronger Than Aspirin (20)</td>
<td>Severe (0)</td>
<td>One Crutch (3)</td>
<td>Unable To Do Stairs (0)</td>
<td>Indoors Only (2)</td>
<td>(0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marked Pain, Serious Limitation Of Activities (10)</td>
<td>Two Crutches/Not Able To Walk (0)</td>
<td>Two Crutches/Not Able To Walk (0)</td>
<td>Bed And Chair Only (0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totally Disabled, Crippled, Pain In Bed, Bedridden (0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
III. Observation & Results

Age Incidence
The commonest age group for intertrochanteric fractures is between 61 – 70 years (34%) followed by 51-60 years (23%) & least common is <40 years.

Sex Incidence
In this series Male : Female ratio 2:3. (because of post menopausal osteoporosis)

Mode Of Injury
The majority of the intertrochanteric fractures occurred following low velocity trivial trauma mostly associated with domestic accident like fall in bathroom or fall from stairs.

Side Of Fracture
In our study right sided fractures are more common.

Associated Comorbid Condition
Hypertension is more common co morbid condition followed by diabetes.

Anesthesia
Out of 70 patients 59 patients operated under spinal anesthesia. 11 patients operated with general anesthesia.

Operating Time (In Minutes)
Proximal femoral nailing is simple procedure and can be completed in short duration. In our study average time for procedure was 80 min. Cases with other fracture and other procedure has taken longer time.

Duration Of Hospitalisation
Majority of the patients (60%) were discharged before 10th post operative day, while (40%) needed longer hospital stay.

Starting Of Weight Bearing
Weight bearing can be classified into two parts, Partial weight bearing & Full weight bearing

No Of Patients According To Classification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inter trochanteric (BOYD’S AND Griffin) classification</th>
<th>No of patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type I</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type II</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type III</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type IV</td>
<td>00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In study of 70 patients we found that type 2 fracture of intertrochanteric are common one classified according to boyd and griffin classification. We have not taken patient with subtrochanteric extension (boyd & griffin type 4) (reverse oblique) in our study.

Limb Length Discrepancy (At 6 Month Follow Up)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No of pt</th>
<th>&lt;1 cm</th>
<th>&gt;1 cm</th>
<th>Normal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Most of patients are with equal limb length. 13 patients have <1 cm limb length discrepancy.

Assessment Of Reduction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessment</th>
<th>No. of Patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acceptable</td>
<td>55 (78%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>15 (22%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>70 (100%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The above table shows that reduction was acceptable in 78% cases with PFN, while it was poor in 22%.
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Anatomical alignment of the fracture or a valgus type or a diamond hughston variety of reduction were considered as acceptable reduction, which provide immediate stability and Poor reduction was that with no medial cortical contact and a varus of more than ten degrees compared to the opposite side.

### Partial Weight Bearing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Duration in weeks</th>
<th>PFN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Within 1st week</td>
<td>4 (5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-3</td>
<td>40 (57%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-6</td>
<td>25 (37%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-10</td>
<td>1 (1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>70 (100%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the PFN group 62% of patients were allowed partial weight bearing within 3 weeks of surgery, while 38% of patients after 3 weeks of surgery.

In patients having proximal comminution, lateral wall deficiencies, severe osteoporosis, partial weight bearing was delayed & there was also post operative collapse of fracture in this patient.

### Full Weight Bearing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Duration in weeks</th>
<th>No. of Patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8-10</td>
<td>44 (63%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 – 14</td>
<td>20 (29%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 14</td>
<td>6 (8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>70 (100%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

63% were allowed full weight bearing within 10 weeks after surgery and 8% were allowed to full weight bearing after 14 week.

### Local Complications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Local complications</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Screw backout</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implant failure</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peri-implant fracture</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-union</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malunion</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infection</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVN</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Varus Malalignment</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The total no of patients with complications was 20 (29%). More than one complication occurred in the same patient. Incidence of complications related to implant cut-out, implant migration correlated with patient specific factors, such as advanced age, presence of osteoporosis and position of implants, irrespective of the type of implant used. There are only two case of infection and both were suffering from DM. The infection was mainly two type; one patient had superficial infection & other had deep infection extending up to bone surface. Superficial infection was treated with debridement & iv antibiotics & deep infection was treated with removal of implant. Peri-implant fracture was treated with revision & reimplant.

Implant failure is also because of deficient lateral wall, proximal comminution & lack of medial wall continuity. One patient had bilateral avascular necrosis with fracture intertrochanteric. Replacement was offered to patient but patient insisted for fracture treatment only. Due to this only proximal femoral nail done. Final follow up fracture is completely united with some limitation of movement. Another patient had severe postero medial comminution with iatrogenic basicrovicl fracture intraoperatively. On follow up fracture was united with good clinical outcome.

In one patient there was outward migration of derotation screws that was replaced by cannulated cancellous screw at 2 month but on follow up fracture union had occurred. Two patients had backout of derotation screw & fracture was united. On follow up that screw removal was done and patient was doing well. Ten patient has varus collapse of fracture on final follow up but it didn’t complain on routine day to day activity. Five patient had abductor weakness which was corrected on successive follow up by physiotherapy. In our study thirty patient had unstable fracture with separated lesser trochanter fragment. On follow up union was seen in that patient with no any limitation of movement.

### Time of Radiological Union

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fracture line</th>
<th>PFN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Visible</td>
<td>10 (16%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not visible</td>
<td>60 (84%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>70 (100%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The fracture line was visible in x-rays in only 16% of patients, while 84% showed radiological union at six months.
In study of 70 patients we obtained approximately 52% (36 Patients) excellent results and 31% good results. All of them performing their routine normal activity well. 5 patient having poor results. One of them have has associated fracture shaft femur which goes into non union. Another 3 are old aged and have associated co morbid condition.

IV. Discussion

Intertrochanteric fracture commonly occurs in elderly patients, but increased mechanization and increased number of road traffic accidents results in this fracture occurring even in younger patients. There are various implants available for managing intertrochanteric fractures till date, but the search is still going on to decide the best method. In the present study, 70 cases of intertrochanteric fractures treated operatively with proximal femoral nail (PFN), and the results were analyzed. In this series, low velocity injury (Domestic fall) was the cause of fracture in the majority (70%), especially in the elderly female patients. Boyd & Griffin type 2 was the commonest type (42%) following fall while walking, etc. The operations were completed within 2 hours in 98% of the patients. For PFN minimum duration was 40 minutes and maximum duration was 150 minutes and mean duration was 80 minutes.

All patients were operated on fracture table and the reduction was checked prior to surgery in the form of AP and lateral views by Image intensified television in all the cases. On final follow up one patient had iatrogenic bascervical fracture, one patient had outward migration of screw, two patient had backout of derotation screw, 10 patient had varus collapse, five patient had abductor weakness on followup. On 6 month follow up thirty patient had separated lesser trochanter with union of fracture but there was no limitation of movement & any residual deformity. On review of literature very few such comparative studies were found and out of which largest international series was that of J. Pajarinen et al, From Helsinki University Central Hospital, Helsinki, Finland and The Indian series was that of M. Porecha et al, M.P. Shah medical college, Guru Govind Singh hospital, Jamnagar, Gujarat, India. I have made comparison of my results with results of these two studies.

Comparison For Intra-Operative Data Is As Follows

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PFN</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Our series</th>
<th>J. Pajarinen’s series</th>
<th>M. Porecha’s Series</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Anaesthesia Spinal General</td>
<td>84% 16%</td>
<td>95% 05%</td>
<td>100% 00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Open reduction</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Good reduction</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>70.4%</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Duration of operation</td>
<td>80 minutes</td>
<td>50 minutes</td>
<td>71 minutes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reduction was considered good if the cortical congruence at the calcar region was restored, and if the displacement between the fragments did not exceed 2 mm in any projection. The ideal position for the screw in the femoral neck for the PFN was defined as being central on the lateral radiograph and central or inferior on the AP radiograph.

Intra-operative difficulties in each group:

We encountered difficulty in finding entry point if the greater trochanter was broken. Post operative infection was seen in 2 patients in the PFN group and needed change of antibiotics and dressings. Both were suffering from DM.

Radiographic evaluation at final follow-up.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PFN</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Our series</th>
<th>J. Pajarinen’s series</th>
<th>M. Porecha’s Series</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Implant failure</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>4.2 %</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Neck screw cutout</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Z effect</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Nonunion</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Peri-implant fracture</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Complications seen in the PFN group included implant failure (3%), Z-effect (5%), and non-union (3%). The total number of patients with complications were 10%. Z-effect seen in 5% of cases. This can be because of the underlying osteoporosis, improper position of screws (relatively long de-rotation screw), mismatching of implants and variable neck-shaft angle in our series.

**Functional analysis at final follow-up**

The weight bearing was started early as per tolerance of the patients if we had achieved good reduction and stable fixation especially in young patients. Due to the lack of upper extremity strength and co-morbidities in the majority of the hip fracture population, the use of an assistive device to fully unload the repaired extremity is limited.

After PFN fixation, by 3\textsuperscript{rd} week, partial weight bearing was allowed in 57% of patients and full weight bearing was allowed to 53% of patients at the end of 10 week. All the patients had final follow up at 18 months of surgery. (mean-11.17 months, maximum-18 months and minimum-6 months). No notable differences were seen between implants in terms of fracture healing. Based on all the above criteria the functional result according to Harris Hip Score was found to be excellent in 51.42%, good in 31.42%, fair in 10% and poor in 7.14% of patients.
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