Impact of Health Education on the Knowledge and Practice Regarding Personal Hygiene among Primary School Children in Urban Area of Karnataka, India

Ashutosh Shrestha1, Mubashir Angolkar2

1 P.G student, MPH, Department of Public Health, J.N. Medical College, KLE University, Belgaum, India.
2 Assistant Professor, BDS, MsC, PhD, Coordinator of Department of Public Health, J. N. Medical College, KLE University, Belgaum, India

Abstract: Introduction: Poor personal hygiene, in combination with insanitary conditions and contaminated water, creates a serious public health threat to school children. Children are not conscious of personal hygiene at school level. For better knowledge health education is needed. Objective: To assess the change on knowledge and practice regarding personal hygiene among primary school children after educational interventions. Material and methods: Out of 7 schools Government Urdu Primary School was selected by Simple Random Sampling. All of students of grade 3rd, 4th and 5th were included. Baseline and end line survey was done in February and September 2013. Health education sessions were conducted once a week for six weeks. Paired t test, McNemar test and proportions were calculated. Ethical clearance and informed consent was obtained. Results: The mean knowledge score of personal hygiene increased from 51.55 to 75.46 which was statistically significant at paired t 13.01, df 19 and p<0.01. The mean practice score of personal hygiene increased from 44.19 to 69.22 which was statistically significant at paired t 7.26, df 8 and p<0.01. Conclusion: There is need of proper health education intervention through framework of schools to the school children, for improvement regarding personal hygiene among them, throughout the nation.
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I. Introduction

Poor personal hygiene, in combination with insanitary conditions and contaminated water, creates a serious public health threat to school children. Globally, 2.5 billion people had inadequate sanitation facilities and living with poor personal hygiene.1 There are nearly 7 lakhs primary schools in India. Out of them only half has safe drinking water facility and only 10% have sanitary facilities. Due to lack private and decent sanitation facilities at schools many children had low personal hygiene and increased burden of diseases.1,2 Poor personal hygiene is related to nutrition deficiencies, worm infestations and diarrhea. It adversely affects the attendance at school and learning process.3

Due to inadequate sanitary conditions and poor personal hygiene practices, the increasing burden of communicable diseases among school children remains a concern on the public health agenda in developing countries.4 Children are not conscious of personal hygiene at school level. Majority of the schools are not fenced allowing the domestic animals to step around the school premises, making children vulnerable to many zoonotic infections. Feet and hands of children playing at such ground with animal dung get contaminated and eventually contaminate their friends and the classroom. In this way the school environment becomes a reservoir for many kinds of health hazards due to poor personal hygiene.5

For the better knowledge and practice, the health education intervention program was very much fruitful to the growing children for their better health and upcoming future. So, this study was undertaken to know the impact of health education on the knowledge and practice regarding personal hygiene among primary school children in urban area.

II. Material And Methods

This was a pre and post test study design, conducted at Government Urdu Primary School, New Veer Bhadra Nagar under Ramnagar Urban Health Center (UHC), Belgaum. Out of seven schools Government Urdu Primary School was selected by simple random sampling. All 96 students studying at 3rd, 4th and 5th standard were included under this study. Baseline survey was done in February 2013 and end line survey was done in September 2013. Health education was given once a week from May to June 2013 for five groups in which four groups had 19 students and remaining one group had 20 students. The package was for 6 days, 45 min each day and it included variables of personal hygiene, hand hygiene, oral hygiene and basic sanitation. Pilot study was conducted among 10 percent of students and there was no major correction in the questionnaire. So, these students were included in the main study.
A pilot tested questionnaire was used to collect the data. Student willing to participate were included and students who did not attended health education session were excluded from the study. Ethical clearance was obtained from the Institutional Ethics Committee of the JNMC, KLE University. The conduct of the study and the management of the data confirmed to the University’s requirements.

All individuals who participated in this study received verbal and written explanation of the procedures involved and the benefits expected from the study. Written consent was obtained from the school principal and respective class teachers before the initiation of the study. Consent was from each of the participant. Paired t test and McNemar test were used to see the association between pre and post test variables. These data were entered and analyzed into SPSS software (SPSS 20.0 Version). Mean, proportions and percentages were also calculated.

III. Results

The present study comprised of total 96 students, 27(28.1%) were male and 69(71.9%) were female. With regard to age distribution, 44(45.8%) are in 8-10 years age group in which 13(29.5%) were male and 31(70.5%) were female. There were 52(54.2%) children in11-13 years age group, among them 14(26.9%) were male and 38(73.1%) were female.

Table I showed that the mean knowledge score of personal hygiene was 51.55 which increased to 75.46 after health education intervention, which was statistically significant at paired t 13.01, df 19 and p<0.01. The mean practice score of personal hygiene was 44.19 which increased to 69.22 after health education intervention. The increase in correct practice was statistically significant at paired t 7.26, df 8, and p<0.05.

Table I: Mean knowledge and practice score before and after health education intervention.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Before Intervention</th>
<th>After Intervention</th>
<th>Mean difference±SD</th>
<th>Paired t</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Knowledge</td>
<td>51.55</td>
<td>75.46</td>
<td>23.91±2.91</td>
<td>13.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Practice</td>
<td>44.19</td>
<td>69.22</td>
<td>25.03±2.42</td>
<td>7.26</td>
<td>&lt;0.05*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*significant at p<0.05

Table II: Knowledge among students before and after health education intervention.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Knowledge</th>
<th>Before Intervention (%)</th>
<th>After Intervention (%)</th>
<th>McNemar χ2</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Personal hygiene</td>
<td>22(22.9)</td>
<td>48(50)</td>
<td>5.123</td>
<td>&lt;0.05*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hand wash before eating</td>
<td>82(85.4)</td>
<td>95 (99)</td>
<td>58.715</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Using soap to wash hands before eating</td>
<td>37(45.12)</td>
<td>67(70.52)</td>
<td>3.93</td>
<td>&lt;0.05*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hand wash after going to toilet</td>
<td>53(55.2)</td>
<td>86(89.6)</td>
<td>13.624</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Using soap to wash hands after going to toilet</td>
<td>53(55.2)</td>
<td>86(89.6)</td>
<td>13.624</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bathing</td>
<td>46(47.92)</td>
<td>63(65.62)</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>0.254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brushing twice a day</td>
<td>80(83.33)</td>
<td>92(95.8)</td>
<td>52.083</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tooth decay</td>
<td>71(74)</td>
<td>88(91.7)</td>
<td>15.611</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nail cutting</td>
<td>80(83.33)</td>
<td>93(96.9)</td>
<td>52.991</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye hygiene</td>
<td>37(38.5)</td>
<td>67(69.8)</td>
<td>0.389</td>
<td>0.533</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanitation</td>
<td>70(72.92)</td>
<td>93(96.86)</td>
<td>36.605</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*significant at p<0.05

Table III showed that the correct six steps of hand washing, clean body, brushing steps, oral hygiene, nail cutting and clean uniform increased after health education intervention and was statistically significant at p<0.05.
The practice of hand hygiene and hair cut among boys increased, but was not statistically significant.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Practice</th>
<th>Before Intervention (%)</th>
<th>After Intervention (%)</th>
<th>McNemar $\chi^2$</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Six steps of hand washing</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>34(35.4)</td>
<td>31.529</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hand hygiene</td>
<td>34(35.8)</td>
<td>62(64.6)</td>
<td>0.711</td>
<td>0.399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clean body</td>
<td>64(66.67)</td>
<td>80(83.33)</td>
<td>19.723</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brushing steps</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>34(35.4)</td>
<td>31.529</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral hygiene</td>
<td>55(57.3)</td>
<td>69(71.9)</td>
<td>31.529</td>
<td>&lt;0.05*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nail cutting</td>
<td>49(51)</td>
<td>80(83.33)</td>
<td>8.062</td>
<td>&lt;0.05*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clean uniform</td>
<td>73(76)</td>
<td>81(84.4)</td>
<td>31.24</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hair cut among boys</td>
<td>16(16.25)</td>
<td>22(24.18)</td>
<td>3.03</td>
<td>0.082</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*significant at p<0.05

### IV. Discussion

In our study, there was significant increase in knowledge and practice score of school children after health education intervention (p<0.05) which was supported by the study conducted by Siwach M. They reported significant increase in knowledge and practice after health education intervention in Panipat, India. Similarly, study conducted by Ilika AL et al in Nigeria found significant change in personal hygiene practice.

In the present study the knowledge about personal hygiene increased after health education intervention and was statistically significant (p<0.05). The findings were similar to the study conducted by Greene LE et al in Western Kenya where increase in knowledge was statistically significant after intervention.

The present study showed that hand washing practice before and after defecation increased significantly (p<0.05). The knowledge of using soap for washing hands also significantly increased (p<0.05). Our findings were supported by the studies conducted at national and international level. Our findings were similar to the findings of Schmidt et al findings in East London, UK, White C et al in Colorado USA where hand washing increased significantly in control group, Greene LE et al findings that reported increase in use of soap for washing hands before eating and after defecation in Western Kenya and was statistically significant.

Similarly, study conducted by Aiello AE et al in USA where hand washing knowledge increased, Reilly CEO et al in Western Kenya where hand washing knowledge increased and was statistically significant. Riaz M et al behaviour intervention in Bangladesh showed increase in knowledge about hand hygiene and was statistically significant.

In our study the knowledge about brushing increased and was statistically significant (p<0.001). The findings of our study were supported by the studies conducted by Lee A et al where tooth brushing knowledge and was statistically significant at health education intervention schools in Hong Kong, study conducted by Siwach M in Panipat, India where tooth brushing knowledge increase was statistically significant.

In the present study the knowledge about tooth decay significantly increased after health education intervention (P<0.001). Our findings were similar to the study conducted by Amol R et al in Maharashtra, India where knowledge about tooth decay increased and was statistically significant.

The knowledge about nail cutting and sanitation increase was statistically significant after health education intervention in this study (p<0.001). The findings were supported by the study conducted by Dongre AR et al in Maharashtra, India where knowledge about nail cutting increased significantly, Amol R et al in Maharashtra, India increased and was statistically significant after health education intervention, Lee A et al where the school physical environment were clean in intervention school at Hong Kong.

In our study the correct six steps of hand washing increased significantly (p<0.001). The findings were similar to the study conducted by Blanton E et al in Western Kenya where the correct steps of hand washing increased significantly, Ingole AN et al findings were also similar in Maharashtra India.

The number of clean students increased significantly after health education intervention (p<0.001). Our findings were supported by the findings of Chausa J where children were rated clean after intervention in Malawi.

In the present study the proper brushing steps and oral hygiene increased significantly (p<0.05). The findings were similar to the studies conducted Lee A et al where tooth brushing steps increased in Hong Kong, Ingole AN et al where oral hygiene increased significantly after health education intervention.

The practice of nail cutting and wearing clean uniform increased significantly (p<0.05). Our findings were supported by the studies conducted by Ingole AN et al in Maharashtra, India, Amol R et al after health education intervention dirty uniform decreased and were statistically significant.
V. Conclusion

The knowledge on personal hygiene and its constituent variables significantly increased after health education intervention. The increase in knowledge was statistically significant. The practice of personal hygiene and related factors significantly increased after health education intervention. The increase in practice was statistically significant. It concluded that the change in behavior of school children was possible if the health education intervention is properly implemented to the children.

Indeed, there is need of proper health education intervention through framework of schools to the school children, for improvement regarding personal hygiene among them, throughout the nation. Curriculum must contain the topic of personal hygiene education for healthy human resources and bright future of the nation.
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