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Abstract: Ameloblastoma is an uncommon epithelial odontogenic epithelial neoplasm that is non –mineralized & locally aggressive. The lesion is often discovered on routine dental examination. 80% of ameloblastomas are seen in mandibular molar ramus area. Radiographically ameloblastomas present as well defined unilocular or multilocular radiolucencies with internal bony septa resulting in honeycomb or soap bubble appearance. Treatment consists of radical surgical resection, as the tumor has a high recurrence rate after incomplete resection. Recurrent lesions have a more aggressive biological behavior than original tumors.

The present study aims to report 6 patients with multilocular ameloblastomas four cases having lesion in molar ramus & condyle region & two in anterior region of mandible. All patients were treated by wide segmental resection & immediate reconstruction was done using reconstruction plates. 8 years follow up was done & patients are symptom free & living happily.

Study Design: A Prospective study
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I. Introduction

Ameloblastoma accounts for approximately 10% of all tumors that originate in the maxilla & mandible.[1,2] Ameloblastoma is an intraosseous odontogenic neoplasm of great interest due to its ability to aggressively infiltrate the maxillofacial region. This infiltration may cause severe trauma & in some cases poss a risk to patients life[3]. The estimated incidence of ameloblastoma is approximately 0.5 million population per year. There is no distinct gender predilection. Most cases are diagnosed between 30 and 60 years of age. There are no well established etiologic factors. The posterior region of the mandible is the site of predilection. In approximately 40% of the cases there is an associated unerupted tooth, often the mandibular third molar. Ameloblastomas may remain asymptomatic before a facial swelling develops. Ameloblastomas may present on conventional radiographs as a unilobular or multilobular corticicated radiolucency resembling a cyst. Bony septae may result in a honeycomb appearance. Buccal and lingual expansion is more common in ameloblastoma than in keratocystic odontogenic tumors.[4] Resorption of roots may or may not be present.In the WHO classification of odontogenic tumors a distinction is made between benign & malignant ameloblastoma.[5] Clinically ameloblastoma appears as an aggressive odontogenic tumor, often asymptomatic & slow growing with no evidence of swelling. It can sometimes cause symptoms such as malocclusion, swelling pain & paresthesia of the affected area.[6] It spreads by forming pseudopods in marrow spaces without concomitant resorption of the trabecular bone. As a result, the margins of tumor are not clearly visible on radiograph or during surgery & tumor frequently recurs after in adequate surgical removal[7]. Ameloblastoma is divided into three clinicoradiologic groups, solid or multicystic, unicystic & peripheral. The solid ameloblastoma is the most common form of the lesion (86%) & is more aggressive Than other types & has higher incidence of recurrence[8]. Unicystic ameloblastoma has a large cystic cavity with luminal, intraluminal or mural proliferation of ameloblastic cells & is less aggressive with low rate of recurrence[9,10]

Tumors occurring in the maxilla are usually located in the third molar area and may extend into the floor of nasal cavity, paranasal sinuses, orbit, nasopharynx or skull base. Treatment of mandibular ameloblastoma remains controversial. Treatment consists of wide resection, curettage, & enucleation[11] Recurrence rate is 15% to 25% high after radical treatment & 75% to 90% after conservative treatment[12]

II. Material & methods

In this study 6 patients were selected who were diagnosed, treated in Oral & maxillofacial surgery department of Indira Gandhi Govt dental college Jammu & had completed 8 years of follow up. The information regarding age, gender, localization duration of the lesion was noted. Incisional biopsy was done to confirm the diagnosis. Radiographically all the patients had multilocular radiolucencies. Hemi mandibulectomy with disarticulation was done in four patients followed by reconstruction by reconstruction plate. In anterior region segmental resection was followed by reconstruction plate in two patients. Regular follow up was done by clinical & radiographic examination for 8 years. In view of small no of patients no statistical analysis was made.
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Case 1  
A 22 years old female reported to oral & maxillofacial surgery department with a gross facial swelling on rt side with no pain (fig 1)Swelling was extending from body of mandible to temporo-mandibular joint region. Swelling was multi nodular extending to neck. Intra orally there was expanion of buccal & lingual cortical plates in molar pre molar & ramus region & 2nd molar was carious exposed & tender. (fig2). OPG radiograph revealed multi locular radiolucent lesion involving body ramus ,condyle ,resoption of roots & lower border of mandible was eroded.(fig3). Patient was 6th month pregnant Incisional biopsy was done, 2nd molar was extracted histopathology confirmed plexiform ameloblastoma. Patient was advised to report for surgery after delivery & after 6 months of delivery patient reported back. Hemi mandibulectomy with disarticulation using lip split incision was done under general anesthesia & immediate reconstruction was done using reconstruction plate with condylar head.( fig 4,5,6 7). Patient after 3 years gave birth to 2nd child & anesthetist sought our clearance regarding any problem to plate during intubation or extrubation. Patient is still in follow up & has completed 8 years (fig 8). Since patient was very poor she was referred for monthly scholarship from social welfare department.

Case 2 & 3  
One Patient 50 years old & other 35 year males had swelling in anterior mandible extending from 2nd pre molar on left side to first molar on right side. Swelling was involving lingual buccal cortex & also inferior border of mandible. Histopathology confirmed acanthomatous type of ameloblastoma. Wide segmental resection involving 1.5-2 cms normal healthy bone was done & immediate reconstruction done using reconstruction plates. (Fig 9-16)

CASE 3  Figs  17 18 &  
CASE 4  Figs  19 -23

One patient 38 years & other 42 years old females reported with gross swelling on right side of face extending from body of mandible to condylar region. One patient had intraoral mucosal perforation.radiographically both revealed multi locular radioluencies .Histopathology confirmed follicular type of ameloblastoma. Hemi mandibulectomy along with disarticulation was done with lip split incision &immediate reconstruction was done using reconstruction plates . Patients are in our follow up & 9th year going on .Patients are satisfied with only little bothered about depression on cheek.

CASE 5  Figs  24 -29

III. Images Of 5 Patients

Fig 1 Pre operative photograph showing multi nodular swelling
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Fig 2 pre op photo showing carious 2nd molar

Fig 3 radiographic multi nodular appearance involving body ramus condyle

Fig 4 Tumor being removed
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Fig 5 Resected specimen

Fig 6 Reconstruction done using reconstruction

Fig 7 Post operative radiograph showing reconstruction plate
Case 2

Fig 8  Post op Photograph after 9 years

Fig 9  Pre op photo prepared for anterior segmental resection
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Fig 10  Pre op OPG & CT scan

Fig 11 Anterior segmental resection being done

Fig 12  Resected anterior mandibular specimen
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Fig 13  Occlusal radiograph of specimen

Fig 14  Reconstuction plate fixed

Fig 15  Post op Radiograph
Ameloblastoma  Report Of Six Cases With 8 Years Follow Up

Case 3

Fig 16 Recent Post op photograph after 8 & half years

Fig 17 Pre operative Radiograph showing lesion

Fig 18 Post op radiograph showing reconstruction plate
Case 4

Fig 19 Pre op photo showing intra oral lesion

Fig 20 Pre op radiograph
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Fig 21  Resected specimen

Fig 22  intra op photograph after suturing

Fig 23  Post op photo after 7 years
Fig 24  Pre operative photograph lateral profile

Fig 25  Pre operative photograph showing swelling frontal profile

Fig 26  Pre op radiograph showing bone destruction
Ameloblastoma  Report Of Six Cases With 8 Years Follow Up

Fig 27 Post op radiograph showing reconstruction plate

Fig 28 post op photo after 1 month

Fig 29 recent Post op photograph lateral profile
IV. Results

In this study 6 cases were selected .three were females & three were males. Age ranged from 22 to 50 years . In the four patients the lesion was located on posterior side of mandible two left side two on right side . Two patients had lesion was present in anterior mandible.In all the cases extra oral deformity/facial asymmetry was present .swellings were painless . Radiography revealed large multi locular radiolucencies. The cortical bone was very thin . Periosteal perforation was seen in two patients. In all the four cases ramus, body, angle condyle & were affected ,only head of condyle & coronoid process were spared .there was resorption of roots of posterior teeth with mobility . These patients were treated by hemi mandibulectomy with disarticulation & reconstruction plates .In two patient extra oral swelling was present in anterior region from right side mandibular premolar to first molar on left side, segmental resection was followed by immediate reconstruction by reconstruction plates .Follow Up was done on annual basis on clinical & radiographic findings for 8 years .No recurrence was noted in any of the patient.

V. Discussion

Ameloblastoma is a tumor with a well known propensity for recurrence [9]It originates from epithelial remnants of dental embryogenesis ,without the participation of odontogenic ecto mesenchyme Martinez et al[12] This tumor was initially considered as a type of odontogenic cyst & was first described by Cusak [13] in a case of mandibulectomy & latter reported by Broca[14]& Falksson[15].The classic Study by Malassez [16] ultimately differentiated the tumor from other types of cyst & named it Adamantinoma. The term ameloblastoma was latter suggested by Ivy & Churchill [17] based on odontogenic epithelium involvement in the tumor origin.

Adekeye & Lavery [18] have reported age range from from young adults to 4th or 5th decade of life .The present study also report patients of ameloblastoma from 22years to 50 years of life Ameloblastoma can occur at any location in maxilla or mandible & most prevalent location is mandibular posterior region (80%) reported by Becelli Richart & .Martinz [1,3,12] In the present study two patients had lesion in anterior region involving symphysis ,parasymphysis region.four lesions were in posterior mandibular region

Ameloblastomas are classified as multicystic 86% and unicystic 13% & peripheral or extra osseous 1% .In addition malignant ameloblastoma with metastasis very rarely seen reported by Antunes et al[19].Radiographic & clinical distinctions are important because the treatment for unicystic can be conservative due to its less aggressive behavior & small size than multicystic type shown by Ordet et al[20] in his studies. In the present study we have chosen only multicystic /multilocular ameloblastomas for reporting .Radiologically ameloblastoma appear as a radiolucent lesion that may either have unilocular or multilocular appearance. It may expand the cortical plates which give rise to paper thin & soap bubble appearance on panoramic radiograph as well as C.T. scan reported by Bilkey et al [21]

In the present all the radiograph showed radiolucent lesions involving both buccal & lingual plates and lower border of mandible with poorly defined borders. . Soap bubble appearance or honey comb appearance was seen on OPG & diagnosis was done on histopathologic examination. The histopathology of ameloblastoma consists of proliferation of cells arranged in variable pattern .The most frequent pattern is follicular subtypes reported by Mendenhall et al [22] .Ameloblasticus type .granular cell .basal cell & desmoplastic .In plexiform pattern interdigitating cords & irregular masses of epithelial cells surrounding small amounts of stroma of stellate reticulum can be seen .The granular pattern is an aggressive lesion with significant tendency to recur,& neoplastic epithelial components exhibit cells with a finely granular cytoplasm. The basel cell ameloblastoma is the least common type & is composed of nests of uniform basaloid cells . Desmoplastic pattern exhibit the formation of a densely collagenized stroma with several fibrous type Antunes et al[19]

In the present study two ameloblastomas were acanthomatous type & three were follicular type & one was plexiform type. Both acanthomatous type lesions were seen in anterior mandible region. Large tumors may rupture the bone cortex & infiltrate adjacent soft tissue on lingual surface of mandible reported Pizer et al [23] where as in the present study two tumors had eroded mucosa on alveolar edentulous ridge in mandibular posterior region. A high recurrence rate between 50% to 90% after conservative treatment. Several authors have supported surgical resection with safety margins for the treatment of solid multicystic ameloblastoma & have advocated bone resection in the affected area with at least 1.5 -2 Cm of healthy tissue beyond radiographic borders of the lesion reported by Anjos et al & Martinez et al[24,12]

Four patients in present study were treated by hemi mandibulectomy with disarticulation. Two patients had lesion in anterior region acanthomatous type & were treated by segmental resection involving 1.5-2 cm
normal healthy bone in accordance with Chana et al [25] who stated that conservative therapies of these tumors like enucleation & curettage are strongly discouraged as they lead to almost inevitable recurrence. Lip split incision was used to expose tumor in all the cases supported by Derderian et al [26] who has recommended choice of treatment surgical excision with free margins. The traditional approach for mandibulectomy is through lip splitting incision which gives a better exposure for complete tumor removal.

Reconstruction of the large mandibular defect represent a challenge to head & neck surgeons. The functions of mandible include facial appearance, chewing, speech & swallowing. The challenge in the management of large ameloblastoma of the mandible is not only to excise tumor completely in order to prevent recurrence but also to provide the best reconstruction. There are many methods of reconstruction reported in literature for reconstruction of mandible & microvascular surgery is the preferred one. In the present series immediate reconstruction was done using mandibular reconstruction plate in accordance with Akhiairi et al [27] in his case study.

Eppley et al [28] in his review have shown that there was no recurrence in those cases treated by en block resection as compared to enucleation & curettage in which recurrence rate is as high as 25% to 50%. In series reported from south korea the follicular, granular cells & acanthomatous type had high likelihood of recurrence. The chance of recurrence seems to be more dependant on the method of surgical treatment rather than histologic subtype reported by Ghandhi et al [29].

In the present study all the 6 patients completed 8 years of followup. No significant complaint was given by any patient except small depression on cheek. ALL the patients three males & three females are living happily. One patient was operated when she had 6 months old child. No recurrence clinically or radiographically was seen. In general annual follow up of 10 years is recommended.

Conclusions

In this article we have experienced that when multi locular radiolucent tumor has involved both buccal, lingual cortices & lower border of mandible, wide segmental resection with safety margins of healthy tissue 1.5-2cms beyond radiographic margins of the lesion should be done. Immediate reconstruction by reconstruction plate can be the treatment of choice especially when logistics etc for microvascular surgeries are not available. Reconstruction plate can be kept for longer time if it does not show dehiscence, infection migration or loose hardware breakage. A long term follow up both clinically & radiographically is important.
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