Surgical Management of Supracondylar Femoral Fractures Using Retrograde Nail

Dr. Arun K. N 1 Dr. Akshay. S. Dudhanale 2
Dr. Bagadia Pravin3 Dr. Nakul H.S. 4
Dr. Dhameliya Niravkumar G 5

1Associate professor and head of unitDepartment of orthopaedics Navodaya medical college and research center,Raichur-KarnatakaRajiv Gandhi University of health science,India
2,3,4,5Resident doctorDepartment of orthopaedics Navodaya medical college and research center,Raichur-KarnatakaRajiv Gandhi university of health science,India

Abstract: To evaluate the treatment and long term follow up supracondylar femoral fracture using retrograde nail

Method: Between September 2012 to January 2015, 40 distal femoral fractures on 40 patients were operated using retrograde intramedullary nail. There were 30(75%)males and 10(25%) were females. Age was ranging 18-75 years, with an average of 43.4 years.26 patients were due to polytrauma. Fractures were classified according to Muller’s. 5% were Type A1,15% were Type A2,50% were Type A3,5% were Type C1,10% were Type C2,15% were Type C3. All the cases were operated with retrograde intramedullary nailing using patellar splitting approach.

Result: All fractures healed by 4 month range 3 to 5.5 months. The mean knee range of movement was 90(80 to 140). There was deep infection in 2 cases shortening more than 2 cm in 2 cases, valgus angulation in 2 cases, anterior knee pain in 4 cases and implant in knee joint in 2 cases. There were no late mechanical failure of the implant. Neer’s knee rating system was used to evaluate the function, there were 16(40%) excellent, 20(50%) satisfactory results, 2(5%) unsatisfactory results, 2(5%) of the cases failed. The result correlated with age of the patient and presence of an intra articular fracture.

Conclusion: The study shows distal femoral fractures were common in males due to high velocity injuries. retrograde intramedullary nailing is an excellent technique for management of distal femoral fractures since there is less soft tissue dissection. The preferred portal of entry can be reached quickly and effectively, shortens the duration of surgery decreases the need for bone grafting high union rate and good knee range movements. Complications were few which includes infection, shortening, angulation and anterior knee pain.
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I. Introduction

The Incidence of distal femur fracture is around 37/100000 patient per year 1. Despite the advances in the techniques and the improvement in surgical implant, treatment of distal femoral fractures remains challenge in many situations. It has been shown that for high velocity trauma-earlier a patient receives initial treatment better the outcome 2.

The distal femur includes the distal 15 cm of the femur including the distal femoral metaphysis (Supracondylar) and intercondylar area 3. Supracondylar area of the femur is defined as the zone between the femoral condyles and the junction of the metaphysis with the femoral shaft. This comprises the distal 9 cm of the femur as measured from the articular surface. It is important to distinguish Supracondylar fractures from low diaphyseal fractures of the distal femur because the methods of treatment and prognosis are considerably different. Distal femoral fractures account for 7% of all femoral fractures, predominant in young males following high energy trauma 3.

In 1970 the AO (Arbeitsgemeinschaft für Osteosynthesefragen) reported “If normal or near normal function is to be achieved, then unquestionably if correctively employed open reduction and internal fixation ensures a very high rate of success” 2.

Muller’s classification is probably the most widely accepted classification of supracondylar fractures 3.

The purpose of this study was to determine the outcome of the management of supracondylar femoral fractures by the retrograde intramedullary interlocking nail.
II. Material And Methods

The present study includes treatment of 40 distal femoral fractures in 40 patients by retrograde intramedullary nailing between September 2012 to September 2014. At Navodaya Medical College Hospital and Research Centre, Raichur.

1. Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

1.1 Inclusion Criteria
- Patient with distal femur fractures.

1.2 Exclusion criteria:
- Patients managed conservatively
- Associated with vascular injury that requires amputation
- Fractures with epiphysial plate open
- Pathological fractures
- Patients lost in follow up
- Open fracture type 2 and 3

After admission of patient, a careful history was elicited from the patient and or attendants to reveal mechanism of injury and the severity of trauma. The patient were then assessed clinically to evaluate their general condition and the local injury.

In general condition of the patient, the vital signs were recorded. Methodological examination was done to rule out fracture another sites.

Palpation reveal abnormal mobility, crepitus and shortening of the affected limb. Distal vascularity was assessed by dorsalis pedis and posterior tibial arterial pulsations and capillary refill.

Radiograph of the knee with the distal half of the femur AP, lateral, right and left oblique views were obtained, pelvis with both hips AP view and tibia fulllength AP and lateral to rule out other fractures. The limb was then immobilized in Thomas splint.

The patient was then taken up for surgery after investigations and making patient medically fitness for surgery. The investigation done were,
- Hemoglobin percentage, Packed cell volume (PCV)
- Complete blood count, erythrocyte sedimentation rate, bleeding time, clotting time, prothrombin time
- HIV, HBsAG
- Random blood sugar, blood urea, serum creatinine, serum uric acid blood grouping and cross matching.
- Urine for macroscopy, sugar, albumin and microscopy
- 2D echo if patients age is >60 yr

Instruments and Implants Used in Retrograde Intramedullary Nailing Of Distal Femoral Fractures

1) Supracondylar nail of varying length and diameter. Available in diameter of 10, 11, 12 mm and length of 15, 20, 25 cm.
2) Introducer / target device
3) Template
4) Guide sleeve
5) Awl
6) Spanner, hex 17
7) Guide sleeve for Kirshner wire
8) Tissue protector
9) Small extraction shaft
10) Drill guide sleeve 4.00 mm
11) Kirshner wire , 1.8 x 310 mm
12) Screw driver, hex 5 mm
13) Depth gauge
14) Cone drill, 11 mm
15) General instruments like retractors and reduction clamps
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Instruments

Pre-Operative Planning
- Appropriate length of the nail to be used was assessed clinically and radio graphically
- Preparation of the required part (Window shaving) was done prior to the surgery

Operative Procedure

Type Of Anesthesia
General anesthesia was given in 14 cases and spinal anesthesia was given in remaining 26.

Position
Some of the patient were positioned on the traction table in the supine position with the hip at an angle of approximately 15 degrees and the knee at an angel of 40-90 degrees flexion and some were positioned supine on a radiolucent table, the extremities draped free.

Reduction
The reduction of fracture fragment was done by closed method in extra-articular fractures and by open method intra articular fractures.

Incision
We have used an anterior midline vertical skin incision from the inferior pole of the patella to the tibial tuberosity. The patellar tendon was split centrally and retracted to gain access to the intercondylar notch. Self-locking retractors were used.

Entry Portal
Using image intensifier the portal of entry was made use in two part curved awl in the inter-condylar notch. 1 cm anterior to the posterior cruciate ligament and checked using an image intensifier, both AP and lateral views to confirm the central position of the nail. The bone entry point was opened to a minimum diameter of 14 mm for the first 3 cm. In Type C fractures open reduction and fixation of the condyles with thick K–Wire placed anterior or posterior to the path of the nail was done.

Reaming
3 mm thick long guide wire with the ball tipped stopper which is precurved beyond this ball (olive) was passed from the intercondylar femoral entry point through the condyles and the fractures site, while confirming the central positioning of the tip in the trochanteric region. Reaming was performed in 0.5 mm steps up to 12 mm from 8mm onwards.

Nail Insertion
The nail that was selected was fit into the introducer/target device and was pushed in by hand and the position was verified by the use of image intensifier to confirm that the tip of the nail lies centrally the distal third of the femur and the distal part is 2mm below the articular surface.

Distal Locking
This was done using a target device. The cortex was drilled with a 4mm drill and 5mm self-tapping screws were inserted of the correct length. Distal locking was done with two screws and checked under image intensifier.
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Proximal Locking
This was done by freehand technique with 2 stab incision on the lateral side. The cortex was drilled with a 4 mm drill and 5 mm self-tapping screws were inserted. This was done using two screws and checked under image intensifier.

Wound Closure
The wound was closed in 2 layers with a suction drain in cases of open reduction.

Immobilization
When fracture reduction is stable, limb was immobilized in above knee cast or above knee slab.

Post-Operative Care
1) Suction drainage for 1 to 2 days was kept in cases of open fractures
2) Day 1: Mobilization of the knee started using CPM
3) Day 2: Active quadriceps and hamstring exercises and continued CPM was done
4) Day 3 onwards: Patient were kept touch-down weight bearing until there were radiographic signs of callus formation. In cases of unstable fractures and osteoporotic patients the weight bearing was delayed.
5) By 6 weeks partial weight bearing was started
6) By 12 weeks full weight bearing was started

Follow Up
All the patients were followed up at about 3 weeks, 6 weeks, 3 and 6 months and 1 year. The evaluation was done based on Neer’s knee rating system. Pain, function range of movements was noted and the union was assessed radiologically at regular intervals. The fracture was said to be united when there was presence of periosteal callus bridging the fracture site and trabeculation extending across the fracture site.

Follow Up After 3 Months          Follow Up After 1 Year

Case 1

Pre-operative Immedial Postoperative
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Patient Walking  Showing Knee Extension

Sitting Cross Legged showing Knee Flexion

III. Results

The present study includes treatment of 40 distal femoral fractures in 40 patients by retrograde intramedullary nailing between September 2012 to September 2015. The age of these patients range from 18 to 75 years with the fracture being most common in the 30-50 years age group with an average of 46 years. Male patients were aged between 18 to 64 years with an average of 40.5 years. Female patients were aged between 22 and 74 years with an average of 48 years.

Out of 40 patients 30 (75%) were male and 10 (25%) were female showing male preponderance, that is probably due to male are predominately working in factories field and travelling. There were 26 (65%) with right sided and 14 (35%) with left sided distal femoral fracture. Majority of the injuries were due to road traffic accident (75%) and 10 were due to fall (25%). 40 patients who sustained injuries, 26 (65%) of them had polytrauma and 14 (35%) had isolated distal femoral fracture.
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**Type Of Fracture**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Fractures</th>
<th>No of patients</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Open</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closed</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Open type of fracture were classified using Gustilo-Anderson classification out of the 18 fractures 4(44.45%) were type II, 6(33.33%) were type III A, as shown in table no 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of fractures</th>
<th>No of patients</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type I</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type II</td>
<td>08</td>
<td>44.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type III A</td>
<td>06</td>
<td>33.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type III B</td>
<td>04</td>
<td>22.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type III C</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Out of 40 cases majority of them are type A 3 and the remaining are type A 1, A2, C1 and C3 according to muller classification.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of fracture</th>
<th>No of patients</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2</td>
<td>06</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2</td>
<td>04</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3</td>
<td>06</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Out of 40 patients 28 were associated with the other skeletal trauma most common being associated to tibia fractures among them 8 tibial plateau fracture and 6 tibial shaft fracture, 2 patient had PCL injury, 2 patient had ACL injury, 4 patient had distal radius fracture, 2 had patella fracture and 4 had humerus fracture. 4 patient were treated with cancellous iliac bone graft. We have used 5 holds supracondylar nail in 22 (55%) cases and supracondylar recon nail in 18 (45%) cases. Additional cannulated screws were used outside the nail in 6 patients of type C for better stabilization. Out of 40 cases 6(15%) were immobilized in above knee cast, 2(5%) was immobilized in above knee slab, 2(5%) was immobilized in knee brace. We have not used any method of immobilization in 30(75%) of these cases. We have measured Final range of movement according to Muller’s classification. Type A 1 fracture had an average range of movement Type a-1 110°, Type A 2 fracture had 96.6°, Type A3 had 102.85°, Type C had 120°. Type C2 had 95° and Type C 3 had 96.6°. The average time taken for union was 4 months ranging from 3 to 5.5 months. Out of total 40 cases 2(5%) cases hold infection, 2(5%) hold a shortening of 2.5 cm, 2 (5%) cases had a valgus angulation of 8° and 2(5%) had an intra-operative hypotension, anterior knee pain in 4 cases, proximal screw backing out in one cases, implant protruding to knee joint in 2 cases.

**Results**

Out of 40 cases 16(40%) of them had an excellent result, 20(50%) had satisfactory result, 2(5%) had an unsatisfactory result, and 2(5%) was failure due to infection

**IV. Discussion**

There has been no uniform reporting of the results of treatment of supracondylar and intracondylar femur fractures. It is difficult to compare the result of different reported series in literature, because of difference is demographic characteristics and differing fracture characteristics and is further complicated by the use of different classification system and functional rating system. In our study the average age was 43.4 years with ranging from 17-75 years. In Moshieff. R study the average age was 55 years (21-102) Years. In Henry the average was 48.6 years (16-101) years. In Patterson the average age was 40 years. In our study males (70%) were more affected than female (30%). In Gellman, females (58%) were outnumbered males (42%). Pattern of fracture noted in Brijial. Series noted about 23% of fractures as Type A2, 67% as Type A3, 5% as Type C2 and 5% as Type C3. Gellman series noted 12.5% of cases were Type A1, 12.5% were Type A2, 20% were Type A3, 16% were Type C1, 12.5% were Type C2, and were 25% were Type C3.

In our series we had 5% as Type A1, 15% as Type A2, 50% as Type A3, 5% as Type C1, 10% as Type C2, 15% as Type C3 The mean range of movements of the knee achieved in our series was 98° at the average
range of movements was 80\(^\circ\)-140\(^\circ\) which is comparable to Henry series of study 105\(^\circ\) at the average of 84\(^\circ\)-120\(^\circ\) in moed 9.7\% non-union\(^3\). In brjilal 19\% shortening more than 2 cms\(^4\). In Henry 5.6\% non-union & one cases angulation of more than 5\(^\circ\), in Leung -KS 8\% of anterior knee pain\(^10\), Gellman et al 4.5\% angulation of more than 5.25\% of shortening more than 2 cms\(^6\). In our study 5\% infection, 5\% angulation more than 5\(^\circ\). 2 patients had anterior knee pain, 5\% of cases had shortening more than 2cms. Proximal screw backing out in cases & nail protruding to the knee joint in two cases.

The functional results were evaluated using Neer’s criteria. Janzing et al reported about 56 cases as excellent, 33\% cases as satisfactory, 11\% cases as unsatisfactory and 0\% failures\(^11\). In our series we had 35\% cases with excellent results, 50\% cases with satisfactory results, 5\% with unsatisfactory results and 5\% cases with failure.

V. Conclusion

The present study was conducted to assess the functional outcome of treatment of distal femoral fractures by retrograde intramedullary nailing. Retrograde intramedullary nailing in supracondylar and intracondylar femoral fractures makes “Biological osteosynthesis” possible in these difficult and complex fractures with less operative time, minimal soft tissue stripping minimal blood loss, decreased need for bone grafting and reasonably rigid fixation in osteoporotic bones. Post-operatively it helps in rapid mobilization and early functional rehabilitation. The significant advantage of retrograde nail is early weight bearing which cannot be recommended with plates. Long term study (5 Years) are required to accurately assess the functional outcome of treatment of the distal femoral fractures with retrograde intramedullary nailing. Thus we conclude that retrograde intramedullary nailing in an excellent technique for management of distal femoral fracture including supracondylar and intercondylar fractures.
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